A eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea**

Efficacy of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia

Roberta Gonçalves da Silva*

* Fonoaudióloga. Doutora em Fisiopatologia em Clínica Médica -Àrea de Metabolismo e Nutrição pela Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu Universidade Estadual Paulista - SP. Professora do Departamento de Fonoaudiologia da Universidade Estadual Paulista - Campus de Marília. Endereço para correspondência: Av Hygino Muzzi Filho, 737 - Marília -São Paulo - SP - CEP 17525-900 (rgsilva@marilia.unesp.br).

**Trabalho Realizado na Universidade Estadual Paulista - Campus de Marília -Departamento de Fonoaudiologia.

Artigo de Revisão de Literatura

Artigo Submetido a Avaliação por Pares

Conflito de Interesse: não

Recebido em 05.12.2005 Revisado em 29.03.2005; 18.05.2006; 21.09.2006; 16.02.2007. Aceito para Publicação em 16.02.2007.

Abstract

Background: efficacy of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia. In our country the practice of speechlanguage pathology in oropharyngeal dysphagia has increased significantly and, at this moment, deserves attention since practice needs to be based on scientific evidence. Therapeutic techniques and the outcome of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia have been studied since the 70s, reaching its high point during the 80s and 90s. Few studies have investigated the efficacy of therapy in the rehabilitation of oropharyngeal dysphagia, the vast majority have tried to prove the effects of therapy on the dynamics of swallowing. In Brazil, the studies about oropharyngeal dysphagia have, in great part, investigated assessment procedures, and only a few have worried about rehabilitation. Aim: to present a critical analysis about the efficacy of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia. Conclusion: this review of the literature indicates that non-randomized studies have compromised the results, once the casuistic of the researches are very heterogeneous - they include neurogenic and mechanical oropharyngeal dyshagia caused by different etiologies. Besides that, therapeutic programs which are used are not sufficiently described, compromising the reproduction of the methodology by other researchers. These results suggest the need for more randomized studies, which can be initially developed as case studies in order to exclude the control variables of therapy efficacy. Another suggestion is, as proposed by present researches, to use scales that can measure the impact of swallowing training in the nutritional and pulmonary condition of dysphagic patients. An important research area, related to the control of therapeutic efficacy and efficiency, are the studies that aim to establish the decrease in hospital and home care costs as a consequence of speech-language intervention with patients with oropharyngeal dyspahgia. Key Words: Oropharyngeal Dysphagia; Outcome; Rehabilitation; Swallow Maneuvers.

Resumo

Tema: eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea. A atuação fonoaudiológica com disfagia orofaríngea em nosso País alcançou proporções significativas e merece neste momento atenção para que esta atuação esteja baseada em evidências científicas. As técnicas terapêuticas e a eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea têm sido estudadas desde a década de 70, alcançando seu ápice na década de 80 e 90. Poucos estudos têm relatado a eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea, sendo mais freqüente aqueles que têm se preocupado em provar os efeitos da técnica terapêutica na dinâmica da deglutição. No Brasil, as pesquisas em disfagia orofaríngea têm valorizado os procedimentos de avaliação, sendo poucos os trabalhos que tratam da reabilitação. Objetivo: apresentar uma análise crítica sobre a eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea. Conclusão: este artigo de revisão aponta que estudos não randomizados têm comprometido os resultados, uma vez que a casuística das pesquisas têm utilizado amostras muito heterogêneas, que incluem disfagias orofaríngeas mecânicas e neurogênicas ocasionadas por distintas etiologias. Além disto, os programas terapêuticos empregados são pouco descritivos comprometendo a reprodução por parte de outros pesquisadores. Tais achados sugerem a necessidade de estudos mais randomizados, talvez inicialmente por meio de estudos de casos que possam excluir as variáveis do controle da eficácia terapêutica. Outra sugestão seria empregar, assim como as pesquisas atuais têm proposto, escalas que possam medir o impacto do treinamento de deglutição nas condições nutricionais e pulmonares do indivíduo disfágico. Uma importante área da pesquisa, relacionada ao controle da eficiência e eficácia terapêutica, está nos estudos que objetivam estabelecer o grau de redução de custos hospitalares e em empresas de home care, mediante a atuação do fonoaudiólogo com a disfagia orofaríngea.

Palavras-Chave: Disfagia Orofaríngea; Eficácia; Reabilitação; Técnicas Terapêuticas.

Referenciar este material como:

SILVA R. G. da. Efficacy of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia (original title: A eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea). Pró-Fono Revista de Atualização Científica, Barueri (SP), v. 19, n. 1, p.123-130, jan.-abr. 2007.

Introduction

The rehabilitation practice faces questionings about efficiency and efficacy of procedures that are used in such practice. As in this area intervention does not aim to reach normality, it is natural that patients and families do not understand, initially, the real goals of the process: the improvement of the individual's quality of life by maximizing the functional or compensatory potential (Karhilas et al, 1992). Throughout its evolution as a Science tool, rehabilitation has discussed and improved methods that control its efficacy. This is also true for the rehabilitation of oropharyngeal dysphagias.

