# 

Omar A. El Seoud,\* Paulo A. R. Pires, Carina Loffredo, Muhammad Imran, Paolo D. Pulcini, Michelle F. Corrêa and Rizwana Mustafa

Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, CP 26077, 05513-970 São Paulo-SP, Brazil

Dipolaridade/polarizabilidade do solvente (SDP) tem sido calculada a partir dos espectros de UV-Vis de 2-(*N*,*N*-dimetilamino)-7-nitro-9*H*-fluoreno e 2-fluoro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluoreno. Com base em cálculos teóricos (23 solventes) e dados experimentais (56 solventes), revela-se que 2-cloro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluoreno (disponível comercialmente) pode ser perfeitamente utilizado para o cálculo dessa propriedade, em vez de 2-fluoro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluoreno. A divisão de SDP nos seus componentes (dipolaridade (SD) e polarizabilidade (SP) do solvente) requer o uso de um composto sintético poliênico cuja síntese é trabalhosa, envolvendo 15 etapas. Nosso grupo de pesquisa mostrou recentemente que o corante natural  $\beta$ -caroteno pode ser convenientemente utilizado para a determinação de SP, permitindo o cálculo de SD. Utilizando estas sondas solvatocrômicas, SDP, SP e SD foram calculados para uma série de 1-bromoalcanos. Para várias séries homólogas, a dependência de SDP (SD e SP para uma série homóloga) com o número de átomos de carbono nos grupos 1-alquila ou acila foi calculada e discutida.

Solvent dipolarity/polarizability (SDP) has been previously calculated from the UV-Vis spectra of 2-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene and 2-fluoro-7-nitro-9*H*- fluorene. Based on theoretical calculations (23 solvents) and experimental data (56 solvents), it is shown that 2-chloro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (commercially available) can be conveniently employed for the calculation of this property, instead of its 2-fluoro-7-nitro counterpart. The splitting of SDP into its components (solvent dipolarity (SD) and polarizability (SP)) requires the use of a synthetic polyene compound whose synthesis is laborious, involving 15 steps. Our research group has recently shown that the natural dye  $\beta$ -carotene can be conveniently employed for the determination of SP, allowing the calculation of SD. Using these solvatochromic probes, SDP, SP and SD for a series of 1-bromoalkanes were calculated. For several homologous series, the dependence of solvent SDP (SD and SP for one series) on the number of carbon atoms in the 1-alkyl- or acyl-group was calculated and discussed.

Keywords: solvatochromism, solvent dipolarity/polarizability, solvent dipolarity, solvent polarizability,  $\beta$ -carotene

# Introduction

The effects of solvents on chemical phenomena, e.g., reactivity, equilibrium, spectroscopic transitions, are usually discussed in terms of non-specific and specific interactions between the species of interest (reactants, transition states, etc.) and the solvent. A successful approach to address solvent effects is to use a linear combination of the contributing solvent properties, i.e., a solvation free energy relationship, e.g.:  $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Effect of solvent} = RC_{\rm Acidity} \mbox{ (solvent acidity) +} \\ RC_{\rm Basicity} \mbox{ (solvent basicity) + } RC_{\rm Dipolarity/Polarizability} \mbox{ (solvent dipolarity/polarizability) } \end{array}$ 

where RC stands for regression coefficient. There are several versions of equation 1, e.g., that of Kamlet-Taft-Abboud; equation 2,<sup>1-3</sup> where RCs and the solvent descriptors are termed (a; b; s) and ( $\alpha$ ;  $\beta$ ;  $\pi^*$ ), respectively (d $\delta$  is a correction term for  $\pi^*$ ); and of Catalán (equation 3),<sup>4</sup> where RCs and the solvent descriptors are termed (a; b; dp) (SA; SB; SDP), respectively. For consistency, the acronym SDP is used instead of SPP employed by Catalán in order to denote solvent dipolarity/polarizability.

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: elseoud@iq.usp.br

Effect of solvent = 
$$a(\alpha) + b(\beta) + s(\pi^* + d\delta)$$
 (2)

Effect of solvent = 
$$a(SA) + b(SP) + dp(SDP)$$
 (3)

The cavity term has been dropped from equations 1 to 3, because excitation of the probes employed in the present work, *vide infra*, obeys the Franck-Condon principle. Equations 2 and 3 are applied by studying the phenomenon of interest in a series of solvents, followed by correlating the solvent effect with its descriptors (SA, SB, etc.). The relative importance of solvent acidity, basicity, etc. is deduced from the values of the calculated (standardized) regression coefficients. The solvent descriptors on the right-hand side of equations 2 and 3 are called solvatochromic parameters because their values are determined by using solvatochromic substances, hereafter designated as probes. These are dyes whose spectra, absorption or emission, are especially sensitive to solvent properties.<sup>5,6</sup> Figure 1 shows

the molecular structures of the probes of interest to the present work. The pair 2-(N,N-dimethylamino)-7-nitro-9H-fluorene (DMANF) and 2-fluoro-7-nitro-9H-fluorene (FNF) are homomorphs (compounds with closely similar molecular structures) and are employed for the calculation of SDP. As shown elsewhere, the polyene (ttbP9) is sensitive only to solvent polarizability; its use allows splitting of SDP into its components (SD and SP).<sup>4</sup>

