ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Nauplius

THE JOURNAL OF THE BRAZILIAN CRUSTACEAN SOCIETY

> e-ISSN 2358-2936 www.scielo.br/nau www.crustacea.org.br

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR Sergio Cházaro-Olvera chazaro@campus.iztacala.unam.mx

SUBMITTED 19 November 2019 ACCEPTED 5 May 2020 PUBLISHED 7 August 2020

DOI 10.1590/2358-2936e2020032

All content of the journal, except where identified, is licensed under a Creative Commons attribution-type BY.

Nauplius, 28: e2020032

Larval development of *Libinia rhomboidea* Streets, 1870 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Majoidea) under laboratory conditions

Sergio Cházaro-Olvera¹ Dorcid.org/0000-0002-0667-0043 Claudia Carmona-Osalde² Orcid.org/0000-0002-0079-5005 Miguel Rodríguez-Serna² Orcid.org/0000-0002-2588-4194

- Laboratorio de Crustáceos, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Av. de los Barrios No.1, Los Reyes Iztacala, C.P. 54090, Tlalnepantla, Estado de México, México.
 SCO E-mail: chazaro@campus.iztacala.unam.mx
- 2 Laboratorio de Biotecnología Acuícola, Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación, Unidad Sisal, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Puerto de Abrigo s/n, CP 97355, Sisal, Yucatán, México. CCO Email: turix_cco@yahoo.com.mx MRS Email: mrserna@ciencias.unam.mx
- **ZOOBANK**: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:4334591B-37D7-480B-B815-BC7341A46404

ABSTRACT

In this study, the larval development of *Libinia rhomboidea* Streets, 1870 under laboratory conditions is described and compared with the description of Libinia spinosa H. Milne Edwards in Guérin, 1832, Libinia dubia H. Milne Edwards, 1834, and Libinia emarginata Leach, 1815 published by other authors. The ovigerous females collected released larvae asynchronously. Two zoeas and one megalopa stage were obtained. Larval development required 13 to 14 days at 20.5 – 22.0°C and 32 – 35 psu of salinity. The differences in *L. rhomboidea* with respect to the other three species are the following: in the first zoea, the total length and carapace length were greater and the number of setae on the basial endite of the maxillula was different; in the second zoea the size of the endopod and the number of setae on the scaphognathite of the maxilla were greater; in the megalopa, the total length and carapace length were both greater, while the number of setae on the scaphognathite of the maxilla was less. A great similarity between L. rhomboidea and L. dubia was found; however, it is possible to distinguish the larval morphology of *L. rhomboidea* from the three species compared.

Keywords

Gulf of Mexico, larval description, megalopa, spider crab, zoea

INTRODUCTION

The spider crabs of the superfamily Majoidea have symbiotic relationships throughout their life history. Larvae and juveniles are associated with scyphozoan jellyfish (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2016) and adults can be hosts of algae, sponge and cnidarian epibionts, thus expanding the distribution of these sessile organisms while obtaining protection (camouflage) (Nogueira and Haddad, 2005; Hultgren and Stachowicz, 2011).

In particular, majid crabs of the genus *Libinia* Leach, 1815 are marine crustaceans that are naturally distributed throughout the coast of the Gulf of Mexico (Felder *et al.*, 2009). In addition to the ecological importance of spider crabs, for many years they have constituted a very important resource for Yucatán people due to their use as bait in octopus fishing (Zaldivar-Rae *et al.*, 2009).

In recent years, since 2000, the collapse of the African octopus fisheries has led to an opening of international markets for the Yucatecan octopus, which has increased exploitation of the crabs as bait; so the local population of *Libinia dubia* H. Milne Edwards, 1834 has diminished (CONABIO, 2010). This may have allowed *Libinia rhomboidea* Streets, 1870 to expand the distribution of its populations along the coasts of Yucatan, where its capture has increased (Carmona-Osalde *et al.*, 2018).

Species of the genus *Libinia* go through five phases of color change in the egg during their development (Carmona and Rodríguez, 2012). Complete larval development comprises two zoea stages and a megalopa stage and requires 9 – 30 days, according to species (Yang, 1967; Boschi and Scelzo, 1968; Sandifer and van Engel, 1971).

So, of the four species of the genus *Libinia* with distributions in the SW and SE of the Gulf of Mexico (Felder *et al.*, 2009), the full larval descriptions of *L. dubia* (see Sandifer and van Engel, 1971) and *Libinia emarginata* Leach, 1815 (Johns and Lang, 1977) are known. However, the descriptions of *Libinia erinacea* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1879) larvae (Yang, 1967) are still incomplete and that of *L. rhomboidea* is lacking.

The spider crab *L. rhomboidea* is currently distributed in the north of the Yucatan Peninsula, on the coast of Yucatan and is historically found from Massachusetts, United States of America, to

Cuba (Williams *et al.*, 1977). In the present study the larval stages of *L. rhomboidea* reared under laboratory conditions are described and compared with other larvae from the genus *Libinia* from the Atlantic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of experimental organisms

Crabs were collected from January to May 2018, using artisanal bottom shrimp traps that were 0.8 m long, 0.50 m wide and 0.4 m high at 20 m depth, with fish as bait. The collections were carried out within the coordinates 21°19' - 21°10'N and 90°09' - 90°02'W, located off the coast of the Port of Sisal, Yucatán. One hundred individuals of L. rhomboidea were obtained and were identified to species level by means of identification keys and the support of the members of the National Crustacean Collection of the Institute of Biology of the Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico. Later, females were added to this collection with the number CNCR: 34650. They were sexed and kept at the Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación (UMDI) aquatic biotechnology laboratory. Forty ovigerous females with eggs in stage V development (Carmona and Rodríguez, 2012) were separated from the sample and placed individually in 20 L aquariums with filtered natural seawater in the laboratory under controlled conditions of temperature (20.5 – 22.0°C), salinity (32 – 35 psu) and constant aeration. The females were fed with Camaronina® 25% protein; the unconsumed food was eliminated with a siphon. Hatching occurred after 60 ± 12 h and immediately some zoea I larvae were collected for descriptive purposes.