To better understand the real goals of the rehabilitation process in oropharyngeal dysphagia, and consequently to know what should be considered efficacious, it is essential to differentiate the following concepts: rehabilitation, treatment, efficiency and efficacy (Silva, 1998a; Silva, 1998b; Silva, 2000).

Rehabilitating dysphagia means working towards a swallowing without risks of complication. According to DePippo et al (1994) the aim of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia is to stabilize the nutritional aspect and to eliminate laryngotracheal aspiration risks and consequent associated complications. On the other hand, when we propose treatment, according to the Portuguese Language Dictionary, we are aiming the "cure". The transposition of this generic concept to the practice with oropharyngeal dysphagia makes patients and family want that rehabilitation achieves normal swallowing.

The use of the concept of efficiency in oropharyngeal dysphagia should be understood as the capacity of a therapeutic procedure to produce benefic effects in swallowing dynamics (Lazzarus et al, 1993a; Lazzarus et al, 1993b). Efficacy, however, is related to improvements in the general status of the individual independently of the disorder durability, as long as procedures assure safe oral intake, maintenance of nutritional condition and stabilization of pulmonary problems (Langmore, 1994; Langmore, 1995; Silva, 1999; Prosiegel et al, 2005).

Nowadays, researches on oropharyngeal dysphagia rehabilitation are divided in two different blocks: most of the studies try to prove the efficiency of a specific therapeutic procedure and others discuss criteria for the control of rehabilitation efficacy. Therefore, this study aims to present a critical analysis about the efficacy of rehabilitation in oropharyngeal dysphagia.

An extent bibliographic research was performed in different databases, such as Medline, Cochrane Library, Scielo Brazil, Chile and Spain and Lilacs. This research used the following descriptors: dysphagia, oropharyngeal dysphagia, rehabilitation and swallowing therapy. When associated, in Medline these descriptors generate more than 700 articles, and the great majority is not specifically about speech-language therapy. Cochrane Library has 3 important specific review articles about efficacy of speech-language therapy for dysphagia in encephalic vascular disease, Parkinson and progressive muscular diseases. In Scielo Brazil, Chile and Spain, respectively, 75, 5 and 10 articles about the subject were found. Of those, 3 in Brazil and 2 in Spain were about rehabilitation researches. In Lilacs, although 400 articles appears when using the descriptor dysphagia, associating dysphagia with oropharyngeal they are limited to 8 of which 1 is about rehabilitation efficacy. In summary, researches about oropharyngeal dysphagia focus the evaluation, and only from the 90s rehabilitation efficiency, and more recently efficacy, have been discussed.

Literature Review

Efficacy may be confirmed when a patient feeds efficiently via oral or when he gains weight. Authors define as an efficacy criterion for oropharyngeal dysphagia rehabilitation, the reduction in the frequency of aspirative pneumonia. Kasprisin et al (1989) referred that efficacy criteria used in dysphagia rehabilitation are not yet satisfactory delimited. Bartolome et al (1993) reported swallowing therapy results in 28 patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia after neurological disorders. Patients were monitored by cineradiography before, during and after therapy. Rehabilitation efficacy was defined by the progress in the type of food to be swallowed without risks. They found that 19% of patients with cricopharyngeal dysfunction improved with swallowing therapy; 65% of them by objective criteria and 25%, by subjective criteria. They concluded that the dysfunction in neurological patients with cricopharyngeal dysfunction, may be treated with swallowing therapy and that surgical procedures should be secondary to swallowing therapy procedures.

Gisel (1994) determined the efficacy of oral sensory-motor techniques in rehabilitation of children with moderate cerebral palsy and examined the rehabilitation effects in growth measures. Thirty five children were assessed. Those children underwent 20 weeks of oral sensory-motor rehabilitation of 5-7 minutes a day, five days a week. A limited efficacy of this procedure was observed, because children maintained the same weight-age percentile than before the rehabilitation program. It was concluded that in order to favor this population growth, oral sensory-motor therapy should be combined with nutritional supplementation.

Miller et al (1994) presented a bibliographic compilation about efficacy of therapy in oropharyngeal dysphagia. They report that the researched authors described the use of specific approaches in certain populations, thus not discussing the rehabilitation efficacy, but the efficiency of an approach. Authors report that many approaches described in literature do not confirm their efficiency upon swallowing dynamics. Finally, the authors refer that diagnostic exams are essential for the verification of rehabilitation efficacy.

Logemann et al (1995) studied the effect of sour alimentary bolus (50% lemon juice and 50% barium) in pharyngeal swallowing measures of two groups of patients with neurogenic dysphagia. They found measurable changes in the duration of cricopharyngeal sphincter opening and in the pharyngeal response depending on the amount. They observed improvement in the oral transit with the ingestion of sour alimentary bolus. Although finding measurable changes in group 2, there wasn't elimination of aspiration. Authors stress that measurable measures should not be considered more important than the functional analysis of swallowing.

Rosenbeck et al (1996) studied the measurable variation and their effects upon the swallowing efficiency after pharyngeal swallowing stimulation in patients with encephalic vascular disease (EVD). They observed that the cold stimulation presented a great variation regarding changes in swallowing duration in 22 patients pos-EVD.