The use of some these probes is associated with problems of cost and availability from chemical suppliers. FNF has been discontinued (Aldrich), although custom synthesis is offered; it can be synthesized by nitration of 2-fluoro-9*H*fluorene.<sup>7</sup> Relative to the commercially available CINF, whose molecular structure is similar to that of FNF, the first choice (custom synthesis) is 10 times more expensive. In addition to the labor involved in the synthesis of FNF from 2-fluoro-9*H*-fluorene, the cost of the latter is twice that of CINF. Therefore, the use of CINF is an attractive alternative



**Figure 1**. Molecular structures of the probes of interest to the present work: 2-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (DMANF), 2-fluoro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (FNF), 2-chloro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (CINF), (all *trans*) 1,1'-(3,7,12,16-tetramethyl-1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17-octadecanonaene-1,18-diyl) bis[2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexene], β-carotene and 3,20-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2,21,21-tetramethyl-3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19-docosanonaene, ttbP9.



Scheme 1. Steps of the synthesis of DMANF.

(less cost, no labor) for the determination of SDP, provided that its solvatochromic behavior is similar to that of FNF. The synthesis of ttbP9 is a major synthetic undertaking, involving 15 steps.<sup>8</sup> As recently shown, the natural dye  $\beta$ -carotene can be conveniently employed instead of ttbP9 for the determination of SP.<sup>9</sup>

The aim of the present work is to evaluate the use of CINF instead of FNF for the determination of SDP. Both theoretical calculation (23 solvents) and experimental results (56 solvents) showed excellent linear correlations between the frequencies of maximum absorption of both probes, i.e., CINF is a convenient alternative probe to FNF. The values of SP, hence SD of a series of 1-bromoalkanes, have been determined by using  $\beta$ -carotene and DMANF. The dependence of SDP (also SD and SP of 1-bromoalkanes) on the number of carbon atoms in the alkyl- or acyl chain of the studied liquids was calculated and discussed.

### Experimental

#### Materials and synthesis

The chemicals were purchased from Alfa-Aeser or Aldrich; the solvents were purified as shown elsewhere,<sup>10</sup> and stored over activated type 4 Å molecular sieves. The purity of the solvents was established by comparing the values of their densities (DMA-4500 digital density meter, Anton Paar), and overall empirical polarity,  $E_T(30)$  in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, with literature ones.<sup>3,11</sup> ClNF (99% pure) was employed after drying; its elemental analysis calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>8</sub>ClNO<sub>2</sub> was found to be (element, %): C, 63.5; H, 3.2; N, 5.7; found: C, 63.4; H, 3.0; N 5.5.

The probe DMANF was synthesized in two steps, as shown in scheme 1.<sup>12</sup> First, 2,7-dinitro-9*H*-fluorene was partially reduced into 2-amino-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (Pd/C catalyst; formic acid; triethylamine). The latter compound, after purification by flash column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> eluent; yield 45%), was submitted to reductive amination (reaction with paraformaldehyde) to produce DMANF, 91.0% yield. Elemental analysis calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was: C, 70.85; H, 5.55; N, 11.02; found: C, 70.56; H, 5.65; N, 10.90. The <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectral data (Varian Inova-300 NMR spectrometer, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) were similar to those published elsewhere.<sup>12</sup>

#### UV-Vis absorption spectra

UV-Vis spectroscopic measurements were carried out on a Shimadzu UV-2500 spectrophotometer, equipped with a model 4029 digital thermometer (Control Company), under the following experimental conditions: each spectrum was recorded at least thrice, at 140 nm min<sup>-1</sup>; slit width 0.5 nm; sampling interval of 0.2 nm. The instrument was routinely checked for  $\lambda_{max}$  accuracy against the known peaks of a holmium oxide glass filter (model 666-F1, Hellma Analytics, Müllheim). Maximum wavelength was determined from the first derivative function, calculated by using a commercial software (GRAMS/32 version 5.10, Galactic Industries); the uncertainty in  $\lambda_{max}$  is  $\pm$  0.2 nm. All spectra were recorded at 25  $\pm$  0.1 °C using quartz cells of 1 cm path length.

#### Calculations

#### Solvent SDP, SP and SD4,9

The value of SDP is calculated from equation 4:

$$SDP = \frac{\Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;solvent} - \Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;gas}}{\Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;DMSO} - \Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;gas}}$$
(4)

where  $\Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;solvent}$  is the difference between the wavenumbers of the longest wavelength (i.e., the solvatochromic) transition of the probes CINF and DMANF in the solvent of interest i.e.,  $(\tilde{v}_{CINF;solvent} - \tilde{v}_{DMANF;solvent}); \Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;gas}$  is the same difference, but in the gas phase; and  $\Delta \tilde{v}_{max;probe;DMSO}$  is the corresponding value for (the reference solvent) DMSO.

