

Available online at http://www.anpad.org.br/bar

BAR, Curitiba, v. 5, n. 4, art. 1, p. 260-274, Oct./Dec. 2008



The Relationship between Logistics Sophistication and Drivers of the Outsourcing of Logistics Activities

Peter Wanke *

E-mail address: peter@coppead.ufrj.br COPPEAD – Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

Rebecca Arkader

E-mail address: rebecca@coppead.ufrj.br COPPEAD – Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

Maria Fernanda Hijjar

E-mail address: nanda@coppead.ufrj.br COPPEAD – Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

A strong link has been established between operational excellence and the degree of sophistication of logistics organization, a function of factors such as performance monitoring, investment in Information Technology [IT] and the formalization of logistics organization, as proposed in the Bowersox, Daugherty, Dröge, Germain and Rogers (1992) Leading Edge model. At the same time, shippers have been increasingly outsourcing their logistics activities to third party providers. This paper, based on a survey with large Brazilian shippers, addresses a gap in the literature by investigating the relationship between dimensions of logistics organization construct were first investigated. Results from factor analysis led to the identification of six dimensions of logistics sophistication. By means of multivariate logistics organization, to certain drivers of the outsourcing of logistics organization, to certain drivers of the outsourcing of logistics organization, to certain drivers of the outsourcing of logistics organization, to certain drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities of Brazilian shippers, such as cost savings. These results indicate the possibility of segmenting shippers according to characteristics of their logistics organization, which may be particularly useful to logistics service providers.

Key words: logistics sophistication; logistics outsourcing; drivers of logistics outsourcing.

Received 02 October 2007; received in revised form 11 August 2008.

Copyright © 2008 Brazilian Administration Review. All rights reserved, including rights for translation. Parts of this work may be quoted without prior knowledge on the condition that the source is identified.

^{*} Corresponding author: Peter Wanke

COPPEAD Graduate School of Business, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rua Paschoal Lemme 355, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 91941-918, Brazil.

INTRODUCTION

The achievement of logistics excellence, i.e., the ability of a company to obtain at the same time low costs and improved service levels has been the object of extensive research over the last years (Bowersox, Closs, & Stank, 1999).

In addition, there has been a trend since the 1980s to outsource non core logistics activities (Sink & Langley, 1997). In fact, companies have been turning increasingly to third party logistics providers (3PL) to carry out their logistics activities, both in the US (Knemeyer & Murphy, 2004; Lieb & Randall, 1996; Rabinovich, Windle, Dresner, & Corsi, 1999) and in Europe (Laarhoven, Berglund, & Peters, 2000). The logistics services market has been growing steadily worldwide. Annual expenditure by US shippers represented 40 percent of their logistics budget in 2003, compared with between 19 and 30 percent in the four previous years, and 80 percent were using outsourced logistics to help manage overseas supply chains (Morris, 2004). The same study reported an expected industry revenue growth of 14 percent over the coming years (Morris, 2004). A more recent study reports impressive figures for the percentage of outsourced activities in the logistics budget of shippers in North America (48 percent), Asia-Pacific (63 percent), Western Europe (64 percent) and Latin America (39 percent) (Capgemini, 2006). In fact, with a certain delay regarding other contexts such as the US or Europe, Brazilian shippers have been intensifying their use of outsourced logistics functions since the midnineties (Figueiredo, Fleury, & Wanke, 2003). This increased demand is being met by an extraordinary growth in the third-party logistics service provider (also known as contract logistics) industry: its annual turnover is estimated to have grown from US\$ 1 billion to about US\$ 9 billion between 1997 and 2005 (Tecnologística, 2006).

Literature reveals several reasons for the outsourcing of logistics activities, mostly linked to achieving cost reduction on the one hand, and flexibility on the other (Boyson, Corsi, Dresner, & Rabinovitch, 1999; Londe & Maltz, 1992). However, different shippers may opt to outsource their logistics activities due to different motives, according to their logistics organizational profile (Daugherty & Droge, 1997; Selviarides & Spring, 2007). Therefore, the degree of sophistication of a company's logistics function may be related to the motives it has to outsource logistics activities. For instance, it may be expected that shippers with less sophisticated logistics organizations are motivated by cost reduction factors, whereas companies with more sophisticated logistics organizations are driven to outsource in order to improve flexibility or to expand their market coverage. The objective of this paper is to bridge a gap in the literature in terms of clarifying this link by investigating the relationship between the dimensions behind the sophistication of the logistics function of manufacturing shippers and their motivation to outsource logistics activities. It therefore aims to contribute to the literature on logistics outsourcing by linking different sophistication factors to the reasons why shippers seek to outsource their logistics activities. This is done mainly by answering the following research questions: (1) what are the main components of the dimensions that characterize the sophistication of the logistics organization? and (2) is there a relationship between dimensions of logistics sophistication and the drivers of logistics outsourcing? In addition, the paper has considerable relevance for practice, as its results should help providers to segment their customers according to the sophistication and characteristics of their logistics functions by means of a better understanding of what drives different categories of shippers to outsource their logistics activities.

