Efficiency of eugenol as anesthetic for the early life stages of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)
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ABSTRACT

In aquaculture, activities with anesthetic compounds are usually used in order to ensure the welfare of farmed fish, allowing handling out of water with decreased trauma by stress. Presently, there is no information about anesthetic action of eugenol in early life stages of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). The objective of this study was to evaluate different concentrations of eugenol for larvae and juveniles of Nile tilapia. Sixty animals were used for each group of weight, group I = 0.02 g; group II = 0.08 g; group III = 0.22 g; group IV = 2.62 g; and group V = 11.64 g. The eugenol concentrations tested were 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 and 175 mg L⁻¹. No mortality was reported during the tests with eugenol. Tilapia larvae with 0.02 g and juveniles around 11.64 g can be anesthetized with eugenol concentrations between 150 and 175 mg L⁻¹, since they determine the shortest sedation time (23 and 72 seconds, for the group of lowest and highest weights, respectively).

Key words: anesthesia, cichlid, handling, welfare.

INTRODUCTION

In fish farming, handling procedures are common during routine work or research. These activities (netting, tagging, sorting, vaccination, weighing, transporting, surgical procedures, etc.) are associated with acute stress of animals. Fish often struggle during handling, which can lead to injuries, increasing susceptibility to infectious diseases. This is even more relevant when handling is required in early life stages (e.g. larvae and juveniles) because the loss of young animals is very common, in view of their weakness. According to some authors (Waterstrat and Pinkham 2005, Palic et al. 2006, Zahl et al. 2011), the use of appropriate anesthetics is considered an important activity in aquaculture because it can reduce possible suffering of fish.

However, the lack of knowledge about anesthetics may be the limiting factor for the use thereof (Inoue et al. 2003, Vidal et al. 2006). The concentration and efficacy required for induction may vary among species, age, size, gender and even among the parameters of water quality (Walsh and Pease 2002, Woody et al. 2002, Gomes et al. 2011). According to the literature, proper immersion anesthesia may decrease the incidence of adverse effects and lead to a milder recovery (Acerete et al. 2004, Zahl et al. 2009).
The choice of anesthetic is based on economic viability and legal implications (Cho and Heath 2000). Data on sedation consider that the product should have characteristics such as short periods of induction and recovery, 180 and 300 seconds respectively, easy application and low risk to animals, humans and the environment (Marking and Meyer 1985, Keene et al. 1998).

The evaluation of the degree of insensitivity is based on the observation of animal behavior (Walsh and Pease 2002, Hoskonen and Pirhonen 2004), starting with the reduction of opercular movement, until complete loss of response to manipulation (Woody et al. 2002).

Chemicals such as benzocaine, tricaine methanesulfonate (MS 222), 2-methylquinoline (quinaldine) and 2-phenoxyethanol can be used as anesthetics for fish (Hovda and Linley 2000). However, some of them are expensive and difficult to obtain, and often have a low safety range, which may lead to mortality if the recommended dosage are exceeded (Roubach et al. 2005). Other restrictions also exist for some of these products. For example, MS-222 was approved for use as an anesthetic in fish, but a period of 21 days to get rid of this compound is necessary and it is also considered a carcinogen (Anderson et al. 1997). In the case of benzocaine, Bressler and Ron (2004) described that this anesthetic is associated with the suppression of cells involved in immune system response in gilthead seabream Sparus aurata.

The eugenol (4-allyl-2-methoxyphenol) is natural and is the main constituent of clove oil, constituting about 70-95 % and can be used as an anesthetic substance (FDA 2002, Ross and Ross 2008). It is a phenolic compound extracted from the leaves, flowers and small branches of the tree Syzygium aromaticum (Eastern Hemisphere) or Eugenia caryophyllata and Eugenia aromaticum (Western Hemisphere). It is considered relatively inexpensive, not unpleasant to handle and harmless to the user (Keene et al. 1998, Griffiths 2000).

Guénette et al. (2007) studying the pharmacokinetics of eugenol in rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, reported half-life of 12 h. More recently, Delbon and Ranzani-Paiva (2012) described that the eugenol residue is eventually removed from the body of the fish in up to 24 h. This substance acts as a depressant of the central nervous system causing anesthesia and reduction of breathing movements and heartbeats (Anderson et al. 1997).