The experimentally unattainable  $\tilde{v}_{max;probe;gas}$  (all indicators are solid) is calculated by extrapolation to zero a plot of  $\tilde{v}_{max;probe;n-alkanes}$  vs. the Lorenz-Lorentz refractive index function  $f(n)^2 = (n^2 - 1)/(n^2 + 2)$  (where n = refractive index) for a homologous series of linear alkanes. The values of  $\tilde{v}_{max;probe;gas}$  are listed at the top of Table S1 in the Supplementary Information (SI) section; the corresponding one for  $\beta$ -carotene is 24402 cm<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>9</sup>

Manipulation of the data of DMANF and  $\beta$ -carotene permits the calculation of SD, as shown by equation 5:

$$SP_{\beta\text{-carotene}} = \frac{\widetilde{\nu}_{\max;\beta\text{-carotene};gas} - \widetilde{\nu}_{\max;\beta\text{-carotene};solvent}}{\widetilde{\nu}_{\max;\beta\text{-carotene};gas phase} - \widetilde{\nu}_{\max;\beta\text{-carotene};CS2}}$$
(5)

The plot of  $\tilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$  vs.  $SP_{\beta\text{-carotene;solvent}}$  is shown in Figure 2, in which the solvents can be grouped into two classes. Group A is located on a straight line; the rest (group B) clearly deviates from linearity. Group A includes gas phase plus alkanes,  $CCl_4$  and  $CS_2$ . These are solvents that influence DMANF only through their polarizability. Group B solvents affect  $\tilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF}$  through SD and SP, this leads to deviations from the straight line. Equation 6 is obtained from the linear regression of the straight line of Figure 2:

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;DMANF;solvent}}^{\circ} = (28134 \pm 49) - (4884 \pm 75) \text{ SP}_{\beta\text{-carotene;solven}}$$
(NS = 18; sd = 56.373; r = -0.9981 (6)

where the value  $\tilde{\nu}^{o}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$  represents the frequency that DMANF would have if its interaction with the solvents were governed only by SP. Thus, the difference between  $\tilde{\nu}^{o}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$  is proportional to the dipolarity component of this solvent, i.e., its SD.



Figure 2. Correlation between  $\tilde{v}_{max,DMANF}$  and  $SP_{\beta-carotene}$  for different media: group A (squares, including gas phase) and group B (triangles).

For group B solvents, the SD value can be obtained from the following equation:

$$SD = \frac{\widetilde{\nu}^{0}_{max;DMANF;solvent} - \widetilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;solvent}}{\widetilde{\nu}^{0}_{max;DMANF;DMSO} - \widetilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;DMSO}}$$
(7)

where the strongly dipolar DMSO is taken as a reference.

Therefore, the calculation of SD involves the following steps: determination of  $(\lambda_{max;DMANF;solvent})$  of the DMANF charge-transfer band in the solvents of interest, and subsequent calculation of the corresponding frequency ( $\tilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$ ); determination of the same spectral parameters for  $\beta$ -carotene and calculation of the corresponding SP<sub> $\beta$ -carotene</sub> from equation 5; calculation of  $\tilde{\nu}^{o}_{max;DMANF;solvent}$  from equation 6; and calculation of SD by application of equation 7.

#### Geometry optimization of the structures of FNF and CINF

FNF and ClNF had their geometries, in the gas phase, optimized in two steps, both using a density functional theory (DFT) calculation that employs B3LYP density functional; 6-311G(d,p) and CC-pVDZ basis sets were employed.

For both probes, the transition energies between the fundamental and excited state were calculated by time-

dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT), using BOP density functional and DH (Dunning/Hay double zeta) basis set with the application of long range correction. Values of frequencies of maximum absorption ( $\tilde{v}_{max}$ ) of the excited states with the largest oscillator strength are shown in Table S2 in the SI section. Solvent effects were simulated by using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) combined with SMD solvation model. The solvation free energy ( $\Delta G_{solvation}$ ) was calculated from the difference between the free energy of the probe (ground state) in the solvent and in the gas phase. All calculations were performed by using the version Oct 1 2010 (R3) of Gamess US program package.<sup>13</sup>

The quality of geometry optimization of both probes can be shown by the agreement between calculated bond lengths and angles with X-ray data of fluorene (to our knowledge, there are no X-ray data for FNF, CINF or 7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene), see Table S3 in the SI section.

## **Results and Discussion**

Can CINF be reliably employed instead of FNF for the determination of SDP?

In order to answer this question, two strategies were employed: (*i*) theoretically calculated energies of solvation and the  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  of both CINF and FNF for a set of representative solvents were compared; (*ii*) the experimental  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  values for a large set of solvents were compared.

