Arg. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.68, n.2, p.257-264, 2016

Establishment of a pathogenicity index in *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains inoculated in one-day-old broiler chicks

[Estabelecimento de um índice de patogenicidade em cepas de Salmonella Enteritidis e Salmonella Typhimurium inoculadas em pintos de um dia de idade]

D.A. Lima¹, T.Q. Furian¹, R.M. Pillati¹, G.L. Silva¹, R.B. Morgam¹, K.A. Borges¹, F.B.B. Fortes¹, H.L.S. Moraes¹, B.G. Brito², K.C.T.Brito², C.T.P. Salle¹

¹Centro de Diagnóstico e Pesquisa em Patologia Aviária – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul - Porto Alegre, RS ²Instituto de Pesquisas Veterinárias Desidério Finamor - Eldorado do Sul, RS

ABSTRACT

Salmonella Enteritidis and Salmonella Typhimurium are responsible for causing huge economic loses in aviculture, as they lead young broiler chicks to develop clinical disease and thus increase mortality. Salmonella's pathogenicity is considered complex and multifactorial, demanding more studies that could elucidate the interaction between host and pathogen. The present study aims to evaluate the virulence of 130S. Enteritidis isolates and 70S. Typhimurium inoculated in one-day-old chicks through the establishment of a pathogenicity index. For each strain, 10 commercial chicks from the Cobb lineage were used. Then, 200µL of a solution containing 2x10⁸ CFU of S. Enteritidis or S. Typhimurium were inoculated in the birds by intraperitoneal via. Mortality and presence of lesions such as aerosaculitis (A), perihepatitis (Ph), pericarditis (Pc), peritonitis (Pt), onfalitis (O) and cellulitis (C) were registered daily for seven days. From the second to the seventh day there was a proportional decrease in the punctuation of the time of death (TD) for each day that the bird had survived. The pathogenicity index was calculated using the following formula: PI = (TD x 5) + A + Ph + Pc + Pt + O + C. The obtainment of the PI of each bacterial sample was achieved by calculating the rate of the ten inoculated birds. Based on the obtained results, it was possible to attribute the pathogenicity value for each strain, which enabled us to classify them in groups of low (27/200), intermediate (95/200) and high (78/200) pathogenicity. The utilization of standards like time of death and presence of septicemic lesions made it possible to determine the pathogenicity rate for each strain. Besides that, the proposed model has presented dramatic differences between the high, intermediate and low pathogenicity groups, which makes this mechanism useful for further classification of strains isolated in poultry farms.

Keywords: birds, Salmonelosis, virulence

RESUMO

Salmonella Enteritidis e Salmonella Typhimurium são responsáveis por imensos prejuízos econômicos ao setor avícola, podendo levar ao desenvolvimento de doença clínica e ao aumento da mortalidade em aves jovens. A patogenicidade de Salmonella é considerada complexa e multifatorial, necessitando de estudos que possam esclarecer a interação entre patógeno e hospedeiro. O presente trabalho teve por objetivo avaliar a virulência de 130 isolados de S. Enteritidis e 70 de S.Typhimurium, inoculadas em pintos de um dia de idade, por meio do estabelecimento de um índice de patogenicidade. Para cada cepa, foram utilizados 10 pintos comerciais da linhagem Cobb. As aves foram inoculadas com 200 μ L de uma solução contendo $2x10^8$ UFC de S. Enteritidis ou S. Typhimurium, por via intraperitoneal. A mortalidade e a presença de lesões como aerossaculite (A), peri-hepatite (Ph), pericardite (Pc), peritonite (Pt), onfalite (O) e celulite (C) foram registradas diariamente durante sete dias. Do segundo ao sétimo dia, houve uma diminuição proporcional da pontuação no tempo de morte (TM) a cada dia em que o animal sobrevivia.

Recebido em 8 de março de 2015

Aceito em 15 de novembro de 2015

E-mail: diane.lima@ufrgs.br

Lima et al.