The following section reviews the conceptual background for the research, focusing on the dimensions considered in previous studies to determine logistics sophistication and the motives driving shippers to outsource logistics activities. Research questions and methodology are detailed in the third section, followed by the presentation and discussion of the results. The concluding section indicates the main contributions of the paper and suggests future issues to promote further understanding of the phenomena.

CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

The Sophistication of the Logistics Function

The concept of logistics sophistication stems from the Leading Edge Best Practice Model proposed in the seminal work of Bowersox, Daugherty, Dröge, Germain and Rogers (1992). This model has inspired a rich stream of research (among others, Chee-Chuong & Chew-Been, 1999; Closs, Godsby, & Clinton, 1997; Daugherty, Stank, & Rogers, 1992; Lavalle & Fleury, 2000; Stank, Rogers, & Daugherty, 1994; Rogers, Daugherty, & Stank, 1992). In this model, internal logistics initiatives, here called logistics sophistication, were made up of three dimensions: the degree of organizational formalization, the use of information technology, and the use of indicators to monitor performance. The degree of organizational formalization of the logistics function relates to the status of the chief logistics executive in the firm's organizational structure. Traditionally, the responsibility for logistics activities in the firm was fragmented and dispersed (Amstel & Starreveld, 1993) and the hierarchical level of functional managers was low (Bowersox & Closs, 1996), implying a low degree of coordination. A higher level of sophistication in the logistics function would in part reflect a relevant position of the chief logistics manager in the top ranks of the firm, which would facilitate the integrated management of logistics activities and more efficient choices in the function's typical tradeoffs (Daugherty *et al.*, 1992; Lambert & Stock, 1998).

Also according to this model, the use of information technology to collect, analyze and transfer large quantities of information impacts the swiftness of decision making in the logistics function and, as a consequence, leads to shorter operational cycles and less traumatic adaptations (Bowersox & Daugherty, 1995).

Finally, cost and service performance monitoring is concerned with the systematic control of logistics activities looking at continuous improvement. The systematic use of performance indicators linked to costs and to customer service has been shown to lead to a better understanding of the function as a whole and to positively impact system flexibility (Lavalle & Fleury, 2000; Stank *et al.*, 1994).

Drivers of the Outsourcing of Logistics Activities

There has been extensive research over the past years on the possible drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities. In order to identify the main drivers of logistics outsourcing, a thorough literature search was conducted of previous studies in the main journal databases (such as Proquest, Science Direct, Emerald and Ebsco). Results are shown below in Table 1.

Article	Method	Locus	Drivers Identified
Buck (1988)	theoretical	UK	flexibility; concentrate on core business
Cavinato (1989)	theoretical	US	cost reduction
Fernie (1989)	interview survey w/ distribution directors from major multiple retail groups	US	service; use of assets; need for specialized expertise
Sheffi (1990)	Theoretical	-	focus on core business; better transportation solution (service); cost savings; technical expertise and IT; better equipped logistics services
Bardi & Tracey (1991)	survey with members of the CLM– multi industry	US	cost reduction; need for specialized expertise; asset reduction; expanding workload

