

Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências (2018) 90(1): 231-238 (Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences) Printed version ISSN 0001-3765 / Online version ISSN 1678-2690 http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720150030 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

Occurrence of gastrointestinal parasites in wild animals in State of Paraná, Brazil

LEW K. SPRENGER, URSULA Y. YOSHITANI, ANDREIA BUZATTI and MARCELO B. MOLENTO

Departamento de Medicina Veterinária, Laboratório de Doenças Parasitárias, Universidade Federal do Paraná/UFPR, Rua dos Funcionários, 1540, 80035-050 Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Manuscript received on January 22, 2015; accepted for publication on November 27, 2015

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in fecal samples from wild birds and mammals from the State of Paraná. In total, 220 stool samples were sent to Parasitic Diseases Laboratory of the Federal University of Paraná during 13 months (Jan/2013-Jan/2014). A total of 52.7% (116/220) of the animals were positive for cysts, oocysts, eggs and/or trophozoites. In birds, the positivity rate was 37.9% (25/66) and mammals was 59.1% (91/154). Strongyloidea superfamily eggs were observed in 37.3% (82/220) of the samples, *Eimeria* spp. in 10% (22/220), and *Trichuris* spp. in 4.5% (10/220). The most frequent mammal species were llamas (*Lama glama*), and dromedaries (*Camelus bactrianus*) with infection rate of 70.1% (54/77) and 60.8% (14/23), respectively. In other hand, cockatiels (*Nymphicus hollandicus*) and ring necks (*Psittacula krameri*), were the most researched birds, with infection rate of 20% (40/50) and 100% (6/6), respectively. A high prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites was observed in most of wildlife animals. Further investigations should be conducted focusing on parasite control strategies and the conservation measurements for harmonizing the human-animal interaction on the long-term, reducing associated health risks.

Key words: cross-sectional study, environmental management, wild animals, zoonosis.

INTRODUCTION

The contact between wild animals and humans is increasing due to agricultural expansion, excessive deforestation, unplanned urbanization and the erroneously acquisition of these animals as "nondomestic pets" (Chomel et al. 2007). Even though, this proximity may impose environmental and health risk, because many species are host of exotic and zoonotic pathogens, the proximity can affect control strategies (Marietto-Gonçalves et al. 2009).

Correspondence to: Lew Kan Sprenger E-mail: lew.sprenger@gmail.com Many of the major human infectious diseases, including some confined just in humans, occurred only after the agricultural expansion and the major contact with animals (Wolfe et al. 2007).

Wild animals, both in captivity or in the wild, are important in the epidemiology of many described diseases (Wobeser 2007). Several of these were newly discovered, and have been the focus of recent publications (Rhyan and Spraker 2010). Gastrointestinal parasites represent a major health problem and the symptoms resulting from these infections include: apathy, colic, diarrhea, malaise and weight loss. Studies are finding new

informations about the ecology and biodiversity of endoparasites (Borg et al. 2014). However, due to the large number of animal species, there is still a lack of information about the various animals of the Brazilian and international fauna, and their parasitic population (Santos et al. 2008). Is a clear need for research with wildlife for discovery new pathogens, their life cycles and possibility of zoonotic transmission, only to find prevention and cure for such diseases (Jones et al. 2008).

Parasitological studies are fundamental to understand the life cycle of parasites and the potential transmissions to others animals and humans (Macpherson 2005). To assess and manage the effect of gastrointestinal parasites on any animal population dynamics, it is essential to evaluate their prevalence in wildlife populations (Bogale et al. 2014). Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and the diversity of gastrointestinal parasites in fecal samples from wild birds and mammals in the State of Paraná, Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in Paraná State, Brazil, between January 2013 and January 2014. Faecal birds samples (n = 66) were collected in these Paraná's towns: Curitiba, Colombo, Cornélio Procópio, Faxinal do Sul, Laranjeiras do Sul, Londrina, Maringá, Pinhais, União da Vitória, Tijucas do Sul and Tunas do Paraná. Faecal mammals samples (n = 154) were collected in these Paraná's towns: Bandeirantes, Curitiba, Colombo, Foz do Iguaçu, Guarapuava, Lapa, Pinhais and São José dos Pinhais.

