Enzymatic reactions involving the heteroatoms from organic substrates
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ABSTRACT

Several enzymatic reactions of heteroatom-containing compounds have been explored as unnatural substrates. Considerable advances related to the search for efficient enzymatic systems able to support a broader substrate scope with high catalytic performance are described in the literature. These reports include mainly native and mutated enzymes and whole cells biocatalysis. Herein, we describe the historical background along with the progress of biocatalyzed reactions involving the heteroatom(S, Se, B, P and Si) from hetero-organic substrates.
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INTRODUCTION

Enzymes act as Nature’s machinery to obtain new molecules through energetically favorable reactions. This feature led chemists to incorporate enzymes in synthetic protocols to produce natural and unnatural heteroatom-containing organic molecules in an easier way for different purposes. Synthetic procedures involving the assimilation or transformation of heteroatom-containing molecules into organic compounds are common reactions employed in several organic (For recent reviews see: Gao et al. 2015, Applegate and Berkowitz 2015, Guan et al. 2015, Wallace and Balskus 2014, Anobom et al. 2014, Fesko and Gruber-Khadjawi 2013, Matsuda 2013) or organometallic protocols (Bergbreiter and Momongan 1992, Gnedenkot and Ryabov 1994, Howell and Palin 1996, Rigby and Sugathapala 1996 and Ryabov et al. 1998). Therefore, it is evident that there is a demand for the synthesis of relevant hetero-organic derivatives, with special attention to environmental-friendly reactions, such as the biocatalyzed-transformations.

The most common organic substrates for enzymes are alcohols (Goswami et al. 2013 and Somers et al. 1999), carbonylic compounds (Chang and Shaw 2009, Liederer and Borchardt 2006), phosphates (Konietzny and Greigner 2002, Servi
amines (Höhne and Bornscheuer 2009, Kohls et al. 2014) and amides (Boeriu et al. 2010, Gotor 1999). Considering the wide range of other elements present in Nature, only a modest number of biocatalytic applications have been developed (Schoemaker et al. 2003). In the field of catalysis, a high selectivity is not the sole target since a broad scope of substrates suitable for industrial application is often necessary (Lindbäck et al. 2014). In several cases, enzymes have high substrate specificity and catalytic efficiency (Demetrius 1998), which can give us an excellent opportunity in the search of new substrates for enzymatic catalysis.

Enzymatic reaction of hetero-organic substrates can be subdivided in two main categories: the enzymatic transformation occurring at the heteroatom, and the enzymatic transformation occurring in any other functional group of the organic substrate. In general, the prior reaction involves oxidation/reduction of the heteroatom or change in bonding between the heteroatom and the organic moiety. In this review, enzymatic reactions involving the heteroatom from hetero-organic substrates are discussed.

SULFUR

Life depends on sulfur’s active redox chemistry. Therefore, sulfur constitutes an essential element found in amino acids and protein structures (Faloona 2009). The mechanism of its incorporation to organic molecules by several microorganisms and animals involves a prior reduction of sulfate to sulfide, followed by condensation with L-serine to produce L-cysteine (Ellis 1953). Particularly, L-cysteine is used for the production of methionine, coenzyme A and several other important thiols as shown in Figure 1a. Persulfidic sulfur (R-S-SH) is another sulfur species that also play an important role in life’s chemistry. These compounds provide an “active sulfur” able to undergo desulfuration reactions and to be transformed into FeS clusters, biotin, lipoic acid, thiamin, molybdothionine and thionucleosides, as shown in Figure 1b (Kessler 2006).

The production of these important metabolic thiols and persulfidic species demands the action of enzymes. For example, penicillin biosynthesis requires condensation of cysteine, aminoadipic acid and valine. This process occurs in the

Figure 1 - Sulfur pathway in the metabolism of several organisms.
presence of isopenicillin N-synthase (Baldwin and Abraham 1988) with complete retention of configuration. In fact, such specific reactions normally compel unique catalysts and fortunately, metabolic proteins are found in all different classes of enzymes, such as oxidoreductases (Sellmann and Sutter 1996), hydrolases (Kielbasiński 2011), transferases (Papenbrock et al. 2011), lyases (Broderick et al. 2014), isomerases (Lillig and Berndt 2013) and ligases (Hicks et al. 2007). Examples of these enzymes are everywhere in nature (Oae and Okuyama 1992) and chemists can seize these catalysts to perform an unlimited number of reactions, including oxidations, reductions, hydrolysis and the addition of new functional groups to a certain molecule. Here, we present some of the important contributions on organosulfur biocatalytic processes. Other important aspects of sulfur biotransformation is described elsewhere (Colonna 1994, Deasy and Maguire 2014, Fernández and Khia 2003, Holland 1992, 2001, 1988, Kielbasiński 2011, Matsui et al. 2014, O’Mahony et al. 2011).

OXIDOREDUCTASES

As previously stated, nature performs the conversion of sulfur containing molecules to produce important metabolites. An example of oxidoreductase acting on sulfur chemistry is NAD(P)H elemental sulfur oxidoreductase (NSR). This enzyme is able to reduce elemental sulfur to H₂S (Schut et al. 2007). The mechanism of H₂S synthesis involves the formation of di-, per-, and polysulfide derivatives of coenzyme A, as shown in Figure 2 (Herwald et al. 2013). This type of enzyme is responsible for the anti-oxidative stress in certain microorganisms, indicating its essential role in the metabolism of species in environments with low levels of oxygen.

The detection of oxidoreductase enzymes in whole cells permits the discovery and isolation of new enzymes that can be applied in biotransformations with synthetic targets. The presence of these enzymes can be simply detected in vivo by PbS formation on a paper embedded with Pb(OAc)₂ (Zhang and Weiner 2014). Isolated NSR enzymes have not yet been used in biotransformations, however, whole cells and other oxidoreductases have been used for the oxidation/reduction of organosulfur compounds as will be evident from this review.

OXIDATION REACTIONS

Most of the contributions on biotransformation of organosulfur compounds are based on the oxidation of a sulfide to a sulfoxide, as shown in Figure 3 (Holland 2001, Benoit et al. 1993, Chen et al. 1999, Fang et al. 1995). The main concern with this reaction is to avoid sulfoxide formation, which is a product from sulfoxide over oxidation. Sulfoxides possess enantiomers due to the sulfur atom as a stereocenter in a pyramidal structure (Alphand and Wohlgemuth 2010, Bzhezovsky et al. 2004, Reed and Schleyer 1990, Bzhezovskii et al. 2005).

The synthesis of chiral organic sulfoxides gained its reputation due to their usefulness as synthons (Alwedi et al. 2014) and as chiral...
auxiliaries in asymmetric reactions (Matsui et al. 2014, Pellissier 2006). There are several methods to pursue the synthesis of chiral sulfoxides (Pellissier 2006): for instance, transformation of a pure sulfonate, kinetic resolution of a racemic mixture, enantioselective oxidation of prochiral sulfides and modification of the structure of some chiral sulfoxides without any loss of stereochemistry (Kagan 2009).

The pioneer studies on biotransformations of organosulfur compounds were based on fermentation by certain microorganisms (Holland 1988, Holland et al. 1994b, Tang et al. 1995). The initial steps of discovering S-oxygenation were performed by Wright et al. (1954a, b). In these studies, l-sulfoxide biotin was synthesized from biotin oxidation when pimelic acid was added to cultures of Aspergillus niger Figure 4. Curiously, fermentation using Neurospora crassa resulted in both biotin sulfoxides enantiomers, whereas, the oxidation by Aspergillus niger yielded predominantly the levo form. In order to prove that the levo form was not produced by a non-enzymatic reaction, an assay was performed by Wright et al. (1954a), in which they observed that the dextro-form was the predominant product in the absence of an organism, suggesting a product from chemical oxidation by air. Based on these results, the authors concluded that the opposite form (l-sulfoxide biotin) was obtained by an enzymatic system of Aspergillus niger.

Aspergillus niger has also shown an (R)-stereoselectivity in microbiological oxidations ranging from 4 to 98% of enantiomeric excess for different substrates (Auret et al. 1966). The best substrate was t-butyl-p-tolyl sulfide (24% yield, 98% ee), presumably due to a better fit in the enzyme active site (Auret et al. 1966). By introducing a p-methyl substituent into the phenyl group, an increase from 5% to 77% of stereoselectivity (R-configuration) was observed. Therefore, high yields and optical purity are expected with a more efficient fit of the substrates in the enzyme active site. Auret et al. (1968), for example, have shown that the biooxidation of unsymmetrical sulfides resulted in products with higher optical activities (Figure 5a) than the available chemical method at that time, which was an oxidation via (+)-percamphoric acid, as seen in Figure 5b (Auret et al. 1968, Montanari 1965).

**Figure 4** - Biotin-l-sulfoxide formation from the fermentation using A. niger (Wright et al. 1954b).

**Figure 5** - Sulfoxides studied by Auret et al. (Auret et al. 1966) (a) and the chemical oxidation with (+)-percamphoric acid described by Montanari (1965) (b).
The oxidation of methyl thiosteroids with strains of *Calonectria decora* was another mark in the history of sulfur-containing organic molecules. In this contribution, Holmlund et al. (1962) described the first stereoselective sulfur oxidation of steroids mediated by microorganisms.

Later on, oxidations of thioethers were performed with several strains of *Rhizopus* and *Aspergillus* by Auret et al. (1974). Reactions with *Rhizopus* species showed very low (S)-enantioselectivity (5-25%) but in contrast, *A. niger* lead to moderate yields (20-30%) and moderate to high ee, for the (R)-sulfoxide (65-87%) using aromatic thioethers as substrates, as shown in Table I. The oxidation of t-butyl benzyl thioether was also evaluated and the preferential formation of the (S)-t-butyl benzyl sulfoxide was observed for all strains of *A. niger* with a range of 6 to 77% ee, and 10 to 46% yield. The enantiopreference for different substrates (t-butyl benzyl thioether and the aromatic substrates) was not discussed further on by the authors. However, a discussion around the lower ee (6%) and higher ee (77%) is stated in the study, since both enantioselectivities came from the same *A. niger* strain NRRL 337. The main difference between these two cultures was the time interval in which the organisms were subcultured, one being subcultured over a 1-year period, while the other over a 9-year period. Therefore, since the 9-year subcultured strain was the one presenting the lower ee, it was stated that possibly a spontaneous mutation occurred during the 9-year period and changed the enzyme activity, yielding to the formation of products in low enantiorectivities.

By changing the microorganism, Abushanab et al. (1978) were able to obtain both sulfoxide enantiomers from methyl p-tolyl sulfide, as shown in Figure 6. In their work, *Mortierella isabellina* strain NRRL 1757 was able to convert methyl p-tolyl sulfide into the (+)-(R)-sulfoxide in 60% yield and 100% optical purity, while *Helminthosporium* w. NRRL 4671 produced the (-)-(S)-sulfoxide in 50% yield and 100% optical purity. These sulfoxides were used for the synthesis of (R)-mevalonolactone, which was obtained with only 17% optical purity (Abushanab et al. 1978).

