Effects of electroacupuncture on stress and anxiety-related responses in rats
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to investigate if electroacupuncture at PC6 would modulate the stress-induced anxiety-like behavior and the level of activation of several brain areas. Rats were distributed in groups: control; submitted to immobilization; submitted to immobilization and electroacupuncture at PC6 or at the tail. Immobilization increased grooming and decreased stretched attend postures and the time spent in the open arms of the elevated plus-maze. Electroacupuncture at PC6 or tail canceled the effect of immobilization on grooming and attenuated the stretched attend posture. Immobilization increased Fos-immunoreactivity in the prefrontal cortex, medial and central amygdala, paraventricular and dorsomedial nuclei of the hypothalamus, lateral hypothalamus, dentate gyrus, CA1, CA2 and CA3 hippocampal areas. The activation of paraventricular, dorsomedial nuclei and prefrontal cortex by immobilization was canceled by electroacupuncture at PC6 and attenuated by electroacupuncture in the tail. The activation of the other areas was canceled by electroacupuncture in PC6 or the tail. It is concluded that immobilization induced anxiety-like behavior that was moderately attenuated by electroacupuncture with difference between the stimulation in PC6 or the rat tail. Electroacupuncture showed specificity concerning to the attenuation of the effects of immobilization in the CNS areas related to the stress response, anxiety and cardiovascular system.
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INTRODUCTION

Acupuncture is a complex and ancient medical paradigm broadly known as “Chinese Traditional Medicine”. Its original text, “Huang Di Nei Jing”, dates from the V century B.C. (Beijing 1995).

Although the effect of acupuncture in specific points has been investigated, the mechanisms related to acupuncture effects are still not completely understood. Usually, acupuncture points have a variety of clinical indications. For example, the point named PC6 (Nei guan) is indicated for the treatment of anxiety and the modulation of cardiac function (Liu 2004). Electroacupuncture (EEA) at PC6 has been reported to reduce activity in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (Zhong and Li 2009), the periaqueductal gray matter, the ventrolateral hypothalamus and the rostral ventrolateral medulla of rabbits (Fu and Longhurst 2009, Tjen-A-Looi et al. 2009). PC6 stimulation...
also activated serotonergic neurons at the raphe nucleus (Moazzami et al. 2010). Moreover, the biochemical and behavioral reactions as well as the memory loss induced by mild chronic stress in rats were reduced by acupuncture at PC6 (Kim et al. 2009, 2011).

It is known that under certain circumstances stress may cause adverse consequences to the organic functions (Charmandari et al. 2005, Koolhaas et al. 2011) thus triggering the so-called stress-related diseases including anxiety, metabolic and endocrine disorders (Ortolani et al. 2011, 2014, Petrelluzzi et al. 2008, 2012), and cardiovascular diseases (Santos and Spadari-Bratfisch 2006). Whether acupuncture at PC6 would attenuate these stress effects has not yet been investigated.

The aim of this work was to investigate if electroacupuncture at PC6 would modulate the stress-induced anxiety-like behavior and the level of activation of several brain areas. The guiding hypotheses was that the peripheral stimuli by electroacupuncture might modulate the activity of central components of the nervous system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS AND EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS

Thirty-two male Wistar rats (Rattus novergicus) weighing 240 to 300 g were purchased from the “Centro de Desenvolvimento de Modelos Experimentais para Medicina e Biologia (CEDEME)” from the “Universidade Federal de São Paulo” (UNIFESP). The rats were housed in groups of four rats per cage in a temperature controlled room (22 ± 1°C), on a 12/12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 a.m.), with free access to tap water and rodents chow (Labina, Purina, Sao Paulo, Brazil).

Rats were assigned to one of the following groups: unstressed (control), immobilization stress (IMMO), IMMO + electroacupuncture (EEA) at PC6 (PC6) and IMMO + EEA at one point in the rat tail (TAIL).

All procedures were approved by the “Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation” and the “Institutional Committee for Ethics in Animal Experimentation” of the UNIFESP (certificate number 0093/12).