The animals were from private owners, registered breeders, biological animal refuges or zoos located in the State of Paraná. The birds were isolated in cleaner cages and the samples were caught after defecation. To mammals, feces were collected directly from the rectum. In both cases, the samples were placed in plastic bags and stored in polystyrene foam containers with recyclable ice, maintaining temperature of about 4°C within the container. The boxes were sent to Parasitic Diseases Laboratory of the Federal University of Paraná, UFPR, to be processed. All the samples were analyzed using three different methodologies, centrifuge-flotation, qualitative flotation and simple fecal sedimentation techniques using concentrated sucrose solution ($d = 1,205 \text{ g/cm}^3$), as described method by Hoffmann (1987). Four slides of each sample were analyzed under an optical microscope at 10x with confirmation at 40x. The data were analyzed, to calculate the frequencies and prevalences, using the Epi-Info software, version 3.3.2 (CDC/WHO, Atlanta, USA, 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the 220 samples analyzed; 77 Llamas (Lama glama), 50 Cockatiels (Nymphicus hollandicus), 23 One-Humped Camel (Camelus bactrianus), 13 Capybaras (Hydrochaeris hydrochoeris), 10 Capuchin Monkeys (Cebus apella), 8 American Bisons (Bison bison), 7 Alpacas (Vicugna pacos), 6 Ring-necks (Psittacula krameri), 4 Spider Monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi), 3 Dromedarys (Camelus dromedarius), 3 Eared Owls (Asia flammeus), 3 Giraffes (Giraffa camelopardalis), 3 Gray-Cheeked Parakeets (Brotogeris pyrrhoptera), 2 True Parrots (Amazona aestiva), 1 Anteater (Tamandua tetradactyla), 1 Billed Toucans (Ramphastos vitellinus), 1 Ocelot (Leopardus tigrinus), 1 Pampa Fox (Pseudalopex gymnocercus), 1 Puma (Puma concolor), 1 Raccoon (Procyon lotor), and 1 Rufous-Bellied Thrushe (Turdus rufiventris); 52.7% (116/220) were positive for gastrointestinal parasites.

In a study carried with wild canids of Serra do Cipó National Park, Brazil, revealed the presence of endoparasites eggs in 94.73% (36/38) of samples (Santos et al. 2012). Fecal samples from wild mammals and birds at rehabilitation centers in the States of Mato Grosso do Sul and São Paulo have shown that parasite eggs/oocysts were found in 71% (27/38) of the samples (Holsback et al. 2013). In Porto Alegre, State of Rio Grande do Sul, 38.9% (14/36) of the mammals, from private owners, were positive (Carneiro et al. 2011). Thus, as can be seen the parasite prevalence may present a wide range according to animal species, environmental

conditions and health management applied in enclosures (Getachew et al. 2010).

Parasites were found in 67.3% (74/110) of the Camelidae family (Table I). In this work, 70.1% (54/77) of the examined llamas were positive for any parasites, one-humped camel 60.8% (14/23), alpacas 71.4% (5/7) and dromedaries 33.3% (1/3). These results are similar to several recent studies conducted in different regions of the world

Common name (Scientific name)	Parasite found	Number of samples	Absolute Percentage (%)
Capybaras (Hydrochaeris hydrochoeris)	Fasciola hepatica	4	30.76
	Fasciola hepatica + Capillaria spp.	2	15.38
	Negative	7	53.86
American bisons (Bison bison)	<i>Toxocara</i> spp.	5	66.67
	Negative	3	33.33
Giraffes (Giraffa camelopardalis)	Negative	3	100.00
Anteater (Tamandua tetradactyla)	Negative	2	100.00
Ocelot (Leopardus tigrinus)	Negative	1	100.00
Pampas fox (Pseudalopex gymnocercus)	Negative	1	100.00
Puma (Puma concolor)	Negative	1	100.00
Racoon (Procyon lotor)	Negative	1	100.00
Capuchin monkeys (Cebus apella)	Enterobius spp.	4	40.00
	Negative	6	60.00
Spider monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi)	Enterobius spp.	2	50.00
	Negative	2	50.00
Llamas (Lama glama)	Strongyloidea superfamily	45	58.44
	Strongyloidea superfamily + Trichuris spp.	6	7.79
	Strongyloidea superfamily + Nematodirus spp.	2	2.60
	Moniezia spp.	1	1.30
	Negative	23	29.87
One-humped camel (Camelus bactrianus)	Strongyloidea superfamily	14	60.87
	Negative	9	39.13
Alpacas (Vicugna pacos)	Strongyloidea superfamily + Trichuris spp.	3	42.86
	Trichuris spp.	1	14.29
	Strongyloidea superfamily + Nematodirus spp.	1	14.29
	Negative	2	28.57
Dromedarys (Camelus dromedarius)	Strongyloidea superfamily	1	33.33
	Negative	2	66.67

 TABLE I

 Parasites diagnosed in mammals samples of Paraná State, Brazil, 2013.