Table 1: Literature on Main Drivers of Logistics Activities Outsourci

Article	Method	Locus	Drivers Identified
Londe and Maltz (1992)	survey with members of the CLM and WERC – multi industry	US	space requirements; change in logistics management; labor costs; new markets and products; instituting a quality program;
			M&A
Szymankiewicz	survey with large	UK	increase flexibility; improve service; reduce
(1994) Pao and Voung	shippers	US and	focus on core activities: service: cost
(1994)	personal interviews with 15 Fortune 500 shippers	Europe	savings; downsizing; risk and control; systems and IT; market intelligence
Sink, Langley and Gibson (1996)	focus group with multi industry shipper representatives	US	corporate costs/headcount reduction; product/market line expansion, especially internationally; increasing customer demands; need to preserve capital or reduce risk
Lieb and Randall (1996)	survey with Fortune 500 shippers	US	cost reduction; access to data; improve operations; improve customer services; focus on core competence; flexibility
Sink and Langley (1997)	survey, multi industry, large shippers	US and Europe	cost reduction; increase flexibility; improve service; reduce headcount; focus on core competence; reduce capital expenditures; availability of expertise; IT
Razzaque and Sheng (1998)	theoretical	_	expansion to foreign markets; use of JIT practices and operations complexity; new systems and technology; flexibility; retrenchment to core business; M&A
Bhatnagar, Sohal and Millen (1999)	Survey, multi-industry, list of registered companies in Singapore	Singapore	cost savings; flexibility; focus on core business; customer satisfaction (service); employee morale; productivity improvement; access to up-to-date technology
Boyson <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Survey with <u>Transportation and</u> <u>Distribution</u> subscribers	US	cost savings or revenue enhancing potential; focus on core business; solving a problem area; redesign or reengineering of supply chain
Laarhoven et al. (2000)	interview based survey with large shippers	Europe	cost reduction; service improvement; strategic flexibility; focus on core activities; change implementation
Wilding and Juriado (2004)	survey with consumer goods companies	Europe	tap into higher competences; flexibility; cost savings; focus on core business; avoiding investment; expansion to new markets
Sahay and Mohan (2006)	survey with 2002 top 500 organizations in India	India	cost reduction; core competence; service competence; improved return of assets; improved inventory turns; improved productivity
Sohail, Bhatnagar and Sohal (2006)	survey, multi industry	Malaysia	time saving; cost saving; improved service

(conclusion) Table 1: Literature on Main Drivers of Logistics Activities Outsourcing

As shown in Table 1, there seems to be a consensus that the key drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities by shippers are cost reduction and service improvement, as well as a focus on core activities and flexibility, leading to the identification the first four relevant drivers of logistics activities considered in this study - cost reduction; improved logistics service provided to the customer; focus on core competences; and improved flexibility in logistics operations. Previous literature, as indicated, also led to the identification of six other relevant drivers included in the study -

investment reduction; increased control of logistics activities; higher efficiency in execution; knowhow for new logistics solutions; enhanced use of information technology; and market expansion.

METHODOLOGY

In order to achieve the objectives of this paper, and taking into consideration the constructs and variables revealed by the literature as reviewed in the preceding section, two research questions were addressed: (1) the identification of the main components of the dimensions that characterize the sophistication of logistics organization construct, based on variables measuring logistics organizational formalization, the use of information technology, and performance measurement (Bowersox *et al.*, 1992); and (2) the relationship of logistics sophistication dimensions with drivers of logistics outsourcing.

An empirical study based on data collected in 2005/2006 in a survey with large manufacturing shippers in Brazil was undertaken to answer the proposed questions. The survey population consisted of the 340 manufacturing companies included in the Exame 500 Melhores e Maiores list, a Brazilian annual magazine listing similar to Fortune 500. All companies were contacted by telephone in order to verify whether they outsourced logistics activities and were willing to participate in the research (and in this case to obtain the name of the person involved with decision making to whom the survey instrument would be sent). Questionnaire items were developed based on previous literature and were initially tested by means of in-depth interviews with three large Brazilian companies and focused on the most important logistics outsourcing process that these companies had experienced. The resulting document was then screened by five academics and practitioners in order to identify possible problems and inconsistencies. As a result, minor modifications were introduced. A pre-test was conducted with five shippers in order to validate both structure and content. Based on observations from these pilot respondents a few questions were removed from the original questionnaire.

The electronic questionnaire was then sent out by e-mail to a mailing list of 218 shippers that had agreed during the preliminary telephone contacts to participate in the research. The final sample considered in this study consists of the 93 shippers that returned the questionnaires (a response rate of 42.6 percent of questionnaires sent, representing 27.4 percent of the population of manufacturing companies in the *Exame* listing). The inexistence of non-response bias was verified by cross-tabulation of the frequency distributions of the responses in terms of industry in the sample against corresponding data from the population. Information on the sample is summarized in Table 2.