Crary (1995) studied the rehabilitation efficacy using a therapy program with biofeedback. Forty two patients with neurogenic dysphagia and 28 with mechanic dysphagia took part in the research. The functional oral intake scale was used to evaluate the rehabilitation efficacy. It was observed that 93% of patients with neurogenic dysphagia and 79% of patients with mechanic dysphagia improved in the functional scale. Furthermore, 100% of patients were able to orally intake some kind of food at the end of the therapy program.

Bath et al (1999) published a review article about rehabilitation efficacy in oropharyngeal dysphagia pos EVD, gathering studies that presented the sample's inclusion criteria. They concluded that only a few studies were accomplished, involving a few patients. They pointed out that the percutaneous endoscopic gastronomy would be responsible for improvements in rehabilitation and in the nutritional status, when compared to the nasogastric tube. They suggest that further researches are necessary in order to confirm how and which would be the effects of swallowing therapy or drug treatment in individuals pos-EVD with oropharyngeal dysphagia.

Deane et al (2001) compared the swallowing therapy efficacy with administration of placebo and the absence of treatment in oropharyngeal dysphagia in Parkinson's disease. In this review article, only randomized studies were selected. They concluded that there aren't enough evidences to affirm or deny the rehabilitation efficacy in oropharyngeal dysphagia through swallowing therapy in Parkinson's disease.

Hill et al (2004) published a review article about the treatment of oropharyngeal dysphagia in progressive muscular diseases. The authors did not identify randomized studies and the selected studies included adults and children. The type of treatment studied included food consistency changes, voluntary swallowing techniques, surgical interventions and enteral nutrition indication. They concluded that there aren't enough evidences about the efficacy of dysphagia treatment in those diseases.

Crary et al (2005) validated the use of the Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS) to assess the efficacy of rehabilitation of oropharyngeal dysphagia in patients with EVD, affirming that the scale is able to document changes in feeding transition in feeding skills.

Easterling et al (2005) evaluated the effect of Shaker's exercise in elderly individuals without oropharyngeal dysphagia. They observed that the performance of the exercise is associated with some discomfort that spontaneously solves itself within weeks. Authors referred that the laryngeal elevation and the upper esophageal sphincter opening depend on the complete program follow up.

Nguyen et al (2005) studied 12 patients with head and neck cancer and chronic oropharyngeal dysphagia after therapy. The dysphagia severity was monitored by videofluoroscopy through a scale varying from 1 to 7. No patients could reach normal swallowing. In an average of 29 months after treatment, the dysphagia had improved in 8 patients (67%), 3 patients (25%) didn't show any improvement and it got worse in 1patient (8%).

Prosiegel et al (2005) studied the efficacy of therapy with functional swallowing in 208 patients with neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. Patients were divided in three groups of different neurological etiologies (Group 1: posterior fossa tumor -TFP, Group 2: Wallenberg Syndrome -SW and Group 3: Encephalic Vascular Disease - EVD. Rehabilitation efficacy was significantly worse in group 1 when compared to group 2, and in group 2 when compared to group 3. After the functional swallowing therapy, 50.0% of patients from group 1 and 30.0% of patients from 2 still needed an alternative feeding via, and 100.0% of patients from group 3 went back to oral feeding.

Nguyen et al (2006) studied the efficacy of rehabilitation in patients with head and neck cancer who developed dysphagia after surgery. Authors selected 42 nonrandomized patients and established a classification for the degree of dysphagia varying from 1 to 7, before and after swallowing therapy. They concluded that speech-language therapy as well as the nasogastric tube are effective to reduce the severity degree of oropharyngeal dysphagia in this population.

CHART 1. REHABILITATION EFFICIENCY AND EFFICACY IN OROPHARYNGEAL DYSPHAGIA.

TYPE OF PROCEDURE	EFFICIENCY/EFFECT	EFFICACY
1.0-Manipulation of food consistency and	1.0-Modulates the oral sensory-motor	1.0- Consistency and amount changes
amount.	pharyngeal performance of	of the alimentary bolus have a direct
	oropharyngeal swallowing (Groher,	effect upon the oropharyngeal transit
	1987; Ekberg et al, 1988; Dantas et al,	and are efficient for oral control and for
	1990; Perlman et al, 1993; Stachler et	aspiration control. Great changes will
	al, 1994; Preiksaitis et al, 1996; Power	need nutritional in order to be effective
	et al, 1997).	in the general picture. (Bisch et al,
		1994).
2.0-Head postural maneuvers.	2.0-Protects the lower airway,	2.0-Effects caused by postural changes
	facilitates the food transit when there is	ease oropharyngeal transit and may
	unilateral palsy and paresy and helps	have efficacy when able to minimize
	oral propulsion (Ekberg, 1986;	aspiration (Castell et al,1990; 1993).
	Logemann et al, 1989a; Rasley et al,	
	1993; Shanahan et al, 1993; Welch et	
	al, 1993; Logemann et al, 1994;	
	Logemann et al, 1997).	

