Architecture for ES Receiver Systems Targeted at Commercial Wireless Communications

Warren Paul du Plessis¹

ABSTRACT: Modern electronic support (ES) systems are descended from systems intended for the detection of small numbers of high-power radar systems, and are thus not suitable for the low-power transmitters and dense signal environments typical of commercial communication networks. A new ES-system architecture is proposed to allow the detection of large numbers of low-power emitters and the estimation of their angle of arrival. The proposed architecture has a number of benefits including versatility, suitability for deployment on airborne platforms, and modularity.

KEYWORDS: Radio receivers, Electronic warfare, Surveillance, Radio communication, Wireless communication.

INTRODUCTION

Commercial wireless communication systems, especially cellular networks, are increasingly being used by criminal, paramilitary and military operators. Examples of such use include rhino poaching (Beaudufe, 2012), guiding illegal immigrants (Lacey, 2011), and insurgent attacks (Strother, 2007). The use of commercial cellular phones to co-ordinate complex operations is motivated by the low cost and wide availability of reliable cellular communications. Even the United States' military is evaluating the use of smartphones by its soldiers (Milian, 2012). The location and tracking of cellular systems is thus becoming increasingly important, as shown by the passage of legislation such as the Regulation of Interception of Communications and Provision of Communication-related Information Act (RICA) in South Africa (RSA, 2002).

While the natural approach to achieve the detection and tracking of cellular phones would appear to be the use of the cellular network itself, this is generally not feasible. Firstly, a legal framework must exist to ensure that cellular network operators are required to provide the necessary information to security forces. However, privacy concerns in modern democracies mean that such a framework is difficult and time-consuming to establish - if it is possible at all. But even then, such a framework would only apply to network operators in one country, and many of the activities described above are perpetrated across the borders between nations. Nations are justifiably hesitant to grant other nations even limited access to information about and control over their industries (cellular network operators, in this case) and citizens, especially before criminal activities have been proved. It is thus unlikely that the required level of access to cellular

1.University of Pretoria – Pretoria/Gauteng – South Africa

Author for correspondence: Warren Paul du Plessis | Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering, University of Pretoria / Gauteng - South Africa | E-mail: wduplessis@ieee.org

Received: 24/10/12 | Accepted: 21/12/12

networks will be achieved within a reasonable time frame, if it can be achieved at all.

This reality leads directly to a requirement for communications intelligence (COMINT) electronic support (ES) receivers which can detect and locate cellular phones. However, this simplesounding task is noticeably more challenging than it might appear. The success of cellular systems means that these systems have a large number of users, many of whom will be actively accessing the network at any given time. Separating individual users - let alone identifying criminal or paramilitary users — in such a dense signal environment is extremely challenging. Furthermore, cellular networks are designed to make sure that base transceiver stations (BTSs) and mobile devices transmit only the minimum power necessary to maintain a reliable connection. This means that all transmitters of interest will be operating at low power, further complicating their detection. Finally, cellular transmissions tend to be very short, and slow frequency hopping (in which BTS and mobile devices gradually change their operating frequency) is sometimes implemented to reduce the effects of fading. The probability of intercept (POI) of a receiver is thus reduced unless all frequencies of interest are monitored continuously. Furthermore, integration times are limited by the duration of the transmitted signal rather than by the receiver system requirements.

Modern ES systems are descended from systems developed to detect and locate a relatively limited number of high-power radar transmitters. As described above, commercial cellular systems comply with neither of these assumptions, suggesting that traditional ES systems will not be effective in this role. There is a requirement for ES receivers specifically developed for COMINT of cellular communication systems. This paper describes the architecture of an ES system that is targeted at the detection and location of cellular phones. This architecture is based on the use of large numbers of relatively simple receiver elements.

CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH ES FOR CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS

Challenges associated with ES for commercial cellular systems are considered below with the emphasis on the Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) standard due to its widespread adoption.

Low Signal Power

The power transmitted by a mobile device is extremely low both as a result of device limitations and of power control. Mobile devices are small and are powered by batteries, limiting the power available. For GSM systems operating in the E-GSM 900 and DCS 1800 bands, mobile devices are required to have a maximum transmit power of 33 dBm (2 W) and 30 dBm (1 W), respectively (3GPP, 2005). However, these specifications have a tolerance of ± 2 dB under normal conditions and ± 2.5 dB under extreme conditions (3GPP, 2005), so these values could be as low as 30.5 dBm (1.1 W) and 27.5 dBm (0.56 W) in the E-GSM 900 and DCS 1800 bands respectively, while still complying with relevant specifications.