Type of		Sample		Sample	Population
Industry ^a	Industry	(Respondents)	Population	Demographics	Demographics
	Automotive	15	37	16.1%	10.9%
	Electrical Appliances	7	31	7.5%	9.1%
	Technology	4	25	4.3%	7.4%
Durable Goods	Apparel	3	12	3.2%	3.5%

Table 2: Characterization of Companies in the Sample

Type of		Sample		Sample	Population
Industry ^a	Industry	(Respondents)	Population	Demographics	Demographics
	Food	11	48	11.8%	14.1%
	Beverage	6	13	6.5%	3.8%
	Tobacco	1	3	1.1%	0.9%
Non-durable	Health-care	4	14	4.3%	4.1%
Goods	Printing and Publishing	3	26	3.2%	7.6%
	Chemical	16	55	17.2%	16.2%
	Pulp and Paper	6	17	6.5%	5.0%
	Plastics and Rubber	1	8	1.1%	2.4%
Intermediate	Steel	11	39	11.8%	11.5%
Goods	Construction Equipment	5	12	5.4%	3.5%
	Total	93	340	100.0%	100.0%
Tot	al Durable Goods	29	105	31.2%	30.9%
Total	Total Non-durable goods		104	26.9%	30.6%
Total	Intermediate Goods	39	131	41.9%	38.5%
	Grand Total	93	340	100.0%	100.0%

(conclusion) Table 2: Characterization of Companies in the Sample

(a) No significant differences between sample and population distributions were determined using Kendall's Tau-B, Kendall's Tau-C and Gamma.

Nineteen previously identified variables (Bowersox *et al.*, 1992) possibly related to logistics sophistication of shippers were investigated in order to order to answer the first question. The variables, their operationalization and scales are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Characterization of Variables Related to Logisti	cs Sophistication
---	-------------------

Construct Component	Variable	Scale	Type of
			Scale
Organizational	1- hierarchical level of chief	5 to 1 scale according to	Ordinal
Formalization	logistics executive	usual Brazilian management	
		position denominations,	
		where 5 corresponds to the	
		topmost management level	

Construct Component	Variable	Scale	Type of
			Scale
Use of IT (software and	2- vehicle tracking	1 = yes	nominal
decision support systems)	3- routing	0 = no	
	4- delivery scheduling		
	5- radio frequency		
	6- bar coding		
	7- separation		
	8- address systems		
	9- freight auditing		
	10- sales forecast		
	11- purchase scheduling		
	12- supplier management		
	13- loading status monitoring		
	14- EDI		
	15- ERP systems		
	16- inventory management in		
	customer facilities		
Performance Monitoring	17- frequency of monitoring	5= daily/weekly; 4=	ordinal
	related to costs	monthly/bimonthly; 3= each	
	18- frequency of monitoring	semester; 2= yearly; 1= no	
	related to service level	monitoring	
	19- Quantity of monitored	Numeric (≥ 0)	ratio
	indicators		

(conclusion) Table 3: Characterization of Variables Related to Logistics Sophistication

In order to extract dimensions of logistics sophistication the observations for the 19 variables collected in the 93 questionnaires were submitted to factor analysis with Varimax standardized rotation. Results for dimensions are shown in the following section.

The comprehensive review of previous studies (cf. Table 1 above) on the outsourcing of logistics activities led to the identification of ten variables identified as main drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities. The drivers of logistics outsourcing used for addressing the second research question are characterized in Table 4.

Construct Component	Va	riable	Scale	Туре	of
				Scale	
Shipper drivers of logistics	1-	focus on core business	1 = yes,	Nominal	
outsourcing	2-	reduce costs	(agree)	(dummy)	
	3-	reduce investment in assets	0= no,		
	4-	increase levels of logistics service	(disagree)		
	5-	increase control of logistics activities			
	6-	acquire more flexibility in logistics			
		operations			
	7-	bring more efficiency in the execution of			
		operational activities			
	8-	bring more know-how for the generation			
		of new logistics solutions			
	9-	improvement in used IT			
	10-	expand markets			

 Table 4: Characterization of Variables Related to Drivers of Logistics Outsourcing

The second research question, the existence of a significant relationship between components of the dimensions of logistics sophistication and drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities, was addressed by means of simple logistical regression analysis (Hair, Anderson, & Tatham, 1998). This analysis has advantages over discriminant analysis in the separation of two groups of data (to present or not a certain driver) as a function of a certain criterion (dimension of sophistication of logistics organization), due to its superior flexibility. Its use and interpretation are analogous to simple linear regression analysis (Kleinbaum, Kupper, & Muller, 1998), and there is no need to ensure the normality of data covariance matrices (Hair *et al.*, 1998). A .10 percent level of significance was considered acceptable in this type of mainly exploratory logistics research (Mentzer & Flint, 1997).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results leading to the identification of dimensions underlying the logistics sophistication constructs based on survey results with Brazilian shippers are shown in Table 5. They consist of those with load factors greater than .50 and eigenvalues greater than 1. According to Tabachnik and Fidell (2001), only load factors greater than .50 (25% overlap in variance) should be interpreted and, in such cases, there are grounds to indicate a good measure of the factor. In the case of the present analysis, six factors represent different dimensions of sophistication of logistics organization.