Management, maintenance and description of larvae

Once the larvae were released, they were transferred to 5 L plastic aquariums, at a density of 60 larvae L⁻¹. The conditions of temperature, salinity, and constant aeration were similar to that of the females and they were subject to a natural light-dark regime (12:12 L:D ratio). The zoea I and zoea II were fed *ad libitum* with 5 ml of each microalgae (*Chaetoceros* Ehrenberg, C.G. 1844 and *Tetraselmis* Stein F, 1878, *Spirulina* Gomont, 1892) and fresh *Artemia* Leach, 1819 nauplii (10 nauplii mL⁻¹). The megalopae were fed on *Artemia* sp. metanauplii. Each aquarium was checked daily to remove the exuvia and dead larvae; later, the water was changed, and food was supplied. The zoeae and megalopae were preserved in 70% ethanol.

Five zoeae and megalopa were examined, dissected and illustrated in detail (Clark and Cuesta, 2015) using a camera lucida, a Motic SMZ-168 and BA-210 microscope. Measurements were made in millimetres (mm) with a digital camera and the software Omax 14MP USB 3.0. Illustrations were completed using Corel Draw v. 12.

Descriptions of the morphological characters were made following Clark *et al.* (1998) and Clark and Cuesta (2015). Measurements of total length (TL), cephalothorax length (CL), cephalothorax width (CW), dorsal spine length (DL), rostral spine length (RL), length from tip of rostral spine to tip of dorsal spine (RDL) were made. The average ± standard deviation of each measurement was obtained.

RESULTS

Development

Zoea 1 moulted to zoea 2 in 4 ± 1 days, zoea 2 moulted to megalopa at 5 ± 1 days, the latter moulted to the first crab at 4 ± 1 days.

Larval Description

Two zoeal stages and one megalopa stage were obtained during the rearing period.

Libinia rhomboidea Streets, 1870 *Synonymy. Libinia inflata* Streets, 1870

First zoea (Figs. 1, 2)

Size. TL= 2.71 ± 0.02 mm; CL = 0.83 ± 0.02 mm; CW 0.70 ± 0.01 mm; DL = 0.50 ± 0.01 mm; RL = 0.10 ± 0.01 mm; RDL = 1.45 ± 0.01 mm.

Cephalothorax (Fig. 1A, B). Spherical, dorsal spine well developed, rostral spine length shorter than the length of the antenna curved towards the ventral margin, without lateral spines. One pair of setae between the dorsal spine and the eyes. A pair of plumose setae at the antero-ventral margin and three setae at the postero-ventral margin. Eyes sessile.

Pleon (Fig. 1A, C). Five pleonites; pleonite 2, with dorsolateral processes; pleonites 3 – 5 with short lateral

processes; pleonites 2 – 5 with a pair of posterodorsal setae. Telson bifurcated, posterior margin with 3 pairs of serrulate setae. Furcae only with lateral spines.

Pleopods (Fig. 1C). Absent.

Antennule (Figs. 1A, D). Uniramous. Endopod absent. Exopod unsegmented with 4 terminal aesthetascs (2 long and 2 smaller) and 1 short simple terminal seta.

Antenna (Fig. 1A, E). Biramous. Protopod well developed, spinous process armed with strong spines arranged in two lines. Endopod bud medially on protopod. Exopod bud elongated and tapering to a point, 2 subterminal spines shorter than protopod.

Mandible (Fig. 1F). Antero-ventral margin with 5 teeth; 1 tooth on postero-median margin. Palp absent.

Maxillule (Fig. 2A). Coxal endite with seven (five terminal and two subterminal) sparsely plumose setae. Basial endite with 5 sparsely plumose terminal setae and 2 plumodenticulate subterminal setae. Endopod 2-segmented, proximal segment with one sparsely plumose seta, distal segment with 5 sparsely plumose terminal setae.

Maxilla (Fig. 2B). Coxal endite bilobed with 4 + 3 plumose setae. Basial endite bilobed with 5 + 4 sparsely plumose setae. Endopod unsegmented, with 4 sparsely plumose terminal setae and microtrichia on external margins. Scaphognathite with 9 plumose marginal setae and a plumose apical tip.

First maxilliped (Fig. 2C). Coxa without setae. Basis with 9 mesial sparsely plumose setae arranged 2+2+3+3 (proximal to distal). Endopod 5-segmented with 3, 2, 1, 2, 5 sparsely plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented, distal segment 4 long plumose, natatory, terminal setae.

Second maxilliped (Fig. 2D). Coxa without setae. Basis 3 long sparsely plumose setae arranged 1+1+1. Endopod 3-segmented, 0, 1, 4 sparsely plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented, 4 long plumose, natatory, terminal setae.

Third maxilliped (Fig. 1A). Rudimentary. Pereiopods (Fig. 1A). Rudimentary.

Second zoea (Figs. 3, 4)

Size: $TL = 2.92 \pm 0.09$ mm; $CL = 1.07 \pm 0.05$ mm; $CW = 0.93 \pm 0.05$ mm; $DL = 0.53 \pm 0.02$ mm; RL 0.27 ± 0.02 mm; RDL = 1.61 ± 0.05 mm.



Figure 1. *Libinia rhomboidea* first zoea. (A) Dorsal view; (B) cephalothorax front view; (C) pleon; (D) antennule; (E) antenna; (F) mandible.

