Colonization by *Streptococcus agalactiae* During Pregnancy: Maternal and Perinatal Prognosis

Patrícia El Beitune¹, Geraldo Duarte¹ and Cláudia Maria Leite Maffei²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical School of Ribeirão Preto; ²Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Medicine School of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo; Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

We reviewed colonization by group B Streptococcus β -haemolyticus of Lancefield (SGB), or Streptococcus agalactiae, in pregnant women, and the consequences of infection for the mother and newborn infant, including factors that influence the risk for anogenital colonization by SGB. We also examined the methods for diagnosis and prophylaxis of SGB to prevent early-onset invasive neonatal bacterial disease. At present, it is justifiable to adopt anal and vaginal SGB culture as part of differentiated obstetrical care in order to reduce early neonatal infection. The rates, risk factors of maternal and neonatal SGB colonization, as well as the incidence of neonatal disease, may vary in different communities and need to be thoroughly evaluated in each country to allow the most appropriate preventive strategy to be selected.

Key Words: Pregnancy, prognosis, Streptococcus agalactiae.

Streptococcus β-haemolyticus of group B of Lancefield (SGB), or Streptococcus agalactiae, recognized in the 1920's as the etiological agent of bovine mastitis [1], has been associated over the years with infections in parturients and newborn infants, provoking important morbidity and mortality among newborns and pregnant women [2-4]. SGB disease can occur in three clinical forms: 1) early-onset disease, defined by the development of disease in newborn infants up to the 7th day of life, accounting for about 85% of the neonatal infections caused by this agent; 2) late-onset disease, characterized by occurrence between the 8th day and the 3rd month of life; and 3) very late-onset disease, occurring after the 3rd month of life [5].

Received on 13 April 2005; revised 15 July 2005. Address for correspondence: Dr. Patrícia El Beitune. Av. Cel. Victor Dumoncel, 1275, Santa Bárbara, RS – Brazil. Zip code: 98240-000. Phone: +55 [55]3372-1401; fax: +55 [55] 3372-1401; E-mail: pbeitune@ig.com.br

The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases 2005;9(3):276-282 © 2005 by The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Contexto Publishing. All rights reserved.

We made a review of the literature dealing with the influence of colonization by *Streptococcus agalactiae* during pregnancy from the maternal and newborn infant perspective, with an examination of factors that influence the risk for anogenital colonization by SGB, the maternal and perinatal prognosis and the methods for diagnosis and prophylaxis of SGB.

Influence of Pregnancy Period on Colonization of Pregnant Women by *Streptococcus agalactiae*

The prevalence of SGB colonization among pregnant women ranges from 10 to 30% [6-8]. SGB colonization rates vary with ethnic groups, geographic localization and age; they are similar for pregnant and non-pregnant women [9].

The challenge of working with SGB is partly due to the transitory colonization observed in pregnant women, with approximately equal numbers of women being colonized in a transitory, intermittent or persistent manner. Thus, in order to minimize early-onset neonatal disease, the recommendation is to make a culture at between 35 and 37 weeks of pregnancy, due to the potentially stable profile of colonization during a period of five weeks before delivery [10-12].

Risk Factors for Colonization by *Streptococcus* agalactiae

Several maternal risk factors can significantly increase the probability of development of SGB disease in neonates. This is an important fact, since the presence of an isolated risk factor increases the probability that a pregnant woman will have a child with early neonatal SGB disease by 6.5 times [13]. The identification of a high-risk population to be screened for SGB colonization is a considerable challenge, since this colonization is also observed in mothers who do not have the classically known risk factors, and it represents 25% to 30% of neonates that develop early neonatal SGB [14].

The option for the identification of maternal risk factors, such as SGB bacteriuria during pregnancy, labor before 37 weeks of gestation, premature rupture of the fetal membranes lasting more than 18 hours, intrapartum fever, and a history of a newborn with early-onset neonatal SGB disease in a population with an approximately 3% incidence of risk factors has led to the unnecessary administration of antibiotic prophylaxis in 5 to 10% of parturients [15]. Other factors equally associated with SGB colonization are massive maternal SGB colonization, low levels of circulating maternal antibodies against SGB, maternal age of less than 20 years, and maternal diabetes mellitus [14,16-18]. The frequency of SGB colonization is highest among black women, followed by Hispanic women and white women [14]. However, in Brazil, in view of the high degree of racial miscegenation, it is difficult to establish a precise classification of a patient sample in terms of race or ethnic group. In an observational study of 101 pregnant women with HIV infection in Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, a distinction was made between white and non-white women; there was a higher risk of colonization among non-white women and among women with associated diabetes mellitus (P. El Beitune & G. Duarte, unpublished data).

