Monitoring vegetation coverage in Tongren from 2000 to 2016 based on Landsat7 ETM+ and Landsat8
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ABSTRACT

Vegetation coverage is an important indicator in regional ecological environment monitoring and plays a key role in its quality assessment. We consider Landsat7 ETM+ in 2000 and Landsat8 in 2016 as data sources using a different time phase partial image substitution method to eliminate cloud effects and an NDVI dimidiate pixel model to invert the vegetation coverage of the two time phases. We further classify them into five grades, provide statistics and analyse the areas of different grades at different time periods, while monitoring the spatial evolution of vegetation coverage over the past 16 years in Tongren. Experimental results showed that: (1) the different time phase partial image substitution method could reduce the influence of clouds on vegetation extraction; (2) in Tongren, the vegetation coverage area was decreased from 17,300.1 km² to 17,224.8 km² (i.e. decreased by 75.3 km²); (3) the areas of grade I and V increased by 0.42% and 15.08%, respectively, whereas the areas of grade II, III and IV decreased by 3.15%, 6.98% and 5.37%, respectively, which indicates that most of the area containing lower amount of vegetation gradually altered into an area containing a higher coverage of vegetation, whereas a few areas became bare land; and (4) the vegetation areas decreased due to expansion of cities and construction of dams, while vegetation increased due to the cultivation of crops and trees. Research shows that the overall evolution of vegetation coverage in Tongren is considerably good. However, while undertaking future development in the mountainous Karst region, one should be aware of the land’s intensive use and environmental protection.
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INTRODUCTION

Vegetation is an important component of the ecological environment and directly reflects the status of the environment (Liu et al. 2009). Vegetation coverage is an important ecological parameter for the growth of plants; it plays a key role in assessing and detecting the regional, ecological and environmental health (Cui et al. 2013). Using a remote sensing technology to extract information about vegetation coverage exhibits an advantage of being able to cover large areas quickly, while depicting high accuracy. The common methods for extracting vegetation coverage information based on remote sensing technology includes the vegetation index method, dimidiate pixel model, endmember decomposition method (Cui et
al. 2010), supervised classification method and so on (Yao et al. 2016). Among them, the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) of the dimidiate pixel model is extensively used in the extraction of regional vegetation coverage information because of its simple operation, fast calculation speed and high accuracy of extraction results (Liu et al. 2007, 2012, Li et al. 2012, Ma et al. 2012, Qiao et al. 2013).

Tongren is a large area where forests are widely distributed and the vegetation is very dense, which provide good natural and ecological advantages. In the context of economic development, with an increase in grazing, agricultural planting, resource exploitation and urban expansion, growth of regional vegetation will be greatly affected; ecological problems, such as vegetation destruction, land rocky desertification and soil erosion have appeared in the area (Zheng 2002, Huang 2010), resulting in a decreased fraction of vegetation coverage. To determine the alterations in vegetation coverage in the Tongren prefecture over the previous 16 years, the development of remote sensing monitoring to detect the ecological environmental changes in this area has been effectively promoted. In this study, we extracted vegetation coverage information using the dimidiate pixel model of NDVI to provide comparisons and analysis of changes in vegetation coverage over time, thus providing basic data for the protection and construction of the ecological environment in the Tongren prefecture.

GENERAL SITUATION OF THE STUDY AREA

Tongren is located in the northeast of Guizhou province in China (Figure 1), belonging to the bordered areas of the three provinces of Guizhou, Hunan and Chongqing in the Wuling mountainous hinterland. It is the slope zone of the Yunnan and Guizhou Plateau to the Xiangxi hills, with a land area of 18,000 km². The city’s administrative area is a subtropical monsoon and humid climatic zone, with an annual sunshine of 1,044.7–1,266.2 h, annual average temperature of 13.5–17.6 °C, average annual precipitation of 1110–1410 mm, frost-free periods lasting from 275 to 317 days, with abundant heat, light and precipitation. There are two national nature reserves, three national scenic areas, three provincial scenic spots, one national mine park (Karst) and one national geological park. The Fanjing Mountain is an area under Tongren’s jurisdiction and is also a member of the United Nations Human and Biosphere Reserve Network. It is also a national nature reserve and a famous Buddhist mountain in China, with a regional forest coverage rate that is greater than 95% and contains negative oxygen ions per cubic centimetre ranging from 6-12 million. Giant salamander, *Davidia involucrata* Baill and many other rare and endangered animals and plants live and thrive here, as it is observed to have high ecological and cultural value among well-known mountains and rivers in China.

