



Journal of Coloproctology

www.jcol.org.br



Review Article

Study of defecation disorders in elderly patients



Isaac José Felipe Corrêa Neto ^{a,b,*}, Mario Mosca Neto ^{a,b}, Vanessa Santos Lanfranchi ^b, Thales Ranieri Pedrosa ^b, Henrique Carvalho e Silva Figueiredo ^a, Jéssica Mocerino ^a, Marcia Pascutti ^b, Laercio Robles ^{b,c}

^a Hospital Santa Marcelina, Faculdade Santa Marcelina, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^b Faculdade Santa Marcelina, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^c Department of General Surgery, Hospital Santa Marcelina, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 November 2019

Accepted 22 January 2020

Available online 22 February 2020

Keywords:

Defecation disorders

Pelvic floor

Seniors

Anal incontinence

Intestinal constipation

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Defecation disorders, whether anal incontinence or chronic intestinal constipation, are frequent pelvic floor alterations in the general population and are more common in those with risk factors, i.e., in the elderly, women with an obstetric background, and those with comorbidities, history of pelvic radiotherapy, diabetics, the bedridden, or those with history of orifice surgery, among others.

Objective: To analyze the incidence of defecation disorders in geriatric patients treated at the Medical Specialties Outpatient Service (MSOS) of Hospital Santa Marcelina.

Methods: Prospective, randomized study that interviewed the same patients in two moments: 1) subjective anamnesis through spontaneous history and 2) objective anamnesis with specific questionnaires to assess anal incontinence and chronic constipation.

Results: Between March 2016 and June 2017, 149 patients were analyzed, of whom 114 (76.5%) were female, with a similar mean age between genders; 51.67% had symptoms of anal incontinence and/or chronic constipation. Only 35.5% of patients with complaints of fecal leakage or flatus spontaneously reported them, while 87.1% of constipated patients did so. In the present study, no significant correlation was observed between the mode of delivery ($p=0.106$), pregnancy ($p=0.099$), and the number of deliveries ($p=0.126$) with anal incontinence. In turn, there was no higher incidence of chronic intestinal constipation in females ($p=0.099$) and most patients with this complaint had Bristol type 1 or 2 stools.

Conclusion: The incidence of defecation disorders in the geriatric population is high and, most notably, anal incontinence is not spontaneously reported by most patients.

© 2020 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: isaacneto@hotmail.com (I.J. Corrêa Neto).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcol.2020.01.006>

2237-9363/© 2020 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Estudo dos distúrbios da defecação em pacientes idosos

R E S U M O

Palavras-chave:

Distúrbios da evacuação

Assoalho pélvico

Idosos

Incontinência anal

Constipação intestinal

Introdução: Os distúrbios da evacuação, seja a incontinência anal ou a constipação intestinal crônica, representam alterações do assoalho pélvico bastante frequente na população em geral e mais comumente naqueles com fatores de risco, ou seja, em idosos, mulheres com passado obstétrico, comorbidades, antecedente de radioterapia pélvica, diabéticos, acamados, história de cirurgias orificiais, dentre outros.

Objetivo: Analisar a incidência de distúrbios defecatórios em pacientes geriátricos atendidos no Ambulatório de Especialidades Médicas (AME) do Hospital Santa Marcelina.

Metodologia: Estudo prospectivo e aleatório com a entrevista do mesmo paciente em dois momentos: 1) Anamnese subjetiva através da história espontânea e 2) Anamnese objetiva com questionários específicos para avaliação de incontinência anal e constipação intestinal crônica.

Resultados: Foram analisados 149 pacientes entre Março de 2016 e Junho de 2017, sendo 114 (76,5%) do sexo feminino com média de idade semelhante entre os sexos; 51,67% apresentavam sintomas de incontinência anal e/ou constipação intestinal crônica. Apenas 35,5% dos pacientes com queixas de escape de fezes ou flatos relataram de forma espontânea e 87,1% dos pacientes constipados o fizeram. No presente estudo não se verificou correlação significativa entre via de parto $p=0,106$, gestação $p=0,099$ e número de partos $p=0,126$ com incontinência anal. Por outro lado, não se verificou maior incidência de constipação intestinal crônica no sexo feminino $p=0,099$ e a maioria dos pacientes com essa queixa apresentavam fezes ressecadas tipo Bristol 1 ou 2.

Conclusão: Incidência de distúrbios da defecação na população geriátrica é elevada e, notadamente a IA não é referida de forma espontânea pela maioria dos pacientes.