Cephalothorax (Fig. 3A, B). Size similar to that of former stage; 3 plumose setae at the antero-ventral margin and 3 plumose setae at the postero-ventral margin. Eyes stalked.

Pleon (Fig. 3A, C). Six somites. Somite 2 with pair of dorsolateral processes. Somites 2 - 5 with 2

pleopod buds ventrally. Abdominal somite 6 separated from telson and without postero-lateral spines. Telson bifurcated, posterior margin with 3 pairs of spinulate setae. Furcae with only lateral spines.

Pleopods (Fig. 3C). Rudimentary (buds).



Figure 2. *Libinia rhomboidea* first zoea. (A) Maxillule; (B) maxilla; (C) first maxilliped; (D) second maxilliped.

Antennule (Fig. 3D). Similar to that of former stage. Exopod unsegmented with 6 aesthetascs and 1 simple terminal seta.

Antenna (Fig. 3 E). Similar to that of former stage. Endopod bud $0.75 \times$ length of protopod.

Mandible (Fig. 3F). Palp-bud apparent on anterodorsal surface.

Maxillule (Fig. 4 A). Coxal endite with 4 sparsely plumose terminal setae and 3 sparsely plumose subterminal setae. Basial endite with 7 terminal plumodenticulate and 2 sparsely plumose subterminal setae. Endopod 2-segmented, proximal segment with 1 sparsely plumose seta, distal segment with 4 sparsely plumose distal terminal setae and 1 sparsely plumose subterminal seta.

Maxilla (Fig. 4B). Similar to that of former stage. Endopod unlobulated, 5 sparsely plumose terminal setae, microtrichia on external margins. Scaphognathite with 25 plumose setae and a plumose apical tip.

First maxilliped (Fig. 4C). Similar to that of former stage. Endopod 5-segmented with 3, 2, 1, 2, 5 sparsely plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented, distal segment with 6 long plumose, natatory, terminals setae.

Second maxilliped (Fig. 4D). Similar to that of former stage. Endopod 3-segmented, with 0, 1, 4 sparsely plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented, with 6 long plumose, natatory, setae.

Third maxilliped (Fig. 4A). Rudimentary. Pereiopods (Fig. 4A). Rudimentary.

Megalopa (Figs. 5 – 7)

Size. TL = 2.41 ± 0.06 mm; CL = 1.24 ± 0.07 mm; RL = 0.37 ± 0.02 mm; CW 0.80 ± 0.03 mm.

Cephalothorax (Fig. 5A, B). Rostral spine present, rounded at tip and deflected downward, rostral spine length shorter than the length of the antennae. Anterodorsal region, posterior and ventral margins without setae. Eyes sessile. Gastric region with ridges on each side and divided by shallow transverse groove; posterior carination higher than anterior. Cardiac region with small ridge on each lateral side and with a median protuberance, the highest point on carapace. Hepatic lobes somewhat hemispherical in shape. Carapace setation (simple setae): 2 on anterolateral margins of orbital region, 3 on lateral margins of mid-orbital region, 8 – 10 on posterior part of front, 4 between anterior gastric carination, 2 between posterior gastric carination, 1 on each posterior gastric carination, 2 anterior to and 2 posterior to cardiac median protuberance, 1 on each lateral cardiac ridge, 1 on lateral margin of each hepatic lobe, numerous setae on posterior margin of carapace.

Pleon (Fig. 5A, B). 6 pleonites plus telson. Abdominal setation (simple setae): somite 1, two setae on postero-dorsal margin; somites 2 and 3, two setae on mid-dorsal surface and four on postero-dorsal margin; somites 4 and 5, six setae on postero-dorsal margin; somite 6, two setae on postero-dorsal margin; telson, two setae on mid-dorsal surface.

Antennule (Fig. 5A, C). Peduncle 3-segmented; from proximal to distal with 0, 2, 1 simple setae. Inner flagellum unsegmented, 3 simple terminal setae. Dorsal flagellum 3-segmented; proximal segment bare, penultimate segment with 6 aesthetascs and 1 small dorsal simple seta, distal segment with 6 aesthetascs and 1 large simple subterminal seta.

Antenna (Fig. 5A, D). Peduncle 3-segmented; basal article with 1 dorsal seta, the following 2 distal segments with 2 and 3 simple setae, respectively. Flagellum 4-segmented; proximal and antepenultimate segments bare, penultimate segment with 4 simple subterminal setae, distal segment with 3 long simple terminal setae.

Mandible (Fig. 5E). Palp 3-segmented; distal segment with 5 plumose setae. Masticatory process rounded.

Maxillule (Fig. 6A). Coxal endite with 10 plumodenticulate setae (7 terminal + 3 subterminal). Basial endite with 16 setae (7 terminal plumodenticulate, 6 sparsely plumose and 3 subterminal plumodenticulate). Endopod unsegmented, 3 large sparsely plumose setae.

Maxilla (Fig. 6B). Coxal endite bilobed, 3 + 5 plumodenticulate setae. Basial endite bilobed, 5 - 6 + 6 - 7 plumodenticulate setae. Endopod simple, with 1 simple terminal seta. Scaphognathite with 28 - 30 plumose marginal setae and 3 inner simple setae.

First maxilliped (Fig. 6C). Coxal endite with 6-7 plumodenticulate setae. Basial endite with 10-11 plumodenticulate setae. Endopod unsegmented, with 3 sparsely plumose terminal setae. Epipod with 3-5 long plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented; proximal segment with 1 sparsely plumose terminal seta, distal segment with 4 long sparsely plumose terminal setae.