O cálculo do índice de patogenicidade de cada pintinho inoculado (IP) obedeceu à seguinte fórmula: IP = (TMx5) + A + Ph + Pc + Pt + O + C. Para obtenção do IP de cada amostra, foi realizada a média do IP obtido com as 10 aves inoculadas. Com base nos resultados observados, foi possível atribuir um valor de patogenicidade a cada uma das cepas, permitindo classificá-las em grupos de baixa (27/200), intermediária (95/200) e alta patogenicidade (78/200). A utilização de critérios, como tempo de morte e presença de lesões septicêmicas, permitiu a determinação de um índice de patogenicidade para cada cepa. Além disso, o modelo proposto apresentou diferença significativa entre os grupos de alta, intermediária e baixa patogenicidade, permitindo, assim, a sua aplicação para classificação futura das cepas isoladas em granjas avícolas.

Palavras-chave: aves, salmonelose, virulência

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria from the Salmonella genus, especially Salmonella (S.) Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium are considered one of the main etiological agents causing foodborne diseases in many countries (CDC, 2014; PHAC, 2014). Frequently, poultry products act as reservoirs for these bacteria, being responsible for the human infection through meat and egg contamination (Von Huckert *et al.*, 2009).

Most birds infected by S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium don't show any clinical signs, remaining asymptomatic for long periods (Back, 2004). However, the occurrence of the clinical disease associated with mortality has been observed in birds that are submitted to stress conditions and young broiler chicks that have an immature immune system (Akthar et al., 2013). Studies based on experimental inoculation of these bacteria in the first week of life demonstrate that both S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium cause infections that can lead to development the of acute septicemia, characterized by the presence of lesions such as peritonitis, perihepatitis, onfalitis, pericarditis, tiflitis, aerossaculitis and pneumonia (Desmidt et al., 1997; Dhillon, et al., 2001; Roy et al., 2001). Similarly, high mortality taxes are mentioned after experimental inoculation of those serovars in one-day-old chicks (Smith and Tucker, 1980).

Information about morbidity and mortality related to *Salmonella* could be important to quickly establish the virulence of an isolate in poultry farms as well as to evaluate the possible consequences of the infection (Millemann *et al.*, 2005). Therein, this study aims to evaluate the virulence of 130 strains of *S*. Entertitidis and 70 strains of *S*. Typhimurium through its inoculation in one-day-old chicks. A score was assigned for

each strain according to the number of animals that died during the observation period, the presence of septicemic lesions and the course of time between inoculation and death.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted with previous approval of the Ethical Committee in Animal Usage of Desiderio's Finamor Veterinary Research Institute (DFVRI), situated in Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul State, identified by protocol number 23/2012.

One-day-old chicks from the Cobb lineage from commercial flocks of breeding hens at 58 weeks of age were used for the experiment. The birds were kept in 32°C heated environment and housed in isolated boxes with 30cm of length x 55cm of height x 35cm of breadth. Drinkable water and antibiotic free commercial food were provided *ad libitum*.

One hundred thirty strains of S. Enteritidis and 70 strains of S. Typhimurium from avian sources stored in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, Oxoid® CM225B) broth and 25% glycerol kept frozen at -70°C were used in the experiment. The chosen strains belong to the bacteria acquis of the Center of Diagnosis in Avian Pathology and Research (CDAPR) that is part of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul State and to the Health Avian Laboratory from the DFVRI. To confirm the pureness of the strains, an aliquot from each sample was grown in BHI broth overnight and plated in Xilosis-Lisine-Desoxicolato (XLD, CN 278850 Difco[®]) agar. After a 24h incubation period in 37°C, compatible colonies were submitted to biochemical reactions (Triple-Sugar-Iron Agar - TSI, CM0277; Sulphide-Indol-Motility Agar - SIM, CM0435; Lysine-Iron-Agar - LIA, CM0381; and urea broth,

CM0071, Oxoid[®]). An aliquot from the samples was also selected for the detection of the gene *inv*A through the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), according to Salehi *et al.* (2005).