© 2020 Sociedade Brasileira de Coloproctologia. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Defecation disorders, whether anal incontinence or intestinal constipation, are frequent pelvic floor alterations in the general population and are more common in those with risk factors, i.e., in the elderly, women with an obstetric background, and those with comorbidities, history of pelvic radiotherapy, diabetics, the bedridden, or those with history of orifice surgery, among others.^{1,2}

Anal incontinence (AI) is defined as the involuntary and recurrent passage of feces or gas through the anal canal.^{3–5} It is a multifactorial disorder with a significant impact on quality of life, due to its physical and psychological consequences,^{6–8} and it is the second leading cause of institutionalization in the elderly population in the United States.^{9,10} Its estimated incidence ranges between 2% and 7%,⁵ and can reach up to 13.6% in individuals over 65 years¹¹ and 16.9% in those over 85 years. However, it should be noted that these data are widely underestimated,¹² since about 50% to 70% of patients with AI have never reported it to their physicians,^{13,14} which makes the research on this condition extremely important.

In turn, intestinal constipation is one of the most common functional gastrointestinal disorders, with high prevalence in the population, affecting 16% of adults and 33% of those over 60 years of age,¹⁵ notably females,^{16,17} with a female to male prevalence of 2:1.¹⁸ Furthermore, severe intestinal

constipation, i.e., less than two bowel movements per month, is practically exclusive to women.¹⁹

To identify and classify chronic constipation, the Rome III criteria²⁰ can be used to define constipation through a combination of objective (frequency of stools, manual maneuvers necessary for defecation) and subjective symptoms (dry stools, feeling of incomplete evacuation, and feeling of obstruction).

In a study conducted by the authors,²¹ a higher incidence of constipation was observed in female patients ($p=0.002$), without a statistically significant correlation with age ($p=0.576$). Moreover, a substantial correlation was observed between reported or subjective constipation with the Rome III criteria, with a kappa value of 0.665.

Thus, with the progressive aging of the population, this subject has become more relevant due to the associated conditions. In this regard, data from the Brazilian Institute for Geography and Statistics indicate that, in 2050, the life expectancy of Brazilians at birth will be 81.3 years, the same as that of the Japanese population, and in that same year, the elderly population over 65 will reach 18%, equaling that of those under 14 years.²² In the United States, the life expectancy of a 65-year-old woman is another 20 years, and the population over 85 years is expected to increase from five to 20 million between the years 2000 and 2050.²³

Objective

To analyze the incidence of defecation disorders in geriatric patients treated at the Medical Specialties Outpatient Clinic (MSOC) of Hospital Santa Marcelina and to correlate the pelvic floor disorders with symptoms of depression in this age group.

Methods

This was prospective, randomized study carried out by interviewing patients at the Geriatrics and Gerontology MSOC of Hospital Santa Marcelina between March 2016 and June 2017. To collect data, the same patient was interviewed in two moments. In the first instance, a subjective case history was obtained through spontaneous history-taking; in a second analysis, conducted immediately after the first, a directed questionnaire was applied, objectively and directly addressing whether the patient referred symptoms of AI and/or constipation, with subsequent questioning of possible risk factors for both AI and constipation.

All patients over the age of 60 years that were attended to at the outpatient clinic during the referred period were included; those with intestinal diversion or who did not consent to participate in the research were excluded.

The analyzed data were as follows: sex, age, comorbidities, medications in use, body mass index (BMI), previous pelvic or orifice surgery, history of depression, history of smoking and alcohol use, defecation disorders (using the Rome III criteria), AI symptoms (using the Cleveland Clinic AI index), and stool consistency. In cases of female participants, the following obstetric data were collected: number of pregnancies, number of deliveries, number of abortions/miscarriages, routes of delivery, use of forceps, history of episiotomy, and highest fetal weight.

All patients signed an informed consent, and the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Hospital Santa Marcelina with substantiated opinion number 3,308,394.

Statistical analysis

This was a descriptive study using means and standard deviations for quantitative variables; their qualitative distribution was assessed using the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test when necessary.

All tests performed took into account a bidirectional α of 0.05 and a 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and were performed using IBM SPSS v. 23 and Excel 2010® (Microsoft Office).

Results

A total of 149 elderly patients from the Geriatrics and Gerontology MSOC of Hospital Santa Marcelina were randomly and prospectively analyzed between March 2016 and June 2017, 114 (76.5%) of whom were female; the mean age was 77.49 years in women (60–103 years) and 78.31 years in men (60–94 years). Of the total, 96.65% of patients had at least one comorbidity, and the mean BMI in men was 26.81 kg/m² (14.5–39.08 kg/m²) in men and 27.33 (11.7–48.68 kg/m²) in women.