(Chhabra and Gupta 2006, Mohammed et al. 2007, Kamani et al. 2008, Rabana et al. 2011, Bamaiyi and Kalu 2011, Correa et al. 2012, Ukashatu et al. 2012, Anvari-Tafti et al. 2013). The Strongyloidae superfamily eggs were found in 65.5% (72/110), Trichuris sp. in 9.1% (10/110), Nematodirus sp. in 2.7% (3/110), and Moniezia sp. in 0.9% (1/110). Duguma et al. (2014) observed higher prevalence of nematodes, the Strongyloidae eggs were primarily found, followed by Monezia sp. and Trichuris sp. Despite we reported the presence of many parasite species, the most common also were nematodes. Anvari-Tafti et al. (2013) obtained similar results, with 81.3% of infection rate in studied camels, and the Strongyloidea superfamily endoparasites were the most prevalent.

Although Camelids are known to be infected with various helminths (Mohammed et al. 2007) parasite infections cause few health problems, and quite often very parasitized animals are asymptomatic (Borji et al. 2010). This feature is probably due to the harsh conditions of the regions where the animals come from with unstable nutritional conditions (Bamaiyi and Kalu 2011) imposing a strong selection pressure for the coevolution. Some camelids parasites are zoonotic, creating a health-risk condition to people who work with these animals (Rabana et al. 2011).

Wild birds showed positive diagnosis of parasitic infections in 37.9% (25/66) (Table II). In cockatiels, 20% (10/50) were positive, ring neck 100% (6/6), eared owls 100% (3/3), gray-cheeked parakeet 100% (3/3), 100% true parrot (2/2), billed

Common name (Scientific name)	Parasite found	Number of samples	Absolute Percentage (%)
Cockatiels (Nymphicus hollandicus)	Eimeria spp.	4	8.00
	Eimeria spp. + Strongyloidea superfamily	3	6.00
	Strongyloidea superfamily	1	2.00
	Capillaria spp.	1	2.00
	Strongyloidea superfamily + Capillaria spp.	1	2.00
	Negative	40	80.00
Ring necks (Psittacula krameri)	Eimeria spp.	5	83.33
	<i>Eimeria</i> spp. + Cestoda class	1	16.67
	Negative	0	0.00
Eared owls (Asia flammeus)	<i>Eimeria</i> spp. + Strongyloidea superfamily + <i>Giardia</i> spp.	2	66.67
	Eimeria spp. + Strongyloidea superfamily	1	33.33
	Negative	0	0.00
Gray-cheeked parakeet	Eimeria spp. + Strongyloidea superfamily	2	66.67
	<i>Eimeria</i> spp.	1	33.33
	Negative	0	0.00
True parrots (Amazona aestiva)	<i>Eimeria</i> spp.	2	100.00
	Negative	0	0.00
Billed toucans (Ramphastos vitellinus)	<i>Eimeria</i> spp.	1	100.00
	Negative	0	0.00
Rufous-bellied thrushe (Turdus rufiventris)	Negative	1	100.00

 TABLE II

 Parasites diagnosed in birds samples of Paraná State, Brazil, 2013.

possible zoonotic agents (Boseret et al. 2013). The contamination rate in capuchin monkey was of 40% (4/10) and Spider monkeys of 50% (2/4) (Table I). *Enterobius* sp. were found in 42.6% (6/14) of the samples. In a study conducted in Ski Lanka, 52% (65/125) of the monkeys analyzed were contaminated by this endoparasite (Ekanayake et al. 2006). Enterobius sp. is the most important parasitic disease in non-human primates, because of its zoonotic transmission potential (Mbaya and Udendeve 2011). The infection has been described by Monteiro et al. (2003) and Holsback et al. (2013). Owing to 98% of genetic similarity between nonhuman primates and humans, there are more than 150 zoonosis diseases among species, many of them from parasite origin (Souza Júnior 2007). Thus, there is great significance in epidemiological studies to determine the parasite population of those animals, in order to prevent the spread of potentially harmful pathogens.

