Physical-mechanical characterization of two amazon woods coming from the second cutting cycle
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ABSTRACT
Due to changes in the Amazon forest dynamics after the first cutting cycle, non exploited species become dominant in the forest. The lack of technological knowledge makes it hard to commercialize these woods, making the understanding of their physical-mechanical properties a fundamental step to properly define their applications. This study aimed to characterize physically and mechanically the wood of *Pseudopiptadenia psilostachya* and *Eschweilera ovata* from the second cutting cycle of the Tapajós National Forest, intending to commercially promote and to identify usages for them, as well as to evaluate the viability of replacement of highly commercialized species. The tests were performed accordingly to the Brazilian standard NBR 7190. *P. psilostachya*, presented bulk density of 0.683 g.cm⁻³, medium levels of shrinkage and anisotropy, as well as medium hardness (7366 N) and high strength on compression parallel to grain (71.63 MPa) and on static bending (103.9 MPa). It was generally superior to *Euxylophora paraensis*, but inferior when compared to *Bagasssa guianensis* and *Apuleia leiocarpa*, with possibilities to replace these three species. *E. ovata*, on the other hand, presented bulk density of 0.798 g.cm⁻³, high shrinkage and anisotropy values, but high values for hardness (12089 N) and strength on compression parallel to grain (68.67 MPa) and on static bending (127.1 MPa). This species exhibited, in general, similarities with *Hymenaea* sp. and *Astronium lecointei*. Both species, *P. psilostachya* and *E. ovata* fit in the highest strength class described on the Brazilian Standard NBR7190, C60. By means of the results found, it was concluded that although the species studied were unknown, they presented timber with enough quality to replace some Amazon timber species widely commercialized on both national and international market.
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INTRODUCTION

The forest management with reduced impacts has shown itself to be a sustainable alternative for obtaining non-wood and wood products originated from native forests, with the objective of minimizing the impact on the forest by allowing the remaining trees, of a 30-year cutting cycle, as foreseen in the Brazilian legislation (Castro 2012). However, there are no studies in the literature about the quality of the wood of the species that are retained for the second cutting cycle.

The first studies on forest management with reduced impacts were performed in the end of the 1970’s, in an area belonging to the Brazilian company of agricultural research - EMBRAPA, inside the Tapajós National Forest, located at Km 67 of the BR 163 highway. Pereira Junior et al. (2006) believe that the impact and dynamic studies conducted after the first cutting cycle showed that the regeneration and the recovery of the forest supports the proceeding of a second cutting cycle, which has occurred in the end of 2014, 35 years after the first cutting. On the other hand, Reis et al. (2010) have reported big changes in the floristic composition, due to the intensity of the first harvest, decreasing the quantity of commercial wood and increasing the dominance of species less known in the traditional market.

According to Oliveira et al. (2011), because of the traditional exploration and the lack of scientific studies about the characteristics of woods of the other species, the timber market became restrict to few well known species with a medium to long production cycle, which often makes the recovery of this material in the market unfeasible, leading to a shortage of the product and in some cases risk of extinction of the species.

There is a great diversity of species with high potential for timber production in the Brazilian tropical forests. Countless species from the Amazon rainforest have great wood volumes but are considered of low value or even commercially worthless because they are unknown to the market. Many of them have properties similar to well known and high valued species, turning out to be suitable to most commercial application. However, the deficient technological knowledge and the traditionalism hampers their utilization (Gonçalez and Gonçalvez 2001).

As the lack of knowledge about a specific wood is a barrier to its appropriate use, a better understanding about Amazon woods is required. In this way, the physical and mechanical properties of wood can subside an adequate use of the wood and valorize species that are unknown to the market, which helps the reduction of ecological pressure on traditional timber species.