The tolerance of fish to eugenol exposure varies according to the species. Keene et al. (1998) working with O. mykiss juveniles, estimated the Median Lethal Concentration (LC50) 96 h as 9 mg L−1 of eugenol, and Charoenet et al. (2009) reported for tilapia juveniles (mean weight 3.0 g ), LC50 24 h as 16.95 mg L−1. Based on data described in recent studies, eugenol has been considered effective as a fish anesthetic (Honczaryk and Inoue 2009, Okamoto et al. 2009, Da Cunha et al. 2010, Delbon and Ranzani-Paiva 2012, Ribeiro et al. 2013). However, studies reporting the effects of eugenol in early life stages of fish are still scarce demanding more information.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficiency of eugenol as an anesthetic for the early life stages of Nile tilapia under laboratory conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The assays were carried out at the Aquaculture Laboratory of the Federal University of Minas Gerais, Veterinary School, Brazil.

FISH

Tilapia larvae were maintained in 30 L tanks in a recirculating water system, with a temperature of 27.0 ± 0.5 °C, dissolved oxygen > 4 mg L−1, 10 h photoperiod. During the first 30 days, fish were fed five times a day (8:00, 10:00 and 12:00 a.m.; 2:00, and 4:00 p.m.) with commercial tilapia diet containing 50% crude protein (Fri-Ribe®). Juveniles were fed four times a day (8:00 and 11:00 a.m.; 2:00 and 5:00 p.m.) with commercial tilapia diet containing 40% crude protein (Fri-Ribe®).
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ANESTHETIC

The eugenol was diluted in 5 mL of absolute ethanol PA in the concentrations to be tested in 1 L of water, using 2 L polyethylene containers. The water used for fish induction and recovery was provided by a recirculation water system used to maintain the fish. The variables of water quality, temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH, were measured in all replicates (with and without anesthetic) using a multiparameter probe (YSI 6920 VZ2).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Sixty animals were used in each group of weight: group I = 0.02 ± 0.001 g; group II = 0.08 ± 0.007 g; group III = 0.22 ± 0.04 g; group IV = 2.62 ± 0.33 g; e group V = 11.64 ± 0.80 g. The experiments were conducted independently for each group, using a completely randomized design, with six concentrations of eugenol (50, 75, 100, 125, 150 and 175 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and 10 replicates (animals individually evaluated).

Fish were starved for 12 h before the experiment. Animals from each group were individually tested (as described before) with different concentrations of anesthetic in order to monitor the time sedation. Anesthesia was characterized by loss of reflexes to external stimuli and slow opercular movements as described by Small (2004). When the fish reached the dormant state, biometric data (weight and length) were obtained, followed by a recovery time when fish was placed in anesthetic-free water. The times required for the induction and recovery of fish were recorded individually and the latter also took into account the time spent for measuring length and weight. The biometric data was precisely timed in order to avoid the influence on the time of recovery from anesthesia. The fish were considered recovered from anesthesia when they showed certain set of signals, such as normal equilibrium reaction and external stimuli reaction, as described by Ross and Ross (2008). After the experiments, the fish from each replicate were pooled and kept in 30 L tanks in a recirculating water system in order to observe survival rate after 24 h. At the end of the experiments, all fish were euthanized by anesthetic overdose. All procedures were carried out according to the international practices for animal use and care under the control of an internal Committee of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The results were analyzed using SAS-Statistical Analysis System software (SAS 2002). The treatments were subjected to multiple regressions, using the method of least squares to estimate the regression coefficients, and Stepwise method to choose the regression equation that best describe the behavior in the stages of anesthesia and recovery of fish.

RESULTS

Water quality was monitored during the anesthetic procedures and the parameters, pH = 7.83 ± 0.38, dissolved oxygen = 5.78 ± 0.51 and temperature = 26.17 ± 0.37, were within the recommended ranges for freshwater fish (Vinatéea 2004).

The eugenol concentration affected the induction time (p < 0.05) for each weight group of tilapia (Fig. 1). The concentration between 150 and 175 mg L\(^{-1}\) can be used for group I (0.02 g) and group V (11.64 g), since these concentrations had the lowest sedation times (around 23 and 72 seconds, respectively).

Figure 2 demonstrates the relationship between exposure to eugenol concentrations and time of recovery of the different groups of tilapia. After 24 h of testing, survival was 100% for the different groups of tilapia. Moreover, all the fish resumed eating.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, increasing concentrations of eugenol provided a time reduction of anesthesia in early life stages of tilapia. It was observed that the concentrations between 150 and 175 mg L\(^{-1}\) were
efficient to anesthetize fish. The registered time did not exceed 180 seconds, time recommended by Keene et al. (1998) as ideal for induction time to the anesthesia condition.

Data regarding anesthesia with eugenol in the early life stages of fish are scarce. Pereirada-Silva et al. (2009) studied the effectiveness of eugenol on fingerlings (mean weight = 0.6 g) of “lambari” Astyanax altiparanae and found that concentrations between 50 and 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) promotes deep anesthesia, in less than 90 seconds, however, mortalities following higher dosages (150 mg L\(^{-1}\)) were documented.