Complaints of defecation disorders (symptoms of AI and/or intestinal constipation) were recorded in 77 patients (51.67%): 12 men (34.28%) and 65 women (57.01%).

Regarding the spontaneous report of fecal leakage and/or flatus, it was found that 64.5% of the patients did not report it, except when questioned directly; of the patients with symptoms of AI, 85.4% were female, occurring in 36% of the elderly women and 20% of the elderly men; this difference in incidence was not statistically significant ($p = 0.803$). In this group of patients, the minority (8.3%) had soft stools (Bristol 5, 6, or 7).

Moreover, of the women with symptoms of AI, 97.5% had vaginal delivery, while in those without this morbidity the percentage was 90.7% ($p = 0.106$). No statistically significant correlation was observed between pregnancy ($p = 0.099$) and number of deliveries ($p = 0.126$) with symptoms of AI.

When assessing the comorbidities in geriatric patients, it was observed that 75 individuals (50.33%) had diseases with potential risk factors for AI and, of these, 33% reported symptoms of AI. Moreover, 28.26% had associated symptoms of constipation.

In turn, 93.75% of patients who met the Rome III constipation criteria reported the symptom spontaneously, with a mean age of 78.87 years. Of these patients, 78.12% were women ($p = 0.099$) and 56.25% had dry stool (Bristol 1 or 2).

It was also observed that 42 patients reported some degree of depression (28.18%), with an incidence of 17.14% in men and 31.57% in women. Of these 42 patients, 40.47% had symptoms of AI and nine (21.42%) had intestinal constipation assessed using the objective Rome III criteria. When stratifying the referred symptom of depression to sex, 44.44% of women with depression had AI and 16.67% of them had intestinal constipation. In turn, 16.67% of men with depression had AI and 33.33% of them had intestinal constipation.

Discussion

The progressive increase in life expectancy worldwide and in Brazil, combined with other rising factors, such as obesity and diabetes mellitus, will lead to a greater incidence of pelvic floor disorders in the not-so-distant future, especially in patients with other risk factors for this morbidity (Table 1).

With advancing age, decompensated clinical conditions, such as diabetes mellitus, stroke, cognitive alterations, and impaired mobility, apparently have a more prominent influence on continence mechanisms, whether anal or urinary, than direct damage to the pelvic floor,^{24,25} according to data presented here; the present study did not observe evidence of a significant correlation between parity and route of delivery with symptoms of fecal leakage and flatus.

Studies report the incidence of AI ranging from 7% to 12%^{26,27} and, similarly to other studies in the literature,^{1,28,29} the present study observed an incidence of elderly people with symptoms of high AI (30.87%). Also corroborating previous data, most patients do not report this symptom to their physicians spontaneously; in addition to other physical and emotional problems, this issue may lead them to isolation from social life.^{3,13} Regarding the presence of AI symptoms in the elderly, the literature indicates an incidence

Table 1 – Incidence and epidemiological data of defecation disorders in the elderly (anal incontinence and constipation).

	Anal incontinence	Intestinal constipation
Incidence	30.87%	20.8%
Spontaneously reported symptom	35.5%	87.1%
Mean age	79.43 years (60–103 years)	78.87 years (65–96 years)
Mean BMI	27.08 kg/m ² (11.7–48.68 kg/m ²)	27.18 (15.47–48.68)
Index	82.6% mild anal incontinence	90.32% > 2 Rome III criteria
Consistency of stool	8.69% Bristol 6 or 7	54.83% Bristol 1 or 2

of approximately 15% in the community, 18%–33% in hospitals, 38% in nursing homes, and between 50% to 70% in elderly institutions.^{30–32}

Kang et al.³³ demonstrated a significant increase in the incidence of AI in people over 50 years ($p=0.001$), without an important difference between men and women (6.2% vs. 6.8%; $p=0.717$) and without a predilection for patients with diabetes ($p=0.069$). There was also a statistically significant correlation, in general, between AI, more liquid stools ($p<0.001$), irritable bowel ($p=0.04$), and somatization of symptoms ($p=0.001$). However, when comparing people older and younger than 50 years, the factors involved with a higher incidence of fecal leakage and flatus were consistency of the stools ($p=0.002$), functional diarrhea ($p=0.001$), functional constipation ($p=0.025$), and somatization of symptoms ($p=0.016$).

In Brazil, Lopes³⁴ demonstrated, in his Master Degree's thesis, an AI prevalence of 10.9% in the elderly attended to at a general geriatric outpatient clinic of the Hospital das Clínicas of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of São Paulo, while in the present study this incidence was 30.87%.