Figure 3. *Libinia rhomboidea* second zoea. (A) Dorsal view; (B) cephalothorax front view; (C) pleon; (D) antennule; (E) antenna; (F) mandible.



Figure 4. Libinia rhomboidea second zoea. (A) Maxillule; (B) maxilla; (C) first maxilliped; (D) second maxilliped.

Second maxilliped (Fig. 6D). Endopod 4-segmented, with 0, 1, 3, 6 sparsely plumose setae (proximal to distal segment). Exopod 2-segmented; distal segment with 4 long plumose terminal setae. No epipod present.

Third maxilliped (Fig. 6E). Coxal-epipod with 9 - 10 sparsely plumose setae. Epipod with 4 large plumose setae. Exopod 2-segmented; distal segment with 4 long plumose setae and 2 smaller simple terminal setae. Endopod 5-segmented with 9 - 10,

8 - 9, 6 - 7, 6, 4 (proximal to distal) sparsely plumose setae, crista dentata with 4 teeth.

Pereopod 1 to 5 (Fig. 7A - E). Moderately setose, cheliped similar to adult form (Fig. 4A).

Pleopod 2 – 5 and uropods (Fig. 7F – J). Exopod 2-segmented; plumose swimming setae on distal segments as follows: 8 (terminal) + 1 (subterminal), 10 + 1, 10 + 1, 8 + 1, and uropods with 5. Endopod of pleopod 2 to 5 small, with 2 small subterminal hooks; uropods without endopod.



Figure 5. *Libinia rhomboidea* megalopa. (A) Dorsal view; (B) lateral view; (C) antennule; (D) antenna; (E) mandible.



Figure 6. Libinia rhomboidea megalopa. (A) Maxillule; (B) maxilla; (C) first maxilliped; (D) second maxilliped; (E) third maxilliped.



Figure 7. *Libinia rhomboidea* megalopa. (**A**) Cheliped; (**B**) pereiopod 2; (**C**) pereiopod 3;, (**D**) pereiopod 4; (**E**) pereiopod 5; (**F**) pleopod 1; (**G**) pleopod 2; (**H**) pleopod 3; (**I**) pleopod 4; (**J**) uropod and telson

DISCUSSION

In the present study larvae developed optimally because the ovigerous female *L. rhomboidea* were collected from January to May 2018 at temperatures that represent the mean temperature during the larval season, as is mentioned by Johns and Lang (1977).

Planktonic larvae of Libinia spp. have been found in samples taken from the mouth of Chesapeake Bay to lower York River in waters of 15.74 – 32.34 psu salinity and 20 – 28.1°C temperature (Sandifer, 1973). On the other hand, Shanks (1998) reported the presence of megalopae of Libinia spp. in water temperatures of 15 -20°C and salinity of 24 – 35 psu at the Army Corps of Engineers' Field Research Facility pier in Duck, North Carolina. Bursey (1982) described L. emarginata and L. dubia as moderately euryhaline, they survive 21 -49 psu sea water. In natural environments, adults of L. emarginta have been found in a salinity range of 15 - 32 psu (Winget et al., 1974) and they are common to temperatures between 10 and 20°C (O'Brien et al., 1999). The above is consistent with the salinity and temperature data recorded in this work (20.5 -22.0°C and 32 and 35 psu) for L. rhomboidea. These are also close to the values reported by Sandifer and van Engel (1971) for the cultivation of L. dubia, who maintained values of 25.5 - 28.5°C and 22 psu salinity, as well as those reported by Johns and Lang (1977) for the cultivation L. emarginata, with values of 25°C and 30 psu salinity in South Carolina and values of 20°C and 30 psu salinity in Rhode Island.

The duration of development within the genus *Libinia* is related to temperature, at 20°C the development of *Libinia spinosa* H. Milne Edwards in Guérin, 1832 required from 20 - 30 days (Boschi and Scelzo, 1968), while *L. erinacea* and *L. emarginata* required 14 days (Yang, 1967; Johns and Lang, 1977). Temperatures above 25° C decrease the time of larval development: at $25.5 - 28.5^{\circ}$ C *L. dubia* and *L. erinacea* only needed 9 days (Yang, 1967; Sandifer and Van Engel, 1971). In this study we found that the time required to complete the development of *L. rhomboidea* larvae was 13 to 14 days at a temperature of $20 - 22^{\circ}$ C.

Majoid larval development includes only two zoeae stages and one megalopa stage (Luppi and Spivak, 2016). Rice (1980) mentioned that the majoid zoeae could be distinguished from most other brachyurans in having no lateral carapace spine, no lateral process on the third abdominal somite, and a telson fork with a single lateral spine. Consistent with the above, L. rhomboidea is a species-typical for the superfamily Majoidea (Gurney, 1942; Hart, 1960). It is important to mention here that the zoeal morphology of a large number of species supports the conclusions from phylogenetic relationships, even more accurately than adult morphology, since the zoeae live in a uniform planktonic environment (Clark, 2009). Particularly, the intrapopulation variability in zoeae morphological characteristics of *L. rhomboidea* is low. In this regard, other brachyuran species present greater variation (Guerao et al., 2006) and this is related to ecological and genetic factors, which largely determine the variability during the ontogenetic process (Guerao et al., 2008).

The larval morphology of species of Majoidea in the families Epialtidae, Inachidae, Inachoididae, Majidae and Oregoniidae has been previously used to propose relationships among families and subfamilies and to construct phylogenies (Luppi and Sivak, 2016). Marques and Pohle (1998) considered the possible phylogenetic relationships among species of the genus *Libinia* and used characters of the larvae of the genus *Pisa* Leach, 1814 and *Libinia*, as representatives of the subfamily Pisinae, in a study of phylogenetic hypotheses. They found that the subfamily was significantly separated, suggesting that they might be paraphyletic.