IMMobilization Stress (IMMO)

Rats were submitted to immobilization stress for 60 minutes on three consecutive days, between 8:00 and 11:00 a.m. As shown in the Figure 1, the animals were kept in the supine position in a plastic cylinder provided with holes that allowed the externalization of the 4 members, head and tail. The rat’s paws and tail were fixed with tape on the cylinder support. Forefeet remained supported dorsally, respecting the physiological range of motion and exposing the region where the PC6 point is located (Guimarães et al. 1997, Medeiros et al. 2003, Lee et al. 2004).

ELECTroACUPUNCTURE (EEA)

Disposable acupuncture 8 mm length and 0.18 mm diameter steel needles (Dongbang, Chungnam, Korea) were inserted 3 mm depth in PC6 or in the tail and connected to an electric stimulator (Sikuro DS 100 Jr Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) that delivered alternate asymmetric pulses (positive rectangular and negative exponential) in a frequency of 3 Hz and intensity of 1 mA (Yang et al. 2002, Lee et al. 2004). Electric stimulation was done during the first 30 min of immobilization stress. Then, the needles were removed.

PC6 was located in each forehand paw of the rat, at one sixth of the distance between the center of the wrist flexion crease and the elbow flexion crease, and between the tendons of the long palmar muscle and the carpo radial flexor (Figure 1). Two “non-classical acupuncture points” were set in line, 0.5 cm distal from the base of the tail. Needles were
inserted 3 mm deep, one at the level of the second coccygeal vertebra, and the other 2 cm distal, bordering the right and left ventral caudal nerves (Figure 1).

ELEVATED PLUS-MAZE (EPM) TEST

Immediately after the IMMO session, rats were placed individually in the center of the plus-maze at the junction between the open and the closed arms, facing one of the closed arms. The rat’s behavior in the EPM was recorded during 5 min (Pellow et al. 1985, Melo and Brandão 1995, Ortolani et al. 2011, 2014).

The EPM was made of wood, and consisted of two open arms measuring 50 × 10 cm, crossed at a right angle with two opposed closed arms of the same size. These arms were enclosed by walls 40-cm high. The four arms delimited a central area of 10 cm². The whole apparatus was elevated 50-cm above the floor. To avoid falls, a rim of Plexiglas 1-cm high surrounded the open arms.

For each animal, the total number of entries in the closed arms of the maze, the percentage of open arm entries and the percentage of time spent on the open arms were calculated. Also, the total number of the following behavioral categories was computed: scanning (protruding the head over the edge of an open arm and scrutinizing in any direction), stretched-attend posture (the animal stretches to its full length with the forepaws, keeping the hind paws in the same place and turning back to the anterior position), end exploring (number of times the rat reached the end of an open arm), peeping out (stretching the head/shoulders from the closed arms to the central platform), grooming (cleaning any part of the body surface with the tongue, teeth and /or forepaws) and head dipping (dipping of the head below the level of the maze floor) were analyzed (Cruz et al. 1994, Albrechet-Souza et al. 2008). Unstressed rats were tested at the same time as IMMO rats.

Immediately after the behavioral test, the rats were anesthetized and perfused as described below. The behavioral tests did not interfere in the immunohistochemical analyzes, because the peak of the expression immunoreactivity Fos protein in response to a stimulus occurs in about 40 to 60 min (Cespedes et al. 2010, Le Sueur-Maluf et al. 2015).

FOS PROTEIN IMMUNOREACTIVITY (FOS-IR)

After the behavioral test, the rats were anesthetized with ketamine:xylazine 2:1 (1 mL/kg) and perfused with 100 mL of 0.9% saline for approximately 1 min, followed by 500-700 mL of 4% formaldehyde (from paraformaldehyde heated to 60-65°C) and H₂O at 4°C, pH 9.5, for approximately 25 min.