Factor 1 -	Factor 2 –	Factor 3 –	Factor 4 –	Factor 5 –	Factor 5 –
Sophistication	Sophistication	Sophistication	Sophistication	Sophistication	Sophistication
in Tracking	in Supplier	in Materials	in Performance	in Operations	in
[STR]	Relationship	Handling	Monitoring	Scheduling	Organizational
	[SSR]	[SMH]	[SPM]	[SOS]	Formalization
					[SOF]
Radio frequency	Purchase	Separation	Performance in	Routing (0.51;	Hierarchical
(0.77; 0.46;	scheduling	(0.73; 0.38;	cost (0.67; 0.33;	0.21; 0.50)	level of chief
0.74)	(0.66; 0.30;	0.85)	0.60)	ERP systems	logistics
Bar coding	0.69)	Address	Performance in	(0.59; 0.29;	executive (0.89;
(0.70; 0.19;	Supplier	systems (0.85;	service (0.77;	0.69)	1.13; 0.94)
0.68)	management	0.69; 0.83)	0.50; 0.66)		
Loading status	(0.74; 0.53;				
monitoring	0.68)				
(0.68; 0.26;	EDI (0.52; 0.05;				
0.56)	0.32)				
	•	Percent of variance	explained by facto	r	
13.8%	12.0%	9.7%	8.5%	6.8%	6.6%

Table 5: Results of the Extraction of Factors of Logistics Sophistication

KMO = .626; Chi-square = 305.409 (sig. = .000); Letters in brackets are the coding of the dimensions for the purposes of further analyses in this paper. Figures in brackets indicate respectively the factor loading; the factor coefficient for the standardized variables; and variable commonalities.

It was therefore possible to identify the six dimensions indicated in Table 5, corresponding to each of the extracted factors. The dimensions of sophistication calculated on the basis of the standardized variables represent a tested and valid scale for measuring logistics sophistication, as indicated by the variates below:

- . STR = 0.46 [radio-frequency] + 0.19 [bar coding] + 0.26 [loading status monitoring]
- . SSR = 0.30 [purchase scheduling] + 0.53 [supplier management] + 0.05 [EDI]
- . SMH = 0.33 [separation] + 0.50 [address systems]
- . SPM = 0.33 [cost monitoring] + 0.50 [service monitoring]
- . SOS = 0.21 [routing] + 0.29 [ERP systems]
- . SOF = 1.13 [hierarchical level of top logistics executive]

Results in terms of frequency of 'yes' answers for the drivers of logistics outsourcing in the sample of shippers are shown in Table 6.

Pos	sible driver of logistics outsourcing	% of affirmative answers	Rank
1-	focus on core business	76.3	2
2-	reduce costs	84.9	1
3-	reduce investment in assets	66.7	4
4-	increase levels of logistics service	57.0	5
5-	increase levels of control of logistics activities	29.0	9
6-	acquire more flexibility in logistics operations	67.7	3
7-	bring more efficiency to logistics operations	44.1	6
8-	bring more know-how for new logistics ideas	35.5	7
9-	improve used ITs	30.1	8
10-	expand markets	21.5	10

Table 6: Frequency of Affirmative Answers for Drivers of Logistics Outsourcing

These results are consistent with previous studies (for instance, Boyson *et al.*, 1999; Szymankiewicz, 1994), but tend to show that the important drivers for over 50 percent of the sample – cost reduction, focus on core business, flexibility, investment reduction, and improvement of service levels offered to customers – are linked to basic cost/service considerations. More sophisticated concerns, on the other hand, such as logistics control, innovation and improvement in IT technologies, as well as market expansion, are much less frequently mentioned.

Results for the logistical regression analysis of the relationship between the dimensions of sophistication of the logistics organization and drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities are shown in Table 7 below.