3.0-Oral sensory-motor stimulation and	3.0-Facilitates manipulation, control	3.0-Determines significant changes in
oral control training.	and oral propulsion.	oral control and ease oral intake. The
		oral control improvement, maximizing
		oral intake, is not yet effective to
		stabilize the nutritional condition in
		severe cases of malnutrition, being
		necessary a nutritional supplement
		(Gisel, 1994).
4.0-Cold stimulation.	4.0-Increases the excitability threshold	4.0-Systematic stimulations produce
	of swallowing reflex (Lazzara et al,	significant improvement in swallowing
	1986; Rosenbek et al, 1991).	of specific volumes. Stimulation,
		however, should not reach only
		receptors of pillar of fauces and, as an
		isolated procedure, it does not
		guarantee efficacy (Ali et al, 1996).
5.0-Multiple swallowing	5.0-Eliminates food residues from the	5.0-Minimize oral and pharyngeal
	oral cavity and pharyngeal recesses.	residues, indirectly contributing for the
		functional swallowing. Isolated, it is not
		effective (Dziadziola et al, 1992).
6.0- Mendelsohn's maneuver	6.0-Favors the laryngeal elevation	6.0-Effects maximize functional
	during swallowing.	swallowing, specially when associated
		with biofeedback. Crary (1995a) reports
		cases of enteral feeding that became
		oral without risks, after rehabilitation.

h	1	
7.0- Supraglottic swallowing	7.0-Protects lower airway, maximizing	7.0-Up to now, researches report its
	vocal chords closure.	application with mechanic dysphagia
		Results were better associated with the
		mendelsohn's maneuver (Lazarus,
		1993a). Sample was composed by only
		1 patient and, therefore is not
		conclusive.
8.0- Super-supraglottic swallowing	8.0-Intensifies protection of lower	8.0- Up to now, researches report its
	airway, achieving glottal and of	application with mechanic dysphagia.
	aryepiglottic folds closure (Logemann	Although it is efficient to prolong
	et, 1996).	glottal closure, it wasn't effective in
		eliminating aspiration in all cases.
		Different types of tumors, stages and
		radiation time were not considered in
		the discussion.
9.0- Masako's maneuver	9.0-Provides movements of pharyngeal	9.0-Fujiu et al (1996) presented studies
	walls (Fujiu et al, 1995).	with normal individuals confirming
		there is movement in pharyngeal walls
		with such procedure. There are no
		studies verifying its efficacy in patients'
		rehabilitation.
10.0- Effortful swallow	10.0-Intensifies oral propulsion.	10.0-Maximizes functional swallowing.

11.0-	Botox	application	in	11.0-Acts	upon	cricopha	ryngeal	11.0-Although there is an immediate
cricoph	aryngeal			dysfunctions	(Schneid	er et al	, 1994;	effect upon the cricopharyngeal muscle,
				Manrique, 20	05).			studies do not report if there is a
								functional improvement of swallowing
								(Crary et al, 1995b; Blitzer et al, 1997).
12.0- H	urst's tube			12.0-Increase	s the exci	tability th	reshold	12.0-It has no efficacy upon the oral
				of swallowin	ng reflex	and dila	ates the	phase of swallowing. In case the
				cricopharyng	eal sphinc	ter.		oropharyngeal dysphagia has alteration
								in the swallowing reflex and
								cricopharyngeal sphincter, it may
								maximize functional swallowing.
13.0- Ci	ricopharyngeal	Myotomy		13.0- Me	chanic	dilatatio	n of	13.0- It is used without considering the
	1 7 0			cricopharyng	eal sphi	ncter (Hirano,	effects upon swallowing dynamics
				1974; Lebo	et al, 19	76; Ros	s et al,	(Bonavina et al, 1985). According to
				1982; Lindgi	en et al,	1990; Ha	lvorson	Bucchholz (1995) in order to be
				et al, 1994; P	oirier, 199	7).		effective, it depends on the analysis of
								the oral and the pharyngeal phase
								performance.
14.0-Bi	ofeedback use			14.0-Monitor	rs the	swa	llowing	14.0-For some cases the direct
.In	direct			dynamics a	nd facili	tates the	e self-	procedure can be effective to improve
.Di	irect			adjustment (1	Haynes, 1	976; Par	k et al,	the functional swallowing within weeks
				1997).				(Logemann et al, 1990; Freed et al,
								1996; Denk et al, 1997).