### Theoretical calculations

With regard to (*i*), the following procedure was employed for each probe: the geometry was optimized in the gas phase; the optimized probes were then solvated in solvents for which parameterized data are available in the employed software package, *vide supra*. The set chosen covers nonpolar and weakly polar aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, dipolar aprotic solvents, and aliphatic alcohols.  $\Delta G_{solvation}$  and the values of  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  for both probes were calculated; the results are listed in Table S2 in the SI section.

Equations 8 and 9 (NS = number of solvents investigated; sd = standard deviation; r = correlation coefficient) and Figure 3 clearly show that there are excellent correlations between  $\Delta G_{\text{Solvation}}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{\text{max;Theo}}$  for both probes in the different solvents, with slopes of practically, or not far from unity. This indicates that the energies of solvation of the two probes are very similar; hence their solvatochromism responses to the same set of solvents correlate linearly.

$$\Delta G_{\text{Solvation;CINF;Theo}} = -0.839 + 1.003 \ \Delta G_{\text{Solvation;FNF;Theo}}$$
(NS = 23; sd = 0.0831; r = 0.9989) (8)

El Seoud et al.



Figure 3. Correlation between theoretically calculated frequencies of maximum absorption,  $\widetilde{\nu}_{max,probe}$  of FNF and CINF.

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{max;CINF;Theo} = 1237 + 0.947 \,\widetilde{\nu}_{max;FNF;Theo} (NS = 23; sd = 17.634; r = 0.9995)$$
(9)

Comparison of the experimental  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;Exp}}$  and the calculated SDP values

The experimental values of  $\tilde{v}_{max;FNF;Exp}$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{max;CINF;Exp}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{max;DMANF;Exp}$  are listed in Table S1 in the SI section, along with the corresponding SDP. The equations employed for the calculations of these parameters are given in the Calculations item in the Experimental section.



Figure 4. Correlation between experimental SDP values of FNF and CINF.

The excellent agreement between the solvatochromic responses of ClNF and FNF is evident from equations 10 and 11 and in Figure 4 (for representative solvents).

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;CINF;Exp}} = (2671 \pm 268) + (0.910 \pm 0.001) \widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;FNF;Exp}}$$
(NS = 56; sd = 29,395; r = 0.9948) (10)

$$SDP_{CINF;Exp} = (0.05 \pm 0.01) + (0.93 \pm 0.01) SDP_{FNF;Exp}$$
  
(NS = 56; sd = 0.0149; r = 0.9872) (11)

Both results show that our initial expectation is confirmed, i.e., because of the similar molecular structures, both probes respond similarly to solvent dipolarity/ polarizability, 2-chloro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene (CINF) is a convenient probe for the determination of SDP.

After establishing that CINF can be employed instead of FNF, SDP was determined for a series of 1-bromoalkanes that are extensively employed in the synthesis of ionic liquids with increasing hydrophobic character.<sup>14</sup> DMANF and  $\beta$ -carotene were employed as solvatochromic probes in order to determine SD and SP for these liquids, the results are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Values of SP and SD for homologue series of 1-bromoalkanes<sup>a</sup>

entry	Compound	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max}},$ $\beta\text{-carotene}$ / cm^-1	SD	SP
1	1-bromoethane	21750	0.593	0.722
2	1-bromobutane	21741	0.525	0.725
3	1-bromohexane	21734	0.438	0.727
4	1-bromooctane	21724	0.390	0.729
5	1-bromodecane	21715	0.360	0.732
6	1-bromododecane	21703	0.286	0.735

<sup>a</sup>The equations employed for the calculations of these parameters are given in the Calculations item of the Experimental section.

The dependence of SDP of some homologous series (Table S1 in SI) on the number of carbon atoms (Nc) in the alkyl- or acyl group of the compounds are shown below, along with the same dependence of SD and SP for 1-bromoalkanes:

(*i*) Bromoalkanes:

$SDP = 0.914 - 0.057 Nc - 0.005 Nc^2 (NS = 6,$	
sd = 0.017; r = 0.9685)	(12)
SD = 0.643 - 0.035 Nc (NS = 6, sd = 0.015,	
r = 0.9932)	(13)
SP = 0.718 + 0.001 Nc (NS = 6, sd = 0.001,	
r = 0.9784)	(14)

(*ii*) Linear-chain alcohols: SDP = 0.866 - 0.013Nc (NS = 7, sd = 0.003, r = 0.9954) (15)

(*iii*) 2-Alkoxyethanols: SDP = 0.903 - 0.015 Nc (NS = 9, sd = 0.006, r = 0.9959) (16)

(iv) Ethyl esters of carboxylic acids: SDP = 0.802 - 0.010 Nc (NS =7, sd = 0.009, r = 0.9779) (17) (v) Ionic liquids: SDP = 0.999 - 0.019 Nc (NS = 6, sd = 0.007, r = 0.9946) (18)