Regarding the coexistence of comorbidities with possible risk factors for defecation disorders, it was observed that 50.33% of the elderly had diseases that are potential risk factors for AI. Similarly, Troncon et al.³⁵ analyzed digestive symptoms in patients with diabetes and compared them with individuals without diabetes; those authors demonstrated a 16.9% rate of constipation in this population and a 4.5% rate of AI, but the difference in prevalence between groups was not statistically significant.

Furthermore, it is also noteworthy that 40.42% of patients with depression reported flatus leakage or staining of garments; 44.44% of women with depression had AI. Similarly, Wu et al.²⁵ observed an important association between this modifiable risk factor and AI, with an increase of up to five-fold; Matthews et al. also demonstrated this association, with a risk factor of 2.28.²⁴

In turn, consistent with the literature data,^{15,16,21,36} intestinal constipation was present in 20.8% of the elderly studied. Moreover, this symptom is more spontaneously reported by patients: an equivalence was observed between reported constipation and constipation verified through the Rome III criteria, as demonstrated in previous studies.^{21,37} Regarding the association of constipation with depression, in the present study this correlation was observed in 16.67% and 33.33% of women and men, respectively. In this sense, Gomes et al.³⁶ found a relative risk of 1.96 for antidepressant consumption and constipation in females, with greater influence of one morbidity on another in women than in men ($p<0.05$).

Finally, this study presents data that allow the authors to infer that age is in itself an important risk factor for pelvic

floor defecation disorders, since there was no statistical significance nor a clear link between pregnancy, delivery route, and number of deliveries with symptoms of AI in the elderly. Furthermore, in this age group, unlike others, sex did not have a statistical influence on a higher percentage of constipation in females.

As a limiting factor of the study, it is noteworthy the fact that the study was conducted in a specialized outpatient clinic rather than in the general population; however, the methodology of the study and the interview method, which aimed to assess how the symptoms were naturally reported, makes it a valid warning to health professionals who work with the geriatric population.

Conclusion

The incidence of defecation disorders in the geriatric population is high, particularly AI; moreover, AI is not a condition that patients naturally report to their physicians. Therefore, it is imperative to be aware of the risk factors involved in these disorders in order to provide better care to these patients.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bharucha AE, Dunivan G, Goode OS, Lukacz EM, Markland AD, Matthews CA, et al. Epidemiology, pathophysiology and classification of fecal incontinence: state of the science summary for the national institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases (NIDDK) workshop. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110:127–36.
2. Corrêa Neto IJF, Pinto RA, Jorge JMN, Santo MA, Bustamante-Lopez LA, Ceconello I, et al. Are obese patients at an increased risk of pelvic floor dysfunction compared to non-obese patients? *Obes Surg*. 2017;27:1822–7.
3. Bharucha AE, Zinsmeister AR, Locke GR, Seide BM, McKeon K, Schleck CD, et al. Prevalence and burden of fecal incontinence: a population-based study in women. *Gastroenterology*. 2005;129:42–9.
4. Navarro JM, Sebastián AA, Vicente FP, Romero AMS, Legaz JP, Paz PS, et al. Sacral root neuromodulation as treatment for fecal incontinence. Preliminary results. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2007;99:636–42.
5. Oliveira L, Jorge JMN, Yusuf SAI, Habr-Gama A, Kiss D, Ceconello I. Novos tratamentos para a incontinência anal: injeção de silicone melhora a qualidade de vida em 35 pacientes incontinentes. *Rev Bras Coloproct*. 2007;27:167–73.