15.0-Intra-oral prothesis	16.0-Compensate absent structures	16.0-In mechanic dysphagia the
	(Wheeler et al, 1980; Logemann et al,	efficacy depends on the type of
	1989; Pauloski et al, 1996).	resection and on the radiotherapy time.
		In neurogenic dysphagia, the studies
		found did not evidence functional
		improvement, however they also did
		not correlate time of lesion or which
		patients improved (Selley et al, 1995).
TIPO DE PROCEDIMENTO	EFICIÊNCIA/EFEITO	EFICACIA
16.0-Aspirative surgeries	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal	18.0-They are indicated only in
16.0-Aspirative surgeries	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975;	18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The
16.0-Aspirative surgeries	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975; Eisele, 1991; Manrique te al, 2000).	18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The efficacy should also be discussed as
16.0-Aspirative surgeries	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975; Eisele, 1991; Manrique te al, 2000).	18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The efficacy should also be discussed as regards quality of life
16.0-Aspirative surgeries 17.0-Shaker's exercise	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975; Eisele, 1991; Manrique te al, 2000). 19.0-Influences the cricopharyngeal	18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The efficacy should also be discussed as regards quality of life 19.0-In oropharyngeal dysphagia, it
16.0-Aspirative surgeries 17.0-Shaker's exercise	 18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975; Eisele, 1991; Manrique te al, 2000). 19.0-Influences the cricopharyngeal sphincter opening (Shaker et al, 	18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The efficacy should also be discussed as regards quality of life 19.0-In oropharyngeal dysphagia, it is reported efficacy with the use of
16.0-Aspirative surgeries 17.0-Shaker's exercise	18.0-Impede the laryngotraqueal aspiration (Montgomey, 1975; Eisele, 1991; Manrique te al, 2000). 19.0-Influences the cricopharyngeal sphincter opening (Shaker et al, 1997).	 18.0-They are indicated only in cases of untreatable cases. The efficacy should also be discussed as regards quality of life 19.0-In oropharyngeal dysphagia, it is reported efficacy with the use of this procedure (Shaker et al, 2002).

Conclusion

Rehabilitation efficacy in oropharyngeal dysphagia depends on the elaboration of a therapeutic program that selects a group of procedures able to cause benefic effects on swallowing dynamics, reflecting satisfactorily in the general status of the individual.

Scientific researches studied in this article pointed out the necessity of attention in some issues involved in the oropharyngeal dysphagia research. One of these issues concerns the criteria used for defining the sample and the method. Recent studies have used heterogeneous samples making difficult the comprehension, once there are different manifestations and severity degrees in different samples. This matter is essential once for controlling the efficiency of a certain procedure it is necessary a homogeneous sample so that manifestations and severity degrees are similar. This kind of criticism is frequently found in studies that try to control the rehabilitation efficacy in neurogenic dysphagia. In the majority of studies about neurogenic dysphagia, there is a diversity of diseases and time of lesion. In mechanic dysphagia, it is also frequent the absence of control of the type of surgery and time of radiotherapy.

Another issue to be raised in the studies analyzed in this article is the absence of a detailed description of the therapeutic procedure used and the frequency. This kind of failure makes impossible the reproduction of the procedure with the same efficiency and, therefore, its comparison.

Besides the issues mentioned above, we should

remember that rehabilitation efficacy in dysphagia should use as criterion the functional swallowing. Recent studies rarely value the impact of findings in functional swallowing. For me, this is the item that jeopardizes the most researches about rehabilitation efficacy. All findings, specially the measurable ones, have no validity if they are not discussed emphasizing the effects upon functional swallowing. Furthermore, many times in the absence of measurable changes it is possible to observe results that allow a functional swallowing, although statistically significant measures are not found. For a better control of rehabilitation efficacy, recent researches have dedicated to establish functional swallowing control scales. Normally, these scales use the following criteria to measure rehabilitation efficacy: time of rehabilitation compared to its functional effects, type of feeding via with which the patient started rehabilitation and what changes were observed during the process, the increase of the amount or consistency change of oral intake, maintenance of nutritional condition, absence of bronchopulmonary complications, and feeding pleasure maintenance degree.

Every therapeutic action has limitations and it is up to us, researchers, to know them. Nevertheless, skepticism does not match with Science. The rehabilitation efficacy control in oropharyngeal dysphagia has presented progresses. And although the necessity of further studies, it has been responsible for the improvement of the quality of life of many patients with dysphagia. Therefore, every therapeutic procedure demands its efficacy control and for that, the actual moment of researches demands that our studies select many more criteria to define the sample and the methodology.

References

ALI, G. N. et al. Influence of cold stimulation on the normal pharyngeal swallow response. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 11, n. 1, p. 2-8, 1996.

BARTOLOME, G.; NEUMANN, S. Swallowing therapy in patients with neurological disorders causing cricopharyngeal dysfunction. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 8, n. 3, p. 146-149, 1993.

BATH, P. M. W.; BATH-HEXTALL, F. J.; SMITHARD, D. G. Interventions for dysphagia in acute stroke: cochrane review. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. São Paulo, 1999. Issue 4, Art. n. CD000323, DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD000323.

BISCH, E. M. et al. Pharyngeal effects of bolus volume, viscosity, and temperature in patients with dysphagia resulting from neurologic impairment and in normal subjects. *J. Speech Res.*, Washington, v. 37, n. 5, p. 1041-1059, oct. 1994.

BLITZER, A.; BRIN, M. F. Use of botulinum toxin for diagnosis and management of cricopharyngeal achalasia. *Otolaryng. Head Neck Surg.*, Rochester, v. 116, n. 3, p. 328-330, 1997.