The strains were defrosted and cultivated overnight in BHI broth at 37°C. Posteriorly the samples were spread on XLD agar and incubated for 24h at 37°C. Three colonies from each sample were selected and removed to 10mL of BHI broth and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The bacterial cell concentration in each culture was estimated through optical density lecture using a spectrophotometer (SP22, Bioespectro[®], Brazil) with 600nm wave-length.

Each strain was inoculated in a group of ten oneday-old chicks associated with a mockinoculated group also formed by ten birds. The chicks were individually inoculated with 200µL of a solution containing approximately $2x \ 10^8$ colony-forming units (CFU) of S. Enteritidis or S. Typhimurium by intraperitoneal route. The mock-inoculated group was inoculated by the same route, with 200µL of 0.85% sterile saline solution. The assay was carried out in eight sets of 250 chicks and in each set 25 strains were evaluated. After reception and accommodation in each set, ten birds were randomly chosen in order to detect the antibodies titles to S. Enteritidis through the ELISA test (IDDEXX SE - Laboratories, Inc. Westbrook, USA) and to detect the presence of *Salmonella* spp. from conventional bacterial isolation (Borsoi *et al.*, 2009). After having 2mL of blood collected through cardiac puncture, the chicks were euthanized through cervical disarticulation. Liver and spleen samples were analyzed through bacterial isolation in order to discard previous contamination by *Salmonella* spp.

The animals were observed every 12h for seven consecutive days and their mortality was registered. The day of death and macroscopic lesions were combined to generate а Pathogenicity Index (PI) based on the methodology developed by Souza (2006) with modifications. The birds that were found dead were necropsied and the presence/absence of the following lesions was observed: aerossaculitis (A); peritonitis (Pt); onfalitis (O); perihepatitis (Ph); pericarditis (Pc) and cellulitis (C). The values "0.833" and "0" were attributed to the presence or absence of lesions. The animals that survived until the seventh day were killed by cervical dislocation, necropsied and evaluated as explained before. The time of death (TD) corresponds to the day that the chick died, which is "1" when it happened in the first day postinoculation (dpi) reducing 0.14 for each day that the animal survived (Table 1). According to Souza (2006), this value is called Surviving Bonus Factor (SBF).

Table 1. Time of death values assigned according to the day of death after the birds' inoculation challenge with *Salmonella* Enteritidis or *Salmonella* Typhimurium

| Day of death | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | S* | |
|--------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|---|
| TD | 1 | 0.86 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0 | |
| O# '' 1'1 | .1 | | | | | | | | Î |

 S^* – surviving chicks on the seventh day post-inoculation.

Liver and spleen from birds that died in the first and in the seventh day post inoculation were collected and inoculated in BHI broth at 37°C for 24 hours. After that, 100µL from this solution were transferred to 10mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (CM866 Oxoid[®]) broth and incubated for another 24h at 42°C. Then, each sample was plated in XLD agar and Brilliant Green Agar (CM263B Oxoid[®]), supplemented with 4% novobiocin, and once again incubated for another 24h at 37°C. Two characteristic colonies were submitted to biochemical tests and to agglutination reaction with somatic polyvalent serum to *Salmonella* spp. (Borsoi *et al.*, 2009). The software GraphPad Prism[®] for Windows 6.3 version was used to analyze data adopting 5% significance level (P<0.05). The results have been submitted to non-parametric statistic tests (Mann-Whitney Test, Kruskal-Wallis, Chi-Square Test and Wilcoxon Signed Rank) because the data did not follow a normal distribution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antibodies for *S*. Enteritidis have not been detected in the serum samples collected before the beginning of the bird challenge. Similarly, lesions have not been found on these chicks during their necropsy, and all the spleen and liver

samples were negative for the *Salmonella* spp. isolation.

The medium values of the pathogenicity index of *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium have not differed significantly among themselves (Table 2). However, when the strains were clustered according to the classificatory index (1 to 10) in low categories (1-4), intermediate (5-7) and high

pathogenicity (8-10), it was possible to detect statistic differences between those groups (Table 3). The only exception occurred between the intermediate and low pathogenicity *S*. Typhimurium strains, which have not shown any statistic difference. It is possible that this result is a consequence of the small number of strains that have been classified in the last group.