A morphological comparison between the three *Libinia* species present in the SW and SE of the Gulf of Mexico and the species *L. spinosa*, which is distributed in the south Atlantic, was made. The morphological differences of the first zoea of *L. rhomboidea* with the species in the genus *Libinia* are that: in the first zoea, the total length and carapace length are greater and the number of setae on basial endite of the maxillula is different; in the second zoea the size of the endopod and the number of setae in the scaphognathite of the maxilla are greater (Tabs. 1, 2).

The megalopae of *L. dubia*, *L. emarginata*, and *L. rhomboidea* all lack a dorsal spine, while *L. spinosa* has a distinct dorsal spine, which curves posteriorly (Boschi and Scelzo, 1968). The median cardiac protuberance of *L. dubia* megalopa is single (Sandifer

 Table 1. First zoea of Libinia spp. Character comparison of four species. ND, not described; Nm, not mentioned; (*) obtained from the figure. Bold type indicates observed differences.

Structure	Character	<i>L. spinosa</i> (see Boschi and Scelzo, 1968)	<i>L. dubia</i> (see Sandifer and Van Engel, 1971)	<i>L. emarginata</i> (see Johns and Lang, 1977)	L. rhomboidea (Current study)
Cephalothorax					
	Total length (mm)	2.3	2.28 ± 0.26	2.15 ± 0.15	$\textbf{2.75} \pm \textbf{0.05}$
	Carapace length	0.8	0.81 ± 0.05	0.78 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.85}\pm\textbf{0.05}$
	Lateral spines	absent	absent	absent	absent
	Length of dorsal spine	0.59 (*)	0.5 (*)	0.44 (*)	0.50 ± 0.01
	Length of rostral spine	0.32 (*)	0.16 (*)	0.11 (*)	0.10 ± 0.01
	Tip of dorsal to tip of rostral spine	1.46 (*)	1.25 (*)	1.11 (*)	1.45 ± 0.01
Antennule					
	Endopod	absent	absent	absent	absent
	Exopod (terminal aesthetascs, small aesthetascs, simple terminal setae)	4, 0, 2	2, 2, 2	2, 2, 1	2, 2, 1
Antenna					
	Protopod (raw spines)	ND	2	2	2
	Exopod (raw spines, subterminal spines)	2, 2	2, 2	2, 2	2, 2
	Endopod	bud	bud	bud	bud
Mandible (teeth)		9 (*)	6	Nm	5
	Palp	ND	absent	absent	absent
Maxillule					
	Coxal endite (plumodenticulate terminal setae, subterminal sparsely plumose setae)	8 s	5,2(*)	5, 2	5, 2
	Basal endite (spines, sparsely plumose setae, sparsely plumose terminal setae, plumodenticulate subterminal setae)	4, 2, 0, 0	0, 6, 0, 1	0, 2, 2, 3	0, 5, 0, 2
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae, sparsely plumose setae)	6	1, 5	1, 5	1,5
Maxilla					
	Coxal endite (sparsely plumose setae)	8	8	7-8	4 + 3
	Basial endite (sparsely plumose setae)	8	9	10	5 + 4
	Endopod (terminal setae, microtrichia)	4–5, Nm	5, present	5, Nm	5, present
	Scaphognathite (plumose setae, simple seta)	9,1	9	9	9
First maxilliped					
	Coxa (sparsely plumose seta)	1	0	0	0
	Basis (sparsely plumose setae)	2, 2, 3, 3 (*)	2, 2, 3, 3	2, 2, 3, 3	2, 2, 3, 3
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae)	3, 2, 1, 2, 5 (*)	3, 2, 1, 2, 5	3, 2, 1, 2, 5	3, 2, 1, 2, 5
	Exopod (terminal plumose setae)	4	4	4	4
Second maxillipe	d				
	Coxa (setae)	0	0	0	0
	Basis (sparsely plumose setae)	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae)	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 4
	Exopod (terminal plumose setae)	4	4	4	4
Third maxilliped	Rudimentary	rudimentary	Nm	rudimentary	
Pereiopods	Rudimentary	rudimentary	Nm	rudimentary	
Pleopods	Absent	absent	Nm	absent	
Pleon					
	Pleonites	5	5	5	5
	Pleonite 2 (dorsal process)	2	2	2	2
Telson					
	Furca (lateral spines)	1	1	1	1

 Table 2. Second zoea of Libinia spp. Character comparison of four species. Nm, not mentioned; (*) obtained from the figure. Bold type indicates observed differences.