BONAVINA, L.; KHAN, N. A.; DEMEESTER, T. R. Pharyngoesophageal dysfunctions: the role of cricopharyngeal myotomy. *Arch. Surg.*, Chicago, v. 120, n. 5, p. 541-549, 1985.

BRYANT, M. Biofeedback in the treatment of a selected dysphagic patient. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 6, n. 3, p. 140-144, 1991.

BUCHHOLZ, D. W. Cricopharyngeal myotomy may be effective treatment for selected patients with neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 10, n. 4, p. 255-258, 1995.

CASTELL, J. A.; DALTON, C. B.; CASTELL, D. O. Effects of body position and bolus consistency on the manometric parameters and coordination of the upper esophageal sphincter and pharynx. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 5, n. 4, p. 179-186, 1990.

CASTELL, J. A. et al. Effect of head position on the dynamics of the upper esophageal sphincter and pharynx. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 8, n. 1, p. 1-6, 1993.

CRARY, M. A. A direct intervention program for chronic neurogenic dysphagia secondary to brainstem stroke. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 10, n. 1, p. 6-18, 1995.

CRARY, M. A.; GLOWASKY, A. L. Using botulinum A to improve speech and swallowing function following total laryngectomy. *Otolaryng. Head Neck Surg.*, Rochester, v. 112, p. 760-763, 1995.

CRARY, M. A.; MAN, E.; GROHER, M. E. Initial psychometric assessment of a functional oral intake scale for dysphagia in stroke patients. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 8., p. 1516-1520, 2005.

DANTAS, R. O. et al. Effect of swallowed bolus variables on oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing. *Am. J. Physiol.*, Baltimore, v. 258, n. 5, pt. 1, p. g675-g681, 1990.

DEANE, K. H. O. et al. Non-pharmacological therapies for dysphagia in Parkinson's disease: cochrane review. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. São Paulo, 2001. Issue 1, Art. n. CD002816, DOI: 10.1002/ 14651858. CD002816.

DENK, D. M.; KAIDER, A. Videoendoscopic biofeedback: a simple method to improve the efficacy of swallowing rehabilitation of patients after head and neck surgery. *J. oto-rhino-laryngol.*, Toronto, v. 59, n. 2, p. 100-105, 1997.

DE PIPPO, K. L. et al. Dysphagia therapy following stroke: a controlled trial. *Neurol.*, Minneapolis, v. 44, n. 9, p. 1655-1660, 1994.

DZIADZIOLA, J. et al. Multiple swallows and piecemeal deglutition: observations from normal adults and patients with head and neck cancer. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 7, n. 1, p. 8-11, 1992.

EASTERLING, C. et al. Attaining and mainting isometric and isokinetic goals ofm the shaker exercicise. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 20, n. 2, p. 133-138, 2005.

EISELE, D. W. Surgical approaches to aspiration. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 6, n. 2, p. 71-78, 1991.

EKBERG, O. Posture of the head and pharyngeal swallowing. *Acta Radiol. Diag.*, Stockholm, v. 27, n. 6, p. 691-696, nov.-dec. 1986.

EKBERG, O.; OLSSON, R.; SUNDGREN-BORGSTROM, P. Relation of bolus size and pharyngeal swallow. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 3, n. 2, p. 69-72, 1988.

FREED, M. et al. Electrical stimulation of the neck: a new effective treatment for dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 11, p. a159, 1996.

FUJIU, M.; LOGEMANN, J. A.; PAULOSKI, B. R. Increased postoperative posterior pharyngeal wall movement in patients with anterior oral cancer: preliminary findings and possible implications for treatment. *Am. J. Speech Lang. Pathol.*, Rockville, v. 4, n. 2, p. 24-30, 1995.

FUJIU, M.; LOGEMANN, J. A. Effects of a tongue-holding maneuver on posterior pharyngeal wall movement during deglutition. *Am. J. Speech Lang. Pathol.*, Rockville, v. 5, n. 1, p. 23-30, 1996.

GROHER, M. E. Bolus management and aspiration pneumonia in patients with pseudobulbar dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 1, n. 2, p. 215-216, 1987.

GISEL, E. G. Oral-motor skills following sensoriomotor intervention in the moderately eting impaired child with cerebral palsy. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 3, p. 180-192, 1994.

HALVORSON, D. J.; KUHN, F. A. Transmucosal cricopharyngeal myotomy with the potassium-titanyl-phosphate laser in the treatment of cricopharyngeal dysmotility. *Ann. Otol.*, Saint Louis, v. 103, n. 3, p. 173-177, mar. 1994.

HAYNES, S. N. Electromyographic biofeedback treatment of a woman with chronic dysphagia. *Biofeedback Self Regulat.*, New York, v. 1, n. 1, p. 121-126, mar. 1976.

HILL, M.; HUGHES, T.; MILFORD, C. Treatment for swallowing difficulties (dysphagia) in chronic muscle disease: cochrane review. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. São Paulo, 2004. Issue 2, art. n. CD004303, DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD004303.

HIRANO, M. Cricopharyngeal myotomy for paralytic dysphagia. J. Fran. Otorhinolaryngol. Audiophonol.Chir. Maxillofac, Villeurbanne, v. 23, n. 8, p. 732-734, oct. 1974.