Other substrates were also a matter of study in these initial years of sulfide oxidation. For example, a cyclic thioacetal, 1,3-dithian, was used as a substrate for biotransformation reactions (Auret et al. 1981). These reactions were performed in the presence of Mortierella isabellina, Aspergillus foetidus and Helminthosporium species, obtaining 1,3-dithian 1-oxide, Figure 7. The enantiomeric excess for the

![Figure 6](image_url) - Production of optical pure sulfoxides with different microorganisms.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thioether</th>
<th>Sulfoxide</th>
<th>Sulfone</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Optical purity (%)</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="image" /></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="image" /></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="image" /></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="image" /></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="image" /></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cyclic thioacetal was relatively low (0-20%). In the same study, Auret et al. (1981) also performed the reduction of 1,3-dithian 1-oxide, 1,3,5-trithian 1-oxide, and cis-1,3-dithian 1,3-dioxide with *M. isabellina*, showing a preferential reduction of the (S)-sulfoxide to the corresponding thiane, but with very low ee (1-16%) (Auret et al. 1981). This study indicated that cyclic thioacetals and sulfoxides are poor substrates for biotransformation using the selected fungi.

Searching for new microorganisms able to perform sulfide oxidation, Ohta et al. have found that *Corynebacterium equi* IFO 3730 was able to oxidize alkyl aryl sulfides to optically active (R)-sulfoxides and sulfones (Ohta et al. 1985). The enzyme activity was dependent on the growth phase, with oxidation reactions occurring only at the logarithmic phase. Therefore, Ohta et al. (1985) found out that sulfide should be added at the same time as inoculation of the seed culture in order to obtain sulfoxides. In this work, sulfones synthesis was suppressed (from 88% to 0%) by the decrease of the carbon chain size next to the sulfur atom, as shown in Table II. These results indicate that perfect substrates should have larger and bulkier substituent at the aryl moiety. The enantioselectivity found for *Corynebacterium equi* IFO 3730 demonstrated that Ohta et al. (1985) method was favorable for (R)-alkyl aryl sulfides oxidation.

After analyzing these initial contributions on sulfide oxidation to sulfoxides, it is evident that while some sulfoxides were interesting due to their metabolic action (Casida et al. 1975, Wright and Cresson 1954), others were targeted or used for the synthesis of more complex compounds. Historically, the search for novel enzymes to achieve high optical purity and yields was always an important goal. As shown previously, the first 100% optical purity was reported in 1978 (Abushanab et al. 1978) with two different microorganisms, *Mortierella isabellina* strain NRRL 17578 and *Helminthosporium w.* NRRL 4671. Nevertheless, most of the examples described until 1985 presented low selectivity and/or low yield of sulfoxide synthesis.

![Figure 7 - Oxidation of 1,3-dithian in the presence of different fungi.](image)

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Concentration* (mL)</th>
<th>Molar ratio (%)</th>
<th>Optical Purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfone</td>
<td>Sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;21&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;21&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;17&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Substrate: Sulfide was added to a 50 mL of the reaction medium.
Protein engineering is one option to obtain the desired specificity and activity of a particular enzyme and can facilitate the use of less common substrates (Krishna 2002, Prabhulkar et al. 2012). The influence of some mutations on the selectivity of a redox enzyme was described by Shainsky et al. (2013), who studied the oxidation of thioanisole derivatives. In the oxidation by wild-type cells, the selectivity decreases in the following order: thioanisole>Me-thioanisole>Cl-thioanisole>Br-thioanisole, while the N258 variants showed an increase in ee (from zero to up to 80%), yielding the (R)-enantiomer for all substrates. Cell variant V207I had improved the original pro-(R)-enantioselectivity. The authors suggested that the main influence on the enzyme activity and selectivity is the substrate size and its coordination in the active site which can be influenced by hydrophobic interactions and steric factors, as seen by an *in silico* docking (Figure 8).

Nikodinovic-Runic et al. (2013) also used engineered enzymes. Styrene monooxygenase (SMO) from *P. putida* CA-3 was employed in the native and mutated forms for the oxygenation of several substituted thioanisoles. The engineered SMO (R3-11) proved to produce chiral sulfoxides

![Figure 8](image_url)

*Figure 8* - Molecular models of thioanisole, Br-thioanisole and Me-thioanisole in the active site. The amino acid residues that were subjected to mutagenesis are presented in magenta color (V207, F293 and N258). (a) Thioanisole in wild type active site; (b) p-tolyl in wild type active site, (c) Br-thioanisole in wild-type active site, (d) Br-thioanisole in F293H, (e) p-tolyl in V207A modified enzyme and (f) p-tolyl in V207I modified enzyme. Reprinted with permission from Oxford University Press. (Shainsky et al. 2013) Copyright 2015 Oxford University Press.
with similar ee when compared to the native SMO with preferential (R)-configuration (0-84% ee). However, the mutated SMO converted the substrates much faster when compared to the native enzyme, as can be seen in Table III. The lower reaction rate of benzo[b]thiophene and 2-substituted thioanisoles compared to thioanisole in the wild-type enzyme could be due to the presence of a more rigid and bulkier heterocyclic ring structure in benzo[b]thiophene and 2-substituted moieties. In the engineered enzyme, the β4 strand has subtle but significant changes in enzyme conformation, affecting its active site, widening the binding pocket, therefore, and increasing the reaction rates.

As previously shown by Auret et al. (1966), the introduction of a p-methyl substituent into the S-phenyl group gave an increase of 5% to 77% in the stereoselectivity of the sulfide oxidation, which suggested the existence of a hydrophobic pocket in the enzyme and, consequently, a better fit of these substrates in the active site. However, only after 29 years, the initial ideas of an active site model for the oxidation reaction of sulfides were developed. Nowadays, in silico docking calculations can be used to determine the best substrate fit in an enzyme active site (Damborsky and Brezovsk 2014, Konc et al. 2015), but these calculations are strongly dependent on the existence of an enzyme crystal structure, whereas the older methodologies can guide scientists to the development of a substrate-enzyme theory on non-crystallized enzymes.

Ottolina et al. (1995, 1996) described a cubic model and the possible orientation of the substrates in the active site as an attempt to give a more comprehensive view of enzyme catalysis. For example, the S-oxygenation by Mortierella isabellina and Helminthosporium sp., in which Huang et al. (2002) demonstrated substrates with low conversions were crucial for defining a model of active site. In this study, even though some substrates exhibited a slow rate of S-oxygenation, the opposite orientation adopted by these sulfides when compared to the well-accepted substrates, suggested that geometric and/or electrostatic features of the enzymes are involved in the regio- and stereo-specificities of the reaction. In another report, the proposed model for the active site of cyclohexanone monoxygenase is described as having three hydrophobic pockets: the main pocket (M) as well as a small (Hs) and a large (HL) pocket, Figure 4. In this model, the circled area locates the position of sulfur (or S = O for products) in the molecule. Even though the small pocket has a hydrophobic character, it is also known that Hs is probably the natural pocket for hydroxyl group in Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of cyclic ketones. This suggests the existence of a polar-site directing the substrate orientation. For this reason an increase in hydrophobicity in this site decreases the ee (from 99% to 12%) (Ottolina et al. 1995), Figure 9.

It is reasonable to say that sulfide structures dramatically influence not only the enantioselectivity, but also the profile of an oxidation reaction with cyclohexanone monoxygenase. This biotransformation can yield sulfoxides in high enantiomeric excesses, achieving 99% ee (R-configuration) and 93% ee (S-configuration) (Ottolina and Carrea 2002).

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Native SMO</th>
<th>Eng. SMO</th>
<th>Sulfoxide ee</th>
<th>Abs. Conf.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thioanisole</td>
<td>8.8±0.5</td>
<td>89.0±0.8</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methylthioanisole</td>
<td>34.5±0.5</td>
<td>64.8±0.5</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chlorothioanisole</td>
<td>33.4±0.4</td>
<td>93.4±0.4</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Bromothioanisole</td>
<td>40.2±0.3</td>
<td>83.1±0.9</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methylthioanisole</td>
<td>47.8±0.6</td>
<td>58.9±0.5</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chlorothioanisole</td>
<td>78.6±0.8</td>
<td>92.5±0.6</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromothioanisole</td>
<td>70.8±0.5</td>
<td>88.9±0.9</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[b]thiophene</td>
<td>8.8±0.5</td>
<td>89.0±0.8</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methylbenzo[b] thiophene</td>
<td>7.2±0.4</td>
<td>40.1±0.8</td>
<td>45% R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed “cubic model” had been examined for other substrates, demonstrating that the orientation inside the active-site model is dominated by hydrophobic interactions and steric hindrances. Based on the stereochemical outcome of over 90 biooxidations of organic sulfides, Holland et al. (1997a, b, 1999b) elegantly proposed a model which explains the enantioselectivity of the biotransformation depending on the substrate structure and its orientation inside the active site. For instance, large alkyl groups predominantly bind at the large hydrophobic pocket ($H_L$) while the benzylic methylene is accommodated in the small pocket, thus leading to high ee (45 and 70%), Figure 10. On the other hand, the smaller alkyl groups can fit either in the small hydrophobic pocket ($H_S$) or in the $H_L$, decreasing the enantioselectivity of the transformation (ee = 0%), Figure 11, (Holland et al. 1997b).

![Figure 9](image1.png)

Figure 9 - Top perspective view of the active site model showing the preferred binding modes for phenyl alkyl sulfides. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier (Ottolina et al. 1995). Copyright 2015 Elsevier.

![Figure 10](image2.png)

Figure 10 - Binding model for substrates bearing large alkyl groups. (Holland et al. 1997b) Reprinted with permission from Elsevier (Holland et al. 1997b). Copyright 2015 Elsevier.
In general, pure enzymes and bacterial whole-cells can be used to produce only one enantiomer (R or S). However, a challenging goal is to find bacteria exhibiting enzymes with different enantiopreference and high substrate affinity to yield both enantiomers. *Pseudomonas frederiksbergensis* (Adam et al. 2004, 2005) have been found to exhibit this feature with (S)-enantioselectivity for strain DSM 13022 and (R)-enantioselectivity for strain 33. No mechanism considering the difference in enantioselectivity has been suggested by the authors, Figure 12. However, Adam et al. (2004, 2005) have shown that introduction of substituent (-Me, OMe and Cl) in *para*-position of phenyl methyl sulfide increased the enantioselectivity (up to >99% ee). The effect of the side-chain next to the sulfur atom was also studied. The increase in branching or length of the carbon chain has caused a strong decrease on the enantioselectivity of sulfoxidation by both *P. frederiksbergensis* strains (from 91% to <5%).

The (S)-(+) -methyl phenyl sulfoxide was obtained from methyl phenyl sulfide with a different bacterium, *P. putida* strain HKT554. Ramadhan et al. described that the addition of a hydrophobic solvent, such as *n*-hexadecane, to the fermentation can enhance the sulfide conversion from 12 to 100% (Ramadhan et al. 2013).