The objective of this study is to perform the physical and mechanical characterization of the wood of two nontraditional Amazon species coming from the second cutting cycle of the Tapajós National Forest, identifying their potential utilization and which species with large harvest intensity and demand in the industry could be replaced by them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

AREA OF STUDY AND SPECIE SELECTION

The study was conducted in an experimental area belonging to the Brazilian company of agricultural research (EMBRAPA - acronym in Portuguese), inside of the Tapajós National Forest, located at km 67 of the BR 163 highway, in the city of Belterra, state of Pará, Brazil.

The species selection was made according to Moutinho et al. (2016). It was realized a previous analysis of the forest commercial inventory in the area, considering the criteria of great abundance, frequency and dominance, restricting it to those whose wood have not been previously characterized for their technological properties in the literature. The chosen species were: “Fava-timborana”
AMAZON WOODS FROM THE SECOND CUTTING CYCLE

(Pseudopiptadenia psilostachya) and “Matá-matá” (Eschweilera ovata). The botanic identification was made by specialists of the “Oriental Amazon EMBRAPA”, by collecting botanic samples (exsiccates) during the continuous forest inventory and the harvesting, performed in the management area.

COLLECTION OF MATERIAL

Samples with 2.6 m in length were collected from the butt logs from five individuals of E. ovata and four individuals of P. psilostachya. At the log yard, using a mobile sawmill (Lucas Mill), wood logs were converted into battens of 70 x 70 x 1800mm³ of dimension, respecting the orientation of the growth rings in the tangential direction and avoiding the presence of sapwood and juvenile wood in the samples. Then, the samples were taken to the carpentry shop at the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará, where they were made into test samples.

WOOD’S PHYSICAL-MECHANICAL CHARACTERIZATION

The physical properties analyzed were anhydrous density (ρ₀%), bulk density (ρbas) and density at 12% of humidity (ρ₁₂%), tangential shrinkage (εs,tg), radial shrinkage (εs,rad) and volumetric shrinkage (εs,vol), as well as the coefficient of anisotropy (CA). The tested mechanical properties were the modulus of rupture (fₘ), modulus of elasticity (Eₘₜ), in static bending, strength on compression parallel to grain (fₖ₀), and Janka hardness parallel (f₉₀) and perpendicular (f₉₀) to grain.

All tests were performed according to the Brazilian Standard for Wood Structures, NBR 7190 (ABNT 1997). The characteristic value for strength on compression parallel to grain (fₖ₀) was estimated using an equation provided by the NBR7190 Standard (ABNT 1997) and was used to fit both species in the classes of resistance from the same standard.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data that exhibited homogeneity of variances and normal distribution were submitted to the t-test at the level of 5% of significance for the comparison of the means. In case of non-compliance with the prerogatives of the t-test, the data (all physical properties besides coefficient of anisotropy) were analyzed with the non-parametric test of Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon, with the same level of significance (Yau 2013). For the accomplishment of the analysis and the confection of the graphs, the software R was used (R Development Core Team 2017).

RESULTS

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

P. psilostachya bulk density was in average 0.683g. cm⁻³, ranging from 0.603 g.cm⁻³ to 0.818 g.cm⁻³, and average density at 12% moisture content (MC) of 0.777 g.cm⁻³, ranging from 0.671 g.cm⁻³ to 0.973 g.cm⁻³, while E. ovata has showed bulk density of 0.798 g.cm⁻³ in average, varying between 0.722 g.cm⁻³ to 0.878 g.cm⁻³ (Table I).

P. psilostachya presented radial shrinkage of 3.51%, tangential shrinkage of 6.39%, longitudinal shrinkage of 0.3% and volumetric shrinkage of 11.15%. The coefficient of anisotropy, ratio between tangential and radial shrinkage, was of 1.91. For E. ovata, the tangential, radial, longitudinal and volumetric shrinkage were, respectively, 10.37%, 4.37%, 0.08% and 16.86%, with coefficient of anisotropy of 2.37.