The brains were post-fixed for 1 h in the same fixative solution, and then stored in a solution containing 20% sucrose for cryoprotection, at 4°C. Regularly spaced series (5 × 1-in-5) of 30 μm-thick frozen sections were cut in the coronal plane, collected in ethylene glycol-based cryoprotectant solution and stored at -20°C for later determination of Fos-ir. Fos-ir cells were identified using a polyclonal anti-serum raised in rabbits against synthetic human Fos (anti-Fos - 1:20,000; Oncogene, Cambridge, MA, USA). Immunohistochemistry was performed using a conventional avidin-biotin immunoperoxidase protocol (Hsu and Raine 1981) and Vectastain Elite reagents (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Tissues were pretreated with hydrogen peroxide (0.3%; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) before addition of the primary antibody to quench endogenous peroxidase activity in the tissue. The reaction with diaminobenzidine (DAB) (0.05%; Sigma) was amplified using nickel ammonium sulfate. The sections were then mounted on gelatin-coated slides, allowed to dry for approximately 24 h and counterstained with 0.25% thionin for identification of the nervous tissue cytoarchitecture. Fos-ir cells in the sections were quantified under
bright-field illumination using the Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

The following areas were analyzed by Fos-ir: the prefrontal cortex (PFC); the medial (MeA) and the central amygdala (CeA); the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN); the dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus (DMH); the lateral hypothalamus (LH); the dentate gyrus (DG); and the Cornus Ammon (CA1, CA2 and CA3) areas of the hippocampus. These areas were selected on the basis of previous evidence that they are involved in stress responses (Ulrich-Lai and Herman 2009), and having as reference the following AP coordinates bregmas (Paxinos and Watson 2008): PFC: +2.40 mm; MeA: -2.76 mm; CeA: -2.92 mm; PVN: -1.56 mm; DMH: -2.64 mm; DG and CA1, CA2 and CA3 areas of the hippocampus: -2.76 mm. The experimenter performing both the staining and the analysis was blind to the experimental conditions.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Behavioral measurements and the number of Fos-ir neurons in each experimental group were analyzed by one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**EFFECT OF STRESS AND ELECTROACUPUNCTURE ON ANXIETY-LIKE BEHAVIORS**

The results obtained in the EPM test are summarized in Table I. One way ANOVA showed no significant differences between IMMO and control groups regarding the following parameters: percentage of entries in the open arms ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 1.12; p = 0.36); number of entries in the closed arms ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 1.51; p = 0.24); head dipping ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 1.22; p = 0.33); end arm exploring ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 1.23; p = 0.32); flatback approach ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 2.34; p = 0.10); or peeping out ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 1.79; p = 0.18). Those parameters were not modified by EEA in PC6 or in the tail. Nevertheless, there were significant differences in the number of grooming ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 5.42; p = 0.02; one-way ANOVA) and stretched-attend postures ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 2.59; p = 0.04). Moreover, the percentage of time spent in the open arms of the EPM showed a difference that is marginal to statistical significance ($F_{(3,25)}$ = 374.7; p = 0.08). The increase in the number of grooming in the IMMO group was canceled by EEA in the tail or PC6. EEA also increased the percentage of time spent in the open arms of the EPM (p < 0.05). Furthermore, EEA did not modify the effect of IMMO on the number of stretched-attend postures and rearing.