Except for one case (1-bromoalkanes), the dependence of SDP on Nc is linear; for these (linear) plots, the slopes are negative, i.e., the dipolarity/polarizability decreases as a function of increasing chain length of the compound. The reason for the non-linear dependence of SDP on Nc for 1-bromoalkanes is not readily explainable at present; data for several homologous series should be examined. It is relevant however, that the slopes of the SD and SP *vs.* Nc plots for this series are negative and positive, respectively. This behavior agrees with chemistry because dipolar interactions are expected to decrease as a function of increasing alkyl-chain length while keeping the dipolar bond  $(-C^{\delta_{+}}-Br^{\delta_{-}})$  constant. On the other hand, the Lorenz-Lorentz refractive index function of this series (a measure of SP) increases as a function of increasing Nc.

# Conclusions

The results of theoretical calculations and experimental data clearly lead to the same conclusion: CINF and FNF respond similarly to solvent dipolarity/polarizability. This similarity, as evidenced by equations 10 and 11, and Figures 3 and 4, argues convincingly that both probes can be employed interchangeably for the calculation of SDP. The commercial availability of CINF and the green dye  $\beta$ -carotene at reasonable cost simplify the determination of the solvatochromic parameters, a prerequisite for understanding the effects of solvents on chemical phenomena. For homologous series, the dependence of SDP, SD and SP on their molecular structures is mostly linear, showing that the effects of increasing chain length of the alkyl- or acyl group on these solvent properties are additive.

## Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information of this article is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as a pdf file.

### Acknowledgements

We thank FAPESP (São Paulo Research Foundation) for financial support, and the following for research fellowships: Petrobrás SA (C. Loffredo); CNPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) (O. El Seoud); CNPq/TWAS, the Academy of Sciences for the Developing World (M. Imran). We thank C. Guizzo for his help.

# References

- Kamlet, M. J.; Abboud, J.-L. M.; Taft, R. W.; Prog. Phys. Org. Chem. 1981, 13, 485.
- Laurence, C.; Nicolet, P.; Dalati, M. T.; Abboud, J.-L. M.; Notario, R.; J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 5807.
- Reichardt, C.; Welton, T.; Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry, 4<sup>th</sup> ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 2011.
- 4. Catalán, J.; J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 5951.
- 5. El Seoud, O. A.; Pure Appl. Chem. 2007, 79, 1135.
- 6. El Seoud, O. A.; Pure Appl. Chem. 2009, 81, 697.
- Miller, J. A.; Sandin, R. B.; Miller, E. C.; Rusch, H. P.; *Cancer Res.* 1995, *15*, 188.
- Klein, D.; Kiliçkiran, P.; Mlynek, C.; Hopf, H.; Dix, I.; Jones, P. G. A; *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 10507.
- Loffredo, C.; Pires, P. A. R.; Imran, M.; El Seoud, O. A.; *Dyes Pigm.* 2013, 96, 16.
- Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C.; *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed.; Elsevier: New York, USA, 2003.
- Lide, D. R.; CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 85<sup>th</sup> ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA, 2004.
- Saroja, G.; Pingzhu, Z.; Ernsting, N. P.; Liebscher, J.; J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 987.
- Schmidt, M. W.; Baldridge, K. K.; Boatz, J. A.; Elbert, S. T.; Gordon, M. S.; Jensen, J. H.; Koseki, S.; Matsunaga, N.; Nguyen, K. A.; Su, S.; Windus, T. L.; Dupuis, M.; Montgomery, J. A.; *J. Comput. Chem.* **1993**, *14*, 1347.
- Pinkert, A.; Marsh, K. N.; Pang, S.; Staiger, M.; Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 6712.

Submitted: February 18, 2013 Published online: June 7, 2013

FAPESP has sponsored the publication of this article.

# Convenient Solvatochromic Probes for the Determination of Solvent Properties: β-Carotene and 2-Chloro-7-nitro-9*H*-fluorene

Omar A. El Seoud,\* Paulo A. R. Pires, Carina Loffredo, Muhammad Imran, Paolo D. Pulcini, Michelle F. Corrêa and Rizwana Mustafa

Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, CP 26077, 05513-970 São Paulo-SP, Brazil



Figure S1. Numbering of the heavy atoms of the nitrofluorene halo-compounds. X = F or Cl.