Structure	Character	<i>L. spinosa</i> (see Boschi and Scelzo, 1968)	<i>L. dubia</i> (see Sandifer and Van Engel, 1971)	<i>L. emarginata</i> (see Johns and Lang, 1977)	L. rhomboidea (Current study)
Cephalothorax					
	Total length (mm)	2.8	2.81 ± 0.19	2.69 ± 0.13	$\textbf{2.92} \pm \textbf{0.09}$
	Carapace length	0.96	0.97 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.05	$\textbf{1.07} \pm \textbf{0.05}$
	Lateral spines	absent	absent	absent	absent
	Length of dorsal spine	0.68 (*)	0.57 (*)	0.38 (*)	0.53 ± 0.02
	Length of rostral spine	0.32 (*)	0.21 (*)	0.16 (*)	$\textbf{0.27}\pm\textbf{0.02}$
	Tip of dorsal to tip of rostral spine	1.76 (*)	1.43 (*)	1.67 (*)	1.61 ± 0.05
Antennule					
	Endopod	absent	absent	absent	absent
	Exopod (terminal aesthetascs, small aesthetascs, simple terminal setae)	8, 0, 3 s	4, 2, 2	2, 4, 2	4, 2, 1
Antenna					
	Protopod (raw spines)	Nm	2	2	2
	Exopod (raw spines, subterminal spines)	2	2	2	2
	Endopod (extending X of protopod)	bud (0.5 X)	bud (0.5 X)	bud (0.5 X)	bud (0.75 X)
Mandible (teeth)		9	6	Nm	6
	Palp	Nm	bud	Nm	bud
Maxillule					
	Coxal endite (sparsely plumose terminal setae, sparsely plumose subterminal setae)	4, 4	8 s	5, 3	4, 3
	Basal endite (plumodenticulate terminal setae, sparsely plumose subterminal setae)	8, 2	8, 2	8	8, 2
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae)	1, 5	1, 5	1,4	1,5
Maxilla					
	Coxal endite (sparsely plumose setae)	8	8	7-8	7
	Basial endite (sparsely plumose setae)	9	9	10	9
	Endopod (terminal setae, microtrichia)	5, Nm	5, present	5, Nm	5, present
	Scaphognathite (plumose setae)	20	20	16-20	22-24
First maxilliped					
	Coxa (sparsely plumose setae)	1	0	0	0
	Basis (sparsely plumose setae)	2, 2, 3, 3	2, 2, 3, 3	2, 2, 3, 3	2, 2, 3, 3
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae)	3, 2, 1, 2, 5	3, 2, 1, 2, 5	3, 2, 1, 2, 5	3, 2, 1, 2, 5
	Exopod (plumose terminal setae)	6	6	6	6
Second maxilliped					
	Coxa (setae)	0 s	0 s	0 s	0 s
	Basis (sparsely plumose setae)	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1
	Endopod (sparsely plumose setae)	Nm	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 4	0, 1, 4
	Exopod (plumose terminal setae)	6	6	6	6
Third maxilliped	Rudimentary	rudimentary	Nm	rudimentary	
Pereiopods	Rudimentary	rudimentary	Nm	rudimentary	
Pleopods	buds ventrally	buds ventrally	buds ventrally	buds ventrally	
Pleon					
	Pleonites	6	6	6	6
	Pleonite 2 (dorsal process)	2	2	2	2
Telson					
	Furca (lateral spines)	1	1	1	1

and van Engel, 1971) but it is paired in *L. emarginata* (see Johns and Lang, 1977) and *L. rhomboidea*. This difference between the megalopae of *L. dubia*, *L. emarginata*, and *L. rhomboidea* is relatively clear to observe, however, the difference between zoeae is more subtle and complicated. The characters that

make it possible to differentiate *L. rhomboidea* zoeae from the other three species are: the greater total length and the length of the carapace, as well as the lesser number of setae on the scaphognathite of the maxilla (Tab. 3).

Table 3. Megalopa of *Libinia* spp. Character comparison of four species. ND, not described; Nm, not mentioned; (*) obtained from the figure. Bold type indicates observed differences.

Structure	Character	<i>L. spinosa</i> (see Boschi and Scelzo, 1968)	<i>L. dubia</i> (see Sandifer and Van Engel, 1971)	<i>L. emarginata</i> (see Johns and Lang (1977)	L. rhomboidea (Current study)
Cephalothorax					
	Total length (mm)	2.1	2.12 ± 0.17	2.12 ± 0.05	$\textbf{2.41} \pm \textbf{0.06}$
	Carapace length	1.3	1.14 ± 0.09	1.22 ± 0.06	$\textbf{1.24} \pm \textbf{0.07}$
	Lateral spines	absent	absent	absent	absent
	Length of dorsal spine	present	absent	absent	absent
	Length of rostral spine (mm)	0.48 (*)	0.17 (*)	0.2 (*)	0.21
	Carinations (lateral gastric region, lateral cardiac region, central gastric region, central cardiac region, median border)	Nm	4, 2, 0, 0, 1	4, 2, 2, 2, 1	4, 2, 2, 2, 1
Antennule					
	Peduncle (simple setae)	Nm	3 seg (0, 2, 1)	3 seg (0, 1, 1)	3 seg (0, 2, 1)
	Inner flagellum (terminal setae)	3	3	3	3
	Dorsal flagellum: proximal (setae); penultimate (aesthetascs, setae); distal (aesthetascs, subterminal setae)	0; 5,0; 6, 2	0; 6, 1; 6, 1	0; 5,0; 3, 1	0; 6, 1; 6,1
Antenna					
	Peduncle (segments: simple setae)	3: 2, 2, 3	3: 1, 2, 3	3:0,1,1	3: 1, 2, 3
	Flagellum (segments: simple setae)	3: 0, 3, 3	4: 0, 0, 4, 3	4: 0, 0, 4, 3	4: 0, 0, 3, 3
	Protuberance basal segment	bud (extending 0.75 × basal segment) (*)	bud (extending 0.3 × basal segment)	bud (extending 0.3 × basal segment)	bud (extending 0.3 × basal segment)
Mandible					
	Palp (segments: setae)	3: 0, 0, 5	3:0,0,5	3:0,0,5	3: 0, 0, 5
Maxillule					
	Coxal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	10	10–11	10	10
	Basal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	16	16–17	16–17	16
	Endopod (1 segment: sparsely plumose terminal setae)	2	2	2–3	3
Maxilla					
	Coxal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	6+6	3 + 5	3, 4 + 1	3 + 5
	Basal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	6+6	5-6+6-7	12–14	5-6+6-7
	Endopod (simple terminal setae)	0	1	1	1
	Scaphognathite (plumose setae)	30	31-32	31-35	28-30
First maxilliped	1				
	Coxal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	6	5-7	7	6–7
	Basal endite (plumodenticulate setae)	10-12	10–11	8-10	10-11
	Endopod (sparsely terminal setae)	Nm	3	1–3	3
	Exopod (segments: sparsely plumose terminal setae)	2: 1, 4	2: 0, 4	2: 1, 5	2: 1, 4
	Epipod (plumose setae)	4	3-5	4	3-5

Table 3. Cont.