However, a far greater concern for a cellular network is the interference caused by mobile devices and BTSs which transmit more power than required for reliable communications. Modern cellular systems thus implement power control whereby the power transmitted by a device can be reduced to minimise interference. The GSM standard allows power to be reduced in 15 steps of 2 dB each, therefore enabling power reduction of 30 dB (3GPP, 2005). However, these values are subject to a tolerance of ± 5 dB under normal conditions and ± 6 dB under extreme conditions (3GPP, 2005), so power levels in the E-GSM 900 and DCS 1800 bands can be as low as -1 dBm (0.79 mW) and -6 dBm (0.25 mW), respectively.

Dense Signal Environment

In 2011, there were an estimated 5.6 billion mobile connections worldwide (Gartner, 2011) with a global population of 7 billion people (PRB, 2011). Africa had an estimated 649 million subscribers by the end of 2011, so roughly two out of three people in the continent have some sort of mobile connectivity (BBC News, 2011). This extremely large number of users of commercial cellular systems coupled with the limited bandwidth available for such systems (Lazarus, 2010) leads to very dense signal environments.

Maximal use is made of the narrow bandwidths available for commercial communication systems by using a cellular approach whereby frequencies are reused at distances which are sufficient to minimise interference. Figure 1 shows how frequencies could



Figure 1. Frequency reuse in an idealised cellular network.

Architecture for ES Receiver Systems Targeted at Commercial Wireless Communications

be reused in a highly idealised cellular structure, and while the reality will not be this neat, the same basic principles apply. Since ES systems will be expected to have ranges much greater than BTSs to minimise the number of systems required, it is clear that any ES system will detect a large number of signals which overlap in both time and frequency. To further complicate matters, newer systems like the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) and Long-Term Evolution (LTE), which are based on techniques such as carrier-division multiple access (CDMA) and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM), are specifically designed to have users overlap in both time and frequency. The traditional means for deinterleaving radar signals are inadequate in such dense signal environments.

Short Signals With Changing Frequencies

Communication signals tend to be very short mainly as a result of the use of time-division multiple access (TDMA) to support multiple users or time-division duplex (TDD) to separate uplink from downlink. Furthermore, mobile devices only transmit when data are available both to reduce interference and to improve battery life.

GSM uses a combination of frequency-division multiple access (FDMA) and TDMA to support multiple users (Eberspächer *et al.*, 1999; Redl *et al.*, 1995). FDMA is achieved by using a 200-kHz channel spacing over the available bands. TDMA is implemented by allowing each frequency channel to support eight logical channels with timeslots lasting 577 μ s, and a frame of eight timeslots lasting 4.615 ms. However, a GSM burst is shorter than a full timeslot at 547 μ s to ensure some robustness to timing differences caused by range. An ES system thus cannot use the long averaging typical of many ES systems.

GSM implements slow frequency hopping whereby the channel frequency changes from burst to burst (Eberspächer *et al.*, 1999; Redl *et al.*, 1995). GSM also allows discontinuous transmission to reduce interference and improve battery life (Eberspächer *et al.*, 1999; Redl *et al.*, 1995). This approach can be particularly effective as normal speech has pauses accounting for approximately 50% of the total conversation. Together, these two characteristics mean that the POI of a GSM signal can be extremely low.

Scenario Geometry

The relative positioning of a mobile phone, BTS and ES receiver has a major effect on the ES receiver requirements. Two important scenarios are shown in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. Scenario geometry showing two special cases.

Mobile 1 in Fig. 2 is extremely close to the BTS ($r_{\rm b1}$ is small) and uplink power control will ensure that this device transmits the minimum allowable power. The ES receiver is thus tasked with detecting the mobile's extremely weak signal at long range ($r_{\rm r1}$). This type of scenario can arise both in urban and rural environments. Cells tend to be small in urban environments to accommodate high user densities (Eberspächer, J. *et al.*, 1999), so mobile devices will always be near a BTS. In a rural environment, a spotter on a hilltop could be underneath a BTS positioned on the same hilltop.

Mobile 2 in Fig. 2 is at the extreme edge of the BTS's coverage area ($r_{\rm b2}$ is at its largest value). The uplink power control problem associated with mobile 1 is thus avoided, but the range from the mobile to the receiver ($r_{\rm r2}$) is extremely large. The ES receiver is thus required to detect the mobile at extremely long range, and importantly, the ES receiver is required to detect the mobile at a greater range than the BTS ($r_{\rm r2} > r_{\rm b2}$). This scenario will arise most frequently in rural environments, where cell sizes are maximised due to low user densities (Redl *et al.*, 1995).