Table S1. Frequencies of the absorption maximum of the longest wavelength transitions,  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  of FNF, ClNF and DMANF, and the corresponding solvent dipolarity/polarizability (SDP)

No.	Solvent	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max;FNF;Exp}  /  cm^{\text{-1 } a,b}$	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max;CINF;Exp}$ / $cm^{\text{-1 c}}$	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;Exp}$ / $cm^{\text{-1}a,b}$	(SDP) <sub>FNF</sub> <sup>a,b</sup>	(SDP) <sub>CINF</sub> <sup>c</sup>			
	gas	32923	32522	28231	0	0			
	Aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons								
1	1-pentane	31056	30901	25290	0.507	0.513			
2	1-hexane	30984	30839	25191	0.519	0.528			
3	1-heptane	30931	30784	25125	0.526	0.532			
4	1-octane	30888	30735	25047	0.542	0.543			
5	1-nonane	30840	30712	24978	0.552	0.561			
6	1-decane	30807	30685	24925	0.562	0.571			
7	1-dodecane	30764	30641	24863	0.571	0.578			
8	1-pentadecane	30708	30605	24792	0.578	0.592			
9	1-hexadecane	30731	30621	24814	0.578	0.590			
10	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	30984	30905	25163	0.533	0.564			
11	cyclohexane	30764	30629	24891	0.557	0.563			
		Halog	enated compounds						
12	dichloromethane	29812	29786	23263	0.876	0.868			
13	chloroform	29763	29743	23405	0.786	0.796			
14	tetrachloromethane	30544	30398	24513	0.632	0.620			
15	1,2-dichloroethane	29836	29772	23258	0.89	0.865			
16	2,2,2-trifluoroethanol	29441	29451	22824	0.908	0.909			
17	1-chlorobutane	30449	30364	23984	0.837	0.813			
18	1-bromoethane	-	30088	23716°	-	0.810			
19	1-bromobutane	_	30107	23807°	_	0.781			
20	1-bromohexane	-	30184	23929°	-	0.764			
21	1-bromooctane	-	30257	23989°	-	0.769			
22	1-bromodecane	_	30449	24023°	_	0.830			
23	1-bromododecane	_	30880	24119°	_	0.961			

\*e-mail: elseoud@iq.usp.br

## Table S1. continuation

No.	Solvent	$\tilde{v}_{max:ENE:Exp} / cm^{-1}$ at	$\tilde{v}_{max:CINE:Exp}/cm^{-1}c$	$\tilde{v}_{max:DMANE:Exp} / cm^{-1} a, b$	(SDP) <sub>ENE</sub> <sup>a,b</sup>	(SDP) <sub>CINE</sub> <sup>c</sup>		
	Aromatic compounds							
24	benzene	30019	29953	23913	0.667	0.680		
25	toluene	30028	29988	23947	0.655	0.681		
26	xylenes	30120	30065	24123	0.616	0.642		
27	anisole	29775	29768	23339	0.823	0.832		
28	tetralin	29944	29908	23836	0.668	0.693		
29	chlorobenzene	29726	29701	23288	0.824	0.825		
30	nitrobenzene	29290	29280	22463	1.008	0.982		
			Nitriles					
31	acetonitrile	30091	30104	23502	0.895	0.899		
32	benzonitrile	29417	29398	22693	0.959	0.939		
		Aliph	atic and cyclic ethers					
33	1,4-dioxane	30296	30219	24118	0.701	0.704		
34	THF	30181	30164	23712	0.838	0.841		
35	diethyl ether	30751	30646	24589	0.694	0.687		
36	di(1-butyl) ether	30733	30615	24659	0.652	0.648		
37	1-butyl methyl ether	30669	30579	24504	0.695	0.694		
38	bis (2-methoxyethyl) ether	30051	30029	23547	0.855	0.852		
		Carl	poxylic acid amides					
39	DMF	29652	29645	22939	0.954	0.939		
40	DMAC	29651	29673	22902	0.97	0.965		
		DI	MSO and pyridine					
41	DMSO	29368	29419	22557	1.000	1.000		
42	pyridine	29528	29518	22883	0.922	0.912		
			Alcohols					
43	methanol	30302	30282	23795	0.857	0.854		
44	ethanol	30365	30318	23865	0.853	0.841		
45	1-propanol	30290	30235	23802	0.847	0.833		
46	1-butanol	30338	30253	23873	0.837	0.813		
47	1-pentanol	30342	30265	23918	0.817	0.800		
48	1-hexanol	30333	30241	23923	0.81	0.788		
49	1-octanol	30366	30274	24009	0.785	0.768		
50	2-propanol	30315	30304	23825	0.848	0.851		
51	2- butanol	30357	30295	23880	0.842	0.826		
52	2-methylpropan-2-ol	30403	30369	23955	0.829	0.826		
53	3-methylbutan-1-ol	30387	30335	23970	0.814	0.807		
54	2-pentanol	30344	30267	23984	0.787	0.775		
55	2-hexanol	30402	30368	23916	0.847	0.841		
56	2-octanol	30383	30291	24025	0.786	0.768		
57	cyclopentanol	30048	30035	23522	0.865	0.864		
58	cyclohexanol	30139	30069	23652	0.847	0.827		
59	ethylene glycol	29626	29612	22959	0.932	0.919		
60	allyl alcohol	29972	29945	23426	0.875	0.867		
	Miscellaneous compounds							
61	hexamethylphospho-triamide	29481	29446	22815	0.932	0.910		
62	triethylamine	30823	30750	24824	0.617	0.636		
63	acetic acid	30298	30258	23950	0.781	0.785		
64	2-butanone	30106	30025	23548	0.881	0.850		