6. Rockwood TH, Church JM, Fleshman JW, Kane RL, Mavrantonis C, Thorson AG, et al. Anal incontinence quality of life scale: quality of life instrument of patients with anal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2000;3:9–17.
7. Yusuf SAI. Avaliação da qualidade de vida na incontinência anal: validação do questionário “Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life” (FIQL). Tese de mestrado da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo; 2001.
8. Melenhorst J, Kock SM, Van Germet WG, Baeten CG. The artificial bowel sphincter for faecal incontinence: a single centre study. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2008;23:107–11.
9. Gordon PH, Nivatvongs. Principles and practice of surgery for the colon, rectum and anus. 3rd ed New York: Informa Healthcare; 2007. p. 293–332.
10. Lahr C. Evaluation and treatment of incontinence. *Pract Gastroenterol*. 1998;102:895–901.
11. Aspiroz F. Guía práctica sobre incontinencia anal. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2003;95:722–6.
12. Dorcaratto D, Vilalta MM, Pérez D. Indicacion actual, técnica quirúrgica y resultados de la reparación anterior esfinteriana en el tratamiento de la incontinencia fecal. *Cir Esp*. 2010;87:273–81.
13. Johanson JF, Lafferty J. Epidemiology of fecal incontinence: the silent affliction. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1996;91:33–6.
14. Galandiuk S, Roth LA, Greene QJ. Anal incontinence – sphincter ani repair: indications, techniques, outcome. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2009;394:425–33.
15. Bharucha AE, Dorn SD, Lembo A, Pressman A. American Gastroenterological association medical position statement on constipation. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;144:211–7.
16. Bharucha AE, Pemberton JH, Locke III GR. American gastroenterological association technical review on constipation. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;144:218–38.
17. Chang FY, Chen PH, Wu TC, Pan WH, Chang HY, Wu SJ, et al. Prevalence of functional gastrointestinal disorders in Taiwan: questionnaire-based survey for adults based on the Rome III criteria. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2012;21:594–600.
18. Jiang C, Xu Q, Wen X, Sun H. Current developments in pharmacological therapeutics for chronic constipation. *Acta Pharm Sin*. 2015;5:300–9.
19. Higgins PD, Johanson JE. Epidemiology of constipation in North America: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004;99:750–9.
20. Longstreth GF, Thompson WG, Chey WD, Houghton LA, Mearin F, Spiller RC. Functional bowel disorders. *Gastroenterol*. 2006;130:1480–91.
21. Corrêa Neto JF, Maneira ALC, Teixeira NB, Vettorato BD, Oliveira MC, Menezes TAT, et al. There is an agreement between constipation referred and that documented by objective criteria? *J Coloproctol*. 2016;36:153–6.
22. Oliveira JC, Albuquerque FRPC, Lins IB. Projeção da população do Brasil por sexo e idade para o período de 1980–2050 – Revisão 2004. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2004.
23. Campion EW. The oldest old. *New Engl J Med*. 1994;3330:1819–20.
24. Matthews CA, Whitehead WE, Townsend MK, Grodstein F. Risk factors for urinary, fecal, or dual incontinence in the nurses’ health study. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;122:539–45.
25. Wu JM, Matthews CA, Vaughan CP, Markland AD. Urinary, fecal and dual incontinence in older U.S. Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63:947–53.
26. Whitehead WE, Borrud L, Goode PS, Meikle S, Mueller ER, Tuteja A, et al. Fecal incontinence in US adults: epidemiology and risk factors. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137:512–7.
27. Melville JL, Fan MY, Newton K, Fenner D. Fecal incontinence in US women: a population-based study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;193:2071–6.
28. Markland AD, Goode OS, Burgio KL, Redden DT, Richter HE, Sawyer P, et al. Incidence and risk factors for fecal incontinence in black and white older adults: a population-based study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2010;58:1341–6.
29. Dunivan GC, Heymen S, Palsson OS, Von Korff M, Turner MJ, Melville JL, et al. Fecal incontinence in primary care: prevalence, diagnosis and healthcare utilization. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;202, 493.e1–6.
30. Nelson R, Furner S, Jesudason V. Fecal incontinence in Wisconsin nursing homes: prevalence and associations. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1998;41:1226–9.
31. Bliss DZ, Harms S, Garrard JM, Cunanan K, Savik K, Gurvich O, et al. Prevalence of incontinence by race and ethnicity of older people admitted to nursing homes. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2013;14, 451.e1–7.
32. Wagg AS, Chen LK, Kirschner-Hermanns REA. Incontinence in the frail elderly. In: Abrams P, Cardozo L, Khoury S, et al., editors. *Incontinence*. 5th ed Paris, France: International Continence Society; 2013.
33. Kang HW, Jung HK, Kwon KJ, Song EM, Choi JY, Kim SE, et al. Prevalence and predictive factors of fecal incontinence. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2012;18:86–93.
34. Lopes MC. Prevalência da incontinência anal no idoso. Estudo epidemiológico com base na população atendida no Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo. Em regime ambulatorial (dissertação). São Paulo: Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo; 1994.
35. Troncon LEA, Lopes RP, Simão MN, Iquegami M, Silva LR, Souza MAN, et al. Frequência de sintomas digestivos em pacientes brasileiros com diabetes mellitus. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2001;47:157–64.
36. Gomes S, Duarte YAO, Santos JLF. Intestinal constipation in the elderly and associated factors – SABE Study. *J Coloproctol*. 2019;39:101–6.
37. Collete VL, Araújo CL, Madruga SW. Prevalência e fatores associados à constipação intestinal: um estudo de base populacional em Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil, 2007. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2010;26:1391–402.