DATA AND PRE-PROCESSING

DATA SOURCE

Free research data was downloaded from the website of the US geological survey (USGS), where the recent image is obtained from Landsat8 that was imaged on 29th August 2016. The panchromatic and multispectral image resolutions were 15 and 30 m, respectively, and the track number of the two scenes images were 126/40 and 126/41, respectively. Since they were affected by clouds at the location, it is difficult to find images containing less than 20% of cloud coverage in the Tongren prefecture. We queried earlier dates and found that the Landsat7 ETM+ images (track number is also 126/40 and 126/41) of obtained on 21st May 2000 has no cloud coverage. Therefore, we downloaded these two scenes of remote sensing images. Finally, we had different image sources over an interval of 16 years for extraction of the vegetation coverage information. Auxiliary data included vectors of
We want to find a similar period of Landsat8 images over the same region with no cloud coverage. Further, we can use a region of interest tool for ENVI, draw the cloud area and remove them using the recent image, which contained no cloud coverage over the corresponding area.

By searching the USGS website, we found Landsat8 imagery that was imaged on February 12th, 2017 when the region was not covered by any clouds. So, based on the matching geographical coordinates, we embedded the image of the area into the removed area. The image after eliminating the clouds is as shown in Figure 2c.

From Figure 2b, we found that part of the original image was covered with clouds; thus, ground was not visible. However, in the image with the clouds removed, the ground can be seen clearly. Cloud removal for image processing can increase the visibility of ground that is covered by clouds and can be beneficial for the extraction of vegetation information.

CREATING THE DIMIDIATE PIXEL MODEL

In this study, the dimidiate pixel model was built using NDVI, and the calculation method of NDVI is shown in equation (1). The NDVI reflects the vegetation coverage on the ground, the range of its DN value is [-1, 1], where negative values indicate that the ground is covered with clouds, water, snow, etc. and has a high reflection for visible light; 0 indicates that there is a rocky or bare land, and the pixel value of NIR and RED band is observed to be approximately equal. The positive values indicate that there is vegetation coverage, and the value increases with the increase in coverage (Liu 2015).

\[
NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R}
\]  

(1)

Some scholars thought that the pixel information in remote sensing images reflects the mixed information of objects, but it is assumed that the image pixel can be divided into vegetation
and non-vegetation information. Therefore, $S$ is the pixel information observed by the sensor and can be expressed as a green vegetation component $S_{veg}$ and a non-vegetation component $S_{soil}$. Then, the mathematical model of vegetation coverage can be expressed by the dimidiate pixel model as follows (Li et al. 2004, Guli et al. 2009):

$$f_c = \frac{S - S_{soil}}{(S_{veg} - S_{soil})}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_c$ is the vegetation coverage of this pixel, $S_{veg}$ assumes the amount of remote sensing information derived from all vegetation coverage and $S_{soil}$ is the amount of remote sensing information that is assumed to be entirely contributed by the soil.

NDVI can reflect the vegetation coverage well, and we can obtain the vegetation coverage by substituting NDVI into equation (2) in the following manner:

$$f = \frac{\text{NDVI} - \text{NDVI}_{s}}{\text{NDVI}_{v} - \text{NDVI}_{s}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\text{NDVI}_{s}$ represents the NDVI values of the non-vegetated bare land pixels; and $\text{NDVI}_{v}$ represents the NDVI values of all vegetation coverage.

Theoretically, the value of $\text{NDVI}_{s}$ is close to 0, and the value of $\text{NDVI}_{v}$ is close to 1, since $\text{NDVI}_{s}$ is the minimum value of NDVI, which is expressed as $\text{NDVI}_{min}$; $\text{NDVI}_{v}$ takes the maximum value of NDVI, which is expressed as $\text{NDVI}_{max}$. Since the remote sensing images inevitably suffer from noise, the minimum and maximum values of NDVI correspond to the confidence range that should be selected in accordance with the actual situation of the image. In this study, the NDVI values of cumulative probabilities of 5% and 95% were used as $\text{NDVI}_{min}$ and $\text{NDVI}_{max}$ for the calculation of vegetation coverage, respectively.