Another specie of *Pseudomonas*, *Pseudomonas monteilii*, was recently used by Chen et al. (2014) for oxidation of sulfides. All strains (*P. monteilii* TB-4, *P. monteilii* TA-2, *P. monteilii* EBV2-1, *P. monteilii* TA-5 and *P. monteilii* CCTCC M2013683) could oxidize thioanisole to the corresponding (R)-sulfoxide with 99% yield and 99% ee. However, only strain *P. monteilii* CCTCC M2013683 performed the oxidation under high loadings of substrate (30 mM). Other sulfides were also evaluated and thioanisole was the best substrate. When *m*-chloro...
thioanisole was used, a drastic decrease in the enantioselectivity was observed (64%). However, sulfoxidation of a substrate bearing a 2-naphthyl moiety was accomplished in high yield and ee (99% in both cases). The replacement of methyl group from thioanisole for other groups decreased the selectivity, as can be seen in Table IV. The authors have used water as solvent in all of their studies, but they also observed that the addition of \( n \)-hexane to the culture reduces the substrate and products toxicities on the living organism. Therefore, the addition of a hydrophobic solvent to the culture improves the reaction performance, as observed by Ramadhan et al. (2013).

Mascotti et al. (2012) employed filamentous fungi to selectively oxidize sulfur-containing compounds. Nine different fungi from \textit{Aspergillus} family were used for the oxidation of cyclohexyl(methyl)sulfide and alkyl aryl sulfide. In the screening study, the best results, considering conversion and enantioselectivity, were obtained with \textit{Aspergillus fumigatus} for the aromatic sulfide (100% conversion, 72% of ee in favor of (R)-enantiomer) and with \textit{Aspergillus japonicus} for the cyclic alkyl sulfide (90% conversion, 97% of ee in favor of (R)-enantiomer). All the other strains exhibited moderate to low conversions and selectivities. Cyclohexyl(methyl) sulfide was hence chosen as a model substrate and its biooxidation was optimized, including the availability of oxygen, the use of resting cells, the substrate/biocatalyst ratio and the use of co-solvents. When isopropanol was applied as co-solvent (0.5%, v/v) and \textit{Aspergillus japonicus} as biocatalyst, it was observed full conversion in favor of the corresponding (R)-sulfoxide with 99% ee. This method was selective and did not afford any sulfone. \textit{Aspergillus japonicus} have also been proved to be more selective (Mascotti et al. 2012) than \textit{Botrytis cinerea}, \textit{Eutypa lata} and \textit{Trichoderma viride}, (Pinedo-Rivilla et al. 2007) Figure 13.

The sulfoxidation of thioanisole can also be performed by an immobilized microperoxidase-11 (MP-11). The immobilization protocol included an encapsulation into sol-gel silica glass whereas the physisorption and covalent attachment were performed on silica gel (Kadnikova and Kostic 2003). Upon immobilization, the oligomerization of Microperoxidase-11 is suppressed. Therefore, the heme-group becomes more accessible, increasing the sulfoxidation yield from 15% to 95%, while the (S)-enantioselectivity is also enhanced, going from 33% to 46%. The encapsulation into sol-gel silica provided a 3-fold increase in sulfoxide yield. On the other hand, MP-11 which was covalently attached, physisorbed, and chemisorbed has shown an even higher increase of sulfoxidation with a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( R_1 )</th>
<th>( R_2 )</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%) configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Ph</td>
<td>-CH(_3)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Ph</td>
<td>-CH(_2)Cl</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>92 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Ph</td>
<td>-CH=CH(_2)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>64 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Naphthyl</td>
<td>-CH(_3)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4 )</td>
<td>-CH(_3)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63 (R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
yield 6-fold higher than free MP-11. The authors also stated that despite of the catalytic effect of silica on sulfoxidation reactions, it did not affect negatively the enantioselectivity since the high enzyme loadings on the surface of the silica gel provides limited silica surface exposure to the reaction medium.

Other enzymes, such as Baeyer-Villiger Monoxygenases (BVMO) also have a very important role in sulfur oxidation, since they can catalyze the insertion of one oxygen atom into an organic substrate. The reaction proceeds after oxygen activation, otherwise no oxidation will occur due to the oxygen spin-state. Hence, the incorporation of O\textsubscript{2} via electron donation from the enzyme-cofactor complex generates peroxy-flavin (oxidant specie), then the oxygen atom can be inserted in the substrate (Leisch et al. 2011). Essentially, they are used for oxidations in which carbonylic compounds are converted into their corresponding esters or lactones (in a Baeyer-Villiger reaction) (Pazmino et al. 2010). However, they display a broad substrate acceptance profile, which enables their use in sulfide oxidations. BVMO enzymes are well described for the oxidation of sulfides (Alphand and Wohlgemuth 2010, Beecher et al. 1994, Colonna et al. 1996, 1997, Pasta et al. 1995, Wojaczynska and Wojaczynsk 2010) and the latest developments will be discussed here.

Directed evolution was chosen by Reetz et al. (2004) to enhance the enantioselectivity of CHMO (cyclohexanone monoxygenase) from Acinetobacter sp. NCIMB 9871, since the wild-type enzyme showed low ee values. Using methyl-\textit{p}-methylbenzyl sulfide as a substrate for CHMO from \textit{Acinetobacter} sp. NCIMB 9871, Reetz et al. (2004) observed a 75% conversion, however, the ee was only 14% in favor of the (\textit{R})-enantiomer. Thus, mutants of this enzyme were obtained and used in the same reaction for comparison. The replacement of an aspartic acid residue for a histidine (D384H) resulted in the same conversion (75%), but with a much higher enantioselectivity ((\textit{R})-enantiomer, 98.9% ee). However, if a phenylalanine is swapped for a serine (F432S) the conversion decays to 55% ((\textit{R})-enantiomer, 98.7% ee). When two or more amino acids are swapped, the (S)-enantiomer was preferential with reasonable yields (52-84%) and high enantioselectivities (95-99.7%). The authors concluded that directed evolution provides mutants that would hardly be obtained by a rational design of a mutagenesis, especially since the crystal structure of this enzyme was still not available.

After the observation that whole cells containing monoxygenases had the drawback of using NADPH as an expensive cofactor (Zhang et al. 2010, 2011) co-expressed the P450SMO gene from \textit{Rhodococcus} sp. and the GDH gene from \textit{Bacillus subtilis} in a recombinant \textit{E. coli} cell. In this way, a recombinant \textit{E. coli} BL21 (pET28a-P450-GDH) has both P450 monoxygenase and a NADPH regeneration system, which improves the sulfide oxidation performance. Employing this strategy, they achieved 100% conversion in the oxidation obtaining the (\textit{S})-sulfoxide with ee higher than 98%, Figure 14. However, due to the high sulfide cytotoxicity, the reaction efficiency decreased when the initial sulfide concentration was increased from 2 mM to 5 mM.

Similarly to Zhang et al. (2010), a self-sufficient monoxygenase with a NADPH regenerating phosphite dehydrogenase (PTDH) from \textit{Pseudomonas stutzeri} system was described by Rioz-Martínez et al. (2011). The enzymatic

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image14.png}
\caption{NADPH regeneration system in the oxidation of para-chloro-thioanisole by recombinant \textit{E. coli} BL21 (pET28a-P450-GDH).}
\end{figure}
system (PTDH-mFMO) consisted of flavin containing monooxygenase (mFMO) and PTDH, which employs phosphite as a cheap substrate for recycling NADPH. Initially, the authors explored the ability of PTDH-mFMO to convert indole derivatives into indigoid dyes, and a variety of colors evidenced products formation. After the initial tests, their bifunctional system was also explored on sulfides oxidation. PTDH-mFMO showed a preferential production of (S)-sulfoxides in low to high conversions (14-91%) and ee (15-85%), as shown in Figure 15. The influence of organic co-solvents on the oxidation of thioanisole by PTDH-mFMO was also evaluated by Rioz-Martínez et al. (2011). Among the co-solvents (DMSO, hexane and octylamine), an activating effect was exclusively observed with 5% of n-hexane. The oxidation of both, thioanisole and its corresponding racemic sulfoxide, was increased (from 48% to 90%) and an enhancement in the enantioselectivity was also observed (from 33% to 48%).

Another co-factor regeneration system was developed by Zhai et al. (2013), which includes whole-cell biocatalyst co-expressing in E. Coli BL21 genes from CHMO (originally from Acinetobacter calcoaceticus NCIMB 9871) and FDH (formate dehydrogenase - cofactor regenerating enzyme - originally from Candida boidinii). The sulfoxidation was performed with an efficient conversion of thioanisole (100%) into its sulfoxide, however, as a drawback, there was the enzyme inhibition in the presence of high substrate concentrations (80 mM). In reactions with E. coli BL21 (DE3)-pMM4 strain expressing only CHMO, low oxidation efficiencies were observed, due to a slow NADP\(^+\) reduction to NADPH by the enzyme. As a comparison, NADPH cofactor was efficiently recycled with coexpressed CHMO and FDH, confirming the ability of the recombinant FDH to regenerate NADPH.

The employment of three different BVMO enzymes for the oxidation of several cyclohexyl alkyl sulfides, heteroaryl alkyl sulfides and cyclic and linear aliphatic sulfides were described by Rioz-Martínez et al. (2010). In an initial study, the synthesis of pyridine methyl sulfoxides containing the nitrogen atom at the 2-, 3-, or 4-position was evaluated. The nitrogen atom position proved to be
essential for conversion, with consequent decrease when nitrogen was at 3-, or 4- position in pyridine ring. In the case of heteroaryl alkyl sulfides, HAPMO was able to catalyze the enzymatic sulfoxidation of 6 derivatives with moderate to high conversions (63->99%) and excellent enantiomeric excess (>99%) while the other two BMVOs (M446G PAMO and PAMO), in general, presented lower conversions and ee. The same trend was observed for other sulfides, as can be seen in Figure 16. Reactions with HAPMO preferentially produced the (S)-sulfoxide, with exception of 4-pyridine methyl sulfide and 2-furfuryl methyl sulfide. M446G PAMO (M-PAMO) and PAMO gave the opposite enantiomers when compared to HAPMO, under the same reaction conditions. Rioz-Martínez et al. (2010) also observed small amounts (less than 8%) of sulfone in the oxidation reactions.

Among BVMO enzymes, PAMO (phenylacetone monooxygenase) is the one that shows narrow substrate specificity, with acceptance of only small aromatic ketones, sulfides, amines and boron compounds. In order to expand the substrate scope, van Beek et al. (2012) blended a sequence-related BVMOs into PAMO. Therefore, structure-inspired subdomain exchanges were performed using phenylacetone monooxygenase (PAMO) as a scaffold, introducing a novel selectivity for STMO (Steroid monooxygenase) and CHMO (cyclohexanone monooxygenase). In this study, the

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc|c}
R^1 & R^1 & \text{HAPMO} & \text{M-PAMO} & \text{PAMO} & n \\
\hline
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 95\% & 63\% & 69\% & 71\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 54\% & 88\% & 64\% & 72\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 26\% & >99\% & 25\% & >99\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 64\% & 81\% & 92\% & >99\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 22\% & >99\% & 22\% & 34\% \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc|c}
R_3 = \text{Me}, R_4 = \text{n-But} & \text{HAPMO} & \text{M-PAMO} & \text{PAMO} & n \\
\hline
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 45\% & 40\% & 76\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 53\% & 65\% & - \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 39\% & 34\% & 80\% \\
\text{HAPMO} & >99\% & 36\% & 50\% & - \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 16 - Use of BVMOs (HAPMO, M-PAMO and PAMO) described by Rioz-Martínez et al. (2010) to produce sulfoxides.
PAMO-CHMO blend acts as a mixture of both parent enzymes, giving the \((R)\)-sulfoxide from thioanisole with moderate enantioselectivity (60%). The other blends, PASTMO and PAMEMO1 improved their \((S)\)-enantioselectivity in respect to the wild type STMO and with higher enantioselectivity than wild PAMO (16% ee to 60% ee).