Strategies to Prevent Early-Onset SGB Disease

In 1996, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended two strategies to identify mothers colonized with SGB, with the specific objective of preventing early-onset SGB disease in the neonate. The strategies consisted of the identification of important maternal risk factors or of the execution of routine cultures of anogenital swabs obtained from pregnant women at between 35 and 37 weeks of gestation [16].

After a recent review published in 2002 by the CDC, which was endorsed by the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology and by the American Academy of Pediatrics, priority has been given to the systematic adoption of SGB culture for women at between 35 and 37 weeks of gestation [14].

Methods for Diagnosis of Colonization by SGB

Bacterial culture is considered to be the gold standard for SGB detection when it is applied to material obtained from both the vagina and the anus, using selective media for SGB; the result is later confirmed by specific diagnostic tests, such as latex agglutination or molecular biology techniques. This recommendation is based on the fact that 86% of cases of early-onset neonatal disease are prevented when anogenital culture is performed between 35 and 37 weeks of gestation, as opposed to a 68.8% rate of prevention when the conduct used is based on maternal risk factors. Screening tests during the prenatal period, followed by appropriate management of cases of colonization, present a clearly favorable cost-benefit ratio [13,14].

An important limitation of the detection of SGB in culture is the need for viable organisms and for an average culture period of 48-72 hours. Approximately 6 to 13% of the newborns colonized with SGB during the first 48 hours of life are born to women with negative SGB cultures, demonstrating the limitations of culture as a detection strategy. In some cases, this limitation may be due to the exponential fall in the number of

SGB colonies, as the swabs are kept out of the transport medium at eight hours after collection, and also due to the maternal use of antibiotics (such as penicillin G, ampicillin or erythromycin) and of personal hygiene products containing antimycotic and antiseptic agents (douches and vaginal soap bars) [19].

Historically, SGB used to be detected by culture of a vaginal swab. However, the sensitivity of these cultures did not exceed 50 to 60% in the best series [6,8,10,19,20]. There is data from studies whose objective was to minimize false-negative results by recommending that the lower portion of the vagina be cultured instead of the cervix, and that the anorectal portion be submitted to simultaneous swabbing and cultured in selective and enriched media, such as Lim broth or Todd-Hewitt broth (THB). The media should be kept at 4°C and supplemented with antibiotics that suppress the growth of Gram-negative bacteria and of other saprophytic microorganisms of the vaginal flora, thus favoring the recovery of an adequate number microorganisms and facilitating their identification, even in samples with a reduced inoculum concentration [2,6,7,10].

Theoretically, an ideal test for the identification of maternal SGB colonization has still to be found. Such a test should be rapidly conclusive when used at the time of maternal admission during labor, should have a minimum sensitivity of 86% (the sensitivity of the gold standard), should be of low cost in order to be definitely included in the set of tests required during the intrapartum period, and should have low false-negative rates.

Among the rapid tests for the diagnosis of SGB colonization, molecular biology exams seem to be a promising reality. As an example, the fluorogenic polymerase chain reaction yielded conclusive results within 45 minutes, with 97% sensitivity and 100% specificity [21]. However, this test is not commercially available. Another test based on antigen detection, the latex agglutination test, has a period of incubation of 10 minutes and efficiently identifies β -hemolytic streptococci of the A, B, C, D, F and G groups of Lancefield. This test varies in sensitivity and specificity, depending on the time of culture of the bacteria in

selective medium. It is an excellent confirmatory test after the gold standard culture test, with sensitivity and specificity close to 100% [20]. However, when the test is applied before culture on blood-agar medium, the sensitivity is 65%; it varies when this method is used without previous enrichment with THB medium, and it depends on the time of incubation on blood-agar plates, on the amount of inoculum present in the sample, and on the execution of the agglutination test immediately after dilution of the extraction fluid [20,22]. On the other hand, a group in Spain recently concluded that agglutination testing of selective broth is a sensitive method, which offers the advantage of saving 24 h in the turnaround time for detection of SGB in pregnant women, with 98% sensibility [23]. While these results were not confirmed by others, we still conclude that culture is ideal for screening during the prenatal period, but is not suitable for the rapid diagnosis required for patients in labor.