Parasites were found in 36.7% (11/30) of the other animals (Table I). In capybaras, positivity was observed in 46.2% (6/13) samples. All positive animals where infected with Fasciola hepatica. Capillaria sp. was found in 15.4% (2/13) of the samples. F. hepatica is endemic in the South of Brazil and may cause an important liver infection in humans. Capybaras and others wild mammals infected may act as reservoirs of this trematode (Timm 2010). El-Kouba et al. (2008) analyzed faeces from 33 capybaras from three public parks in the State of Paraná, Brazil, found a positivity index of 57.6% (19/33) and Capillaria sp. in 15% (5/33). Bellato et al. (2009), analysed the prevalence of F. hepatica in cattle and capybaras raised together, also in the South of Brazil. The results demonstrated F. hepatica prevalence of 18.12% and 8.96% to to cattle and capybaras, respectively. This emphasize

breeders, veterinarians and people who have contact with wild birds must take precautions when handling these animals, always wearing personal protection equipment (PPE) to avoid infection and possible zoonotic agents (Boseret et al. 2013).

PARASITES IN WILD ANIMALS IN PARANÁ, BRAZIL

toucans 100% (1/1) and rufous-bellied thrushe 0%(0/1). Carneiro et al. 2011 analyzed 36 samples of wild birds from private breeders and 38.89% of these (14/36) were positive. Freitas et al. 2002, in a survey conducted in Recife, Pernambuco, examined 685 samples, from two national parks, and in 46.7% (320/685) were detected the presence of endoparasite eggs. Marietto-Goncalves et al. 2009, investigated the presence of gastrointestinal helminths in 207 captive birds attended at the Ornithopathology and Parasitic Diseases of Veterinary Hospital, São Paulo State University, campus Botucatu, 19.6% (41/207) were diagnosed as positive. To piciformes, psittaciformes and strigiformes, gastrointestinal intestinal parasites are a major cause of mortality (Valadão et al. 2006, Wobeser 2007). Anorexia, listlessness, mainly light-green diarrhea and ruffled feathers are the most common signs (Yoshino et al. 2009). Despite the many clinical cases, little has been documented about the epidemiology and the prevalence of endoparasites in caged and wild birds (González-Acuña et al. 2007).

Birds may be parasitized by a wide variety of endoparasites (Fedynich 2009) and in our study Eimeria sp. was found in 33.3% (22/66), Strongyloidea eggs in 15.2% (10/66), Capillaria sp. in 3% (2/66), Giardia sp. in 3% (2/66) and the Cestoda class egg in 15% (1/66). Endoarasites usually cause little distress to healthy individuals in the wild, but are among the most important sanitary problems in captive avians (Yoshino et al. 2009). The prevalence of parasite may also vary according to geographical area, habitat conditions and the avian species. These infections may be aggravated in situations such as high population density, stress and adaptation to new environment or prolonged periods in a confined space (Papini et al. 2012). In addition to the species found in this experiment, Costa et al. (2010) also listed Balantidium coli and Cryptococcus neoformans having a great importance in Brazil. It is emphasized that bird the importance of these animals as definitive hosts in the biological cycle of *F. hepatica*.

In american bison, 62.5% (5/8) of the samples were positive, but only had Toxocara sp. eggs. This large roundworm is commonly found in the small intestines of cattle living in tropical and subtropical regions (Woodbury et al. 2012). This parasite also has been described in Belgium (Goossens et al. 2007) and The Netherland (Swierstra et al. 1959). In stool samples of giraffes no contamination was observed 0% (0/3). In anteater feces 0% (0/2), ocelot 0% (0/1), pampas fox 0% (0/1), puma 0% (0/1) and raccoon 0% (0/1), also do not endoparasites eggs were found. However, it is known that these animals are susceptible to infection by important protozoan, e.g., Cryptosporidium spp. and Giardia spp. (Farret et al. 2008, Silva et al. 2008, Kodadkova et al. 2010, Fanfa et al. 2011, Forsyth et al. 2012).