Aiming the expansion of available flavoprotein monooxygenases, eight unexplored genes from *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 were cloned by Riebel et al. (2013). These genes proved to express a new subclass of class B flavoprotein monooxygenases, which were coined as Type II FMOs. Type II FMOs were evaluated in thioanisole, 4-methylthioanisole, ethyl benzyl sulfide, and benzyl phenyl sulfide oxidation. Thioanisole was converted by most of the type II FMOs achieving low to moderate yields (4-56%) and enantiomeric excess (5-57%) in favor of \((R)\)-sulfoxide for all the FMOs used, with exception to FMO-G, which formed the \((S)\)-sulfoxide in 57% ee. When a bulkier substrate was employed (such as benzyl ethyl sulfide) none of the tested monooxygenases could selectively oxidize the substrate. On the other hand, the biocatalysts FMO-E, FMO-G and FMO-F gave methyl phenyl sulfone, as an over oxidation product, instead of the aimed sulfoxide.

*Dietzia* sp. BVMO4 was used to catalyze the oxidation of a range of sulfides by Bisagni et al. (2014) producing the \((R)\)-enantiomer. The monooxygenase showed preference for non-substituted aromatic sulfides, however, it could still convert substrates containing small substituent at *para*- (methyl, fluoro) or *meta*- position (chloro). The addition of one carbon atom in the substrate structure (ethyl phenyl sulfide) showed a significant increase in the enantioselectivity, achieving 99% ee, in comparison to 50% ee for methyl phenyl sulfide, Figure 17.

As shown above, usually, enantiomerically pure sulfoxides are achieved using oxygenase-type enzymes as biocatalysts, such as the one described by Brink et al. (1998, 1999, 2001). In these studies, the authors employed a vanadium bromo peroxidase (VBPO) from brown seaweed, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, to produce sulfoxides. Phenylsulfides containing substituent on the aromatic ring were also evaluated in this study. Activating substituent on the *para*-position of the aromatic ring, such as -NH₂ or -OCH₃, resulted in an increase in the selectivity of the sulfoxidation (from 76% ee to 89% ee for phenyl methyl sulfide as substrate). However, strong electron withdrawing groups, such as -CN and -NO₂ have a dramatic negative effect on the selectivity and conversion. Brink et al. (2001) suggested that the aromatic sulfides bind with a relative low affinity near/in the active site of VBPO from *A. nodosum*, allowing the selective direct oxygen transfer from the active site to sulfide.

Evidently, different methods to produce enantiomerically enriched sulfoxides via sulfide oxidation are available, however, another method can exploit the reverse reaction, sulfoxide deoxygenation. For example, a periplasmic protein from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* f.s. *denitrificans* (Abo et al. 1995, 1997) was used to deoxygenate racemic methyl-*p*-substituted phenyl sulfoxides. The \((S)\)-enantiomer was exclusively deoxygenated, leaving the enantioenriched \((R)\)-sulfoxide isomer.
(3-100% ee) in good recoveries (43-87%), as seen in Figure 18. In this study, Abo et al. (1997) verified that it was more effective to use cells in stationary phase (72 h of culture) than in the exponential phase (24 h culture). Remarkably, in a preparative scale (31mmol), the authors were able to produce (R)-methyl-p-tolyl sulfoxide with 100% ee and 42% yield.

Hanlon et al. (1998) have also attempted the sulfoxide deoxygenation, using dimethyl sulfoxide reductase (DMSO reductase) from *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, *Escherichia coli* or *Proteus vulgaris*. Using whole cells, DMSO reductase from *R. capsulatus* presented a different stereoselectivity (towards (S)-enantiomer) when compared to DMSO reductase from other organisms. The enantioselective reduction of racemic sulfoxides with opposite stereospecificity was also performed with a pure dimethyl sulfoxide reductase. For instance, from a racemic mixture of methyl p-tolyl sulfoxide, (S)-enantiomer was enantioselectively deoxygenated and the (R)-enantiomer was recovered with 88% yield and >99% ee (Hanlon et al. 1998). Other substrates were also tested, but the absolute configuration for these compounds was not determined, as shown in Figure 19.

A DMSO reductase from *Citrobacter braakii* provided the enantioselective deoxygenation of racemic sulfoxides (alkyl aryl sulfoxides, dialkyl sulfoxides and cyclic sulfoxides) via kinetic resolution, as shown in Figure 20 (Boyd et al. 2002). Enriched samples of alkyl aryl sulfoxides (>98% ee), dialkyl sulfoxides (44% ee) and cyclic sulfoxides (97% ee) were obtained. When intact cells of *C. braakii* DMSO-11 were used for the conversion of racemic 1,4-dihydrobenzo[d][1,2]dithiine 2-oxide, an excess of the (S)-enantiomer was obtained (17–77% ee).

Thioanisole derivatives have been by far the most studied substrates for the oxidation of sulfides into their corresponding sulfoxides, but other substrates have also been studied, due to their environmental or pharmaceutical relevance.

Baldwin et al. (1984) studied the ring formation from δ-(L-α-aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine (L,L,D-ACV) into penicillin. In a previous study, δ-(L-α-aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-aminobutyrate was converted to a penam and a cepham compound via two different pathways, which had a common monocyclic β-lactam as

**Figure 18** - Deoxygenation of racemic sulfoxide by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* f. sp. denitrificans (Abo et al. 1997).

**Figure 19** - Substrates used by Hanlon et al. (1998) for deoxygenation with dimethyl sulfoxide reductase.

**Figure 20** - Substrates for enantiomeric enrichment via deoxygenation using DMSO reductase from *Citrobacter braakii*.
ENZYMES FOR REACTIONS WITH UNNATURAL SUBSTRATES

an intermediate (Baldwin et al. 1983). Based on this work, Baldwin et al. (1984) reported that δ-(L-α-aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine transformation into isopenicillin N possibly involves a similar monocyclic β-lactam intermediate during the enzymatic conversion (Figure 21). These reactions were performed in a cell-free extract of isopenicillin N-synthetase from Cephalosporium acremonium in the presence of a β-lactamase I. The competition between enzymes showed that there was discrimination at the cysteinyl 3-position, supporting the idea of an initial formation of a β-lactam prior to the thiazolidine synthesis.

Another field of interest is the use of microbes for the reduction/oxidation of sulfur containing molecules from oil extracts (Fedorak and Westlake 1983, 1984, Fedorak et al. 1988, Kropp et al. 1996). When introducing benzothiophene and 3-methylbenzothiophene in aerobic cultures, Fedorak et al. (Fedorak and Grbic-Galic 1991) were able to observe the benzothiophene-2,3-dione and 3-methylbenzothiophene sulfoxide and sulfone formation. Therefore, Pseudomonas sp. strain BT1 performed the preferential oxidation at carbons 2 and 3 in benzothiophene, however, when carbon 3 was substituted by a methyl group, only the sulfur atom was oxygenated. With that selectivity in mind, Saftiet et al. (1992) and Kropp et al. (1994a) used the same strain to perform oxidations of several substituted benzothiophenes. They observed that methyl benzothiophenes with methyl groups on the thiophene ring have produced sulfoxides and sulfones in contrast to the ones containing the methyl group on the benzene ring, which yielded 2,3-diones, as can be seen in Figure 22. The only exception to this rule was 7-methylbenzothiophene, which yielded a variety of the three major metabolites.

Benzothiophene was also a matter of study by Eaton et al. (Eaton and Nitterauer 1994) with the strains Pseudomonas putida RE204 and TnS mutant derivatives. Unlike Fedorak et al. (1991), Eaton et al. (1994) have observed different pathways for this biotransformation, one starting from the oxidation of the benzene ring and the other one starting from the oxidation of the thiophene ring, both resulting in ring opening (Figure 23).
This trend was also observed by Boyd et al. (1993). In their study, Boyd et al. (1996) detected cis/trans-dihydrodiol metabolites in the biotransformation with *P. putida*. Products with high-molecular weight were also observed in these reactions and identified by Kropp et al. (1994b) as condensation products, which transformed a two-ring sulfur heterocycle into a four-ring one. Benzothiophenes were likewise transformed into these high-molecular weight metabolites identified by Kropp et al. (1994b) using *Sphingomonas* sp. XLDN2-5 (Gai et al. 2008). The benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene is thought to be generated from a Diels–Alder-type reaction. Boyd et al. (1998) postulated that large-groups in the aromatic rings are responsible for the stereoselectivity of these reactions. Finn et al. (2004) performed the oxidation of *p*-bromoanisole with toluene dioxygenase, finding the product with a β-absolute configuration, which was in agreement with Boyd et al. (1996) findings.

More recently, using benzo[b]thiophene (B[b]T) and methylbenzo[b]thiophene (MB[b]T) as substrates for sulfide oxidation, Boyd et al. (2012) compared the stereochemistry of two different dioxygenases (TDO, NDO – naphthalene dioxygenase) and one monooxygenase (SMO) enzyme. In their studies, they have observed that monocyclic thiophenes when treated with *P. putida* UV4 cells (Boyd et al. 2003, Dansette et al. 2005) yielded unstable monosulfoxides and cis-diols as initial metabolites. Under acidic conditions cis-diols and trans-diols undergone dehydration reaction to yield hydroxythiophenes. Besides, a spontaneous dimerization of the monocyclic thiophene monosulfoxides also occurred, with the formation of their disproportionation products, thiophenes, sulfones and disulfides. The biotransformation of benzo[b]thiophenes with *P. putida* UV4 was preferential for electron-rich thiophene ring, achieving ca. 75% of the cis-hydroxylation and sulfoxidation. One of the isolated products,
(-)-Benzo[b]naphtho[2,1-d]thiophene-7-oxide, was shown to be configurationally stable, since it did not spontaneously racemize at room temperature. However, this compound was produced with low enantiopurity values (<10% ee).