Influence of Colonization by *Streptococcus* agalactiae during Pregnancy on Maternal Prognosis

Complications due to SGB colonization during pregnancy are not limited to the neonatal period. According to some investigators, SGB colonization during pregnancy increases the risk of spontaneous abortion and influences the pathogenesis of premature rupture of the fetal membranes, of preterm labor and of low neonatal birth weight, though the consequences differ widely [24-26].

There is no consensus regarding the effect of SGB colonization on gestational prognosis. Studies evaluating this interaction in HIV-1-infected pregnant women are also lacking. Several studies evaluating pregnant women defined as clinically normal did not detect an association between SGB and an adverse gestational prognosis, such as preterm labor, premature rupture of the membranes, and low neonatal birth weight [27,28]. These results are similar to those observed in Ribeirão Preto, among HIV-infected pregnant women colonized by SGB (P. El

Beitune & G. Duarte, unpublished data). Although some investigators found that women with preterm delivery have a significantly higher frequency of SGB colonization at the time of delivery, they did not confirm the possible association between higher rates of preterm deliveries among women colonized by SGB in the 24th week of gestation [25]. On the other hand, a reduced latency period before delivery was observed in SGB-colonized women who developed preterm rupture of the membranes. However, a causeeffect relationship was not conclusively established [29]. In view of the above studies, the CDC published directives in 2002, advising obstetricians to follow a conservative conduct during the prenatal period, except in the case of urinary tract infection associated with SGB, which occurs in 2 to 4% of all pregnant women [14].

The complications due to SGB colonization during pregnancy are not limited to the gestational period. According to some investigators, this type of colonization also affects maternal prognosis. Several studies have reported that SGB is directly responsible for cases of chorioamnionitis and endometritis, being less frequently isolated in cases of abdominal wall infection, pelvic abscess, septic pelvic thrombophlebitis, osteomyelitis, and meningitis [14,24,30]. Additionally, in 2000 Schrag et al. [22] demonstrated a 21% reduction in the risk of chorioamnionitis and endometritis when antibiotic prophylaxis was applied [31]. Thus, if SGB colonization could be controlled, few adverse effects would be observed.

Influence of Colonization by *Streptococcus* agalactiae during Pregnancy on Newborn Infant Prognosis

Vertical transmission occurs in 30 to 70% of neonates whose mothers have an SGB-positive culture during pregnancy [2] and, in the absence of a prevention program, 1% to 2% of these neonates develop symptomatic SGB infection, with approximately 90% of cases occurring during the first 24 hours of life [13,32].

In absolute numbers, early-onset SGB disease affects 1 to 4/1000 liveborns; when only children born to mothers colonized with Streptococcus agalactiae during the prenatal period are considered, the neonatal rate of infection is 0.3% to 1.4%, with a 25-fold increase in early neonatal disease compared to children born to non-colonized mothers [13,14], mainly due to vertical transmission. This form of transmission can result from intrauterine infection, due to the ascending dissemination of SGB from the vagina, with secondary aspiration of contaminated amniotic fluid by the fetus, but it is undeniably facilitated by premature rupture of the fetal membranes, after the beginning of labor and during the passage of the fetus through the birth canal, resulting in higher than 50% neonatal mortality rates during the 1970s decade, compared to the current 4 to 20% rates. This notable reduction is due to a better understanding of the risk factors associated with neonatal infection and the considerable evolution of care at neonatal therapy centers [10,14,31,33].

Although the clinical manifestation of early-onset SGB disease more frequently involves pneumonia or septicemia, in the United States approximately 2,000 neonates develop SGB-induced meningitis each year, with a consequent permanent neurological deficit occurring in 30% to 50% of these cases [34].