The close relationship with domestic or wild animals may bring many benefits from physical to psychological to humans. However, to maintain harmonious coexistence, many precautions to animal and human health should be taken. Epidemiological studies are important to know the common diseases of various kinds or to discover new diseases (Bartosik and Górski 2010). Only examining the health of the animals, one can conduct effective measures aiming to prevent and control zoonoses (Wobeser 2007).

Based on parasitological profile we found a high prevalence of gastrointestinal endoparasites in birds and wild mammals in the State of Paraná. Epidemiological studies of parasite species occurring in wild hosts are important for planning control programs and preventing contamination to others animals, humans and the environment. Therefore, further researches are necessary to determine the rate of parasitic diseases and propose conservation measures for harmonizing the humananimal interaction.

REFERENCES

- ANVARI-TAFTI M, SAZMAND A, HEKMATIMOGHADDAM S AND MOOBEDI I. 2013. Gastrointestinal helminths of camels (Camelus dromedarius) in center of Iran. Trop Biomed 30(1): 56-61.
- BAMAIYI PH AND KALU AU. 2011. Gastrointestinal parasites infection in one-humped camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) of Nigeria. Vet Res Forum 2(4): 278-281.
- BARTOSIK J AND GÓRSKI P. 2010. The intestinal parasites of the selected mammal species, living in zoological gardens and wild animal parks. Roczniki Naukowe Polskiego Towarzystwa Zootechnicznego 6(3): 143-150.
- BELLATO V, DE SOUZA AP, SARTOR AA, VEIGA LPHN AND CENTENARO F. 2009. Ocorrência de Fasciola hepatica na população de capivaras (Hydrochaeris hydrochaeris) e em bovinos (Bos taurus) no município de Timbó, SC. Rev Ciênc Agrovet 8(1): 66-70.
- BOGALE B, CHANIE M, MELAKU A, FENTAHUN T AND BERHANU A. 2014. Occurrence, Intensity and Parasite Composition of Gastrointestinal Helminth Parasites in Walia Ibex (*Capra walie*) at Semien Mountains National Park, Natural World Heritage Site, Northern Ethiopia. Acta Parasitol Global 5(1): 19-25.
- BORG C, MAJOLO B, QARRO M AND SEMPLE S. 2014. A comparison of body size, coat condition and endoparasite diversity of wild Barbary macaques exposed to different levels of tourism. Anthrozoös 27(1): 49-63.
- BORJI H, RAZMI GR, MOVASSAGHI AR, NAGHIBI A AND MALEKI M. 2010. A study on gastrointestinal helminths of camels in Mashhad Abattoir, Iran. Iran J Vet Res 11: 174-179.
- BOSERET G, LOSSON B, MAINIL JG, THIRY E AND SAEGERMAN C. 2013. Zoonoses in pet birds: review and perspectives. Vet Res 44(1): 1-17.
- CARNEIRO MB, CALAIS JÚNIOR A AND MARTINS IVF. 2011. Avaliação coproparasitológica e clínica de aves silvestres e exóticas mantidas em criatórios particulares no município de Alegre-ES. Cienc Anim Bras 12(3): 525-529.
- CHHABRA MB AND GUPTA SK. 2006. Parasitic diseases of camels-an update. Helminthoses. J Camel Pract Res 13(2): 81-87.
- CHOMEL BB, BELOTTO A AND MESLIN FX. 2007. Wildlife, exotic pets, and emerging zoonoses. Emerg Infect Dis 13(1): 6-11.
- CORREA L, ZAPATA B AND SOTO-GAMBOA M. 2012. Gastrointestinal and blood parasite determination in the guanaco (*Lama guanicoe*) under semi-captivity conditions. Trop Anim Health Pro 44(1): 11-15.
- COSTA IA, COELHO AD, BUENO C, FERREIRA I AND FREIRE RB. 2010. Ocorrência de parasitos gastrintestinais em aves silvestres no município de Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. Cienc Anim Bras 11: 914-922.