Table 2. Average of Pathogenicity Index (PI) from S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium strains inoculated in one-day-old chicks

| | | Number of birds | |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Serotype | Number of strains | in each group | PI* |
| S. Enteritidis | 130 | 1300 | $6.55 \pm 1.97a$ |
| S. Typhimurium | 70 | 700 | $7.08 \pm 1.56a$ |
| | - i i | ' 'C' 1'CC (D 0.000) | |

*The same letters in a column mean there was nosignificant difference (P=0,082).

Table 3. S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium strains inoculated in one-day-old chicks classified in groups of low (L), intermediate (I) and high (H) pathogenicity

| | S. Enter | itidis | S. Typhimurium | | |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| Group | Number of strains | PI* | Number of strain | PI* | |
| L | 24 | $3.46\pm0.66c$ | 3 | 4 ± 0 b,c | |
| Ι | 59 | $6.01\pm0.80b$ | 36 | $6.19\pm0.82b$ | |
| Н | 47 | $8.72\pm0.77a$ | 31 | $8.55\pm0.68a$ | |
| | | | | | |

*Different letters in the same column mean significant difference (P<0.0001).

The grouping of strains in different categories according to the PI demonstrates that there was a variation in their virulence after the intraperitoneal route inoculation. Perhaps these variations are related to the capability that each strain has to survive and replicate in the host tissues, particularly inside the phagocytic lineage cells (Barrow et al., 1987; Thompson et al., 2011). According to Barrow et al. (1994), after intravenous inoculation the primary location of the microorganisms has been observed in organs from the reticuloendothelial system. In these multiplication organs, the bacterial is controversial. However, the macrophages have been traditionally pointed as the main cellular target in the host (Berchieri et al., 2001). This possibility has been suggested by Barrow et al. (1987), who determined the virulence of S. Typhimurium in young birds isolating the bacteria mainly from reticuloendothelial organs just after the infection.

After being captured by phagocytic cells, *Salmonella* inhibits the processing and presentation of antigens. In addition, *Salmonella* produces enzymes that inactivate reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (Ochoa and

Rodríguez, 2005). Those mechanisms are induced by multifactorial virulence systems encoded by genes that are present at pathogenicity islands (Osman *et al.*, 2010; Thompson *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the phenotypic changes in *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium samples tested in this study might be the result of diversity in its genotypes.

Gast and Beard (1989) suggest that the systemic disease development requires more than the simple passage of the microorganism through the intestinal barrier, since a high percentage of *S*. Typhimurium re-isolation was obtained from the spleen and the liver of the inoculated birds, even from the groups with low or no mortality. Possibly, some virulence factors act after the invasion interacting with the organism defenses to determine the infection course and the taxes of elimination of the pathogen from internal organs (Gast and Beard, 1989; Porter and Curtis III, 1997).

Figure 1 presents the lesion frequency that has been observed after the inoculation of *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium in one-day-old chicks. Between the evaluated lesions,

aerossaculitis, peritonitis and onfalitis were the most common occurrences in both studied serotypes. These findings are similar to the ones described by many researches after experimental infection with *S*. Enteritidis (Desmidt *et al.*, 1997; Dhillon *et al.*, 1999; Alisantosa *et al.*, 2000; Dhillon *et al.*, 2001; Akthar *et al.*, 2011; Akthar *et al.*, 2013) and *S*. Typhimurium (Roy *et al.*, 2001) in Specific Pathogens Free (SPF) birds between one and twenty-eight days.

On the other hand, the lesion with lowest frequency between the S. Typhimurium strains was pericarditis. Similarly Dhillon *et al.* (2001) describes that pericarditis was not common in birds that were found dead after the inoculation with different fagotypes of S. Enteritidis. Nevertheless, this finding differs from the ones observed by Roy and contributors (2001) who describe the presence of fibrinous exudate in the pericardium as one of the main macroscopic lesions observed in SPF chicks after oral inoculation of 10^7 CFU of S. Typhimurium.