HORNER, J. et al. Dysphagia following brain-stem stroke. *Arch. Neurol.*, Chicago, v. 48, n. 11, p. 1170-1173, nov. 1991.

KAHRILAS, P. J.; LOGEMANN, J. A.; GIBBONS, P. Food intake by maneuver: an extreme compensation for impaired swallowing. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 7, n. 3, p. 155-159, 1992.

KASPRISIN, A. T.; CLUMECK, H.; NINO-MURCIA, M. The efficacy of rehabilitative management of dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 4, n. 1, p. 48-52, 1989.

LANGMORE, S. A.; MILLER, R. M. Behavioral treatment for adults with oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.*, Chicago, v. 75, n. 10, p. 1154-1160, oct. 1994.

LANGMORE, S. E. Efficacy of behavioral treatment for oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 10, n. 4, p. 259-262, 1995.

LAZZARA, G L.; LAZARUS, C.; LOGEMANN, J. A. Impact of thermal stimulation on the triggering of the swallowing reflex. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 1, n. 1, p. 73-77, 1986.

LAZARUS, C. L.; LOGEMANN, J. A.; GIBBONS, P. Effects of maneuvers on swallowing function in a dysphagic oral cancer patient. *Head Neck*, New York, v. 15, n. 5, p. 419-424, sept.-oct. 1993a.

LAZARUS, C. L. et al. Effects of bolus volume, viscosity, and repeated swallows in nonstroke subjects and stroke patients. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 74, n. 10, p. 1066-1070, oct. 1993b.

LEBO, C. P.; SANG, U. K.; NORRIS JR, F. H. Cricopharyngeal myotomy in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Laryngoscope*, Saint Louis, v. 86, n. 6, p. 862-868, jun. 1976.

LINDGREN, S.; EKBERG, O. Cricopharyngeal myotomy in the treatment of dysphagia. *Clin. Otolaryngol.*, Oxford, v. 15, n. 3, p. 221-227, jun. 1990.

LOGEMANN, J. A. et al. The benefits of head rotation on pharyngoesophageal dysphagia. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 70, n. 10, p. 767-771, oct. 1989a.

LOGEMANN, J. A. et al. Effects of intraoral prosthetics on swallowing in patients with oral cancer. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 4, n. 2, p. 118-120, 1989b.

LOGEMANN, J. A.; KAHRILAS, P. J. Relearning to swallow after stroke - application of maneuvers and indirect biofeedback: case study. *Neurol*, Minneapolis, v. 40, n. 7, p. 1136-1138, jul. 1990.

LOGEMANN, J. A.; RADEMAKER, A. W.; PAULOSKI, B. Effects of postural change on aspiration in head and neck surgical patients. *Otol. Head Neck Surg.*, Rochester, v. 110, n. 2, p. 222-227, feb. 1994.

LOGEMANN, J. A. et al. Effects of sour bolus on pharyngeal swallowing measures in patients with neurogenic dysphagia. J. Speech Res., Washington, v. 38, n. 3, p. 556-563, jun. 1995.

LOGEMANN, J. A. et al. Effects of two breath-holding maneuvers on oropharyngeal swallow. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.*, Saint Louis, v. 105, n. 2, p. 123-131, feb. 1996.

LOGEMANN, J. A. et al. Super-supraglottic swallow in irradiated head and neck cancer patients. *Head Neck*, New York, v. 19, n. 6, p. 535-540, sept. 1997.

MANRIQUE, D. Aplicação de toxina botulínica tipo A para reduzir a saliva em pacientes com esclerose lateral amiotrófica. *R. Bras. Otorrinolaringol.*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 71, n. 5, p. 566-569, out. 2005.

MANRIQUE, D.; BUHLER, R. B.; MELO, E. C. Tratamento cirúrgico para aspiração. *R. Bras. Otorrinolaringol.*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 67, n. 5, p. 695-700, set. 2001.

MILLER, R. M.; LANGMORE, S. E. Treatment efficacy for adults with oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 75, n. 11, p. 1256-1262, nov. 1994.

MONTGOMERY, W. W. Surgery to prevent aspiration. Arch. Otolaryngol., Chicago, v. 101, n. 11, p. 679-682, nov. 1975.

NGUYEN, N. P. et al. Impact of swallowing therapy on aspiration rate following treatment for locallyadvanced head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol.*, Oxford, aug. 2006. in press.

NGUYEN, N. P. et al. Severity and duration of chronic dysphagia following treatment for head and neck cancer. *Anticancer Res.*, Kapandriti, v. 25, n. 4, p. 2929-2934, 2005.

PARK, C. L.; O'NEILL, P. A.; MARTIN, D. F. A pilot exploratory study of oral electrical stimulation on swallow function following stroke: an innovative technique. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 12, n. 3, p. 161-166, 1997.

PAULOSKI, B. R. et al. Effect of intraoral prostheses on swallowing function in postsurgical oral and orophagyngeal cancer patients. *Am. J. Speech Lang. Pathol.*, Rockville, v. 5, n. 3, p. 31-46, 1996.