A further more subtle problem related to scenario geometry occurs when two mobile devices operating at the same frequency at the same time are positioned at substantially different ranges to the ES receiver. In this case, the signal from the more distant mobile device tends to be masked by the signal from the nearer mobile device.

Large Unused Frequency Bands

GSM channels have a bandwidth of less than 271 kHz and have a mere 200 kHz spacing from 880 to 915 MHz and 925 to 960 MHz for the uplink and downlink of the E-GSM 900 band, respectively, and from 1710 to 1785 MHz and 1805 to 1880 MHz for the DCS 1800 band uplink and downlink, respectively (3GPP, 2005). Even the wideband code-division multiple access (W-CDMA) technology used by the universal mobile telecommunications system (UMTS) — the successor to GSM — only uses a bandwidth of 5 MHz (3GPP, 2012). However, even these figures do not show

85

86

the whole picture because not all allocated frequencies will be used within a specific area.

Cellular communications are thus characterised by the use of relatively small frequency bands separated by larger frequency bands, which contain no commercial cellular signals.

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ES ARCHITECTURE FOR CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS

Starting from the challenges previously described, an ES system architecture targeted at commercial communications systems is developed.

Implications of Challenges

The first major challenge associated with detecting commercial communication systems is the very low signal levels at a COMINT receiver. For a fixed signal level at a receiver, the detection of a signal is determined by the parameters listed below:

- The receiver noise figure (NF). This parameter is largely dependent on the NF of the first receiver amplifier and the losses before this amplifier (Gonzalez, 1997). Reducing these values will decrease the noise floor of the receiver.
- The antenna gain. Higher antenna gain means that a greater signal is received at the antenna output for a specific field strength at the receiver. However, high antenna gain inherently entails a narrow beamwidth, which will reduce the POI of short-duration signals due to the need to scan the antenna beam.
- The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) required for detection. This SNR value will vary depending on the required detection probability and the maximum allowable false-alarm rate. For example, a detection probability of 99% with a false alarm probability of 10⁻⁶ will require a higher SNR than a detection probability of 90% with a false alarm rate of 10⁻³. Simple detection algorithms will require higher SNR, while more advanced algorithms can achieve acceptable detection and false-alarm rates with SNR values below 0 dB (i.e., the signal is weaker than the receiver noise). However, these advanced algorithms are computationally expensive and require powerful signalprocessing hardware.
- The path loss from the transmitter to the receiver. While most
 of the factors that determine the path loss such as mobile
 height, operating frequency and environment are fixed,
 the height of the receiver can be controlled. Figure 3 shows



Figure 3. Path loss as a function of receiver height when the mobile height is 1.5 m in an open rural area at a frequency of 900 MHz at a range of 50 km.

how the path loss reduces as the receiver height increases for a transmitter at a height of 1.5 m in an open rural area at a frequency of 900 MHz at a range of 50 km using the Hata-Davidson propagation model (TIA, 1997).

The second significant challenge associated with commercial communications is the large number of simultaneous signals which will be intercepted by an ES receiver. The received signals need to be separated in some way in order to allow individual signals to be detected. As mentioned before, time and frequency are insufficient to isolate received signals, so another parameter (e.g., position or angle) is required to separate commercial communication signals. Furthermore, the position of a transmitter, or at least the angle to the transmitter, is useful information in its own right. However, the number of signals that can be independently located by many algorithms — e.g., MUSIC (Schmidt, 1986) and ESPRIT (Roy and Kailath, 1989) — is limited by the number of independent receiver channels.

Related to both these challenges is the effect of stronger signals masking simultaneously-received weaker signals. The dynamic range of a receiver is one of the main factors contributing to the possibility of simultaneously detecting both strong and weak signals.

Proposed ES System Architecture

The proposed ES architecture is described next and considers the points highlighted previously. However, the proposed architecture also has a number of other advantages.

The underlying concept of the proposed system is to integrate the antenna and the complete receiver front end into single unit, and is summarised in Fig. 4. A large number of Architecture for ES Receiver Systems Targeted at Commercial Wireless Communications



Figure 4. Proposed ES system block diagram.

such integrated receiver systems will then be combined into an ES system to achieve good system-level performance.