Drivers of	Mod	lel	SS	SR	SM	ſΗ	SP	М	SC	DS	SC)F	ST	'R
logistics	Chi	Sig.	В	Sig.										
outsourcing	square													
1 (8%)	5.33	.50	.39	.15	(.43)	.19	.44	.11	.16	.54	(.04)	.89	(.02)	.93
2 (18%)	10.37	.11	.34	.27	.18	.61	(.56)	.08	.08	.80	(.82)	.04	(.12)	.73
3 (8%)	5.37	.50	(.00)	1.00	(.12)	.65	(.08)	.75	.45	.06	.18	.44	(.12)	.64
4 (6%)	4.62	.59	(.23)	.35	.31	.22	.29	.21	(.15)	.52	.03	.88	.03	.90
5 (3%)	2.28	.89	.14	.59	.27	.33	.16	.58	(.09)	.71	(.00)	.99	(.27)	.32
6 (18%)	12.50	.05	(.51)	.08	.55	.05	.15	.54	(.30)	.27	.66	.02	.28	.32
7 (7%)	4.70	.58	.06	.80	.21	.42	.09	.69	(.41)	.07	.14	.55	.19	.44
8 (17%)	12.65	.05	(.06)	.81	.78	.01	(.48)	.07	(.16)	.52	.38	.12	(.42)	.15
9 (14%)	10.00	.12	(.33)	.23	.85	.01	.16	.52	(.04)	.87	.17	.47	(.02)	.95
10(30%)	19.83	.00	(.15)	.62	.23	.48	.13	.67	.42	.19	1.37	.00	.06	.85

 Table 7: Relationships between Sophistication Dimensions (Factors) and Drivers of Logistics

 Outsourcing

Numbers in the first column relate to drivers in Table 4 and percentages in brackets correspond to Nagelkerke's R^2 ; the figures in bold represent significant relationship results.

The driver **expand markets** is explained by **organizational formalization**, and higher levels of organizational formalization are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this driver. Complex supply chains have enhanced the role of logistics managers, who seem to recognize the need to outsource logistics activities so as to tap into the knowledge on customs and infrastructure in target markets (Razzaque & Sheng, 1998).

The driver acquire more flexibility in logistics operations is explained by supplier relationships, materials handling and organizational formalization. Coefficient signs indicate that shippers with higher levels of organizational formalization in logistics, intensive use of IT in materials handling and less sophistication in their relationship with suppliers are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this driver. As pointed out by Sink and Langley (1997), purchasing services is more complex and uncertain than the acquisition of products. The sophistication in the logistics organizational formalization seems to indicate that shippers are aware of the flexibility benefits that may be acquired through logistics outsourcing, while at the same time they are still learning to establish the necessary supplier relationships with 3PL providers.

The driver **bring more know-how for the generation of new logistics solutions** is simultaneously explained by **materials handling** and **performance monitoring**, with coefficient signs indicating that shippers with intensive use of IT in materials handling and low levels of performance monitoring are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this driver. The first relationship seems to be quite straightforward, indicating that shippers turn to logistics service providers that can complement their own expertise in significant areas, in particular the increasingly important use of IT solutions.

The driver **reduce costs** is explained by **organizational formalization** and **performance monitoring**, with coefficient signs indicating that shippers with low levels of organizational formalization and performance monitoring are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this very basic driver of the outsourcing of logistics activities. Previous literature indicates that cost reduction is the most prevalent reason for shippers in different industries to engage in the outsourcing of logistics activities (Sahay & Mohan, 2006; Wilding & Juriado, 2004). Therefore, the association of this driver with low levels of sophistication, especially in terms of the importance of logistics in the organization and of the degree of performance monitoring, as uncovered here, appears to be justified.

The driver **improvement in used IT** is explained only by sophistication in **materials handling**, and the coefficient sign indicates that shippers with intensive use of IT to handle materials are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this driver. Again, this result is not surprising, as both variables in the **materials handling** sophistication factor are related to the use of software and decision support systems in logistics activities.

The driver **reduce investment in assets** is explained only by sophistication in **scheduling of operations**, with a coefficient sign that indicates that shippers with low levels of adoption of IT for scheduling their operations are significantly related to a higher proportion of affirmative answers to this driver. Even though no readily apparent rationale for this finding can be found in previous literature, it may be an indication that shippers who are not using ERP or routing systems outsource most of their logistics operations and, therefore, are driven by the perspective of reducing investment in assets.

