

Environmental management in small mining enterprises: comparative analysis of three Brazilian cases through the lenses of ISO 14001

Gestão ambiental de pequenas mineradoras: análise comparativa de três casos brasileiros sob a ótica da norma ISO 14001

Miriam de Oliveira Baumbach

MSc, PROAMB/UFOP. miriam.baumbach@gmail.com

José Francisco do Prado Filho

DSc, PROAMB/DEAMB/UFOP. ifprado@depro.em.ufop.br

Alberto Fonseca

PhD, PROAMB/DEAMB/UFOP. albertof@em.ufop.br

Resumo

A norma voluntária de Sistema de Gestão Ambiental ISO 14001:2004 é pouco adotada entre pequenas empresas, apesar dos vários benefícios ambientais e operacionais que a norma pode trazer. Diversos autores têm incentivado pesquisas sobre as particularidades dessas organizações, de modo a identificar fatores que possam incentivá-las a aprimorar seus sistemas gerenciais. Esse trabalho contribui para essa demanda, ao analisar os desafios ambientais e os níveis de atendimento à ISO 140001:2004 de três empresas mineradoras de pequeno porte localizadas em Minas Gerais, Brasil. Os dados para análise foram obtidos a partir da aplicação de um questionário e de uma lista de verificação baseada na ISO 14001:2004. A análise dos dados mostrou níveis relativamente baixos de atendimento aos requisitos de sistemas de gestão ambiental proativos. As três empresas obtiveram, na lista de verificação, índices de 8%, 46% e 56% de atendimento à norma. Ao analisar a estratégia ambiental das empresas, foi identificado que duas das empresas lidam com desafios ambientais de maneira predominantemente reativa, enquanto a outra apresenta uma abordagem ambiental mais proativa. A partir dos resultados obtidos, é possível concluir que as empresas estudadas desconhecem a magnitude dos impactos que provocam no meio ambiente e, possivelmente, devido a esse fato, acabam desenvolvendo sistemas ambientais simplistas. O artigo conclui acentuando o valor de se aprimorarem sistemas de gestão ambiental em pequenas mineradoras.

Palavras-chave: Sistema de Gestão Ambiental (SGA), ISO 14001, mineração e minerais, pequenas empresas de mineração

Abstract

ISO 14001 is the world's most successful environmental management system (EMS) standard. More than two hundred thousand organizations, mostly large corporations located in industrialized countries, have become ISO14001-certified, hoping to improve their environmental, operational and reputational aspects. Nonetheless, the adoption of ISO 14001 by small organizations is still uncommon.

Accordingly, there has been a call for research on the particularities of small enterprises and their environmental management approaches. This article responds to this call, while analyzing the environmental challenges and EMSs of three small mining enterprises located in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Data was collected through the application of a questionnaire and an ISO14001-based checklist. The analysis revealed low levels of ISO 14001 implementation across the sample. The three companies met 8%, 46% and 56% of the checklist items. Findings from the questionnaire suggest that two companies adopt a predominantly reactive approach to dealing with environmental challenges. The other company seems more aligned with a pro-active strategy. All three companies are not sufficiently aware of their impacts on the environment: a fact that helps to explain the simplistic EMSs they currently have. The article concludes by highlighting the value of pursuing more sophisticated EMS for small mining companies.

Keywords: Environmental Management System (EMS), ISO 14001, mining and minerals, small mining enterprises

1. Introduction

Voluntary environmental management tools are becoming increasingly important for private companies, given the growing environmental pressures imposed by suppliers, customers, shareholders, investors and consumers. Among the world's most used environmental management tool is the Environmental Management System (EMS) Standard of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), known as ISO 14001:2004. This standard - launched in 1996 and revised in 2004 - standardizes the management procedures needed to continually improve the environmental performance of an organization. ISO 14001 is based on the paradigm of PDCA (i.e. plan, do, check and act). The standard requires organizations to define an environmental policy and implement numerous operational and managerial procedures targeting environmental aspects and impacts. The standard also requires continual monitoring, maintenance and verification of the EMS (ISO 2004). An EMS that meets the requirements of ISO 14001, supported by auditing bodies accredited by ISO, can obtain a certificate (Seiffert, 2006). In 2010, there were more than 250 thousand valid ISO 14001 certificates worldwide; 1500 (0.6%) of which in Brazil (ISO, 2010).