The effects of a methyl substituent at the benzothiophene ring was evaluated by Boyd et al. (2012), with 2-methylbenzo[b]thiophene having a preference for cis-dihydroxylation of the carbocyclic ring leading to cis-dihydrodiol in 83% yield (from reaction with TDO) and 90% yield (from reaction with NDO, Figure 24). The cis-dihydroxylation of benzene ring was possibly due to an inhibitory effect of the 2-methyl substituent in the thiophene ring preventing the oxidation of the sulfur atom or at 2,3-C = C bond. When the authors used NDO3 as biocatalyst, they have observed a change in regioselectivity in comparison to NDO1, with oxidation occurring exclusively at the thiophene ring to give cis-diol in 60% yield and 2-MB[b]T sulfoxide in 40% yield. In the same study, when SMO (styrene monooxygenase) was used as biocatalyst, a quantitative yield of 2-MB[b]T sulfoxide was obtained. The regioselectivities for B[b]T substrates observed for oxygenase enzymes TDO, NDO1, NDO2, NDO3 and SMO were dependent on the substituent pattern and enzyme type. The site-directed mutant strain E. coli F352V (NDO3) showed preferential oxidation (85–100% yield) for the thiophene ring over the benzene ring, when compared to TDO and NDO1.

In comparison to the sulfide oxidation, the thiophene ring is usually slower oxidized, as evidenced by Boyd et al. (2003). Dioxygenase oxidizes an acyclic sulfur center more readily than heterocyclic sulfur. This preference arises due to a significant loss of resonance energy related to the formation of a thiophene oxide (Boyd et al. 2003). However, after the oxygenation of a thiophene and formation of disulfoxide cycloadducts, the deoxygenation or decomposition of its disulfoxide could contribute for the isolation of enantioomerically enriched monosulfoxide in 15% ee, (−)-enantiomer, as shown in Figure 25.

Chiral thiosulfinate, were obtained by Boyd et al. (2014) from the oxidation of 1,2-disulfides using

![Figure 24 - Dioxygenase-catalysed oxidation of 2-MB[b]T.](image1)

![Figure 25 - Thiophene over oxygenation and formation of cycloadducts.](image2)
<p>TDO (toluene dioxygenase), NDO (naphthalene dioxygenase), CYMO (cyclohexanone monooxygenase) and chloro peroxidase (CPO) enzymes. Thiosulfinate with 96% enantiomeric excess was obtained (100% yield) with CPO as the biocatalyst, while the other systems resulted in lower yields (8-95%) and enantiomeric excesses (9-52%) (Figure 26) (Boyd et al. 2014).

For instance, Boyd et al. (2001) verified that the oxidation of acyclic 1,3-disulfides with TDO (toluene dioxygenase), NDO (naphthalene dioxygenase), gives an enantiopure (S)-alkyl aryl sulfoxide (ee >98%), whereas (R)-((methylsulfinyl)methyl)(phenyl)sulfane was obtained in a lower ee value (75%) with NDO as biocatalyst (Figure 27).

Using methionine derivatives as substrates for CPO, Holland et al. (1999a, 2002) observed a moderate to high diastereomeric excess (12-84%), obtaining (R)-sulfoxides stereochemistry. When L-methionine derivatives were used as substrates, the (S,R)-product showed high de (de: diastereomeric excess, 80-91%), indicating the simplicity and high efficiency of their route to diastereomerically enriched N-phthaloylmethionines (Holland et al. 1999a, 2002).

The presence of organic sulfur-containing compounds in the environment can be harmful to animals and human health. Their release in the nature comes from the combustion of fossil fuels. Biodesulfurization is an interesting exploitation of the ability of certain microorganisms to remove organic sulfur compounds from fuels without compromising the calorific value (Buzanello et al. 2014).

CPO enzyme from <i>C. fumago</i> had been used by Ayala et al. (2000) to oxidize sulfur-containing contaminants (Seymour et al. 1997) into compounds with higher boiling points, allowing their removal from diesel fuel by a simple distillation. This process reduced from 1.27% to 0.27% the amount of sulfur, indicating possible routes for oil desulfurization processes. The immobilization of CPO on silica-based materials was performed by Montiel et al. (2007) to obtain a stable and reusable biomaterial for such desulfurization processes. The immobilized CPO was used for the oxidation of 4,6-dimethyl dibenzothiophene (Torres and Aburto 2005), showing a turnover value which is close to the one of free enzyme, a higher activity at 50°C and reusability up to 5 cycles, Figure 28.

Recently, Buzanello et al. (2014) used nine different bacteria isolated from a tropical farm soil to perform degradation of dibenzothiophene (DBT). It was found that, high amount of DBT were removed after 24 hours, showing the formation of</p>
of 2-hydroxybiphenyl, as shown in Figure 29. By comparison to other DBT-degrading strains, Buzanello et al. observed that the highest capacity for DBT degradation was achieved with strain RR-3 from a *Bacillus* genus. These results indicate that desulfurization by microorganisms have great biotechnological potential for the removal of DBT from fossil fuels.

Environmental aspects of dimethylsulfide (DMS) pollution led Hayes et al. (2010) to investigate microbial degradation of DMS, which involved the study of its catabolism to H$_2$S via methanethiol. They highlighted the importance of using two microorganisms: *Hyphomicrobium* spp. and *Thiorbacillus* spp. due to their synergism. The pH control is also necessary in their system. When the reaction pH was decreased from 7 to 5, the specific growth rate of *Hyphomicrobium* spp. decreased by 85%. When the culture was enriched with methanol, the specific growth rate of *Hyphomicrobium* spp. declined much less over the same pH range (leading to better DMS removal even at pH levels not useful to high growth rates). Through the same pH, the specific growth rate of *Thiobacillus* spp. remained similar.

A study on thiocarbamate herbicides oxidation with liver enzyme systems was performed by Casida et al. (1975) in order to detect which intermediates would be produced in the mammalian metabolism. In this study, sulfoxide is obtained as the major product while the corresponding sulfones were not detected. Mercaptans could be identified by the strong odor liberated during the biotransformation. According to the authors, this compound would be formed from the cleavage of the sulfoxides by a GSH S transferase system. This cleavage indicated that herbicides, thiocarbamate sulfoxides, would not to persist in mammals, Figure 30.

Optically active sulfoxides are very important compounds and can be used in medicinal and pharmaceutical chemistry due to their high biological activity [For a review see (Legros et al. 2005)].

Studies of selective oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides can have a direct use in pharmaceutical compounds, such as esomeprazole, pantoprazole, ilaprazole and lansoprazole, which are used for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Synthesis of these compounds requires the oxidation of their sulfide precursors. Babiak et al. (2011) were the first group to describe the synthesis of an enantiopure esomeprazole (100% ee) in the presence of whole cells of *Lysinibacillus*, as shown in Figure 31. With a fed-batch setup, Babiak et al. observed a continuous culture growing and esomeprazole production at a constant rate from the 9th to the 18th hour of cultivation. Strain *Lysinibacillus* sp. B71 could not oxidize other similar sulfides, with
exception of a difluoromethoxy substituted one, which exhibited 8% conversion and 100% ee.

Olivo et al. (2005) employed *Beauveria bassiana* and *Bacillus subtilis* in a one-pot reaction to afford (S)-modafinil in good yield (60% overall yield and 81% ee). Another pharmaceutical compound, sulforaphone, which is a potent inducer of phase II detoxification enzymes in mammalian metabolism, was produced in moderate yield (60%) and high ee (88% in favor of (R)-sulfoxide) using cells of *Helminthosporium* NRRL 4671 (Holland et al. 1994a).
The antibiotics Penicillin V, Penicillin G and Cephalosporin G were oxidized to their \((R)\)-oxides by Sangar et al. (2012), as can be seen in Figure 32. Penicillin G was used as model substrate for the oxidations with \(B.\ pumilis\). Two strains were tested, B4W and BIMT 9004. Only strain B4W gave the corresponding \((R)\)-sulfoxide as exclusive product. In addition, penicillin V was oxidized to the \((R)\)-enantiomer by B4W strain. In the case of Cephalosporin G, the use of \(B.\ pumilis\) strain B4W was discouraging due to the extensive product decomposition. In view of these results, Sangar et al. (2012) decided to perform the oxidation of Cephalosporin G with the isolated enzyme, catalase–peroxidase (KatG), obtaining as exclusive product, the \((R)\)-Cephalosporin G sulfoxide, Figure 32a.

A relevant issue that should be overcome in the synthesis of pharmaceutical compounds is the large-scale productions/reactions. Therefore, Pezzotti et al. described an oxidase/peroxidase bi-enzymatic system (Pezzotti et al. 2005) for the enantioselective oxidation of heteroaryl-methyl-sulfides. The main advantage of this method was the cheap commercial enzymes, allowing these reactions to proceed in large-scales. For instance,
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_Cunninghamella blakesleeana_ was used to oxidize albendazole and three metabolites were produced (Gurram et al. 2009). These metabolites were found to be the sulfoxide, a sulfone and an _N_-methyl sulfoxide. The study of the components for the culture media showed little effect in the selectivity towards any metabolites, with exception of the addition of vitamins. Ascorbic acid, choline, colic acid, inositol, pantothenic acid and pyridoxine increased sulfoxide formation.

Engineered Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases were used in the esomeprazole synthesis (patent described by Codexis Inc.; Bong et al. 2011). The enantiosselectivity is dependent on the amino acid residues swapped in the native enzyme. This work indicates that the enantioselectivity of a BVMO can be inverted through enzyme engineering, since both sulfoxide enantiomers can be produced. A 200-fold increase in enzyme activity and almost 2-fold increase in thermal stability were also achieved (Bornscheuer et al. 2012).

**HYDROLASES**

Hydrolitic enzymes can also be called promiscuous enzymes, due to the broad range of reactions and substrate acceptance [For a review see: (Busto et al. 2010)]. The first example of a hydrolase-type enzyme for organo-sulfur compounds was described by Reid et al. (1967), Stein and Fahrn (1968), who used pepsin for the hydrolysis of phenyl tetrahydrofuranyl sulfite. For this racemic compound, the hydrolysis proceeded with excellent conversion (50%), since it is a kinetic resolution. However, when methyl phenyl sulfite was used as substrate, the reaction achieved 100% conversion, showing no enantioselectivity. The mechanism for the hydrolysis of a sulfite ester by pepsin was studied by Stein et al. (1968). The authors postulated the formation of a mixed anhydride intermediate I, as shown in Figure 33, which is attacked by water. Studies using an enzyme with a ^18_O-labeled carboxyl group at the active-site were performed. Based on these studies, they could infer that it is more likely that H_2O attacks the carboxyl group of the enzyme-substrate complex and thereafter, the incorporated oxygen atom into the bisulfite ion is originated from the ^18_O-labeled carboxyl residue of the enzyme.

Pepsin was also used by May et al. (1969, 1971) for the hydrolysis of bis- _p_-nitrophenyl sulfite (Figure 34). This substrate is hydrolyzed 10^3 times faster than other substrates. The order of _k_\text{cat} / _K_\text{M} of three different substituted phenyl sulfites was found to be diphenylsulfite < bis- _p_-bromophenyl sulfite < bis- _p_-nitrophenyl sulfite. Since bis- _p_-nitrophenyl sulfite exhibited the highest _k_\text{cat} / _K_\text{M} ratio (1.7 x 10^5 M^{-1} s^{-1} in comparison to 74 M^{-1} s^{-1}), it could be used in a study of pepsin inhibition by diphenyl sulfite. The influence of pH in the hydrolysis of bis- _p_-nitrophenyl sulfite by pepsin was also described by May and Kaiser (1971). They have observed the highest _k_\text{cat} / _K_\text{M} ratio around pH 3 (2.75 M^{-1} s^{-1}). Comparing their results to other neutral substrates hydrolysis, they concluded that there are certain common mechanistic features to the peptidase and sulfite-esterase activity of pepsin.