These results do not seem to conflict with existing logistics outsourcing literature. They tend to show that shippers with higher levels of logistics organizational formalization, i.e., more sophisticated in terms of logistics organization, are motivated to outsource in order to acquire more operational flexibility, compensating for weaker supplier relations. This, in turn, may allow them to expand their markets. On the other hand, as expected, shippers with lower levels of logistics organizational formalization tend to be driven by more basic and frequent logistics concerns, such as cost and asset investment reduction. It is also interesting to observe that sophistication in the use of materials handling information technology seems to lead shippers to outsource logistics activities both to improve the technologies they use and to bring in technology that may represent innovative solutions to their logistics operations in a rapidly expanding market. Even though both these drivers were not strongly chosen by surveyed shippers, it points to an apparent technology focus in a subset of Brazilian shippers.

There is less a clear picture in terms of the relationships of drivers of logistics outsourcing and other components of logistics sophistication. Outsourcing in order to focus on core business, the second most frequently mentioned driver, does not appear to be influenced by any component of logistics sophistication, as well as improvement in logistics service, the fifth most frequently mentioned driver of outsourcing. One would, in fact, assume that shippers with more sophisticated logistics organizations would be induced to outsource by concerns with the need to provide better service to customers.

CONCLUSIONS

The contribution of this paper is two-fold. On the theoretical side, a valuable scale for the measurement of the logistics sophistication construct was built and validated, representing an index of logistics sophistication of shippers based on use of IT, performance measurement and formalization of the logistics organization. On the other hand, the managerial implication of this possibility of measuring the degree of sophistication of the logistics organization of shippers is that it may be used as a basis for segmenting the market met by logistics service providers. For instance, do shippers in a certain industry show a higher sophistication in their logistics organization conditions, etc. Future studies, in fact, may investigate this issue, seeking to discriminate several aspects of logistics outsourcing based on this composite characteristic of shippers. A clustering approach might be used to identify segments of shippers according to characteristics in terms of sophistication elements, which could, in turn, be investigated for differences in approaches to outsourcing, not only in terms of drivers but also of types of activities outsourced and selection criteria for third party providers, among others.

The second question in this paper dealt with the possibility of finding relationships between drivers of the outsourcing of logistics activities and identified dimensions of logistics sophistication in order to gain a deeper understanding of particular elements that drive the outsourcing of logistics activities. In general, a relationship could be established, as expected, between the degree of formalization of the logistics organization and certain possible drivers of logistics outsourcing, such as the search for greater flexibility and cost reduction. A link was also uncovered between the use of IT and outsourcing in order to enable the shipper to take advantage of innovation in logistics software and solutions. However, several important drivers, such as the wish to improve service levels and focus on core business could not be significantly related to any of the components of sophistication in logistics activities. Future studies, with alternative approaches, such as the clustering of shippers according to their logistics sophistication characteristics, may help to further develop knowledge in this very important field of research.

References

- Amstel, W. P. van, & Starreveld, D. W. (1993). Does your company need a logistical executive?. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 4(1), 48-58.
- Bardi, E. J., & Tracey, R. (1991). Transportation outsourcing: a survey of US practices. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 21(3), 15-21.

- Bhatnagar, R., Sohal, A. S., & Millen, R. (1999). Third party logistics services: a Singapore perspective. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 29(9), 569-587.
- Bowersox, D. J., & Closs, D. J. (1996). Logistical management: the integrated supply chain process. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Bowersox, D. J., Closs, D. J., & Stank, T. P. (1999). 21st century logistics: making supply chain *integration a reality*. Oak Brooks, Ill.: Council of Logistics Management.
- Bowersox, D. J., & Daugherty, P. J. (1995). Logistics paradigms: the impact of information technology. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 16(1), 65-80.
- Bowersox, D. J., Daugherty, P. J., Dröge, C. L., Germain, R. N., & Rogers, D. S. (1992). Logistical excellence: it's not business as usual. Burlington, VT: Digital Press.
- Boyson, S., Corsi, T., Dresner, M., & Rabinovich, E. (1999). Managing effective third party logistics relationships: what does it take?. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 20(1), 73-100.
- Buck, D. (1988). Changing to contract distribution. Management Decision, 26(5), 42-47.
- Capgemini (2006). 2006 Third party logistics: results and findings of the 11th Annual Study. Retrieved October 6, 2007, from http://www.at.capgemini.com/m/at/tl/Third-Party_Logistics_2006.pdf
- Cavinato, J. L. (1989). The logistics of contract manufacturing. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 19(1), 13-20.
- Chee-Chuong, S., & Chew-Been, T. (1999). Strategic posture of logistics service providers in Singapore. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Managemen*, 29(9), 588-605.
- Closs, D. J., Goldsby, T. J., & Clinton, S. R. (1997). Information technology influences on world class logistics capability. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 27(1), 4-17.
- Daugherty, P. J., & Droge, C. (1997). Organizational structure in divisionalized manufacturers: the potential for outsourcing logistical services. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 27(5/6), 337-349.
- Daugherty, P. J., Stank, T. P., & Rogers, D. S. (1992). The impact of formalization of warehousing firms. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 3(2), 1-15.
- Fernie, J. (1989). Contract distribution in multiple retailing. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 19(7), 2-35.
- Figueiredo, K. F., Fleury, P. F., & Wanke, P. (2003). Logística e gerenciamento da cadeia de suprimentos: planejamento do fluxo de produtos e de recursos. São Paulo, SP: Atlas.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*. New York, NY: Prentice-Hall.
- Kleinbaum, D., Kupper, L., & Muller, K. (1998). *Applied regression analysis and other multivariate methods*. New York, NY: Duxbury Press.
- Knemeyer A. M., & Murphy, P. R. (2004). Evaluating the performance of third-party logistics arrangements: a relationship marketing perspective. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 40(1), 35-51.