ISO 14001 can bring many benefits to organizations, such as lower operational costs, increased competitiveness, risk prevention, enhanced reputation, and improved environmental performance (D'Avignon, 1995; Fresner, 1998; Moreira, 2006; Nascimento and Poledna, 2002, Almeida et al., 2004). However, studies have shown that the pursuit of ISO 14001 is more common among large companies, because these entities suffer more environmental pressures from stakeholders and have more resources to react (Johanson, 1997, Miles et al., 1999, Chan and Li, 2001; Hillary, 2004). There has been a growing interest in programs and subsidies to promote ISO 14001 among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), especially among those located in developing countries, like Brazil, where the costs of implementing ISO14001 are considered a barrier to its adoption (Ammenberg et al., 1999; ISO, 2006). Biondi et al. (2000) concluded that a growing number of SMEs are becoming interested in ISO14001. Similar findings were found more recently by Pombo and Magrini (2008) in Brazil. According to these authors, high costs have been a key barrier to the adoption of ISO 14001 among SMEs, although "these high costs can no longer be a barrier insofar as the company starts with a basic environmental manage-

ment system and gradually turn it into a more sophisticated system "(Pombo and Magrini, 2008, p. 2). The importance of more basic or simplified EMSs has also been emphasized by Ammenberg et al. (1999) as a way to gradually promote sophisticated systems.

One of the key barriers to implementing EMSs among SMEs, even simplified ones, is the fact there is still little information about the conditions and particularities of small enterprises and their respective environmental management systems. EMS effectiveness varies significantly according to organizational size, geographical regional and sectoral activity. Accordingly, there has been a call for further research on the characteristics of environmental management systems among SMEs in various contexts. Such information can help decision-makers to better plan the investments and actions necessary to improve EMSs. This paper contributes to filling this gap, while exploring the characteristics of environmental management systems in small mining companies located in emerging markets. More specifically, this article sought to analyze the levels of ISO 14001 compliance, as well as the challenges to managing environmental aspects in a sample of three small mining companies located in Minas Gerais, Brazil.

2. Materials and methods

This study analyzed three (3) small mining companies located in southeastern Brazil, within the historic mining region of Ouro Preto, in the state of Minas Gerais. These companies were selected purposefully, because, apart from being small, they were not ISO 14001-certified. Table 1 provides further details about these companies.

Data were collected through the application of a structured questionnaire and a checklist. The structured questionnaire, based on North (1992) and Donaire (2007), was used to understand the companies' environmental challenges, drawing on eight (8) categories of environmental and managerial aspects. Each item of the questionnaire was analyzed through a rating scale of one (1) to five (5), where one (1) meant that company was threatened by that aspect, and five (5) meant that the aspect represented a growth opportunity for the company. Data were also collected through a checklist, adapted from Hassegawa (2007), in order to identify the level of compliance of the company with ISO 14001:2004. The checklist had 96 questions covering the many requirements of that standard. The category of thematic questions of the checklist were represented by a letter of the alphabet, "A" to "Q", totaling 17 categories. The criteria used to gauge compliance with the 96 questions of the checklists were drawn from

Moreira (2006).

It is important to note that the questionnaire and the checklist were applied through face-to-face interviews with representatives of the companies' senior management. The accuracy and consistency of the data were later attested in visual inspections undertaken by one of the authors in the premises of each company.

Company Code	Location	Mining activities	Pollution Potential (1 to 6)*	Employees	Size (small, medium or large)**
X	Santa Rita de Ouro Preto	Extraction and beneficiation of soap stone	3	35	Small
Υ	Mariana	Extraction and beneficiation of quartzite	3	70	Small
Z	Itabirito	Extraction and beneficiation of phyllite	3	25	Small

Table 1 Details of the three investigated mining enterprises.

3. Results and discussions

While the three companies had similar sizes and pollution potential, only two (2) items of the environmental challenge questionnaire vielded equal results (Table 2). These items are related to source of raw materials (Section 1.1) and energy consumption (item 1.5). There were 10 items that two of the three companies had similar responses (1.4, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 4.1, 4.2, 6.2, 7.1, 7.2)and 8.2), as it can be seen in Table 2. Overall, the diversity of responses suggests that the companies are challenged differently by environmental aspects: a fact that, as discussed below, is mirrored in their EMSs.