While this system resembles a channelized receiver (Adamy, 2001; Adamy, 2009), it differs in two important respects. Firstly, each receiver element contains a complete receiver which can be controlled independently. For example, this means that the narrowband receiver elements can be dynamically assigned to known frequencies of interest, while ignoring the bands between these frequencies - an arrangement that would be impossible with a channelized receiver in which the frequency of each channel is fixed in relation to the other channels. Secondly, the signals received by multiple receiver elements operating at the same frequency can be processed coherently in the proposed system. Coherent processing allows the achievement of antenna gain and that accurate phase-based direction finding (DF) can be performed. While the majority of spectrum-sensing algorithms are based on the use of a single sensor (e.g. Yücek et al., 2009), the coherent use of multiple sensors has been shown to be feasible (e.g. Haykin et al., 2009).

A system based on the proposed architecture in Fig. 4 will have a number of benefits, including the following.

- Large numbers of elements mean that large antenna arrays with high antenna gain can be constructed. The fact that the signal at each receiver is sampled means that multiple narrow, high-gain antenna beams can be formed simultaneously through signal processing, thereby overcoming the usual trade-off between high antenna gain and wide-area coverage.
- The narrow, high-gain antenna beams which can be formed also have the benefit of reducing the number of signals that need to be considered simultaneously by restricting the received signals to a smaller angular sector.

- The large number of elements also offers the potential to obtain more independent samples of the environment, thereby allowing better deinterleaving of signals.
- The integration of the antenna and the radio-frequency (RF) front end will help to reduce the receiver noise figure by allowing these two elements to be designed together for optimal performance and by reducing the loss between them.
- While cost considerations will inevitably limit each receiver to the use of analogue-to-digital converters (ADCs) with lower sampling rates, it offers the opportunity to use devices with higher dynamic range (more bits), therefore improving the system dynamic range.
- Each receiver element produces a digital output, removing the need for low-loss, phase-matched RF cables. A powersupply line, a low-frequency local oscillator signal, digital control lines and a digital output are all that each receiver element requires to function.
- The use of a large number of low data-rate streams of data is ideally suited to modern, highly parallel signalprocessing technologies.
- The concept is inherently scalable depending on the number of receiver elements used to construct a system.
- The large number of elements makes the system extremely versatile as outlined below.
- The large number of elements also leads to redundancy, which will improve system reliability. Furthermore, the modular nature of the system will simplify repairs, improving the system's availability.

This architecture is a natural match to modern digital signal processing (DSP) hardware technologies (including

J. Aerosp. Technol. Manag., São José dos Campos, Vol.5, Nº 1, pp.83-90, Jan.-Mar., 2013

87

field-programmable gate arrays – FPGAs – and graphics processing units – GPUs). DSP devices are increasingly achieving high performance through the use of large numbers of relatively low-performance processors operating in parallel. Such parallel processing is ideally suited to the proposed architecture, in which large numbers of relatively low-rate data streams are generated. It might even be possible to integrate a low-cost DSP device into each receiver element to perform channel-specific processing like calibration.

However, the greatest benefit of the natural match between the proposed architecture and modern DSP hardware is that it will be possible to better exploit the full processing power of existing DSP technologies. This creates the opportunity to allow the development and implementation of complex detection algorithms, which will lower the SNR required for a mobile device to be detected.

The fact that the system produces digital signals makes it a good match for deployment on an aerostat. Aerostats are tethered lighter-than air (LTA) systems which have a number of advantages for persistent wide-area surveillance (TCOM, 2012; Raven, 2012), and here allow the receiver height requirement previously expressed to be addressed. It will be possible to transfer the digital signals produced by the proposed system to the ground for further processing using a single digital fibre. This allows powerful DSP technologies to be used for processing while maintaining a low-weight airborne system and tether.

It is unlikely that a single aerostat system would be sufficient for large-scale surveillance, so it is possible that unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), manned aircraft and ground-based systems will also be required. The modular nature of the architecture shown in Fig. 4 means that the same basic building blocks can be used for all these systems. For example, an aerostat would have many airborne elements with extensive ground-based signal processing, while UAV might have only a handful of elements with simpler processing, but both systems would use the same basic elements and DSP technologies. Such system reconfigurability allows the development of a family of systems which enable the unique requirements of each platform to be accommodated.

Over and above the benefits already highlighted, the versatility of the proposed architecture is one of its main attractions. A number of examples of this versatility are shown in Fig. 5 along with comparisons to a wideband ES system. For example, an ES system with an instantaneous bandwidth of 800 MHz has been developed based on the digital RF memory (DRFM) technology described by Olivier *et al.* (2011).