Regarding S. Enteritidis strains, cellulitis was the less common lesion. This result agrees with what

was expected, since bacteria from the *Salmonella* spp. genus have not been described in literature as an etiologic agent of this pathology under natural conditions (Fallavena, 2009). According to Norton *et al.* (1999), it is indispensable that the skin has injuries for the occurrence of avian cellulitis. Therefore, the occurrence of these lesions in the present study might be related to the inoculation route that was used, which permitted the pathogen to invade and proliferate in the subcutaneous tissue with the production of fibrinous-purulent plaques that were observed.

When the lesions were analyzed individually, no significant differences were found in the median values obtained for both *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium strains (P>0.05). Based on this, it is suggested that both serotypes have the potential to cause septicemic lesions such as the ones caused by the avian specific serotypes. This hypothesis is suggested by other authors who have found the same macroscopic alterations after experimental inoculation with *S*. Enteritidis, *S*. Typhimurium, and *S*. Pullorum (Alisantosa *et al.*, 2000; Dhillon *et al.*, 2001; Roy *et al.*, 2001).



Figure 1. Lesion frequency presented by S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium strains after inoculation in one-day-old chicks.

Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., v.68, n.2, p.257-264, 2016

Although the medium mortality percentage was bigger in the S. Typhimurium isolates (74.9%) than in the S. Enteritidis ones (69.5%), there was no significant statistical difference between them (P>0.05). Huge variation in mortality taxes induced by S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium been reported after experimental have inoculation in one-day-old chicks. Roy et al. (2001) demonstrate a mortality percentage of 33.33% for *S*. Typhimurium, 12.5% for *S*. Enteritidis phage type (PT) 4 and 8.5% for PT 8. Osman and contributors (2010) have also observed highest mortality caused by the same serovar, but with higher percentage values: 88% for S. Typhimurium and 72% for the S. Enteritidis isolates. On the other hand, Milleman et al. (2005) reported mortality between 0 and 36% for S. Typhimurium strains and between 6 and 17% for S. Enteritidis, demonstrating that mortality is not associated only with the serovar. Based on this information and on the results that have been obtained in this study, it is suggested that virulence may not be determined only by Salmonella's spp. serotype or phage type, but specially by individual characteristics of each strain (Smith and Tucker, 1980). Moreover, the severity or absence of clinical signs are related to many factors such as dose, inoculation route, age, lineage and immune state of the host (Gast and Beard, 1989; Gorham et al., 1991; Desmidt et al., 1997; Akthar et al., 2011; Akthar et al., 2013).

In the present work, *Salmonella* spp. was recovered from all samples collected from the

birds that died in the first 24h post-inoculation, wherein a significant reduction was found in the re-isolation rates in bacteria collected from chicks that died in the seventh day postinoculation (Table 4). Similarly, Gorham et al. (1991) have reported the recovery of S. Enteritidis from all the tissues collected (lungs, liver, spleen, reproductive organs and brain) from birds that died during the experiment. However, the percentage of re-isolation in animals that were euthanized in the seventh day post-inoculation varied between 60% and 80%. The reason why there is a lower Salmonella persistence in the surviving animals is not clear. After evaluating the role of the immune humoral system in the S. Enteritidis fagotype 4 infection, Desmidt et al. (1998) have observed a lower quantity of the pathogen present in the bursectomized birds during the first weeks after inoculation, despite the lack of antibodies. According to these authors, this conclusion indicates that other important mechanisms are involved in Salmonella suppression, as the immunity mediated by cells. Beal et al. (2006) obtained similar results after challenging bursectomized birds with S. Typhimurium, from what they concluded that cells B and antibodies are not required for the elimination of Salmonella in primary infections. According to Bumstead and Barrow (1993) the main responsible for bird's resistance against salmonellosis is the phagocytic system's ability to contain the disease in the initial phase.