Thirteen out of the twenty responses from companies X and Y were larger than three, i.e. the majority of their responses indicated these companies as environmentally friendly. Company Z, in turn, had nine responses greater than three. These findings reflect the senior managers' concern with either the environment or the environmental reputation of the company. The onsite inspections suggested low levels of environmental consciousness. The findings from the questionnaire also suggest that companies X and Z are adopting a reactive approach to coping with environmental challenges (Tilley, 1999). Both companies, despite their differences, act primarily reacting to pressures from environmental agencies and their clients to improve environmental performance. In addition, these companies usually adopt end-of-pipe technological solutions. Company Y, however, while also claiming to react to government pressures, seemed more committed to developing and employing new, cleaner technologies. Company Y also showed a good effort to reduce its environmental impacts, primarily through water re-use. Therefore, one could argue that Company Y has a more proactive approach to dealing with its environmental challenges. As Tilley (1999) puts it, proactive firms have more positive and deliberate efforts to reduce environmental impacts. As a result, their environmental management tends to be more integrated into the organizational structure.

The application of the checklist revealed mixed levels of ISO 14001 compliance across the sample, as it can be seen in Table 3. The item "Objectives and Targets" is the only one that was not met by any company: a fact that suggests a disregard for continuous environmental performance. Other cases in which two of the three companies had 0% of compliance were "Communication" and "Emergency Preparedness

and Response." This finding is not surprising, as these requirements are more commonly observed in companies with mature EMSs. The analysis revealed that none of the three companies met more than 60% of the ISO 14001 requirements. Such levels of compliance, according to Moreira (2006), mean that the companies are distant from implementing a formal goal-oriented EMS. Company X's performance on the checklist was particularly low (8%), as the company's environmental management has very few policies and procedures in place. The findings from the checklist show that many procedures need to be created and implemented (particularly in company X) for the purpose of bringing the analyzed EMSs closer to ISO 14001:2004 requirements.

Overall these findings corroborate previous studies, while revealing simplistic approaches to managing environmental challenges in small companies. The three investigated mining enterprises do not seem motivated to invest in voluntary EMSs such as ISO's. It should be noted, however, that all three companies could accrue significant managerial and performance benefits from continually enhancing their environmental policies, procedures and controls.

^{*}Pollution potential criteria are based on the Brazilian state regulation

[&]quot;Deliberação Normativa COPAM, DN 74/2004" (Minas Gerais, 2004).

^{**}Organizational size reflects SEBRAE's (2010) criteria.

		Company Score				æ		
Aspects	Environmentally Threatened		2	3	4	5	Environmentally Friendly	
	1.1 - Non-renewable raw materials	XYZ					Renewable raw materials	
	1.2 - Recyclable	Z	Υ		Х		Non-recyclable	
Products	1.3 - Waste re-use and/or processing		Z		Υ	Х	No waste re-use and/or processing	
	1.4 - High pollution				ΥZ	Х	Low pollution	
	1.5 – High energy consumption					XYZ	Low energy consumption	
	2.1 - Polluting		Z		Х	Υ	Non-polluting	
	2.2 - High generation of hazardous wastes				XZ	Υ	No generation of hazardous waste	
Processes	2.3 – High energy consumption		Υ	Х		Z	Low energy consumption	
	2.4 – Inefficient resource use			Z		XY	Efficient resource use	
	2.5 - Health hazards to workers			ΥZ	Х		No health hazards to workers	
Environmental consciousness	3.1 – Consumers not environmentally conscious	Y		Х	Z		Environmentally conscious consumers	
Enviornmental	4.1 - Low-level standards			Х	YZ		High-level standards	
standards	4.2 - Non-compliance with standards				XZ	Υ	Strict compliance with standards	
Management and staff commitment	5.1 – No commitment to environmental issues	Z			Υ	Х	High commitment to environmental protection	
	6.1 – Low skill levels		Х	Υ	Z		High skill levels	
Skill level of staff	6.2 - Capacity and specialization in old technologies		XZ		Υ		Up to date education and technological skills	
Research and	7.1 – Low creativity	Z				XY	High creativity	
development (R&D)	7.2 - Long development cycles	Z	Х			Υ	Short development cycles	
	8.1 - Capital shortage	Υ	Х	Z			Capital abundance	
Capital	8.2 - No access to financing				Z	XY	Easy access to financing	

Source: Adapted from North (1992) and Donaire (2007).