Figure 5(a) shows how high antenna gain can be achieved by allocating all receiver elements to the same frequency and performing coherent processing. The gain is determined mainly by the number of receiver elements (Lo, 1964), so a system comprising a smaller number of wideband receiver elements is unable to achieve comparable antenna gain.

Figure 5(b) shows how a wide range of frequencies can be covered by a number of independent receivers. The key point is that commercial communication systems are allocated to relatively narrow bands, which are separated by wide frequency ranges. Having the ability to monitor a large number of narrowband channels can help improve the system POI by allowing all channels in use to be simultaneously monitored. Attempting to use wideband receivers to cover commercial frequency bands is inefficient as the majority of covered frequencies have no signals of interest.

Figure 5(c) shows how a combination of these two approaches is also possible whereby high antenna gain can be achieved at certain frequencies while still allowing other frequencies to be monitored. A wideband system is simply too restricted to achieve similar performance.

Cost Estimate

They key to the success of such a system is that the cost of each receiver element should be as low as possible to ensure



Figure 5. Demonstration of the versatility of the proposed ES system architecture.

that systems can consist of large numbers of receiver elements. Cost estimates for a single receiver element is given in Table 1, in which the low cost of each receiver element is ensured in the following ways:

- The system is limited to operation in the range of frequencies most desirable for mobile communications (below 3.5 GHz (Lazarus, 2010)). Current microwave monolithic integrated circuit (MMIC) technology means that the cost of system components in this range of frequencies is low.
- The bandwidth of each ADC is relatively low, allowing cheaper devices to be used.
- Mass production techniques including the automated assembly of receiver elements will further reduce the element cost.

It should also be noted that the cost estimate in Table 1 is conservative for the following reasons:

- It might be possible to use a cheaper substrate.
- Further cost reductions might be possible if all the RF components could be integrated into a single chip.
- It might be possible to use two mixing stages instead of three.
- The use of etched filters rather than separate components could be viable.
- The synthesisers specified include mixers, thereby removing the need for separate mixers.

Table 1.Estimated cost of an	i individual receiver element.
------------------------------	--------------------------------

Component	Туре	Cost (USD)
Substrate	Rogers RO4003C substrate, 64 mil, 18"x24"	200
Low-noise amplifier (LNA)	Minicircuits PSA-5453+ and RFMD SGC4563Z	5
Limiter	Minicircuits RLM-33+	10
Variable attenuator	Minicircuits DAT-15R5-SP+	5
Synthesiser	3x RFMD RFFC5072	30
Mixers	3x Minicircuits LAVI-362VH+	75
Filters	Minicircuits HFTC- 16+, HFCN-740D+, RHP-180+	20
ADC	Analog Devices AD9446-100	70
Additional components	Capacitors, resistors, regulators, etc.	125
Etching and assembly		625
Total		1165

The goal of realising low-cost receiver elements appears to be achievable. For example, Ettus Research manufactures a number of Software-Defined Radio (SDR) systems out of which the most expensive is the USRP N210, which sells for USD 2195 when combined with an Ettus WBX RF daughterboard and an antenna. This system comprises a 100 MS/s ADC, a 400 MS/s digital-to-analogue converter (DAC), a 50 MHz to 2.2 GHz RF front end including a receiver and a transmitter, and an FPGA capable of 32 billion multiply-accumulate (MAC) operations per second. This Ettus system is far more capable, and thus expensive, than the receiver elements proposed here, as it contains a transmitter and a receiver.

89

CONCLUSION

Commercial wireless communication systems are becoming increasingly important due to their adoption by criminal, paramilitary and even military users. The use of information gleaned from cellular network operators to monitor and track mobile devices faces a host of legal and political challenges which are unlikely to be overcome in the near term, if ever. There is thus a requirement for ES systems designed to perform COMINT for commercial communication systems.

Commercial wireless communications present major challenges to ES systems due to the low power transmitted and extremely dense signal environments. Furthermore, short transmission times, slow frequency hopping and discontinuous transmission can lead to an extremely low POI. Finally, isolating a single user among the millions of users of commercial communication services is a daunting task.