WOOD MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The resistance to compression parallel to fibers on some samples of E. ovata showed very low values (18.7; 21.03; 21.75; 26.7 MPa), as shown on Figure 1, and high standard deviation (17.93), resulting on an average of 62.01 MPa. During the tests, some samples failed in the fibers direction, but they had
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not shown any external defects previously to the test; these samples exhibited very low resistance values. The cracks had probably been originated due to the difficulty of the wood to dry, even under mild conditions, as it is the case of an acclimatization room. Howsoever, as it is not the objective of this study to analyze that influence, those values were excluded from the analysis, returning the mean and standard deviation values shown on Table II for both species.

Table III compares the mechanical properties of species with similar density to the ones in this study. The values were taken from the literature. When necessary, the values of resistance and stiffness tested at 15% MC were converted to 12% MC, following the equations provided on NBR7190 (ABNT 1997). The missing values were either not shown in the original studies or were provided for green wood, thus not being convertible to 12% MC.

In comparison to woods of similar density (Table III), such as \textit{A. leiocarpa}, \textit{B. guianensis} and \textit{E. paraensis}, \textit{P. psilostachya} shows values of modulus of rupture in static bending 22 to 45% inferior to those species cited, yet its modulus of elasticity in static bending was between 18 to 25% superior to those described for the same species. Values of f$_{c0}$ were 18% superior to those found for \textit{A. leiocarpa}, and 5% to \textit{E. paraensis}, but 21% inferior to \textit{B. guianensis}.

\textit{E. ovata} had also demonstrated inferior mechanical properties when compared to species with similar density (Table III), with values of f$_{c0}$ 23% inferior to \textit{H. stilbocarpa} and 8% to \textit{M. itauba}. Major differences were noticed in f$_{c0}$, which was 30%, 25% and 17% inferior to the species cited above, respectively. Nevertheless, \textit{E. ovata}'s modulus of elasticity in static bending was 48% superior to \textit{M. itauba} and 37% to \textit{A. lecointei}.

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

Considering the average value of bulk density based on the Melo et al. (1992) classification criteria, \textit{P. psilostachya} is considered a species of medium density. \textit{P. psilostachya}'s wood has similar values of bulk density to other commercial native...
TABLE II
Mechanical properties of wood of the studied species. Mean values followed by standard deviation, between parentheses, and letters representing the statistical group, where different letters in the same column indicate significant statistical difference between treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>f_M</th>
<th>E_M0</th>
<th>f_c0</th>
<th>f_H90</th>
<th>f_H0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MPa)</td>
<td>(N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. psilostachya</td>
<td>103.9 (19.29)</td>
<td>16030 (219)</td>
<td>71.63 (4.84)</td>
<td>7366 (1386)</td>
<td>7200 (1680)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. ovata</td>
<td>127.1 (10.31)</td>
<td>17870 (265)</td>
<td>68.67 (17.93)</td>
<td>12089 (1052)</td>
<td>11851 (921)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f_M = modulus of rupture in static bending; E_M0 = modulus of elasticity in static bending; f_c0 = resistance to parallel compression; f_H90 = Janka hardness normal to fibers; f_H0 = Janka hardness parallel to fibers.