Compliance Level (%) ISO 14001:2004 Requirements Company X Company Y Company Z Α Environmental policy 11 44 11 В Identification of environmental aspects and impacts 0 50 67 С Identification of legal and other requirements 0 100 100 D Environmental objectives, targets and programme(s) 0 0 0 Ε 8 Resources, roles, Responsibility and authority 20 33 F 33 66 89 Competence, training and awareness G Communication 0 0 40 Н 0 20 20 Documentation I Control of documents 0 63 62 J Operational control 0 40 20 Κ Emergency preparadness and response 0 75 L 25 50 Monitoring and measurement 75 Μ Evaluation and compliance 0 0 100 Ν Nonconformity, corrective action and preventive action 20 80 80 0 Control of records 0 50 100 Р Internal audit 0 50 83 Q 9 55 3 Management review Total 8 46 56

Source: Based on Hassegawa (2006) and Moreira (2006).

Table 2
The Environmental Challenge Scan.

4. Conclusions

This article explored the environmental challenges and management systems of three small mining companies located in Minas Gerais, Brazil, through the lenses of ISO 14001:2004. Findings from the application of a questionnaire and a checklist show that the three companies, despite their differences, are distant from implementing a formal goal-oriented EMS.

Data obtained through the application of the questionnaire suggest that companies X and Z have reactive approaches to dealing with environmental challenges, since both perform actions motivated primarily by government agencies. Data also suggest that Company Y has a more proactive environmental strategy, because, although also driven by government, this company plans and operates cleaner technologies. These results are not surprising, since the literature has shown that small and medium-sized enterprises tend to have simplistic and reactive approaches to environmental management.

Findings form the application of the

checklist show that none of the three companies met more than 60% of the requirements of ISO 14001:2004. Companies X, Y and Z had 8%, 46% and 56% levels of ISO 14001 compliance, respectively.

It was found that Company Z performed better in the checklist, in spite of its reactive approach to dealing with environmental challenges. This apparent contradiction could be explained by the fact that the questionnaire and the checklist are not necessarily related. Managerial approaches and management systems can be contradictory, i.e. a company, regardless of its managerial approach, can have high or low levels of management system implementation. Future research, however, would be needed to confirm if this is the case with respect to the analyzed company.

Overall, the findings show that the three companies are unaware of the magnitude of their impacts on the environment: a fact that helps to explain the relatively simplistic EMS they currently

have. Findings, however, also suggest that the companies could gain significant managerial and performance benefits from continually enhancing their environmental policies, procedures and controls. Investments for such enhancements would probably be higher for Company X.

Findings presented here reflect mostly the senior managers' answers to the questionnaire and checklist. Future studies should consider investigating how data collected through this method compares to data generated from independent on-site inspections. This comparison could shed light on potential response bias. Future studies should also consider investigating mining companies located in different regions. The companies analyzed here are located in one of Brazils' most developed and competitive regions. This study, if undertaken in northern Brazil, could arguably reveal even poorer levels of environmental management procedures and policies among small mining enterprises.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the companies' managers, who were all very kind, open and helpful during the research. The authors also thank CAPES and the Graduate Program in Environmental Engineering at UFOP

for the financial support to this research.