*Figure 33 - Pathway for the pepsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of sulfite esters described by Stein and Fahrn (1968).*
In fact, Hubbard and Stein (1971) observed that the mechanism is consistent with the \(^{18}\)O work of Stein and Fahn (1968), suggesting its involvement in the mechanism by forming a carboxylic-sulfurous acid anhydride. Since the same kinetics are observed in a glycine buffer (Hubbard and Stein 1971), which excludes the possibility of water in the hydrolysis of sulfites. The mechanism for the hydrolysis of bis-\(p\)-nitrophenyl sulfite was later proposed by May and Kaiser (1972). They also claim the possibility of an active-site carboxylate group attacks the sulfur of the sulfite ester, while another carboxyl group assists the reaction, acting as a general acid. These covalent intermediates were trapped by Nakagawa et al. (1976), supporting this theory.

Candida antarctica lipase (CAL-B) was used to catalyze carbon-sulfur bond addition to vinyl esters in organic media (Lou et al. 2008). By changing the reaction solvent, the authors were able to control the formation of an anti-Markovnikov product or a Markovnikov product. For instance, the reaction of benzyl thiol with vinyl acetate in diisopropyl ether gave 83.9% of Markovnikov C-S bond addition, while in DMF, 93.1% of the anti-Markovnikov C-S bond addition product was achieved (Figure 35). By using other polar solvents such as acetonitrile, THF, \(t\)-butyl alcohol and DMSO, the yields of anti-Markovnikov reaction were lower than 31%. The authors attributed these differences in selectivity to an enzyme conformational change due to the presence of different solvents.

The addition of nucleophiles to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated sulfinyl derivatives using hydrolytic enzymes was performed by Madalinska et al. (2012). The benzenethiol addition to phenyl vinyl sulfoxide was observed only in the presence of enzymes, using ethanol as solvent, however, none of the products were enantiomerically enriched (Figure 36). On the other hand, \((S)\)-enantioenriched product was obtained (25% ee) with cyclic \(\alpha\)-sulfinylalkenylphosphonate and benzenethiol as substrates. An explanation for these results was proposed by Madalinska et al. (2012). They state that inside the “oxyanion hole” in the enzyme active site, the sulfinyl oxygen atom is bonded with hydrogen bonding. In parallel, the nucleophilicity of benzenethiol is enhanced due to the coordination to histidine. Despite the interaction to be identical as in the case of Michael addition of thiols to enones, the H-binding of the sulfinyl oxygen atom must be...

**Figure 34** - Substrates used in the hydrolysis reaction by pepsin.

**Figure 35** - Reactions of thiols with vinyl esters catalyzed by lipase, CAL-B: Markovnikov product (a) and anti-Markovnikov product (b).
different from that of the carbonyl oxygen atom, which results in a less efficient catalytic reaction.

Alkyl-sulfatase Pisa 1 (Schober et al. 2012) was evaluated for transformations of rac-sec-alkyl sulfate into a homochiral mixture of a sec-alcohol, as shown in Figure 37. For sulfate ester group at the (w-1)-position, the enantioselectivity was higher by increasing chain length or branching. Some of the water-miscible solvents, such as ethylene glycol, DMSO, 1,2-dimethoxyethane and methanol had a positive effect in the selectivity (ee of the product from 90% to >99%) with a small cost of relative activity (~10%). *Synechococcus* and *Paracoccus* spp. alkylsulfatase were used for transformation of racemic sec-alkylsulfate esters, furnishing either (R)- or (S)-sec-alcohols. Addition of alcohols improved the ee for the (S) product isomer (22% ee), with *t*-BuOH (>99% ee) being more effective than MeOH (85% ee), EtOH (93% ee) and i-PrOH (92% ee). The authors also stated that lipophilic co-solvents yield biphasic system, which makes the enzymes to act on the interface (Gadler et al. 2009). The hydrolysis of sec-alkyl sulfate esters was also studied by Toesch et al. (2014a), who observed that Alkylsulfatase Pisa1 has its enantioselectivity significantly improved (up to E>200) by suppressing the autohydrolysis of substrates with DMSO as co-solvent. The authors also observed that the introduction of electron-withdrawing substituent in the meta position of the benzylic sec-sulfate esters had a positive effect on the selectivity, achieving the following enantioselectivity order: OMe<F<CI<CF₃ (eeₚDMSO/ eeₚwith DMSO: 40/82< 60/93 < 61/93 < 85/99).

Toesch et al. (2014a) also performed ¹⁸O-labeling experiments to determine which atom would be attacked (S or C) and the type of reaction mechanism. If the OH group attacks the carbon atom in a S_N₂-type reaction, the product would be an alcohol with opposite configuration. In case of sulfur, the product would have retention of configuration. The third option would be a S_N₁-type reaction with the formation of an ion on the carbon, leading to product racemization. These ¹⁸O labeled experiments revealed the mechanism of autohydrolysis to proceed through a S_N₂-type inversion at carbon and racemization (S_N₁).

Sulfatases are also used for deracemization of alkyl sulfates [For a review see (Schober and Faber 2013, Toesch et al. 2014b)]. In this area, one can highlight the work described by Schober et al. (2011) who performed hydrolysis using unlabeled 1-octyl- and rac-2-octyl sulfate in a ¹⁸O-enriched buffer. This work showed the formation of 1-octanol and (S)-2-octanol (ee >99%) with full ¹⁸O-label incorporation. These results proved that C-O bond is cleaved in both cases. Once the mechanism of Pisa1 was determined, a study of substrate scope was performed. Schober et al. (2011) described that Pisa1 can accept a broad range of substrates, including linear, branched, or cyclic sec-alkyl sulfates. The best conversions and E-values were obtained for straight, branched and aromatic chains sulfate esters, being resolved with excellent enantioselectivity (E>200) and full conversion (50%, as expected for kinetic resolution). A preparative scale of the chemoenzymatic

Figure 36 - Addition of nucleophiles to α,β-unsaturated sulfinyl derivatives using hydrolytic enzymes. Figure adapted with permission from Elsevier (Madalinska et al. 2012).
deracemization protocol was shown to give (S)-alcohols in up to >99% ee.

Fuchs et al. (2013) described a chemoenzymatic synthesis of (R)-Lasiodiplodin methyl ether. One of the key steps, the esterification was performed using a chiral alcohol with (S)-configuration, which was obtained by the sulfatase-based deracemization process. Alkyl sulfatase Pisa1 could invert the (R)-enantiomer from a racemic mixture of an alkyl sulfate, obtaining the corresponding (R) alcohol in 99% ee and 50% conversion, while leaving the (S)-precursor (alkyl sulfate) untouched. The total synthesis was completed through a combined ring-closing metathesis and hydrogenation of the macrocyclic C=C bond to give the (R)-lasiodiplodin methyl ether in 93% ee and an overall yield of 44%.

More recently, Schober et al. (2013) performed one-pot deracemization of secondary alcohols. Two different enzymes were evaluated, PAS (arylsulfatase from Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and Pisa1 in a series of sec-alkylsulfate esters. PAS could resolve substrates bearing an acetylene moiety next to the stereocenter with good to excellent enantioselectivities for the (S)-stereoisomer (E 59 to >200). Pisa1 resolved the sec-alkylsulfate esters bearing short-chain acetylene moiety in excellent enantioselectivity for the R isomer (E>200). The deracemization can be performed by hydrolysis of sulfate esters using enantiocomplementary enzymes: PAS and Pisa1.

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Aracemic phosphorodithioate wasenantioselectively oxidized by chloroperoxidase (CPO) from C. fumago by Mikolajczyk et al., resulting in a kinetic resolution process (Mikolajczyk et al. 2009). The synthesis of methyl parathion and its oxon form was performed in one-pot reaction, with CPO in combination with Lawesson’s reagent, as can be seen in Figure 38. The complete kinetic resolution was achieved after 22 days, which was observed by 31P NMR. By analyzing the absolute configuration, the product was the (S) enantiomer in 99.6% ee, while the unreacted compound had the opposite configuration at the phosphorus (R, 97% ee). The final step involved the Lawesson’s thionation (for the (S)-oxon) and a reaction with iodoxybenzene in the presence of montmorillonite K10 as an activator (for the (R)-dithiophosphate), resulting in (S)-dithiophosphate and the corresponding (R)-oxon with 99.6% ee and 94.9% ee, respectively.

More recently, Hibi et al. (2013) performed the asymmetric oxidation of sulfur-containing L-amino acids. The reaction with a Fe(II)/α-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase produced several chiral amino acid sulfoxides, including methionine sulfoxide with 99% de ((S)-configuration of the
sulfinyl group). Methionine, S-methyl-L-cysteine, S-ethyl-L-cysteine, and S-allyl-L-cysteine were fully converted (10 mM substrate in 16 hours), while L-methionine presented a lower conversion (67%). The de values for the S-alkyl-L-cysteines sulfoxides were lower (83-91%) than for the S-alkyl-L-homocysteine sulfoxides (>99%). The lower de values were reasoned by the authors as being caused due to the relative position of the sulfur atom in the amino acid molecules. For amino acids bearing a sulfur atom at the δ-position, a more stereoselective reaction than those containing the sulfur atom at γ-position was observed.

P₄₅₀ enzymes were used for a nitrogen transfer reaction (Farwell et al. 2014). The product of the reaction is a sulfimide, which is also a useful building block in asymmetric synthesis. Similarly, to sulfoxidation, the electronic effect of the substrate has great influence in the reaction. The total number of turnovers (TTN) for the reaction of different sulfides with tosyl azide revealed that sulfides with electron-donating substituent on the aryl sulfide ring were better substrates for sulfimidation. For example, a substrate with a methoxy-substituent has a 300 TTN while methyl-substituent 190 TTN. However, differently from a sulfoxidation reaction, which requires a small molecule for the oxidation of a sulfide, sulfur imidation requires a large donor, such as aryl sulfonylazide, Figure 39.

**SELENIUM**

Selenium is a trace essential element found in at least 25 human proteins exhibiting a positive action to human health (Papp et al. 2007). In rat erythrocytes, selenium is associated with the enzyme glutathione peroxidase and possesses a cooperative effect against oxidative stress (Li et al. 2014, Sandre et al. 2006, Uğuz et al. 2009). In humans, it is associated with hemoglobin (Haratake et al. 2005, Whanger 1998) where it is carried through the body and transferred to the plasma (Haratake et al. 2008), playing its role in oxidative stress (Lettow et al. 2005). The incorporation of selenium in animals is via plant ingestion, (Papp et al. 2007) in which the inorganic selenium is uptaken and transformed into
organoselenium species, such as selenomethionine. The mammalian uptake and conversion is shown in Figure 40. Selenocysteine, the analogue of cysteine, is probably the most active form of selenium found in nature. However, others selenium species such as selenoglutathione and methylselenide which are found in animals also exert important factor in selenoprotein formation (Papp et al. 2007).