TABLE III
Mean values of physical and mechanical properties of woods with similar density values to those studied in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>ρ_bas (g.cm⁻³)</th>
<th>ε_s,tg (%)</th>
<th>ε_s,rad (%)</th>
<th>ε_s,vol (%)</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>f_M</th>
<th>E_M0</th>
<th>f_c0</th>
<th>f_H0</th>
<th>f_H0</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eschweilera sp.</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td>18926</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>14622</td>
<td>13622</td>
<td>IBDF (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezilaurus itauba</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IPT (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezilaurus itauba</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>5390</td>
<td>5792</td>
<td></td>
<td>IBDF (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenaea stilbocarpa</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>165.5</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IPT (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astronium lecointei</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IPT (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astronium lecointei</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Andrade (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piptadenia suaveolens</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>7188</td>
<td>7698</td>
<td></td>
<td>IBDF (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piptadenia suaveolens</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>8806</td>
<td>9601</td>
<td></td>
<td>IBAMA (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piptadenia suaveolens</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>9594</td>
<td></td>
<td>IBDF (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apuleia leiocarpa</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IPT (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagassa guianensis</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>150.6</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IPT (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euxylophora paraensis</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>12435</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>IBAMA (1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ρ_bas = basic density; ε_s,tg = tangential shrinkage; ε_s,rad = radial retatibility; ε_s,vol = volumetric shrinkage; CA = coefficient of anisotropy; f_M = modulus of rupture in static bending; E_M0 = modulus of elasticity in static bending; f_c0 = resistance to parallel compression to fibers; f_H0 = Janka hardness parallel to fibers; f_H90 = Janka hardness normal to fibers.
species from Amazon rainforest, such as *Apuleia leiocarpa*, 0.670 g.cm$^{-3}$, *Bagassa guianensis* 0.680 g.cm$^{-3}$ and *Euxylophora paraensis* 0.690 g.cm$^{-3}$ (IPT 1989). The results found for *E. ovata* in this study are similar to those reported in Moutinho (2008), that studying the same species, obtained values of 0.81 g.cm$^{-3}$ for basic density, 8.72% for tangential shrinkage, 5.56% for radial shrinkage and 14.39% for volumetric shrinkage.

When compared to those species with similar basic density cited above, *P. psilostachya* has demonstrated smaller shrinkage in all cases, although the coefficient of anisotropy was greater than in *E. paraensis*, 1.2, and in *B. guianensis*, 1.29, but less than in *A. leiocarpa*, 1.93 (IPT 1989). In its turn, *E. ovata*’s bulk density has demonstrated similarities to *Astronium lecontei* with bulk density of 0.81 g.cm$^{-3}$, to *Hymenaea courbaril*, 0.80 g.cm$^{-3}$, and to *Mezilaurus itauba*, 0.80 g.cm$^{-3}$ (IPT 1989), being the four of them considered of high density, according to Melo et al. (1992).

The values of shrinkage for *P. psilostachya* are consistent with what was reported in the literature for *Piptadenia suaveolens*, which was the botanic classification for *P. psilostachya* in the time of the study (EMBRAPA Amazônia Oriental 2004). The only exception is the coefficient of anisotropy, which is 15 to 25% greater, mainly because of the lower values of radial contraction. It is important to cite that softwoods, represented in Brazil mainly by species of the genus *Pinus*, are recognized for their low shrinkage, which makes them an excellent comparison of parameters. Although *Pinus elliottii* has low bulk density (0.4 g.cm$^{-3}$), its shrinkage properties described by IPT (1989), 3.4%, 6.3% and 10.5% for radial, tangential and volumetric contractions, respectively, are virtually identical to the ones found for *P. psilostachya*. Thereby, it can be said that *P. psilostachya* presents low shrinkage values.

Gonçalez et al. (2006), affirm that the dimensional stability of wood and its derivative products has the shrinkage amplitude as a good indicator, and the coefficient of anisotropy clarifies the deformations that occur during wood drying, being considered an important criteria for judging the quality of wood and its further utilization. According to Durlo and Marchiori (1992) the values ranging from 1.5 to 2.0 for the CA characterizes wood as being of medium dimensional stability, which is the case for *P. psilostachya*.

It was also compared *E. ovata* shrinkage to those species mentioned above with similar density. *E. ovata* has higher linear and volumetric shrinkages when compared to *Astronium lecontei*, *Hymenaea courbari* land *Mezilaurus itauba* (Table III). However, the coefficient of anisotropy is only superior to *A. lecointei*. The values described for *Eschweilleria* sp. by Moutinho (2008) are consistent to those presented in this study, even though a smaller coefficient of anisotropy was noticed, which, is believed, was due to a greater radial shrinkage.