6. References

- ALMEIDA, J. R., MELLO, C. S., CAVALCANTI, Y. Gestão ambiental planejamento, avaliação, implantação, operação e verificação. 2ª Ed. revisada e atualizada. Rio de Janeiro: Thex Ed., 2004.
- AMMENBERG, J., BORJESSON, B., HJELM, O. Joint EMS and Group Certification: A Cost-effective Route for SMEs to Achieve ISO 14001. Greener Management International, v. 28, p. 23-31, 1999.
- ASSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE NORMAS TÉCNICAS. NBR ISO 14001: Sistema de Gestão Ambiental - requisitos com orientações para uso. Rio de Janeiro: ABNT, 2004.
- BIONDI, V., FREY, M., IRALDO, F. Environmental Management Systems and SMEs. Greener Management International, v. 29, p. 55-69, 2000.
- CHAN, K.-Y., LI, X.-D. A study of the implementation of ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems in Hong Kong. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, v. 44, n. 5, 2001.
- D'AVIGNON, A. Normas ambientais ISO 14001:2004: como podem influenciar sua empresa. Rio de Janeiro: CNI, DAMPI, 1995. 68p.
- DONAIRE, D. Gestão ambiental na empresa. 2ª Ed. São Paulo: Atlas. 2007.
- FRESNER, J. Cleaner production as a means for effective environmental management. Journal of Cleaner Production, v. 6, p. 171-179, 1998.
- HASSEGAWA, B. K. F. Gerenciamento ambiental em estações de tratamento de água de médio porte: elaboração de um instrumento de análise ambiental e operacional com base na NBR ISO 14001:2004. Minas Gerais: Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, 2007. (Dissertação de Mestrado em Engenharia Ambiental).
- HILLARY, R. Environmental management systems and the smaller enterprise. Journal of Cleaner Production, v. 12, n. 6, p. 561-569, 2004.

- ISO. ABNT NBR ISO 14001: Sistema de Gestão Ambiental requisitos com orientações para uso. Rio de Janeiro: Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas (ABNT). 14001, 2004. 35 p.
- _____. *Help for small businesses to implement ISO management system standards*. 2006. Disponível em: http://www.iso.org/iso/pressrelease.htm?refid=Ref995>. Acesso em: 06/06/2012.
- _____. *Main findings of the 2010 ISO Survey*. 2010. Disponível em: http://www.iso.org/iso/iso-survey2010.pdf>. Acesso em: 06/06/2012.
- JOHANSON, L. The Challenge of Implementing ISO 14001 for Small and Medium Enterprises: Surviving in the New Global Jungle. *Environmental Quality Management*, v. Winter, p. 9-19, 1997.
- MILES, M. P., MUNILLA, L. S., MCCLURG, T. The impact of ISO 14000 environmental management standards on small and medium sized enterprises. *Journal of Quality Management*, v. 4, n. 1, p. 111-122, 1999.
- MINAS GERAIS. Deliberação Normativa n.º 74, de 09 de setembro de 2004 Estabelece critérios para classificação, segundo o porte e potencial poluidor, de empreendimentos e atividades modificadoras do meio ambiente passíveis de autorização ou de licenciamento ambiental no nível estadual, determina normas para indenização dos custos de análise de pedidos de autorização e de licenciamento ambiental, e dá outras providências. Conselho Estadual de Política Ambiental (COPAM). Belo Horizonte. 2004.
- MOREIRA, M. S. Estratégia e implantação do Sistema de Gestão Ambiental (modelo ISO 14000). Belo Horizonte: Ed. de desenvolvimento gerencial, 2006. 286p.
- NASCIMENTO, L. F. M., POLEDNA, S. R. C. O processo de implantação da ISO 14000 em empresas brasileiras. In: ENCONTRO NACIONAL DE ENGENHARIA DE PRODUÇÃO, 21. Curitiba, 2002.
- NORTH, K. *Environmental business management: an introduction*. Genebra: International Labour Organization (ILO), 1992.
- POMBO, F. R., MAGRINI, A. Panorama de aplicação da norma ISO 14001 no Brasil. *Gestão & Produção*, v. 15, n. 1, p. 1-10, 2008.
- SEIFFERT, M. E. B. ISO 14001 Sistemas de Gestão Ambiental: implantação objetiva e econômica. São Paulo: Atlas, 2006.
- SEVIÇO BRASILEIRO DE APOIO AS PEQUENAS E MICRO EMPRESAS. *Classificação do porte da empresa*. Disponível em http://www.sebrae.com.br/uf/goias/indicadores-das-mpe/classificacao-empresarial/integra_bia?ident_unico=97>. Acesso em 22/fev/2010.
- TILLEY, F. J. Small-firm environmental strategy. The UK experience. *Greener Management International*, v 25, p. 67-80, 1999.

Artigo recebido em 28 de junho de 2012. Aprovado em 14 de dezembro de 2012.