Table 4. Re-isolation percentage of *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Typhimurium observed in the first and in the seventh day post-inoculation in broiler chicks

| | Recovery of Salmonella from liver and spleen | | |
|-----|--|----------------|--|
| DPI | S. Enteritidis** | S. Typhimurium | |
| 1 | 100(518/518)a | 100(313/313)a | |
| 7* | 94.9(376/396)b | 90.3(158/175)b | |

* Surviving chicks on the seventh day post-inoculation.

** Values followed by different letters mean a significant difference at5% level of significance.

CONCLUSIONS

The utilization of standards such as time of death and presence of septicemic lesions after the inoculation of different isolates from S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in one-day-old chicks allowed the determination of a pathogenicity score for each strain. Besides that, the proposed model has presented significant differences between the high, intermediate and low pathogenicity groups, allowing the use of this mechanism for further classification of strains isolated in poultry farms.

REFERENCES

ALISANTOSA, B.; SHIVAPRASAD, H.L.; DHILLON, A.S. *et al.* Pathogenicity of Salmonella enteritidis phage types 4, 8 and 23 in specific pathogen free chicks. *Avian Pathol.*, v.29, p.583-592, 2000.

AKHTAR, A.; BEJO, M.H.; ZAKARIA, Z. et al. Pathogenicity of Salmonella Enteritidis Phage Types 6A and 7 in experimentally infected chicks. J. Anim. Plant Sci., v.23, p.1290-1296, 2013.

AKHTAR, A.; BEJO, M.H.; OMAR, A.R. *et al.* Pathogenicity of *Salmonella Enteritidis* phage types 3A and 35 after experimental infection of White Leg Horn Chicks. *J. Anim.Plant Sci.*, v.21, p.770-777, 2011.

BACK, A. *Manual de doenças das aves*. Cascavel: Coluna do Saber, 2004. 222p.

BARROW, P.A.; HUGGINS, M.B.; LOVELL, M.A. Host specificity of *Salmonella* infection in chickens and mice is expressed in vivo primarily at the level of the reticuloendothelial system. *Infect. Immun.*, v.62, p.4602-4610, 1994.

BARROW, P.A.; HUGGINS, M.B.; LOVELL, M.A.; SIMPSON, J.M. Observations on the pathogenesis of experimental *Salmonella* Typhimurium infection in chickens. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, v.42, p.194-199, 1987.

BEAL, R.K.; POWERS, C.; DAVISON, T.F. *et al.* Clearance of enteric *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium in chickens Is independent of B-cell function. *Infect. and Immun.*, v.74, p.1442-1444, 2006.

BERCHIERI JÚNIOR, A.; WIGLEY, P.; PAGE, K. *et al.* Further studies on vertical transmission and persistence of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis phage type 4 in chickens. *Avian Pathol.*, v.30, p.297-310, 2001.

BORSOI, A.; SANTIN, E.; SANTOS, L.R. *et al.* Inoculation of newly hatched broiler chicks with two Brazilian isolates of *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains with different virulence gene profiles, antimicrobial resistance, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns to intestinal changes evaluation. *Poult. Sci.*, v.88, p.750-758, 2009. BUMSTEAD, N.; BARROW, P. Resistance to Salmonella gallinarum, S. pullorum and S. enteritidis in Inbred Lines of Chickens. *Avian Dis.*, v.37, p.189-193, 1993.

DESMIDT, M.; DUCATELLE, R.; MAST, J. et al. Role of the humoral immune system in Salmonella enteritidis phage type four infection in chickens. Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology, v.63, p.355-367, 1998.

DESMIDT, M.; DUCATELLE, R.; HAESEBROUCK, F. Pathogenesis of *Salmonella* enteritidis phago type four after experimental infection of young chickens. *Vet. Microbiol.*, v.56, p.99-109, 1997.