PERLMAN, A. L.; SCHULTZ, J. G.; VANDAELE, D. J. Effects of age, gender, bolus volume, and bolus viscosity on oropharyngeal pressure during swallowing. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, Bethesda, v. 75, n. 1, p. 33-37, jul. 1993.

POIRIER, N. C. et al. Cricopharyngeal myotomy for neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. J. Thor. Cardiovasc. Surg., Saint Louis, v. 113, n. 2, p. 233-240, feb. 1997.

POWER, M. et al. Effects of liquid consistency on pharyngeal efficiency in stroke patients with and without dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 12, n. 2, p. a108, 1997.

PREIKSAITIS, H. G.; MILLS, C. A. Coordination of breathing and swallowing: effects of bolus consistency and presentation in normal adults. J. Appl. Physiol., Bethesda, v. 81, n. 4, p. 1707-1714, oct. 1996.

PROSIEGEL, M. et al. Swallowing therapy: a prospective study on patients with neurogenic dysphagia due to unilateral paresis of the vagal nerve, Avellis' syndrome, Wallenberg's syndrome, posterior fossa tumours and cerebellar hemorrhage. *Acta Neurochir. Suppl.*, Wien, v. 93, p. 35-37, 2005.

RASLEY, A. et al. Prevention of barium aspiration during videofluoroscopic swallowing studies: value of change in posture. *Am. J. Roentgenol.*, Springfield, v. 160, n. 5, p. 1005-1009, may 1993.

ROSENBEK, J. C. et al. Effects of thermal application on dysphagia after stroke. *J. Speech Res.*, Washington, v. 34, n. 6, p. 1257-1268, dec. 1991.

ROSENBEK, J. C. et al. Thermal application reduces the duration of stage transition in dysphagia after stroke. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 11, n. 4, p. 225-233, 1996.

ROSS, E. R.; GREEN, R.; AUSLANDER, M. O. et al. Cricopharyngeal myotomy: management of cervical dysphagia. *Otol. Head Neck Surg.*, Rochester, v. 90, n. 4, p. 434-441, jul.-aug. 1982.

SCHNEIDER, I. et al. Treatment of dysfunction of the cricopharyngeal muscle with botulinum A toxin: introduction of a new, noninvasive method. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laringol.*, Saint Louis, v. 103, n. 1, p. 31-35, 1994.

SELLEY, W. G et al. Dysphagia following strokes: clinical observations of swallowing rehabilitation employing palatal training appliances. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 10, n. 1, p. 32-35, 1995.

SHAKER, R. et al. Effect of isotonic/isometric head lift exercise on hypopharynngeal intrabolus pressure. *Dysphagia*, New York, v. 12, p. a107, 1997.

SHAKER, R. et al. Rehabilitation of swallowing by exercise in tube-fed patients with pharyngeal dysphagia secondary to abnormal UES opening. *Gastroenterol.*, Bethesda, v. 122, n. 5, p. 1314-1321, 2002.

SHANAHAN, T. K. et al. Chin-down posture effect on aspiration in dysphagic patients. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 74, n. 7, p. 736-739, jul. 1993.

SILVA, R. G. Disfagia Orofaríngea: as relações dos achados clínicos e objetivos com a definição das técnicas terapêuticas. In: OLIVEIRA, J. A. (Org.). Symposium na I jornada internacional de otorrinolaringoscopia e II jornada de fonoaudiologia de Ribeirão Preto. São Paulo: Frontis Editorial, 1998a. (Collectanea symposium).

SILVA, R. G. Reabilitação fonoaudiológica na disfagia orofaríngea neurogênica em adultos: a educação continuada como princípio. In: MACEDO FILHO, E. (Org). *Disfagia:* abordagem multidisciplinar. São Paulo: Frontis Editorial, 1998b. p. 97-104.

SILVA, R. G. A eficácia da reabilitação em disfagia orofaríngea. In: FELIX, N.; FURKIM, A. M.; VIEBIG, R. Arquivos de motilidade digestiva e neurogastroenterologia. [S.1.: s.n.], 1999. p. 3-73, v. 2.

SILVA, R. G. Fonoaudiologia aplicada ao lesado encefálico adulto: proposta para programas de reabilitação com ênfase na formação de agentes reabilitadores. In: MARCHESAN, I.; ZORZI, J. *Anuário Cefac de Fonoaudiologia*. [S.l.: s.n.], 2000. p. 53-62.

STACHLER, R. J. et al. Swallowing of bolus types by postsurgical head and neck cancer patients. *Head Neck*, New York, v. 16, n. 5, p. 413-419, sept.-oct. 1994.

WELCH, M. V. et al. Changes in pharyngeal dimensions effects by chin tuck. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehab.*, Chicago, v. 74, n. 2, p. 178-181, feb. 1993.

WHEELER, R. L.; LOGEMANN, J. A.; ROSEN, M. S. Maxillary reshaping prostheses: effectiveness in improving speech and swallowing of postsurgical oral cancer patients. *J. prosthet Dent.*, Saint Louis, v. 43, n. 3, p. 313-319, mar. 1980.