Effect of Prophylaxis Intrapartum on Perinatal Prognosis

Antibiotic therapy during the prenatal, intrapartum and postnatal periods has been used in order to prevent early-onset neonatal SGB disease. Objectively, prenatal therapy has proven to be ineffective, due to the peculiar characteristics of the often persistent or intermittent colonization. It has currently been established that treatment of maternal SGB colonization during pregnancy does not eradicate the microorganisms in a definitive manner, regardless of the treatment used. Another equally frustrated strategy, i.e. the isolated administration of antibiotic prophylaxis during the postnatal period to all babies born to colonized mothers, resulted in half to 2/3 symptomatic and bacteremic

infants at birth. Thus, the experience obtained with the use of these strategies indicates that the most efficient method available today is intrapartum chemoprophylaxis with parenteral antibiotic therapy, while maternal immunization, permitting effective and sustainable immunogenicity, is not yet a reality [13,14,35].

SGB is sensitive to many antimicrobial agents, especially β-lactam antibiotics. Among the antimicrobial agents extensively used against SGB are penicillin G and ampicillin [14]. Penicillin G continues to be the antibiotic of choice for intrapartum prophylaxis for SGB-colonized mothers because of the effective transplacental passage of this agent, its low cost, and broad spectrum of action directed at Gram-positive cocci, with a lower theoretical probability of the emergence of resistant microorganisms. Erythromycin and clindamycin are acceptable alternatives for mothers who are allergic to penicillin [14,16,36]. The prevalence of resistance among invasive SGB isolates ranged from 7 to 25 per cent for erythromycin and from 3 to 15 per cent for clindamycin [37,38] and might be associated with certain serotypes, especially type V [39,40]. In a study performed in Ribeirao Preto, there was no indication of uniform susceptibility to penicillin and to other beta-lactam antibiotics of SGB isolates from 101 HIV pregnant women and matched control pregnant women, so further surveillance is needed, particularly in the case of invasive SGB isolates (P. El Beitune & G. Duarte, unpublished data). Similar results were generally found in other studies [41-43]. In general, the studies demonstrate increased effectiveness four hours after the administration of antibiotic therapy to the mother, since adequate penicillin or ampicillin concentrations are achieved in amniotic fluid two to four hours after parenteral administration to the mother [5,14].

Among the nine currently-identified SGB serotypes, five (Ia, Ib, II, III, and V) are prevalent among pregnant women and neonates with early onset SGB disease in the United States and Western European countries [44, 45]. Types VI and VIII predominate in isolates from Japanese women [46]. Types IV and VII are encountered only rarely [44]. Serotype distribution may

have implications for the development of a multivalent anti-SGB vaccine [44].

Conclusions

On the basis of our review, it is justifiable to adopt anal and vaginal SGB cultures as part of differentiated obstetrical care in order to reduce early neonatal infection. The rates and risk factors for maternal and neonatal SGB colonization may vary in different communities. These rates, as well as the incidence of neonatal disease, need to be thoroughly evaluated in each country, to allow the most appropriate preventive strategy to be selected.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mrs. Marly de Castro and Carol Kobori da Fonseca for invaluable dedication and collaboration during the study. We are also grateful to Mrs. Elettra Greene, Hellen Cristina Leão Duarte for their technical assistance and Dr. Marisa Márcia Mussi-Pinhata for suggestions concerning the manuscript.

References

- 1. Ayers S.H., Mudge C.S. Streptococci of bovine udder. Studies of streptococci. J Infect Dis **1922**;31:40-50.
- 2. Baker C.J., Barret F.F. Transmission of group B streptococci among parturient women and their neonates. J Pediatr **1973**; 83:919-25.
- 3. Gladstone I.M., Ehrenkraz R.A., Edberg S.C., et al. A ten year review of neonatal sepsis and comparison with the previous fifty-year experience. Pediatr Infect Dis J **1990**; 9:819-25.
- McKenna D.S., Matson S., Northern I. Maternal group B streptococcal (GBS) genital tract colonization at term in women who have asymptomatic GBS bacteriuria. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2003;11:203-7.
- 5. Baker C.J. Group B streptococcal infections. Clin Perinatol **1997**;24:59-70.
- 6. Baker C.J., Goroff D.K., Alpert S., et al. Vaginal colonization with group B streptococcus. A study in college women. J Infect Dis **1977**; 135:392-7.