- DUGUMA A, EYOB E AND EYOB G. 2014. Preliminary study on the prevalence and risk factors associated with gastrointestinal parasites of Camel in Yabello district, Southern rangelands of Ethiopia. Afr J Agric Res 9(43): 3191-3196.
- EKANAYAKE DK, ARULKANTHAN A, HORADAGODA NU, SANJEEVANI GKM, KIEFT R, GUNATILAKE S AND DITTUS WPJ. 2006. Prevalence of Cryptosporidium and other enteric parasites among wild non-human primates en Polonnaruwa, Sri Lanka. Am J Med Hyg 74(2): 322-329.
- EL-KOUBA MMAN, MARQUES SMT, PILATI C AND HAMANN W. 2008. General aspects of fascioliasis and endoparasitic diseases in capybaras (*Hydrochaerus hydrochaeris* Linnaeus, 1766) from three parks in the State of Paraná, Brazil. Vet em Foco 6(1): 4-15.
- FANFA V, FARRET M, SILVA AS AND MONTEIRO S. 2011. Endoparasitoses em puma (*Puma concolor*) na região Sul do Brasil. Acta Vet Bras 5(1): 100-102.
- FARRET MH, FANFA VR, SILVA AS, ZANETTE RA AND MONTEIRO SG. 2008. Parasitismo por protozoários gastrointestinais em carnívoros silvestres mantidos em cativeiro no sul do Brasil. Rev Port Cien Vet 103: 93-95.
- FEDYNICH AM. 2009. "Heterakis and Ascaridia," In: Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ and Hunter DB (Eds), Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds. 1st ed., Oxford: J Wiley & Sons, p. 388-412.
- FORSYTH MB, MORRIS AJ, SINCLAIR DA AND PRITCHARD CP. 2012. Investigation of Zoonotic Infections Among Auckland Zoo Staff: 1991-2010. Zoonoses Public Health 59(8): 561-567.
- FREITAS MFL, OLIVEIRA JB, CAVALCANTI MDB, LEITE AS, MAGALHÃES VS, OLIVEIRA RA AND SOBRINO EA. 2002. Parásitos gastrointestinales de aves silvestres en cautiverio en el Estado de Pernambuco, Brasil. Parasitol Latinoamericana 57: 50-54.
- GETACHEW M, TRAWFORD A, FESEHA G AND REID SWJ. 2010. Gastrointestinal parasites of working donkeys of Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Pro 42(1): 27-33.
- GONZÁLEZ-ACUÑA D, FABRY M, NASCIMENTO AA AND TEBALDI JH. 2007. Death of two slender-billed parakeet (King) (*Enicognathus leptorhynchus*) (Aves, Psittacidae) by *Ascaridia hermaphrodita* (Froelich, 1789, Railliet & Henry, 1914) at the National Zoo of Santiago, Chile. Arq Bras Med Vet Zoo 59(2): 539-540.
- GOOSSENS E, DORNY P, VERVAECKE H, RODEN C, VERCAMMEN F AND VERCRUYSSE J. 2007. *Toxocara vitulorum* in American bison (*Bison bison*) calves. Vet Rec 160(16): 556.
- HOFFMANN RP. 1987. Diagnóstico de parasitismo veterinário. Porto Alegre: Sulina, 156 p.
- HOLSBACK L, CARDOSO MJL, FAGNANI R AND PATELLI THC. 2013. Natural infection by endoparasites

among free-living wild animals. Rev Bras Parasitol Vet 22(2): 302-306.