Structure	Character	<i>L. spinosa</i> (see Boschi and Scelzo, 1968)	<i>L. dubia</i> (see Sandifer and Van Engel, 1971)	<i>L. emarginata</i> (see Johns and Lang (1977)	L. rhomboidea (Current study)
Second maxilliped					
	Endopod (segments: sparsely setae)	5:0,0,1,3,7	4: 0, 1, 3, 6	4:0,1,3,6	4:0,1,3,6
	Exopod (segments: terminal plumose setae)	2: 0, 4	2: 0, 4	2: 0, 5-6	2: 0, 4
Third maxilliped					
	Endopod (segments: setae)	5: 10, 5, 5, 4, 4	5: 11–12, 8–9, 5, 6, 4	5: 9–10, 7–8, 4, 6, 4	5: 9–10, 8–9, 6–7, 6, 4
	Exopod (segments: setae, terminal plumose setae + simple setae)	2: 0, 4 + 2	2:0,4+2	2: 0, 3–4 + 2	2: 0, 4 + 2)
	Crista dentata (teeth)	ND	4	4	4
	Epipod (subterminal setae + terminal setae)	3 + 3	4–5	3 + 3	2 + 2
Pereiopods 1–5		_	sparsely setose	sparsely setose	sparsely setose
Pleopods 2–6	(terminal plumose setae)	10 + 1, 11 + 1, 10, 10, 5	10 + 2, 10 + 1, 10 + 1, 8 + 1, 5	pleopod 2: 11s; pleopod 5: 8 s	8 + 1, 10 + 1, 10 + 1, 8 + 1, 5
Pleon		6 pleonites	6 pleonites	6 pleonites	6 pleonites
Telson	(medial setae)	Nm	2	Nm	2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Ana Paola Rodríguez Carmona for English editing of the manuscript. This research was financially supported by DGAPA, UNAM under the PAPIIT 200118 project.

REFERENCES

- Boschi, E.E. and Scelzo, M.A. 1968. Larval development of the spider crab *Libinia spinosa* H. Milne Edwards, reared in the laboratory (Brachyura, Majidae). *Crustaceana*, Supplement 2: 169–180.
- Bursey C.R. 1982. Salinity Tolerance and Osmotic Response in Two Species of Spider Crabs of the Genus *Libinia* (Decapoda Brachyura, Majidae). *Crustaceana*, 42: 194-200.
- Carmona-Osalde, C. and Rodríguez-Serna, M. 2012. Reproductive aspects of the spider crab *Libinia dubia* under laboratory conditions. *Hidrobiológica*, 22: 58–61.
- Carmona-Osalde, C.; Pat-López, J.G. and Rodríguez-Serna, M. 2018. Actualización de registros del género *Libinia* sp. (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Majoidea) en las costas de Sisal, Yucatán. Monterrey, Nuevo León, México, XI Reunión Nacional Alejandro Villalobos, p. 29.
- Clark, P.F. 2009. The bearing of larval morphology on Brachyuran Phylogeny. p. 221–241. In: J.W. Martin; K.A. Crandall and D.L. Felder (eds), Decapoda Crustacea Phylogenetics. Crustacean Issues 18. Lafayette, CRC Press, 567p.
- Clark, P.F.; Calazans, D.K. and Pohle, G.W. 1998. Accuracy and standardization of brachyuran larval descriptions. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 33: 127–144.
- Clark, P.F. and Cuesta J.A. 2015. Larval systematics of Brachyura. p. 981–1048. In: P. Castro; P.J.F. Davie; D. Guinot; F.R.

Schram and J.C. von Vaupel-Klein (eds), Treatise on Zoology-Anatomy, Taxonomy, Biology. The Crustacea, Vol. 9, Part C. Leiden, Brill.

- Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad (CONABIO). 2010. Ficha técnica para la evaluación de los sitios prioritarios para la conservación de los ambientes costeros y oceánicos de México. Humedales costeros y Plataforma continental de Cabo Catoche. Mesa de Trabajo: Golfo de México (Ecorregión Nivel – I CCA: Golfo de México Sur; Categoría del sitio: Zona costera). 7p. Available at http:// www.conabio.gob.mx/gap/images/2/20/68_Humedales_ costeros_y_Plataforma_Cabo_Catoche.pdf. Accessed on 20 January 2020.
- Ehrenberg, C.G. 1844. Einige vorläufige Resultate seiner Untersuchungen der ihm von der Südpolreise des Capitain Rofs, so wie von den Herren Schayer und Darwin zugekommenen Materialien über das Verhalten des kleinsten lebens in den Oceanen und den gröfsten bisher zugänglichen Tiefen des Weltmeers. Bericht über die zur Bekanntmachung geeigneten Verhandlungen der Königlich-Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1844: 182–207.
- Felder, D.L.; Álvarez, F.; Goy, J.W. and Lemaitre, R. 2009. Decapoda (Crustacea) of the Gulf of Mexico, with comments on the Amphionidacea. p. 1019–1104. In: D.L. Felder and D.K. Camp (eds), Gulf of Mexico Origin, Waters, and Biota. Volume 1, Biodiversity. College Station, Texas Texas A&M University Press.
- Gomont, M. 1892. Monographie des Oscillariées (Nostocacées Homocystées). Deuxième partie. Lyngbyées. Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Botanique, 7: 91–264.
- Gonçalves, G.R.L.; Bolla, E.B. Jr.; Negreiros-Fransozo, M.L. and Castilho, A.L. 2016. Morphometric and gonadal maturity of the spider crab *Libinia ferreirae* Brito Capello, 1871 (Decapoda: Majoidea: Epialtidae) at Southeastern Brazilian coast. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 97 (for 2017): 289–295.