**FOS-IR**

The quantitative analyses of Fos-ir in brain areas is summarized in Table II. IMMO group showed significant increase in Fos-ir in the following regions: PFC (Figure 2a); MeA (Figure 2b); CeA (Figure 2c); DG (Figure 2d); CA1, CA2 and CA3 areas of the hippocampus (Figure 2e and f), PVN (Figure 3a); LAT HYPO (Figure 3b) and DMH (Figure 3c). The activation of PFC, PVN, and DMH by IMMO was canceled by EEA at PC6 and attenuated by EEA in the tail (Table II; Figures 2a, 3a and 3c, respectively). The activation of the other areas was canceled by EEA in PC6 or the tail (Table II; Figures 2 and 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here indicate that IMMO increased grooming and decreased stretched attend postures. Grooming is an important component of the rodent’s behavioral repertoire (Berridge and Whishaw 1992, Kalueff and Tuohimaa 2005). It is sensitive to different kind of stressors (Spruijt et al. 1992) and it seems to play an important role in behavioral adaptation to stress, including stress-coping and arousal (Kalueff and Tuohimaa 2005).
TABLE I
Behavior in the elevated plus-maze test of control rats and of rats submitted to immobilization (IMMO) and IMMO plus electroacupuncture at the tail or at PC6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>IMMO</th>
<th>TAIL</th>
<th>PC6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time spent in the open arms (%)</td>
<td>26.35 ± 4.34</td>
<td>9.60 ± 2.34*</td>
<td>27.19 ± 6.09</td>
<td>21.49 ± 5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entries in the open arm (%)</td>
<td>34.15 ± 3.76</td>
<td>23.29 ± 5.31</td>
<td>35.66 ± 6.31</td>
<td>34.06 ± 6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entries in the closed arms</td>
<td>9.20 ± 0.57</td>
<td>7.85 ± 1.05</td>
<td>10.14 ± 0.50</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooming</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.71*</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretched attend posture</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.33</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.51</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.78</td>
<td>7.00 ± 1.09</td>
<td>7.83 ± 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head dipping</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.89</td>
<td>7.71 ± 1.10</td>
<td>8.14 ± 1.26</td>
<td>10.50 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End arm exploration</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat back approach</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.49</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.28</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.70</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeping out</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.76</td>
<td>7.42 ± 1.26</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.66 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SEM; n= 7-8 rats/group; *p < 0.05 as compared to control and tail groups; **p < 0.05 as compared to IMMO group (one-way ANOVA and Tukey test).

TABLE II
Fos - immunoreactive cells (Fos-ir) in brain areas of control rats and rats submitted to immobilization (IMMO) and IMMO plus electroacupuncture at the tail or at PC6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>IMMO</th>
<th>Tail</th>
<th>PC6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraventricular Nucleus (PVN)</td>
<td>18.75 ± 0.60</td>
<td>228.25 ± 31.36*</td>
<td>34.00 ± 3.41</td>
<td>16.00 ± 1.61**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial Amygdala (MeA)</td>
<td>10.25 ± 1.59</td>
<td>171.50 ± 21.24*</td>
<td>33.50 ± 4.93</td>
<td>17.75 ± 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Amygdala (CeA)</td>
<td>16.00 ± 2.20</td>
<td>134.50 ± 19.71*</td>
<td>34.25 ± 4.49</td>
<td>20.50 ± 2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentate Gyrus (DG)</td>
<td>6.75 ± 1.33</td>
<td>133.00 ± 19.94*</td>
<td>23.33 ± 2.13</td>
<td>14.50 ± 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammon Cornus 1 (CA1)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.406</td>
<td>28.00 ± 3.52*</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammon Cornus 2 (CA2)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.28</td>
<td>67.75 ± 7.50*</td>
<td>6.00 ± 1.06</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammon Cornus 3 (CA3)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.40</td>
<td>71.50 ± 5.96*</td>
<td>5.00 ± 1.61</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefrontal Cortex (PFC)</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.78</td>
<td>209.00 ± 19.45*</td>
<td>67.00 ± 2.84</td>
<td>19.50 ± 0.93**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsomedial Hypothalamus (DMH)</td>
<td>20.75 ± 1.36</td>
<td>119.25 ± 15.91*</td>
<td>48.25 ± 3.88</td>
<td>26.75 ± 1.16**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Hypothalamus (LAT HYPO)</td>
<td>10.50 ± 1.42</td>
<td>119.50 ± 13.24*</td>
<td>30.50 ± 3.00</td>
<td>21.50 ± 2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± sem of 7-9 rats/group; *p < 0.05 as compared to control group, **p < 0.05 as compared to tail group (one-way ANOVA and Tukey test).
Moreover, grooming is one of the main behavioral categories consistently altered by IMMO (Doremus-Fitzwater et al. 2009, Hennebelle et al. 2012). Reduction of grooming to control values occurred after EEA in the tail or PC6. A similar effect has been observed in rats submitted to IMMO and treated with omega-3 (Hennebelle et al. 2012). Aside from reducing grooming EEA increased the percentage of time spent in the open arms of the EPM. This parameter is a classical behavioral indicator of open space-induced anxiety (Pellow et al. 1985). Activity in the open arms reflects a conflict between the rodent’s preference for protected areas (such as the ones presented by the walls of the closed arms) and their innate drive to
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explore novel environments (Walf and Frye 2007). Therefore, IMMO had a specific action altering some but not all the anxiety-like behaviors and EEA was specific as well, since it reduced the effect of IMMO on grooming, increased the time spent in the EPM open arms, and did not alter stretched attend posture. However, there was no difference between the effects of EEA applied to the rat tail or PC6.