DHILLON, A.S.; ALISANTOSA, B.; SHIVAPRASAD, H.L. *et al.* Pathogenicity of salmonella enteritidis phage types 4, 8 and 23 in broiler chicks. *Avian Dis.*, v.43, p.506-515, 1999.

DHILLON, A.S.; SHIVAPRASAD, H.L.; ROY, P. *et al.* Pathogenicity of environmental origin salmonellas in specific pathogen-free chicks. *Poult. Sci.*, v.80, p.1323-1328, 2001.

FALLAVENA, L.C.B. Fisiopatologia do sistema tegumentar. In: BERCHIERI JÚNIOR, A.; SILVA, E.N.; DI FÁBIO, J. *et al.* (Eds.). *Doenças das aves.* 2.ed. Campinas: FACTA, 2009. p.191-211.

GAST, R.K.; BEARD, C.W. Age-Related changes in the persistence and pathogenicity of *salmonella* typhimurium in chicks. *Poult. Sci.*, v.68, p.1454-1460, 1989.

GORHAM, S.L.; KADAVIL, K.; LAMBERT, H. *et al.* Persistence of salmonella enteritidis in young chickens. *Avian Pathol.*, v.20, p.433-437, 1991.

MILLEMANN, Y.; MOULINE, C.; LAFONT, J.P. *et al.* Bacteraemia assays in chickens as a model for the evaluation of the virulence of *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis strains. *Rev. Méd. Vét.*, v.156, p.70-76, 2005.

NORTON, R.A.; MACKLIN, K.S.; McMURTREY, B.L. Evaluation of scratches as an essential element in the development of avian cellulitis in broilers chickens. *Avian Dis.*, v.43, p.320-325, 1999.

OCHOA, I.M.F; RODRÍGUEZ, A.V. Mecanismos de patogenicidad de *Salmonella* sp. *Rev. Latinoam. Microbiol.*, v.47, p.25-42, 2005.

OSMAN, K.M.; MOUSSA, I.M.I.; YOUSEF, A.M.M. *et al.* Pathogenicity of some avian *salmonella* serovars in two different animal models: spf-chickens and balb/c mice. *Environ. We Int. J. Sci. Technol.*, v.5, p.65-78, 2010.

PORTER, S.B.; CURTIS III, R. Effect of *inv* mutations on salmonella virulence and colonization in 1-day-old white leghorn chicks. *Avian Dis.*, v.41, p.45-57, 1997.

ROY, P.; DHILLON, A.S.; SHIVAPRASAD, H.L. *et al.* Pathogenicity of different serogroups of avian salmonellae in specific-pathogen-free chickens. *Avian Dis.*, v.45, p.922-937, 2001.

SALEHI, T.Z.; MAHZOUNIEH, M.; SAEEDZADEH, A. Detection of invA gene in isolated Salmonella from broilers by PCR method. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, v.4, p.557-55, 2005.

SALMONELLA enterica spp.: pathogen safety data sheets. PHAC, Available in: http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/lab-bio/res/psds-ftss/salmonela-ent-eng.php>. Accessed in: 27 abr. 2014.

SMITH, H.W.; TUCKER, J.F. The viulence of Salmonella strains for chickens: their excretion by infected chickens. *J. Hyg.*, v.84, p.479-488, 1980.

SOUZA, G.F. Estabelecimento de uma nova metodologia para o cálculo do índice de patogenicidade em amostras de Escherichia coli provenientes da produção de frango de corte. 2006. 47f. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciências Veterinárias) - Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS.

THOMPSON, J.A.; LIU, M.; HELAINET, S. *et al.* Contribution of the PhoP/Q regulon to survival and replication of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in macrophages. *Microbiology*, v.157, p.2084-2093, 2011.

VON RÜCKERT D.A.S.; PINTO, P.S.A.; SANTOS, B.M. *et al.* Pontos críticos de controle de Salmonella spp. no abate de frangos. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*, v.61, p.326-330, 2009.

WHAT is salmonella? Atlanta: CDC, 2014. Available in: http://www.cdc.gov/salmonela/general/. Accessed in: 27 abr. 2014.