Eugenol was also effective in inducing deep anesthesia in juveniles (mean weight = 3.31 g) of “matrinxã” Brycon cephalus. The concentrations of 50 to 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) of eugenol were effective in inducing and regarding recovery time (Vidal et
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It has been described that larvae of sturgeon *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii* (mean length = 13.7 mm) were anesthetized with 0.20 mg L\(^{-1}\) of eugenol (Akbulut et al. 2011) and juveniles of *Pseudoplatystoma corruscans* (mean weight = 27 g) were anesthetized with 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) of eugenol (Vidal et al. 2006).

According to Vidal et al. (2008), a concentration of 75 mg L\(^{-1}\) of eugenol presented the best response of anesthesia for juvenile tilapia (mean weight = 5.34 g); while 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) of eugenol was indicated for induction and recovery in older tilapias, with mean weight of 670 g (Simões et al. 2010) and also indicated for tilapia with mean weight of 47.73 g, as described by Delbon and Ranzani-Paiva (2012).

According to Zahl et al. (2009, 2011), body weight is an important factor that affects the efficacy of the anesthetic agents, regarding induction and recovery times. In the current investigation, the response of larvae (time of induction) was shorter than in juveniles and this has been reported by Brown et al. (1972) as a result of the normal uptake of anesthetic across the skin being higher than through the gills. Opiyo et al. (2013) working with *O. niloticus* reported that body weight had a direct relationship with anesthetic concentration (sodium bicarbonate). Notwithstanding, Ribeiro et al. (2013) working with eugenol in two juvenile classes of *Lophiosilurus alexandri* (mean weight = 0.72 and 7.44 g, respectively), recommended the same concentration of this anesthetic (120 mg L\(^{-1}\)) to both juvenile classes. Corroborating Ribeiro et al. (2013), Nile tilapia larvae and juveniles can be anesthetized with the same range of eugenol concentration (present manuscript).

According to Keene et al. (1998), the recommended time of animal recovery after anesthesia should remain below the limit of 300 seconds. In general, the augment of eugenol concentrations reflects increasing recovery time for the fish. Notwithstanding, for all tested concentrations of eugenol, the recovery time for the different groups of tilapia remained below (53.07 to 184.31 seconds) the recommended limit. Okamoto et al. (2009) working with dosages of eugenol between 25 and 75 mg L\(^{-1}\) observed a recovery time after induction varying from 120 to 480 seconds for juveniles (mean weight = 51.4 g) of pompano *Trachinotus marginatus*. Concentrations of eugenol of 50 to 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) were used for induction of “matrinxã” juveniles. The recovery time after eugenol anesthesia ranged from 186 to 292 seconds.

According to the data presented it is possible to observe a wide range of eugenol concentrations to anesthetize the fish. It is noteworthy that eugenol has a large margin of safety, is easy to apply, and results in low stress for the fish and low risk to the animal (while out of water) and to the handler.

Comparing our results with published data, we conclude that the optimal concentrations to anesthetize the larvae of *O. niloticus* are similar to those observed for other species in early stages of development, and equal or higher in comparison to juveniles of Nile tilapia.

In addition, the survival rates showed that eugenol concentrations between 150 and 175 mg L\(^{-1}\) may be considered safe for Nile tilapia larvae and juveniles, since they determine the shortest sedation time, 23 and 72 seconds, respectively. These results demonstrated the possibility of handling the animals avoiding death rates.
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RESUMO

Na aquicultura, as atividades com anestésicos são normalmente empregadas a fim de assegurar o bem-estar dos peixes cultivados, permitindo a manipulação.
fora da água diminuindo desta forma o trauma por estresse. Atualmente, não há nenhuma informação sobre a ação anestésica do eugenol em fases iniciais de vida de tilápia do Nilo (*Oreochromis niloticus*). O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar diferentes concentrações de eugenol para larvas e juvenis de tilápia do Nilo. Sessenta animais foram usados em cada grupo de peso, o grupo I = 0,02 g; grupo II = 0,08 g; grupo III = 0,22 g; grupo IV = 2,62 g; e grupo V = 11,64 g. As concentrações de eugenol testadas foram 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 e 175 mg L\(^{-1}\). Não houve mortes durante os testes com eugenol. Larvas de tilápia com 0,02 g e juvenis em torno de 11,64 g podem ser anestesiados com concentrações de eugenol entre 150 e 175 mg L\(^{-1}\), uma vez que determinam o menor tempo de sedação (23 e 72 segundos, para o grupo de peso menor e maior, respectivamente).
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