A new system architecture which overcomes these difficulties is proposed. This architecture is based on the use of large numbers of simple, low-cost receiver elements to achieve high system performance. This approach has the potential to achieve high antenna gain, low receiver noise figure and is well-matched to modern signal-processing technologies allowing computationally-expensive algorithms to be implemented. The fact that digital signals are generated at each receiver means that the proposed architecture is well-matched to aerostat-based deployment. The modular Plessis, W.P.

nature of the proposed system enables a family of similar systems to be developed from the same basic building blocks. Finally, this new architecture is extremely versatile, allowing combinations of high antenna gain and wide spectral coverage to be achieved as required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to the anonymous reviewers for their many comments and suggestions which greatly improved this paper.

REFERENCES

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), 2005, "Technical specification group GSM/EDGE radio access network; Radio transmission and reception (Release 1999)", Std. 05.05, Rev. 8.20.0.

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), 2012, "Technical specification group radio access network; User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception (FDD) (Release 11)", Std. 25.101, Rev. 11.3.0.

Adamy, D.L., 2001, "EW 101: A first course in electronic warfare", Chapter 4, Artech House.

Adamy, D.L., 2009," EW 103: Tactical battlefield communications electronic warfare", Chapter 4, Artech House.

BBC News, 2011, "Africa's mobile phone industry 'booming'" [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-15659983. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Beaudufe, C., 2012, "South African success story under threat" [Internet]. Available from: http://www.sowetanlive.co.za/news/2012/05/07/ south-african-success-story-under-threat. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Eberspächer, J., Vögel, H.J. and Bettstetter, C., 1999, "GSM switching, services and protocols", 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Ettus, 2012, "Ettus Research" [Internet]. Available from: http://ettus. com/. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Gartner, 2011, "Gartner says worldwide mobile connections will reach 5.6 billion in 2011 as mobile data services revenue totals \$314.7 billion" [Internet]. Available from: http://www.gartner.com/it/page. jsp?id=1759714. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Gonzalez, G., 1997, "Microwave transistor amplifiers", Chapter 4, $2^{\rm nd}$ ed. Prentice Hall.

Lacey, M., 2011, "Smugglers guide illegal immigrants with cues via cellphone" [Internet]. Available from: www.nytimes.com/2011/05/09/ us/09coyotes.html. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Lazarus, M., 2010, "The great radio spectrum famine", IEEE Spectrum, Vol. 40, No. 10, pp. 26-31.

Lo, Y.T., 1964, "A mathematical theory of antenna arrays with randomly spaced elements", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 257-268.

Milian, M., 2012, "U.S. government, military to get secure Android phones", Cable News Network (CNN)" [Internet]. Available from:

http://edition.cnn.com/2012/02/03/tech/mobile/governmentandroid-phones/index.html. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Olivier, K., Cilliers, J.E. and du Plessis, M., 2011, "Design and performance of wideband DRFM for radar test and evaluation", Electronics Letters, Vol. 47, No. 14, pp. 824-825.

Population Reference Bureau (PRB), 2011, "2011 World Population Data Sheet" [Internet]. Available from: http://www.prb.org/Publications/ Datasheets/2011/world-population-data-sheet.aspx. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Raven Aerostar, 2012, "TIF-25K | Tethered aerostats | Raven Aerostar" [Internet]. Available from: http://ravenaerostar.com/products/ aerostats/tif-25k. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Redl, S.M., Weber, M.K. and Oliphant, M.K., 1995, "An introduction to GSM", Artech House.

Republic of South Africa (RSA), 2002, "Regulation of Interception of Communications and Provision of Communication-Related Information Act", Government Gazette, Vol. 451, No. 24286, pp. 2-96, Act 70 of 2002.

Roy, R. and Kailath, T., 1989, "ESPRIT – Estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques", IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. 37, No. 7, pp. 984-995.

Schmidt, R.O., 1986, "Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimation", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 34, No. 3, pp. 276-280.

Strother, T., 2007, "Cell phone use by insurgents in Iraq", Urban Warfare Analysis Center [Internet]. Available from: http://www. babylonscovertwar.com/Terrorist%20Groups/Weapon%20 Systems/Cell%20Phone%20Use%20by%20Insurgents%20in%20 Iraq.pdf. Accessed October 24, 2012.

TCOM, 2012, "TCOM aerostats – over 30 years of reliable performance" [Internet]. Available from: http://www.tcomlp.com/aerostats.html. Accessed October 24, 2012.

Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA), 1997, "A report on technology independent methodology for the modeling, simulation and empirical verification of wireless communications system performance in noise and interference limited systems operating on frequencies between 30 and 150 MHz", version 20.

Yücek, T. and Arslan, H., 2009, "A survey of spectrum sensing algorithms for cognitive radio applications", IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 116-130.