- Laarhoven, P. van, Berglund, M., & Peters, M. (2000). Third-party logistics in Europe five years later. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 30(5), 425-442.
- Lambert, D. M., & Stock, J. R. (1998). Fundamentals of logistics management. New York, NY: Irwin-McGraw Hill.
- Lavalle, C., & Fleury, P. F. (2000). Avaliação da organização logística em empresas da cadeia de suprimentos de alimentos. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea*, 4(1), 47-67.
- Lieb, R. C., & Randall, H. L. (1996). A comparison of the user of third-party logistics services by large american manufacturers, 1991, 1994 and 1995. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 17(1), 55-62.
- Londe, B. J. la, & Maltz, A. B. (1992). Some propositions about outsourcing the logistics functions. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 3(1), 1-11.
- Mentzer, J., & Flint, D. J. (1997). Validity in logistics research, *Journal of Business Logistics*, 18(1), 199-216.
- Morris, J. (2004, December 1). 3PLs Gain nearly half of logistics budgets, foresee continued growth. Multichannel Merchant. Retrieved January 03, 2005, from http://multichannelmerchant.com/opsandfulfillment.com/ advisor/3PLs-growth/index.html.
- Rabinovich, E., Windle, R., Dresner, M., & Corsi, T. (1999). Outsourcing of Integrated Logistics Functions: an examination of industry practices. *International Journal of Physical Distribution* and Logistics Management, 29(6), 353-373.
- Rao, K., & Young, R. R. (1994). Global supply chains: factors influencing outsourcing of logistics functions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 24(6), 11-19.
- Razzaque, A. M., & Sheng, C. C. (1998). Outsourcing of logistics functions: a literature survey. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 28(2), 89-107.
- Rogers, D. S., Daugherty, P. J., & Stank, T. P. (1992). Enhancing service responsiveness: the strategic potential of EDI. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 22(8), 15-20.
- Sahay, B. S., & Mohan, R. (2006). 3PL practices: an Indian perspective. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 36(9), 666-689.
- Sheffi, Y. (1990). Third party logistics: present and future prospects. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 11(2), 27-39.
- Selviaridis, K, & Spring, M. (2007). Third party logistics: a literature review and research agenda. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 18(1), 125-150.
- Sink, H. L., Langley, C. J., Jr., & Gibson, B. J. (1996). Buyer observations of US third party logistics market. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 26(3), 38-46.
- Sink, H. L., & Langley, C. J., Jr. (1997). A managerial framework for the acquisition of third-party logistics services. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 18(2), 163-189.
- Sohail, M. S., Bhatnagar, R., & Sohal, A. S. (2006). A comparative study on the use of third party logistics services by singaporean and malaysian firms. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 36 (9), 690-701.

- Stank, T. P., Rogers, D. S., & Daugherty, P. J. (1994). Benchmarking: applications by third party warehousing firms. *Logistics and Transportation Review*, *30*(1), pp. 55-72.
- Szymankiewicz, J. (1994). Contracting out or selling out? Survey into the current issues concerning the outsourcing of distribution. *Logistics Management*, 7(1), 28-35.
- Tabachnik, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2001). Using multivariate statistics. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Tecnologística online. (2006). *Prêmio Volvo*. Retrieved June 06, 2007, from http://www.tecnologistica.com.br/site/volvo2006_01.asp
- Wilding, R., & Juriado, R. (2004). Customer perceptions on logistics outsourcing in the european consumer goods industry. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 34(8), 628-644.