Several brain areas modulate the stress induced anxiety-like behaviors (Bailey and Crawley 2009, Shoji and Mizoguchi 2010, Belzung et al. 2014). Indeed, IMMO had a significant effect on the lateral hypothalamus, that is related to the stress-induced increase in the heart rate (Deolindo et al. 2013). IMMO also enhanced Fos-ir in the DMH that has been pointed out as a potential therapeutic target for anti-anxiety drugs, since its inhibition reverses the cardiovascular alterations related to the state of anxiety (Sévoz-Couche et al. 2013). The amygdala activation also suggests that IMMO might induce anxiety and pathological fear (Le Doux and Damasio 2013, Hyman and Cohen 2013). Dysregulation of the interaction between the amygdala and the PFC may lead to fear and generalized anxiety (Duvarci and Pare 2014, Likhlik and Paz 2015, Raio and Phelps 2015) and may alter the role played by the hippocampus in fear conditioning (Rozeske et al. 2015). The activation by IMMO of those brain areas was attenuated or even canceled by EEA, either in PC6 or the rat tail.

Effects in brain areas related to the stress response of EEA applied in body segments other than PC6 have been previously demonstrated by others (Lee et al. 2004, Park et al. 2010, Yang et al. 2014). For example, stimulation of E36 activated the limbic system (Hui et al. 2005) and F3 caused limbic-cortical deactivation (Fang et al. 2007). Moreover, the HPA axis activity was altered by EEA (Xu et al. 2004, Li et al. 2014, Sun and Wang 2007, Zhu et al. 2015, Le et al. 2015). It has been suggested that the ability of one particular stimulus to modulate areas in the central nervous system depends on the kind of tissue in the acupuncture region, usually muscular and conjunctive tissues (Hui et al. 2005, Fang et al. 2007), the presence of nervous fibers (Hui et al. 2007, 2009) and the stimulus type (Claunch et al. 2012)

The unexpected effect of EEA applied in the rat tail, at first considered as a “non-point”, raises a discussion about the acupuncture specificity. It is important to mention that the designation of a “real acupuncture point” is empirical (Fang et al. 2009). Back in the XIX century, only 354 points were considered for clinical purposes, nowadays there are more than 2000 points, and the effect of a given point stimulation is unknown until it is tested. Hence, what is considered a non-point cannot be considered inert only because it has never been tested (Fang et al. 2009). So, data here presented have shown that the stimulated point in the rat tail is not inert. The tail region where needles were inserted has high density of afferent type I fibers (Mackenzie et al. 2015), and neurons are highly susceptible to electrical stimulation. This probably justify the attenuation of the effect of IMMO in the central nervous system. The absence of a control group submitted to acupuncture without electrical stimulation represents a limitation of this work.

However, some at least relative specificity of EEA in PC6 was observed. PC6 is classically described as being able to reduce anxiety, modulate humor states and improve cardiovascular function. All these actions are related to PVN, PFC and DMH. EEA at PC6 attenuated the IMMO activation of these areas and some anxiety-like behaviors more than EEA in the tail. Relative specificity of other acupuncture points was previously reported. The stimulation of F2, F3, or E44 produced deactivation of the amygdala, paralimbic structures, and the neocortex (Fang et al. 2009). Relative specificity was also reported by Claunch et al. (2012) for the stimulation of IG4, E36 e F3 and by Wang et al.
(2015) who evaluated 33 classic points, including 24 points related to the activation of the PVN.

Concluding, the data presented herein suggested that the IMMO induced anxiety-like behavior was moderately attenuated by EEA in PC6 or the rat tail. EEA showed relative specificity concerning to the attenuation of the effects of IMMO in the central nervous system areas related to the stress response and anxiety.
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