Different from *P. psilostachya*, *E. ovata* wood showed higher values of shrinkage, approaching those reported for species of *Eucalyptus*, known for their high shrinkage level. Scanavaca Junior and Garcia (2004) found values of tangential, radial, longitudinal and volumetric shrinkage of 12.5%, 7.2%, 0.11% and 19.8%, respectively, for various sources of *Eucalyptus urophylla*. According to Vermaas (1995), a high shrinkage value, associated with low permeability, is one of the factors that makes species of *Eucalyptus* so difficult to dry without producing defects. *E. ovata*, due to its high density, has a tendency of presenting low permeability and high incidence of shrinkages, therefore being prone to present defects during the drying process.

The coefficient of anisotropy is also a characteristic that makes wood susceptible to present drying defects. As *E. ovata* presented CA of 2.28, the species is classified as of low quality.
regarding this characteristic (Durlo and Marchiori 1992).

The results found for the mechanical properties of *P. psilostachya* are inferior to those found to *E. ovata*, except for compression parallel to fibers. These results can be justified due to the fact that *P. psilostachya*’s wood has low density. However, it was expected that the resistance to compression parallel to fibers would follow the same pattern, and also present itself inferior to *E. ovata*. It turns out to be possible that problems related to the wood drying process, which had resulted from the exclusion of outliers, has influenced, even if in small degree, the resistance to compression in *E. ovata* as a whole, but not to the point of being visually observable after the tests.

In general, it is realized that although both studied species present less resistance to those with similar density, they have superior hardness. *P. psilostachya* did not show adequate characteristics to be used for wooden floors, since its Janka hardness fits in the lowest classification level of the Sustainable Wood Floor Design Project - ITTO (Andrade 2015). On the other hand, *E. ovata* has been classified as a threshold between the first and second classes of the same classification, being in that way a potential species to be used for wooden floors.

*Piptadenia suaveolens*, one of the botanic synonyms for *P. psilostachya* (EMBRAPA Amazônia Oriental 2004), is in accordance with IBDF (1998), indicated for uses in civil construction, furniture frame, boards, music instruments and boats.

No studies about the mechanical properties of *Eschweilera ovata* wood were found in the literature. However, other species of the same genus have been described. *Eschweilera* sp., for example, is indicated for civil construction and *E. amara* for carpentry, wood toys and household goods (IBDF 1988).

Based on the physical and mechanical properties of *E. ovata*’s wood and on its comparison with already known commercial species for many uses, it is possible to indicate the species for civil construction, furniture and wooden floors.

The indication of both woods, *P. psilostachya* and *E. ovata*, for external uses, is only possible after performing durability tests.

The characteristic value in parallel compression have met the stipulated requirements of the standard, and the calculated values were 71.68 MPa for *P. psilostachya* and 63.83 MPa for *E. ovata*. *P. psilostachya* fits in the class C60, although its values of $P_{12\%}$ are lower than the standard description for the class (1.0 g.cm$^{-3}$). *E. ovata* was classified in the same class as *P. psilostachya* (C60), with density value similar to the described for this class.

Although, in general, *P. psilostachya* and *E. ovata* had shown inferior mechanical properties to woods with similar density, both species fit in the class with greater resistance, C60, and therefore have a high potential for use.

**CONCLUSION**

*Pseudopiptadenia psilostachya* wood presented medium bulk density, medium value of shrinkage and high mechanical resistance, being able to be used in civil construction and decoration; it could replace species such as *Bagassa guianensis*, *Apuleia leiocarpa* and *Euxylophora paraensis*.

*Eschweilera ovata* wood presented high density bulk, with high coefficient of anisotropy and high mechanical resistance, proving to be appropriate for uses such as large wooden structures, civil construction, decoration, and general utilization; it can be used for replacing species like *Astronium lecontei*, *Mezilaurus itauba* and *Hymenaea sp.*

Both species are graded in the class of greater resistance (C60), according to Brazilian standard NBR7190.

*An Acad Bras Cienc* (2018) **90** (4)
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio), to the Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMPRAPA), to the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (UFOPA), to the Cooperativa Mista da Floresta Nacional do Tapajós (COOMFLONA), to the Laboratório de Tecnologia da Madeira (LTM) of UFOPA, and to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the project funding.

REFERENCES