- 7. Anthony B.F., Eisenstadt R., Carter J., Kim K.S., Hobel C.J. Genital and intestinal carriage of group B streptococci during pregnancy. J Infect Dis 1981;143:761-6.
- 8. Crisp B.J., Yancey M.K., Uyehara C., Nauschuetz W.F. Effect of Delayed Inoculation of Selective Media in Antenatal Detection of Group B Streptococci. Obstet Gynecol **1998**; 92:923-5.
- 9. Hansen S.M., Uldbjerg N., Kilian M., Sørensen U.B.S. Dynamics of *Streptococcus agalactiae* Colonization in Women during and after Pregnancy and in Their Infants. J Clin Microbiol **2004**; 42: 83–9.
- Boyer K.M., Gadzala C.A., Kelly P.D., et al. Selective intrapartum chemoprophylaxis of neonatal group B streptococcal early-onset disease. Predictive value of prenatal cultures. J Infect Dis 1983;148:802-9.
- Motlová J., Straková L., Urbášková P., Sak P., Sever T. Vaginal & rectal carriage of *Streptococcus agalactiae* in the Czech Republic: incidence, serotypes distribution & susceptibility to antibiotics. Indian J Med Res 2004;119:84-7.
- 12. Anthony B.F., Okada D.M., Hobel C.J. Epidemiology of group B streptococcus. Longitudinal observations during pregnancy. J Infect Dis 1978;137:524-30.
- 13. Boyer K.M., Gotoff S.P. Prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease with selective intrapartum chemoprophylaxis. N Engl J Med 1986;314:1665-9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention of Perinatal Group B Streptococcal Disease: Revised Guidelines from CDC. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2002;51:1-22.
- Yancey M.K., Armer T., Clark P., Duff P. Assessment of rapid identification tests for genital carriage of group B streptococci. Obstet Gynecol 1992;80:1038-47.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
 Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease:
 A public health perspective. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1996; 45:1-24.
- Ramos E., Gaudier F.L., Hearing L.R., et al. Group B streptococcus colonization in pregnant diabetic women. Obstet Gynecol 1997;89:257-60.
- Lin F.Y., Weisman L.E., Azimi P.H., et al. Level of maternal IgG anti-group B streptococcus type III antibody correlated with protection of neonates against earlyonset disease caused by this pathogen. J Infect Dis 2004;190:928-34.
- 19. Ostroff R.M., Steaffens J.W. Effect of Specimen Storage, Antibiotics, and Feminine Hygiene Products on the Detection of Group B Streptococcus by Culture and the STREP B OIA Test. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1995;22:253-9.