- JONES KE, PATEL NG, LEVY MA, STOREYGARD A, BALK D, GITTLEMAN JL AND DASZAK P. 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451(7181): 990-993.
- KAMANI J, TURAKI UA, EGWU GO, MANI AU, KIDA SM, ABDULLAHI JG, DAMINA MS, KUMSHE H AND DOGO GI. 2008. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) slaughtered in Maiduguri, Nigeria. J Camel Pract Res 15(2): 181-182.
- KODADKOVA A, KVÁČ M, DITRICH O, SAK B AND XIAO L. 2010. Cryptosporidium muris in a reticulated giraffe (Giraffa camelopardalis reticulata). J Parasitol 96(1): 211-212.
- MACPHERSON CN. 2005. Human behaviour and the epidemiology of parasitic zoonoses. Int J Parasitol 35(11): 1319-1331.
- MARIETTO-GONÇALVES GA, MARTINS TF, LIMA ET, LOPES RDS AND ANDREATTI FILHO RL. 2009. Prevalência de endoparasitas em amostras fecais de aves silvestres e exóticas examinadas no Laboratório de Ornitopatologia e no Laboratório de Enfermidades Parasitárias da FMVZ-UNESP/Botucatu-SP. Cienc Anim Bras 10(1): 349-354.
- MBAYA AW AND UDENDEYE UJ. 2011. Gastrointestinal parasites of captive and free-roaming primates at the Afi Mountain Primate Conservation Area in Calabar, Nigeria and their zoonotic implications. Pakistan J Biol Sci 14(13): 709-714.
- MOHAMMED AK, SACKEY AKB, TEKDEK LB AND GEFU JO. 2007. Common health problems of the one humped camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) introduced into subhumid climate in Zaria, Nigeria. Res J Anim Sci 1(1): 1-5.
- MONTEIRO RV, JANSEN AM AND PINTO RM. 2003. Coprological helminth screening in Brazilian free ranging golden lion tamarins, *Leontopithecus rosalia* (L., 1766) (Primates, Callitrichidae). Braz J Biol 63(4): 727-729.
- PAPINI R, GIRIVETTO M, MARANGI M, MANCIANTI F AND GIANGASPERO A. 2012. Endoparasite infections in pet and zoo birds in Italy. Sci World J 1: 1-9.
- RABANA JL, KUMSHE HA, KAMANI J, HAFSAT G, TURAKI UA AND DILLI HK. 2011. JL Effects of Parasitic Infections on Erythrocyte Indices of Camels in Nigeria. Vet Res Forum 2(1): 59-63.
- RHYAN JC AND SPRAKER TR. 2010. Emergence of disease from wildlife reservoirs. Vet Pathol 47(1): 34-39.
- SANTOS GC, MATUELLA GA, CORAIOLA AM, SILVA LC, LANGE RR AND SANTIN E. 2008. Doenças de aves selvagens diagnosticadas na Universidade Federal do Paraná (2003-2007). Pesq Vet Bras 28(11): 565-570.
- SANTOS JLC, MAGALHÃES NB, SANTOS HA, RIBEIRO RR AND GUIMARÃES MP. 2012. Parasites of domestic

and wild canids in the region of Serra do Cipó National Park, Brazil. Rev Bras Parasitol Vet 21(3): 270-277.

- SILVA AS, SOARES CDM, GRESSLER LT, LARA VM, CARREGARO AB AND MONTEIRO SG. 2008. Criptosporidíase gastrintestinal em Tamanduá-mirim (*Tamandua tetradactyla*). Rev Bras Zoociências 10(2): 64-74.
- SOUZA JUNIOR JC. 2007. Perfil sanitário de bugios ruivos, Alouatta guariba clamitans (Cabrera, 1940) (Primates: Atelidae): um estudo com animais recepcionados e mantidos em perímetro urbano no município de Indaial, Santa Catarina, Brasil. Dissertação de Mestrado. Florianopólis, 111 p. (Unpublished).
- SWIERSTRA D, JANSEN JUNIOR J AND VAN DEN BROEK E. 1959. Parasites of zoo-animals in the Netherlands. Tijdschrift voor Diergeneeskunde 84: 1301-1305.
- TIMM RM. 2010. Capybaras: Behavior, Ecology, and Management. Mamm Evol 17(3): 217-219.
- UKASHATU S, SAULAWA MA AND MAGAJI AA. 2012. Epidemiology of gastrointestinal parasites of one-humped

camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) slaughtered in Sokoto central abattoir, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Sci J Vet Adv 1(4): 105-109.

- VALADÃO RM, MARÇAL JÚNIOR O AND FRANCHIN AG. 2006. A avifauna no parque municipal Santa Luzia, zona urbana de Uberlândia, Minas Gerais. Biosci J 22(2): 97-108.
- WOBESER G. 2007. Disease in Wild Animals: Investigation and Management. Spinger-Verlag: Berlin, 393 p.
- WOLFE ND, DUNAVAN CP AND DIAMOND J. 2007. Origins of major human infectious diseases. Nature 447(7142): 279-283.
- WOODBURY MR, COPELAND S, WAGNER B, FERNANDO C, HILL JE AND CLEMENCE C. 2012. Toxocara vitulorum in a bison (Bison bison) herd from western Canada. Can Vet J 53(7): 791-794.
- YOSHINO T, UEMURA J, ENDOH D, KANEKO M, OSA Y AND ASAKAWA M. 2009. Parasitic nematodes of anseriform birds in Hokkaido, Japan. Helminthol 46(2): 117-122.