When selenium properties such as ion radius, redox potentials and electronegativity are compared to sulfur, one can notice their similarities and cannot indicate a direct evidence for different reactivities (Wessjohann et al. 2007). However, although their polarizabilities present some differences, the main contrast between selenium and sulfur is on their molecules pKa, for example, selenocysteine has a 5.2 pKa while the cysteine a pKa of 8.3. The pKa plays a major role in enhancing the activity of selenocysteine in comparison to cysteine (McGrath and Raines 2011) and it is therefore, the biggest advantage of selenium, indicating nature’s interest in synthesizing Se-mutant enzymes in place of the trivial sulfur (Kuiper et al. 2003, Wessjohann et al. 2007).

Organoselenium compounds are substrates for enzymes in nature, as for example selenocysteine synthase, which catalyzes the conversion of seryl-tRNA into selenocysteyl-tRNA (Forchhammer and Bock 1991, Holtmann et al. 2014). Inspired by the ability of proteins to catalyze the conversion of selenium, chemists started to explore biocatalytic reactions of organoselenium compound (Comasseto and Gariani 2009). Here is described some results with oxidoreductases for the transformation of such compounds, however with a major focus in the last seven years.

OXIDOREDUCTASES

Organoselenium compounds can also be oxygenated as evidenced for organosulfur compounds described above.

Inspired by the works on the enantioselective oxidation of sulfides and taking into account the similar chemistry of sulfur and selenium, Auret et al. (1973) studied the biotransformation of benzyl(p-tolyl)selane by Aspergillus niger. The authors observed the formation of 4-methylbenzeneseleninic acid as final product, which was suggested due to a dealkylating process (Figure 41). When adding selexonide in the reaction, it remained intact. Then, it can be proposed that this oxidation does not occur via initial formation of selenoxide. These observations can prove that the mechanism should undergo via a dealkylation
process followed by selenium oxidation to give the final product, seleninic acid.

Holland and Carter (1983) described the incubation of a series of phenyl selenides with *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus foetidus*, *Mortierella isabellina*, and *Helminthosporium* sp. The selenoxide was not detected among the products after incubation with the selected fungi. It seemed that a complete degradation was occurring. A study was performed with *Mortierella isabellina* using a substrate $^{14}$C-labeled at methyl group (methyl phenyl selenide). In this study, the residual radioactivity after the process (61% of the starting activity) when compared to the recovered selenium (98%) obtained by quantitative analysis, had corroborated to elaborate an interesting explanation: The fraction of radioactivity at the end of the process would be consequence of the Se–$^{14}$CH$_3$ bond cleavage, and therefore, the formation of volatile one-carbon metabolic products. In this scenario, no selenoxide would be produced (Figure 42).

Even with those previous discouraging results, the oxidation of propargylic phenyl selenide was attempted by Branchaud and Walsh (1985) and Reich et al. (1983). These research groups, independently, have employed cyclohexanone oxygenase which were already applied for oxidation of allyl phenyl sulfides (Branchaud and Walsh 1985, Reich et al. 1983). Branchaud and Walsh (1985) have described that CHMO could oxygenate the selenium of phenyl methyl selenide to the corresponding selenoxide with relatively high efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_M = 2 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). However, Reich et al. (1983) could only briefly observe the selenoxide formation at -80°C, detecting a possible favored 2,3-sigmatropic rearrangement for the organoselenium compounds in comparison to the sulfur compounds. Latham et al. (1986) also studied the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement and observed the α-phenylselenoacrolein formation as a fragmentation-recombination product, as shown in Figure 43.

Ebselen, an *in vitro* mimetic of the catalytic activity of glutathione peroxidase had its metabolites, selenol and methylselenide, kinetically studied via oxidation with purified Flavin-containing monoxygenase (FMO1) from pig liver (Ziegler et al. 1992). The authors found out that ebselen inactivate FMO1 in the absence of GSH (glutathione). Although ebselen appears to be a substrate, in the absence of GSH, it progressively inactivates the enzyme, apparently by covalent

**Figure 41** - Oxidation of benzyl(p-tolyl)selane with *A. niger*.

**Figure 42** - Incubation of a series of phenyl selenides with *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus foetidus*, *Mortierella isabellina*, and *Helminthosporium* sp.

**Figure 43** - α-Phenylselenoacrolein formation using cyclohexanone oxygenase.
binding to essential enzyme thiol residues (being reduced). However, stepwise reduction of ebselen by GSH through the intermediate selenyl sulfide generates 2-selenylbenzanilide, which does not inhibit FMO1. Rapid reduction of the selenide oxide by GSH was unexpected and suggests that, unlike S-oxidation of sulfides, Se-oxidation of selenides may be a route for bioactivation. In the presence of FMO1 micromolar amounts of either of these metabolites establish a catalytic cycle for the oxidation of GSH to GSSG (glutathione disulfide) by NADPH and oxygen (Figure 44).

Biomethylation of organoselenium compounds was performed by Costa et al. (2007) using whole cells of *A. terreus* (Figure 45). These reactions occurred unexpectedly during the screening of conditions for biotransformation of (RS)-1-(phenylseleno)-2-propanol. The increase in cell mass promoted the formation of a methylated derivative. The proposed mechanism involves the formation of a selenoxide (Chasteen and Bentley 2003), which undergoes syn-elimination reaction to give seleninic acid. Hence, the intermediate can be transformed into its methylated derivative via biomethylation. These reactions involve the biooxidation of the substrate.

Andrade et al. (2011) described the oxidation of organoselenium acetophenones to the corresponding selenoxides in high conversions (76->99%) was achieved with phenylacetone monooxygenase (PAMO) as a biocatalyst in aqueous medium, as shown in Table V. The reactions also employed a NAD(P)H-regenerating system using glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*. Substrates substituted at the ortho-position were not converted to their corresponding selenoxides. In addition, selenoxides are readily racemized in the presence of water, then, the
enantiomeric excesses for these compounds were not determined.

An oxidative approach for kinetic resolution of aromatic selenides was studied by Brondani et al. (2012b). The enantioselective oxidations of these organoselenium compounds were performed using Baeyer-Villiger monooxygenases including, PAMO, M446G PAMO, CHMO and HAPMO. The regeneration of the cofactor was performed by phosphite dehydrogenase (PTDH). While M446G PAMO and PAMO were able to perform the oxidation with low to high ee (9-98%), HAPMO and CHMO did not mediate the oxidation reaction. The authors observed the preference for the oxidation of the \((R)\)-Selenide, leading to selenoxide elimination (elimination of RSeOH) giving the styrene derivative and leaving the \((S)\)-selenide intact (Figure 46). In the same study, in order to perform the kinetic resolution of the same selenides, the authors tested oxidoreductases-containing \textit{Aspergillus terreus} and lipase (Cal-B) in the presence of oxidants. Unfortunatelly, satisfactory results were not observed in this case.

BORON

Boron is a semiconductor element with intermediate properties between metals and non-metals. This atom has a unique chemistry which might be the most intriguing and complex after the chemistry of carbon (Bolaños et al. 2004). Besides that, boron is essential in small amounts to most

---

*Figure 46 - Kinetic Resolution of aromatic selenides using BVMOs as biocatalysts.*

---

**TABLE V**

Oxidation of organoselenium acetophenones using phenylacetone monooxygenase (PAMO) and a NAD(P)H-regenerating system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeSe</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BzSe</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeSe</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BzSe</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeMe</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeBz</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 46** - Kinetic Resolution of aromatic selenides using BVMOs as biocatalysts.
types of life and its deficiency effects negatively on plant anatomy, physiology and biochemistry (Lu et al. 2014), which suggests that boron has multiple functions in organisms, especially for vascular plants, diatoms and some species of marine algal flagellates (Hunt 1994, Loomis and Durst 1992, Lovatt 1985). However, the primary role of boron remains unclear (Kaya et al. 2009).

In most physiological fluids, boric acid $\text{B(OH)}_3$ and small amounts of borate anion $\text{B(OH)}_4^-$, which can readily form complexes with existing sugars or cis-hydroxyl containing groups molecules, are related to the cell wall architecture (Bolaños et al. 2004). The mechanism of boron transport in a cell is exemplified in Figure 47, where there is a passive diffusion mechanism of the boric acid and an enzymatic one, which facilitates the transport (Takano et al. 2008).

Despite the interesting chemistry and importance, there are only few reports on the biotransformation of organoboron compounds, mainly due to the possible inhibitory effects that these compounds might have on enzymes (Das et al. 2013). Although, some progress have been made using oxidoreductases, as will be evident in the discussion below.

OXIDOREDUCTASES

Cyclohexanone oxygenase, a bacterial flavoprotein monooxygenase, was used by Branchaud and Walsh (1985) for the oxidation of boronic acids, as shown in Figure 48. The oxidation of phenylboronic acid and $n$-octylboronic acid gave the corresponding alcohols (phenol and 1-octanol) (Walsh and Chen 1988). Phenylboronic acid was also oxidized to phenol by PAMO, in 11% conversion after 24 hours, (Gonzalo et al. 2005) while HAPMO (4-Hydroxyacetophenone monooxygenase from Pseudomonas fluorescens ACB) gave 24% conversion after 48 hours (Gonzalo et al. 2006).

Baeyer-Villiger Monooxygenases (BVMO) were also used by Brondani et al. (2011) for the selective oxidation of organoboron compounds. Initially, five boron-containing acetophenones were employed to verify which enzyme would have high chemoselectivity (ketone versus boron oxidation), as shown in Figure 49. Full conversion to corresponding phenols was observed with PAMO as biocatalyst, but the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation was only achieved with 4-substituted compounds. The mutant M446G PAMO showed a similar behavior, but with less efficiency in Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, resulting in a mixture of compounds. HAPMO-catalyzed oxidations

![Figure 47](image-url) - Transport and absorption of borate in a cell.
occurred both at boron and at ketone moieties, while CHMO presented high chemoselectivity for boron oxidation, but with low activity.

In the same work, other substrates were evaluated, such as vinyl boron compounds and chiral boron compounds. The exclusive boron oxidation was observed when the substrate was accepted by the enzymes (substrates with an aromatic moiety). These results led them to study the oxidation of chiral boron compounds with PAMO enzyme, obtaining the (S)-borane oxidation to the corresponding (S)-alcohol and recovery of the (R)-borane ($E = 23$), as shown in Figure 50.

BVMOs (PAMO and M446G PAMO) were also exploited for oxidative kinetic resolutions of chiral organoboron compounds by Brondani et al. (2012a). As can be seen in Figure 51, the aromatic compounds were converted in moderate yields (49-52%) and moderate to high ee for (S)-alcohol (50-91%), with the exception of the $p$-fluorinated compound, did not react. The b-borylated carboxylic esters also did not serve as good substrates for the BVMOs, while the cyclopropyl boronic esters were oxidized, but with no enantioselectivity.