#### Table S1. continuation

No.	Solvent	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;FNF;Exp}}  /  cm^{\text{-1 a,b}}$	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\text{max;CINF;Exp}}$ / $cm^{\text{-1 c}}$	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max;DMANF;Exp}$ / cm <sup>-1</sup> a,b	(SDP) <sub>FNF</sub> <sup>a,b</sup>	(SDP) <sub>CINF</sub> <sup>c</sup>
		Carb	oxylic acid esters			
65	methyl acetate	30400	30292	24044	0.785	0.761
66	1-propyl acetate	30396	30291	24047	0.782	0.760
67	1-butyl acetate	30420	30302	24067	0.784	0.756
68	2-propyl acetate	_	30197	23867	_	0.793
69	di(1-butyl) phthalte	_	29955	23316	_	0.913
70	propylene carbonate	29721	29665	23059	0.930	0.900
71	methyl formate	30323	30198	23927	0.804	0.770
72	ethyl acetate	30423	30376	24047	0.795	0.793
73	ethyl propionate	30396	30328	24064 <sup>d</sup>	0.774	0.767
74	ethyl butyrate	_	30395	24140 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.764
75	ethyl pentanoate	_	30375	24170 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.744
76	ethyl hexanoate	-	30362	24187 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.733
77	ethyl decanoate	_	30329	24209 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.711
78	ethyl dodecanoate	-	30297	24263 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.678
		2-1	Alkoxyethanols			
79	2-methyloxyethanol	_	29919	23368 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.879
80	2-ethyloxyethanol	_	30054	23522 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.872
81	2-(1-propyloxy)ethanol	_	30075	23572 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.860
82	2-(1-butyloxy)ethanol	_	30109	23635 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.849
83	2-(1-pentyloxy)ethanol	_	30086	23655 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.832
84	2-(1-hexyloxy)ethanol	_	30149	23756 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.817
85	2-(1-octyloxy)ethanol	_	30214	23930 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.775
86	2-(1-decyloxy)ethanol	_	30288	24050 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.757
87	2-(1-tetradecyloxy)ethanol	_	30305	24248 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.687
		Carbox	ylic acid anhydrides			
88	acetic anhydride	30025	29985	23384 <sup>d</sup>	0.897	0.880
89	propionic anhydride	_	30045	23555 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.871
90	butanoic anhydride	_	30001	23527 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.849
91	pentanoic anhydride	-	29927	23597 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.793
92	hexanoic anhydride		29181	23618 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.495
			Ionic liquids <sup>e</sup>			
93	AlMeImCl	-	29030	22230°	-	0.976
94	AlBuImCl	_	29080	22398°	_	0.930
95	AlHxImCl	-	29109	22552 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.881
96	AlHpImCl	_	29130	22589 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.875
97	AlOcImCl	_	29147	22658 <sup>d</sup>	_	0.855
98	AlDcImCl	-	29178	22813 <sup>d</sup>	-	0.807

<sup>a</sup>Data taken from literature.<sup>2</sup> <sup>b</sup>Data taken from literature.<sup>3</sup> <sup>c</sup>Present work. <sup>d</sup>Data taken from literature.<sup>4</sup> <sup>e</sup>The acronyms of ionic liquids stand for 1-allyl-3-(1-alkyl)imidazolium chlorides, where Me, Bu, Hx, Hp, Oc, and Dc stand for methyl, 1-butyl, 1-heytyl, 1-heytyl, 1-octyl and 1-decyl, respectively.

	Solvent	FNF			CINF		
entry		$\Delta G_{Solvation} / (kcal mol^{-1})$	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max}$ / $cm^{\text{-1}}$	$\Delta \widetilde{ m v}_{ m max}$ / %	ΔG <sub>Solvation</sub> / (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\widetilde{\nu}_{max}$ / cm^{-1}	$\Delta \widetilde{v}_{max}$ / %
1	methanol	-7.83	26377	12.95	-8.64	26190	13.51
2	ethanol	-8.57	26503	12.72	-9.41	26315	13.20
3	1-propanol	-8.45	26500	12.51	-9.30	26311	13.06
4	1-butanol	-8.22	26512	12.61	-9.08	26322	12.99
5	1-hexanol	-7.96	26564	12.43	-8.84	26371	12.73
6	1-octanol	-7.61	26621	12.33	-8.50	26424	12.72
7	1-decanol	-7.11	26714	_	-8.00	26511	_
8	nitromethane	-10.12	27228	8.73	-10.86	27031	_
9	cyanomethane	-10.83	27254	9.43	-11.59	27056	10.13
10	1-cyanopropane	-11.51	27343	9.07	-12.30	27141	_
11	1-cyanobutane	-11.74	27378	_	-12.55	27175	_
12	1,4-dioxane	-6.75	28142	7.11	-7.69	27857	7.82
13	THF	-10.57	27555	8.70	-11.42	27333	9.39
14	acetone	-11.12	27343	9.28	-11.94	27139	_
15	DMSO	-8.05	27300	7.04	-8.82	27103	7.87
16	DMF	-9.78	27299	7.94	-10.60	27101	8.58
17	heptane	-10.08	28299	8.51	-11.06	27999	9.05
18	cyclohexane	-12.01	27608	10.26	-12.88	27380	10.61
19	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-12.33	27314	8.38	-13.21	27105	9.00
20	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	-11.44	27420	7.87	-12.39	27194	8.57
21	$\text{CCl}_4$	-11.38	28099	8.00	-12.41	27816	8.49
22	ClCH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> Cl	-11.37	27262	8.63	-12.23	27056	9.12
23	benzene	-10.95	28047	6.57	-12.01	27768	7.30
Gas phase							
24		_	29630	10.00 -	29216	10.34	