Since the resolution of fused Landsat images is observed to be 15 m, a single misclassification pixel will produce an error of 0.225 km$^2$. Therefore, we

Figure 2 - The results of the region and removal of clouds in 2016, clouds location (a), images before (b) and after (c) clouds removal.
decided that the final results should be expressed in a single decimal case.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE VEGETATION COVERAGE GRADES

Referring to the ‘soil erosion classification and classification standard SL190-2007’ promulgated by the Ministry of Water Resources in China and the ‘Fourth National desertification and desertification monitoring technical regulations’ promulgated by the State Forestry Administration in China, the vegetation coverage in the study area was divided into five grades: Grade I ($fc \leq 0$) for non-vegetation areas (bare land); grade II ($0% < fc \leq 10%$) for very low vegetation coverage areas; grade III ($10% < fc \leq 25%$) for low vegetation coverage areas; grade IV ($25% < fc \leq 40%$) for middle vegetation coverage areas and grade V ($fc > 40%$) representing the highest vegetation coverage area (Wang et al. 2016). The major technical steps were: first, to extract the vegetation coverage image $fc$; second, to use density slicing to set the density range and third, to classify the $fc$ images.

CHANGE DETECTION

Using the change detection module of ENVI4.5 software, the grading $fc$ maps in 2000 and 2016 were used to detect change using the final classification map minus the previous classification map to output the changes in the form of pictures and confusion matrices. After observation and data statistics, analysis the changes.

RESULT ANALYSIS

VEGETATION COVERAGE INFORMATION ANALYSIS

Using the NDVI pixel dimidiate pixel model, the vegetation grade distribution maps of 2000 and 2016 are shown in Figure 3a and b, while the vegetation coverage statistics are shown in Table I. From Figure 3, we observe that the surface of the Tongren prefecture is mainly covered with green vegetation, whereas a few areas do not contain vegetation coverage and are mainly used for urban and other construction sites (white patches in the pictures). In 2000, the vegetation coverage was lower; however, it was still more than that in 2016. In 2016, the amount of areas where there was no coverage of vegetation (i.e. exposed plots) was significantly higher than that observed in 2000. It is observed that during the period of great economic development, the Tongren prefecture was seriously disturbed by human interference.

From Table I, the total area of the Tongren prefecture is 18,102.5 km². In 2000, the area covered by grade I was 802.5 km², accounting for 4.43% of the total area. It showed that the proportion of bare land was less in the study area. Furthermore, the area of grade II was 903.2 km², accounting for 4.99% of the total area; the area of grade III was 2,197.1 km², accounting for 12.14% of the total area; the area of grade IV was 2,820.1 km², accounting for 15.58% of the total area and the area of grade V was 11,379.6 km², accounting for 62.86% of the total area. The areas of grades II–V accounted for 95.57% of the total area. The higher coverage level is found in a greater proportion of the land area, which showed that vegetation coverage was very high in the study area.

In 2016, the area covered by grade I was 877.7 km², accounting for 4.85% of the total area; the area of grade II was 332.7 km², accounting for 1.84% of the total area; the area of grade III was 933.8 km², accounting for 5.16% of the total area; the area of grade IV was 1,848.4 km², accounting for 10.21% of the total area and the area of grade V was 14,109.9 km², accounting for 77.94% of the total area. The areas of grades II–V accounted for 95.15% of the total area. However, the fraction of vegetation coverage was slightly lower than that in 2000 (95.57%).
In 2000 and 2016, the Tongren prefecture was dominated by high coverage of vegetation with a lower proportion of medium and low coverage vegetation. The proportion of bare land was below 5%. From 2000 to 2016, the area of grades I, II, III, IV and V changed to 75.3, -570.5, -1,263.3, -971.7 and 2,730.3 km², respectively. The areas of grades I and V increased, while that of others reduced, indicating that a portion of vegetation was destroyed, and this area turned into a non-vegetated area. However, most of the vegetation coverage areas changed into higher coverage areas, illustrating that the unspoilt vegetation coverage area evolved well.

**VEGETATION COVERAGE CHANGE ANALYSIS**

Detection of changes in the two vegetation coverage maps from 2000 to 2016 and the statistics of the test results are shown in Table II.

From the vegetation coverage grade transition probability matrix (Table II) obtained from 2000 to 2016, the coverage for grade I was transferred to the coverage for grades II, III, IV and V; however, the proportion of the class that was transferred to grade V is larger (48.83%) than the others. It showed that a large amount of bare land was transformed into a vegetation-covered area, which may be caused by different image time phase because crops such as rape were harvested and bare land appeared in the fields in May; however, in August, bare farmland was covered by other new crops. Hence, the

**TABLE I**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage level</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>Percentage of total area (%)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Percentage of total area (%)</th>
<th>Area changes (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>802.5</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>877.7</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>903.2</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>332.7</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>-570.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>2197.1</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>933.8</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>-1,263.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>2820.1</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>1848.4</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>-971.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade V</td>
<td>11,379.6</td>
<td>62.86</td>
<td>14,109.9</td>
<td>77.94</td>
<td>2,730.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2000 and 2016, the Tongren prefecture was dominated by high coverage of vegetation with a lower proportion of medium and low coverage vegetation. The proportion of bare land was below 5%. From 2000 to 2016, the area of grades I, II, III, IV and V changed to 75.3, -570.5, -1,263.3, -971.7 and 2,730.3 km², respectively. The areas of grades I and V increased, while that of others reduced, indicating that a portion of vegetation was destroyed, and this area turned into a non-vegetated area. However, most of the vegetation coverage areas changed into higher coverage areas, illustrating that the unspoilt vegetation coverage area evolved well.