**PHOSPHORUS**

Phosphorus is a bio-essential element found in DNA, cell membranes and bones (Tacke et al. 1998). It is available as inorganic phosphorus in the soil, which can be taken up by plants. The
major forms of inorganic phosphorus can be found as orthophosphate or pyrophosphate, (Maathuis 2009) while organic phosphorus (phosphate ester) is synthesized through the esterification by pyrophosphate bonds (e.g., in ATP), as can be seen in Figure 52.

Organic compounds containing phosphorus, especially organophosphonates, are important building blocks in organic synthesis. Moreover, organophosphorus compounds possess high biological activity, and can be used in agriculture as pesticides and as plant growth regulators. Some organophosphorus compounds are also employed as antibiotics, enzyme inhibitors, antiviral and anticancer drugs (Albrecht 2010). There are recent reviews considering different aspects of

*conv and ee are described for the borylated compounds.

Figure 51 - Reactions of several organoboron compounds with BVMOs.

Figure 52 - Phosphorus uptake and its metabolic pathway.

OXIDOREDUCTASES

Bialaphos is a very interesting and rare case of natural organophosphorus compound in which a phosphorus atom is directly attached to carbon (C-P bond). Bialaphos is an antibiotic named γ-(hydroxymethylphosphinyl)-L-α-aminobutyryl-L-alanyl-L-alanine (Figure 53). This antibiotic was first isolated from Streptomyces viridochromogenes strains (Baye et al. 1972) and Seto et al. (1982, 1983a, b) studied its biosynthesis, observing the formation of phosphinic acid derivatives at an early stage of the biosynthesis, which indicated the reduction of the phosphorus. The phosphate reduction precedes the C-P bond formation and results in the formation of a phosphinoenolpyruvic acid, which therefore rearrange to other metabolites (Seto et al. 1982, 1983a, b). The mechanism of the phosphate reduction involves the Pyrococcus horikoshii protease (Zhan et al. 2011) as proposed by the authors (Metcalf and Donk 2009).

Other organophosphorus compounds can be found in different natural products and used in medicine and agriculture (Seto and Kuzuyama 1999). The biosynthesis of these compounds and other relevant studies are described elsewhere (Allen and Wang 2014, Cicchillo et al. 2009, Ju et al. 2014, Kim et al. 2012, Nair and van der Donk 2011, Wu et al. 2014).

HYDROLASES

An organophosphorus insecticide, Crotoxyphos was hydrolyzed by a partially purified and isolated enzyme from clay loam, (Getzin and Satyanarayana 1979) Figure 54. The authors also observed that this enzyme can be found in soil as an extracellular entity.

Bialaphos, the natural product used in oxidoreductase-type enzyme studies, was also a matter of study for hydrolase-like enzymes. In this case, Natchev et al. (1988b) described the use of a

![Figure 53 - Bialaphos biosynthesis.](image)

![Figure 54 - Crotoxyphos degradation by hydrolases.](image)
phosphodiesterase I (Natchev 1988a) to hydrolyze a P-ester bond from L-phosphinothricin.

The biodegradation mechanisms of organophosphorus compounds were reviewed by Jokanovic (2001), while the depletion of pesticides by microsomes was studied by Jauregui et al. (2003). It is evident that in the detoxification of organophosphorus compounds, hydrolase-type enzymes are involved. Therefore, hydrolysis reactions such as the one describing isomers of O-hexyl O-2,5-dichlorophenyl phosphoramidate was studied by Monroy-Noyola and Sogorb (2007). All serum species, hen, rat and rabbit, were able to hydrolyze this substrate. In this report, the authors concluded that the toxic effects are dependent on the isomer that survives the hydrolysis and, in this case, (S)-enantiomer is the fastest one to be hydrolyzed.

SILICON

Silicon is the second most abundant element in the Earth’s crust, losing in abundance only to oxygen. By comparison, carbon is not even between the ten most abundant elements in this category. Although free forms of silicon do not exist in nature, the element can be found in minerals such as silica and metallic silicates. Organosilicon compounds (C-Si bond) do not exist in nature and, therefore, all known forms of silicon-containing organic compounds are synthetic (Patai and Rappoport 1989).

Organic synthesis of silicon compounds is under continuous development. Among the most popular reactions involving organosilicon compounds, it is possible to highlight their use as protecting groups, (Crouch 2013, Kocienski 2005) in Peterson olefination, (Peterson 1968) in hydrosilylation of alkenes and alkynes, (Itami et al. 2002, Molander and Retsch 1995, Sabourault et al. 2002) in Brook rearrangement, (Brook 1974, Moser 2001) in Tamao-Kumada-Fleming oxidations (Fleming et al. 1984, Tamao et al. 1983a, b) and in Hiyama-Denmark type palladium-catalyzed cross-couplings. (Frampton and Zelisko 2009, Gordillo et al. 2013, Tacke et al. 1983)

Some contributions regarding biocatalysis of organosilicon compounds have been published, using mainly hydrolases as biocatalysts, with few applications of enzymes from other classes.

HYDROLASES

Although the first biocatalyzed reactions of organosilicon compounds have been achieved by using oxidoreductases, a broadening scope was accomplished by using hydrolases as biocatalysts.

In biotransformations involving hydrolases, the reactions are focused in siloxane bond formations and transetherifications/transesterification of silyl ethers.

Investigations about the ability of hydrolases to perform siloxane bond formation started by condensation reaction of a silanol and combined hydrolysis-condensation reactions of silyl ether, Figure 55 (Bassindale et al. 2003).

After a screening of hydrolases and solvents for condensation reaction, best result for Si-O-Si bond formation from trimethylsilanol was achieved by using trypsin as biocatalyst and Tris-HCl buffer, Figure 55a. In the reaction without enzyme only traces of siloxane were formed.

![Figure 55 - Trypsin-catalyzed siloxane bond formation.](image-url)
Interestingly, for silyl ethers, siloxane bond formation by combined hydrolysis-condensation reactions is only possible when trimethylethoxysilane (Figure 55b, R = Me) was used. For phenyldimethylethoxysilane (Figure 55b, R = Ph), corresponding siloxane was obtained in only 2% yield and phenyldimethylsilanol was the major product (98% yield). These results indicate that trypsin active site presents selectivity towards condensation reaction.

Later on, a work by Maraite et al. questioned whether hydrolysis of trimethylethoxysilane has been promoted by enzymatic catalysis or by the aqueous medium (Maraite et al. 2009). Performing reactions in similar conditions as described by Brandstadt et al. (2003), they have found evidences that the hydrolysis of silyl ether was not being accomplished by a trypsin-catalyzed reaction, but by the buffered medium instead. In this work, other silyl ethers were used as substrates in enzymatic hydrolysis experiments, but there was no evidence of enzymatic activity in hydrolyzing such substrates.

Abbate et al. (2010) suggested that differences in substrate and enzyme concentrations and even different analytical methods could possibly explain those controversial results. In this behalf, they carried out an extensive study using biocatalytic conditions to break Si-O bonds in trimethylethoxysilane, and then make a siloxane bond, Figure 56 (Abbate et al. 2010).

In 24 h reactions, although control experiments (reactions without enzyme) have been shown that the hydrolysis in fact is promoted by the buffered medium – and then enzymatic hydrolysis could not be differentiated from chemical hydrolysis in reactions with the presence of an enzyme source – the authors were able to prove significant siloxane bond formation is only possible in enzyme-containing reactions. New enzymes were found to catalyze Si-O-Si bond formations, such as Rhizopus oryzae lipase (ROL) and four different phytase enzymes. A large screening of enzymes was performed for reactions in both aqueous and tert-butanol media, Figure 57.

Over 90 enzymes were tested and six enzymes were found to catalyze siloxane bond formation in aqueous solvent (Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.0) in yields above 20%: Aspergillus ficuum phytase (56.7%), Aspergillus niger phytase (31.4%), chicken egg white lysozyme (23.6%), porcine gastric mucosa pepsin (22.7%), Rhizopus oryzae lipase (ROL) (61.9%) and two types of bovine pancreatic trypsin (79.3 and 98%). Reactions in tert-butanol seemed to proceed in a slow way when compared to those in buffer, and the yields were always lower than reactions in aqueous medium. In this work, a mechanism for hydrolase-catalyzed silanol condensation to produce siloxane was proposed, Figure 58.

A possible mechanism involving serine-histidine-aspartic acid triad was proposed. In this
proposal, serine residue attacks silicon atom of silanol, producing a silylated serine that reacts with another silanol molecule to form siloxane.

All these previous contributions were important to develop a strategy to perform enzymatic transetherifications and/or alcohol-silanol condensations, Figure 59 (Abbate et al. 2013).

After a screening of enzymes, bovine pancreatic trypsin (BPT) and chicken egg white lysozyme (CEWL) were found to be the best biocatalysts to convert trimethylethoxysilane and phenyldimethylethoxysilane, respectively, into their silyl ethers analogues. Reaction of trimethylethoxysilane (Figure 59a) catalyzed by BPT afforded trimethylsilanol in 52.9% yield and trimethyloctoxysilane in 34.9% yield. For phenyldimethylethoxysilane, the reaction catalyzed by CEWL (Figure 59b) produced phenyldimethylsilanol in 55.0% and phenyldimethyloctoxysilane in 41.8%. Interestingly, by using tert-butanol instead 1-octanol did not afford tert-butoxy silyl ethers. However, authors did not prove in unambiguous way, whether reaction was occurring by transetherification, alcohol-silanol condensation or both pathways.

Silyl ethers were used by Therisod as substrates for lipase-catalyzed transesterification/
transetherification reactions, Figure 60 (Therisod 1989).

For reaction in which R = \(n\)-Hexyl, enzyme PPL led to the best results and initial rate reaction was 4.7 mmol L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). When R = \(c\)-Hex and enzyme CVL were used, a initial rate reaction was 0.7 mmol L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). Due to the low rate reaction, and comparing with free alcohol transesterification, authors concluded that silicon compounds probably were not suitable substrates to this type of transformation.

CONCLUSIONS

All examples described in this review certainly demonstrate that biocatalysis is a powerful tool to obtain a vast range of heteroatom-containing compounds. Some of these examples even include classes of compounds that are known to be enzymatic inhibitors, such as organoboron and organoselenium, evidencing the broadness of substrates that enzymes can act as biocatalyst.

From all the molecules discussed herein, organosulfur molecules were the mostly studied so far, using biotransformations for almost 70 years. A good example is observed in this class of compounds, since even if essentially the focus of organosulfur biotransformation rounded about the oxidation of a sulfide to its sulfoxide, other reactions, such as reductions were also performed using different microorganisms and enzymes and the same trend can be observed for the other heteroatom-containing molecules.

The studies on biotransformation of heteroatom-containing molecules have an important contribution to the knowledge of enzyme mechanisms and even enzyme active sites. Considerable contributions have been performed using specially oxidoreductases for the transformation of heteroatom in hetero-organic molecules. In fact, this indicates that there are still several open areas of study with heteroatom-containing molecules, due to the almost unlimited number of enzymes found in nature.
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