**Table S2.** Theoretically calculated Gibbs free energy of solvation,  $\Delta G_{Solvation}$ , and values of the frequency of maximum absorption,  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ , for FNF and ClNF

 $\frac{-\cdot}{\text{For each probe } \Delta \tilde{v}_{max} = 100} \frac{\tilde{v}_{max;Exp} - \tilde{v}_{max;Theo}}{\tilde{v}_{max;Exp}}$ , where Exp. and Theo are experimental and theoretical values, respectively. Values of  $\tilde{v}_{max;Exp}$  are listed in Table S1 in the SI section.

**Table S3.** Optimized bond lengths, angles and dihedrals of 2-fluoro-7-nitrofluorene (FNF) and 2-chloro-7-nitrofluorene (CINF), calculated by DFT using B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) followed by B3LYP/CC-pVDZ and X-ray experimental data of fluorene (see heavy atom numbering in Figure S1). For the three molecules, the ring structure is planar

Dond	Bond / cm					
Bolid	FNF	CINF	Fluorene <sup>a</sup>			
C1-C2	1.395	1.399	1.388			
C2–C3	1.397	1.401	1.383			
C3-C4	1.396	1.396	1.391			
C4–C4a	1.400	1.400	1.391			
C4a–C4b	1.467	1.467	1.491			
C4b-C5	1.401	1.401	1.391			
C5-C6	1.395	1.395	1.391			
C6–C7	1.399	1.399	1.383			
C7–C8	1.400	1.400	1.388			
C8–C8a	1.388	1.388	1.397			
C8a–C9	1.514	1.514	1.504			
C9–C9a	1.513	1.514	1.505			
C9a-C1	1.392	1.392	1.397			
C2–X	1.349	1.758	_			
C7–N	1.475	1.476	_			
N-01	1.227	1.227	_			
N-O2	1.227	1.226	_			
Bond		Angle / degree				
C1-C2-C3	122.8	121.9	122.0			
C2-C3-C4	119.2	119.7	121.3			
C3-C4-C4a	119.2	119.2	117.0			
C4–C4a–C4b	131.0	131.1	130.1			
C4a–C4b–C5	131.0	131.0	130.1			
C4b-C5-C6	119.1	119.1	117.0			
C5-C6-C7	119.3	119.3	121.3			
C6-C7-C8	122.6	122.7	122.0			
C7–C8–C8a	117.7	117.7	117.4			
C8-C8a-C9	129.2	129.2	128.9			
C8a–C9–C9a	102.7	102.7	102.7			
C9-C9a-C1	129.1	129.0	128.9			
C9a-C1-C2	117.6	118.1	117.4			
C1C2X	118.6	119.0	-			
C3-C2-X	118.6	119.1	_			
C6-C7-N	118.8	118.7	-			
C8-C7-N	118.6	118.6	-			
C7-N-O1	117.5	117.5	-			
C7-N-O2	117.7	117.7	-			
O1-N-O2	124.8	124.8	_			
Bond		Dihedral / degree				
C8-C7-N-O1	0.001	-0.005	_			
C6-C7-N-O2	-0.001	-0.001	_			
C8-C7-N-O1	0.001	-0.005	_			

<sup>a</sup>Data taken from literature.<sup>1</sup>

# References

- Belskii, V. K.; Zavodnik, V. E.; Vozzhennikov, V. M.; Acta Crystallogr, Sect.C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1984, 40, 1210.
- 2. Catalán, J.; López, V.; Pérez, P.; Martín-Villamil, R.; Rodríguez, J.-G.; *Liebigs Ann.* **1995**, 241.
- 3. Catalán, J.; López, V.; Pérez, P.; Liebigs Ann. 1995, 793.
- Pires, P. A. R.; Imran, M.; Loffredo, C.; Donate, P. M.; Previdi, D.; El Seoud, O. A.; *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2013, 26, 280.