**Figure 3** - Vegetation coverage grades of the study area in 2000 (a) and 2016 (b).
transformation between bare land and vegetation areas was observed to be severe; classes II, III and IV also was observed to mainly change to class V with conversion rates of 63.46%, 67.03% and 71.00%, respectively. It showed that the vegetation coverage changed from low grades to a higher grade; grade V remained unchanged at 84.90%, while the rest were translated into lower classes of varying degrees, but smaller proportions.

**LAND TYPE CHANGE ANALYSIS**

*New additional non-vegetation*

The resulting new additional non-vegetation coverage areas are shown in Figure 4 (The blue purple dots formed area in the picture).

From Figure 4, 10 regions were changed more clearly in the newly added non-vegetation areas (blocks numbered 1-10). The ten blocks of remote sensing images in 2000 and 2016 were observed and analysed using Google Earth. Further, we observed that the change to regions 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 was caused due to urban expansion of the Dejiang, Sinan, Shiqian, Yanhe, Jiangkou, Yuping, Songtao counties along with the city of Tongren. The reason for the changes in region 3 was because of the construction of a dam, which caused the water surface to rise, and the water area increased. The reason for changes to region 6 was due to the expansion of villages.

In the remote sensing images of 2000 and 2016, blocks 1-10 were partially cropped and the results are shown in the right side of Figure 4.

In addition, other reasons for the growth of non-vegetated areas are the construction of roads, shelters and mining and the development of farms.

*New additional vegetation*

From 2000 to 2016, a newly added vegetation coverage map was developed, which is shown in Figure 5 (the green dots are the formed area in the picture).

From Figure 5, we observe that there are five regions that were changed more clearly in the newly added vegetation areas (blocks numbered 1–5). In the remote sensing images of 2000 and 2016, blocks 1–5 were cropped partially, and the results are shown in the right side of Figure 5.

Blocks 1–5 of the remote sensing images in 2000 and 2016 were observed and analysed using Google Earth. From Figure 5, a part of the regions 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 in May 2000 were observed to be mainly farmland, when crops such as rape were harvested and bare land appeared in the fields. However, in August 2016, regions 1, 2, 3 and 4 were covered by other new crops, whereas part of region 4 was planted with trees, which was bare land in 2000. Further, it was covered by vegetation in 2016; thus, the reasons for changes in these regions are agriculture and the plantation of trees.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Based on the pixel dimidiate pixel model of the NDVI, vegetation coverage information was extracted from a Landsat7 ETM+ image in 2000 and a Landsat8 image in 2016. Further, we used classification and change detection to analyse the information about the vegetation coverage in the Tongren prefecture. Experimental results showed that the vegetation coverage rate decreased from 95.57% in 2000 to 95.15% in 2016, a decrease of 75.3 km², accounting for 0.42% of the total area. The main reason for this decrease was due to urban expansion and the construction of dams. The high-level vegetation coverage area increased from 62.86% in 2000 to 77.94% in 2016, which signified an increase of 15.08%. The results showed that the vegetation of the Tongren prefecture was affected by city expansion, construction of dams and other such factors, whereas the vegetation coverage rate experienced a slight decrease. However, the vegetation coverage changed from low to higher grades, and the overall evolution was observed to be better.

The Tongren prefecture is a mountainous Karst area, where there is beautiful scenery. However, the environment is prone to ecological problems. Hence, in the context of economic development, one should be cognizant of the land’s intensive use and the need to protect the environment.

In this study, we adopted Landsat7 ETM+ imagery that was taken on 21st May 2000 and Landsat8 imagery that was imaged on 29th August 2016 due to the influence of the clouds, where the month of the data sources was different. Thus, the extraction of vegetation information was not accurate enough; so, some minor errors may be observed. Therefore, in future research, we would ensure that we use the same month to act as the data sources.

Figure 4 - New additional non-vegetation areas and the part of contrast images of typical regions that was changed from 1 to 10 in 2000 and 2016, respectively.
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Figure 5 - New additional vegetation area and the part of contrast images of typical regions that was changed from 1 to 10 in 2000 and 2016, respectively.