- Guerao, G.; Abello, A. and dos Santos A. 2006. Morphological variability of the megalopa of *Liocarcinus depurator* (Brachyura: Portunidae) in Mediterranean and Atlantic populations. *Journal of Natural History*, 40: 1851–1866.
- Guerao, G.; Pastor, E.; Martin J.; Andrés, M.; Estévez, A.; Grau A.; Duran, J. and Rotllant, G. 2008. The larval development of *Maja squinado* and *M. brachydactyla* (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae) described from plankton collected and laboratoryreared material. *Journal of Natural History*, 42: 2257–2276.
- Gurney, R. 1942. Larvae of decapod Crustacea. London, Ray Society, i-vii+306p.
- Hart, J.F.L. 1960. The larval development of British Columbia Brachyura. n. Majidae, Subfamily Oregoniinae. *Canadian Journal of Zoo*logy, 38: 539–546.
- Hultgren, K. and Stachowicz, J. 2011. Camouflage in decorator crabs. p. 214–238. In: M. Stevens and S. Merilaita (eds), Animal Camouflage: Mechanisms and Function. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Johns, D.M. and Lang, W.H. 1977. Larval development of the spider crab, *Libinia emarginata* (Majidae). *Fishery Bulletin*, 75: 831–841.
- Leach, W.E. 1815. The zoological miscellany; being descriptions of new, or interesting animals, illustrated with coloured figures, drawn from nature. Vol. 2. Covent Garden and London, E. Nodder and Son, 154p., pls. 61–120.
- Leach, W.E. 1819. Entomostraca, Dictionaire des Science Naturelles, vol. 14, p. 524. Paris, Levrault and Schoell.
- Luppi, T.A. and Spivak, E.D. 2016. The larval development of the spider crab *Rochinia gracilipes* (Crustacea: Majoide: Epialtidae: Pisinae) reared in laboratory. *Journal of Natural History*, 50: 1983–2003.
- Marques, F. and Pohle, G. 1998. The use of structural reduction in phylogenetic reconstruction of decapods and a phylogenetic hypothesis for 15 genera of Majidae: testing previous larval hypotheses and assumptions. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 33: 241–262.
- Milne-Edwards, H. 1834–1837. Histoire naturelle des Crustacés comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux. Vols. 1, 2, Atlas. Paris, Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret.
- Milne-Edwards, A. 1879. Études sur les Crustacés Podophtalmaires de la région méxicaine. In: Mission Scientifique Du Mexique et dans l'Amérique Centrale. Recherches zoologiques pour servir à l'Histoire de la faune de l'Amérique Centrale et Du Mexique. Paris, Ministère de l'Instruction Publique. Vol. 5, p. 185–264.

- Nogueira Jr., M. and Haddad, M.A. 2005. Lychnorhiza lucerna (Scyphozoa, Rhizostomeae) and Libinia ferreirae Brito Capello (Brachyura, Majidae) association in southern Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, 22: 908–912.
- O'Brien, S.B.; Landau, M. and Able K.W. 1999. Sex ratios of two species of spider crabs, *Libinia dubia* H. Milne Edwards, 1834 and *L. emarginata* Leach, 1815, in the area of Great Bay, New Jersey. *Crustaceana*, 72: 187–192.
- Rice, A. L. 1980. Crab zoeal morphology and its bearing on the classification of the Brachyura. *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London*, 35: 271–424.
- Sandifer, P.A. and van Engel, W.A. 1971. Larval development of the spider crab, *Libinia dubia* H. Milne Edwards (Brachyura, Majidae, Pisinae), reared in laboratory culture. *Chesapeake Science*, 12: 18–25.
- Sandifer, R.A. 1973. Distribution and abundance of decapod crustacean larvae in the York River estuary and adjacent lower Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, 1968 – 1969. *Chesapeake Science*, 14: 235–257.
- Shanks, A.L. 1998. Abundance of post-larval Callinectes sapidus, Penaeus spp., Uca spp., and Libinia spp. collected at an outer coastal site and their cross-shelf transport. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 168: 57–69.
- Stein, F.R. 1878. Der Organismus der Infusionstiere, III, 1. Hälfte Flagellaten. Leipzig, edited by Engelmann.
- Streets, T.H. 1870. Notice of some Crustacea of the genus *Libinia*, with descriptions of four new species. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 22: 104–107.
- Williams, A.B.; Shaw, J.K. and Hopkins, T.S. 1977. *Stilbomastax*, a new genus of spider crab (Majidae: Tychinae) from the west indies region, with notes on American relatives. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 90: 884–893.
- Winget, R.R.; Maurer, D. and Seymour, H. 1974. Occurrence, size composition and sex ratio of the rock crab. *Cancer irroratus* Say and the spider crab, *Libinia emerginata* Leach in Delaware Bay. *Journal of Natural History*, 8: 199–205.
- Yang, W. 1967. A study of zoeal, megalopal and early crab stages of some oxyrhynchous crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda). University of Miami, Miami, Ph.D. Thesis, 459p [Unplublished].
- Zaldívar-Rae, J.; Sapién-Silva, R.E.; Rosales-Raya, M. and Brockmann, H.J. 2009. American Horseshoe Crabs, *Limulus polyphemus* in Mexico: Open Possibilities. p. 97–113. In: J.T. Tanacredi; M.L. Botton and D.R. Smith (eds), Biology and Conservation of Horseshoe Crabs. New York, Springer.