- Platt M.W., McLaughlin J.C., Gilson G.J., et al. Increased Recovery of Group B Streptococcus by the Inclusion of Rectal Culturing and Enrichment. Diag Microbiol Infect Dis 1995;21:65-8.
- 21. Bergeron M.G., Ke D., Menard C., et al. Rapid detection of group B streptococci in pregnant women at delivery. N Engl J Med **2000**;343:175-9.
- 22. Greenspoon J.S., Fishman A., Wilcox J.G., et al. Comparison of culture for group B streptococcus *versus* enzyme immunoassay and latex agglutination rapid tests: Results in 250 patients during labor. Obstet Gynecol **1991**;77:97-100.
- 23. Guerrero C., Martinez J., Menasalvas A., et al. Use of direct agglutination testing of selective broth in the detection of group B streptococcal carriage in pregnant women. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis **2004**;23:61-2.
- 24. McDonald H.M., Chambers H.M. Intrauterine infection and spontaneous midgestation abortion: is the spectrum of microorganisms similar to that in preterm labor? Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol **2000**;8:220-7.
- 25. Feikin DR, Thorsen P, Zywicki S., et al. Association between colonization with group B streptococci during pregnancy and preterm delivery among Danish women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001; 184:427-31.
- Regan J.Á., Klebanoff M.A., Nugent R.P., et al. Colonization with group B streptococci in pregnancy and adverse outcome. VIP Study Group. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;174:1354-60.
- McKenzie H., Donnet M.L., Howie P.W., et al. Risk of preterm delivery in pregnant women with group B streptococcal urinary infections or urinary antibodies to group B streptococcal and *E. coli* antigens. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1994;101:107-13.
- 28. Garland S.M., Kelly N., Ugoni A.M. Is antenatal group B streptococcal carriage a predictor of adverse obstetric outcome? Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol **2000**;8:138-42.
- 29. Towers C.V., Lewis D.F., Asrat T., et al. The effect of colonization with group B streptococci on the latency phase of patients with preterm premature rupture of membranes. Am J Obstet Gynecol **1993**;169:1139-43.
- 30. Eickhoff T.C., Klein J.O., Daly A.K., et al. Neonatal sepsis and other infections due to group B Beta-hemolytic streptococci. N Engl J Med **1964**;271:1221-8.
- 31. Schrag SJ, Zywicki S, Farley MM, et al. Group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis. N Engl J Med **2000**;342:15-20.
- 32. Bromberger P, Lawrence JM, Braun D, et al. The influence of intrapartum antibiotics on the clinical spectrum of early-onset group B streptococcal infection in term infants. Pediatrics **2000**;106:244-50.
- 33. Heath P.T., Balfour G., Weisner A.M., et al. Group B streptococcal disease in UK and Irish infants younger than 90 days. Lancet **2004**;363:292-4.

- 34. Hauck W., Samlalsingh-Park J., Glibetic M., et al. Deregulation of Cyclooxygenase and Nitric Oxide Synthase Gene Expression in the Inflammatory Cascade Triggered by Experimental Group B Streptococcal Meningitis in the Newborn Brain and Cerebral Microvessels. Seminars in Perinatology 1999; 23:250-60
- 35. Gilbert G.L. Vaccines for other neonatal infections: are group B streptococcal infections vaccine-preventable? Expert Rev Vaccines 2004;3:371-4.
- Simoes J.A., Aroutcheva A.A., Heimler I., Faro S. Antibiotic resistance patterns of group B streptococcal clinical isolates. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2004;12:1-8.
- 37. Andrews J.I., Diekema D.J., Hunter S.K., et al. Group B streptococci causing neonatal bloodstream infection: antimicrobial susceptibility and serotyping results from SENTRY centers in the Western Hemisphere. Am J Obst Gynecol **2000**;183:859-62.
- 38. Morales W.J., Dickey S.S., Bornick P., Lim D.V. Change in antibiotic resistance of group B streptococcus: impact on intrapartum management. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999:181:310-4.
- De Azavedo J.C.S., McGavin M., Duncan C., et al. Prevalence and mechanisms of macrolide resistance in invasive and noninvasive group B streptococcus isolates from Ontario, Canada. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:3504-8.
- Fernandez M., Hickman M.E., Baker C.J. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of group B streptococci isolated between 1992 and 1996 from patients with bacteremia and meningitidis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998;42:1517-9.
- 41. NCCLS. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twelfth informational supplement. NCCLS document M100-S12. PA, USA;**2002**.
- 42. Hsueh P.R., Teng L.J., Lee L.N., et al. High incidence of erythromycin resistance among clinical isolates of *Streptococcus agalactiae* in Taiwan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1998**;42:1517-9.
- 43. Betriu C., Gómez M., Sanchez A., et al. Antibiotic resistance and penicillin tolerance in clinical isolates of group B streptococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1994**;38:2183-6.
- Harrison L.H., Elliot J.A., Dwyer J.P., et al. Serotype distribution of invasive group B streptococcal isolates in Maryland: implications for vaccine formulation. J Infect Dis 1998;177:998-1002.
- Kvam A.I., Efstratiou A., Bevanger B.D., et al. Distribution of serovariants of group B streptococci in isolates from England and Norway. J Med Microbiol 1995;42:246-50.
- Lachenauer C.S., Kasper D.L., Shimada J., et al. Serotypes VI and VIII predominate among group B streptococci isolated from pregnant Japanese women. J Infect Dis 1999;179:1030-3.