

An Acad Bras Cienc (2020) 92(Suppl. 2): e20181382 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202020181382 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências | *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* Printed ISSN 0001-3765 I Online ISSN 1678-2690 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

Pollen and microsporangium development in Ziziphus jujuba, Z. mucronata, Paliurus spinachristi and Gouania ulmifolia (Rhamnaceae)

MARINA M. GOTELLI, ELSA C. LATTAR, GABRIELA ZARVLASKY & BEATRIZ G. GALATI

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to investigate the ultrastructural events that occur during pollen grains development, with emphasis in pollen grain wall and tapetum ontogeny in Ziziphus jujuba, Z. mucronata, Paliurus spina-christi (Paliureae) and Gouania ulmifolia (Gouanieae). Anthers at different developmental stages were processed according to classic techniques for transmission electron microscopy. Differences in the number of endothecium layers and in the number of tapetal cell nuclei were found. Tapetal cells present an anastomosing tubular network and large vesicles with fibrillar content in the cytoplasm. Pollen grain development and ontogeny of pollen grain wall are similar in the four species. The number of endothecium layers, the number of nuclei of the tapetal cells and tapetal cells ultrastructure of the four species support the phylogenetic relationships previously published for the Rhamnaceae family. Tapetal vesicles with fibrillar or polysaccharide content seem to be an exclusive characteristic of the tribes Paliureae and Gouanieae. Some ultrastructural characters of the pollen grain wall development are common to other species of Rhamnaceae, such as the primexine matrix present at the microspore mother cell stage, the aperture entirely built up during the tetrad stage, the thick and fibrillar intine, and the granular infractectum.

Key words: male gametophyte development, pollen wall development, Rhamnaceae, tapetal polysaccharide vesicles, tapetum ultrastructure.

INTRODUCTION

Rhamnaceae is a family with a cosmopolitan distribution that includes 55 genera and 900 species (Medan & Schirarend 2004, Perveen & Qaiser 2005). Richardson et al. (2000a, b) proposed a classification with 11 tribes, which is strongly supported in three clades: Rhamnoid, Ziziphoid and Ampelozizyphoid. Hauenschild et al. (2016) claimed that there are some notable uncertainties and that the morphological characters known until now do not support a formal taxonomic description of these three clades as subfamilies. According to Gotelli et al. (2016a), the morphology and the ultrastructure of the nectaries of Rhamnaceae underpin the plastid DNA-based phylogenetic analysis made by Richardson et al. (2000a). In this family, the anatomic data of the reproductive sporophytic structures show more systematic value than the gametophytic structures (Gotelli et al. 2016b).

Pollen morphology and tapetum studies

Palynology is considered a useful tool to discriminate among closely related taxa. There are some previous studies on pollen morphology in Rhamnaceae (Papagiannes 1974, Schirarend & Köhler 1993, Perveen & Qaiser 2005). Erdtman (1952) studied about 25 species from 13 genera and considered the family to be stenopalynous. Kajale (1944) and Johri et al. (1992) analyzed pollen and anther development in Zizyphus mauritiana Lam. Pollen morphology of 25 Chinese species representing six genera in the tribe Rhamneae was studied by Zhang & Chen (1992). Schirarend & Köhler (1993) carried out an extensive research where they described twelve morphological types of pollen grains. Nasri-Ayachi & Nabli (1995) analyzed pollen wall ultrastructure and ontogeny in Z. lotus L. Schirarend (1996) examined pollen morphology of the genus Paliurus and considered that although morphological pattern coincides with the descriptions made for the family, general differences can be recognized in relation to pollen size, shape and tectum architecture. Gotelli et al. (2016b) described pollen development and anther morphology in 14 species of Rhamneae (Rhamnoids Clade), Paliureae, Pomaderreae, Colletieae and Gouanieae (Ziziphoids Clade) and concluded that morphological and anatomical studies are necessary to complement molecular information in order to resolve the phylogeny of Rhamnaceae

Tapetum is a fundamental tissue of the anther for the normal development of pollen grains (Johri et al. 1992, Raghavan 1997). Many authors consider that the tapetum is involved in different aspects of pollen development (Johri et al. 1992). According to Maheshwari (1950), the tapetum is a tissue with a considerable physiological significance. Researchers, while comparing the cytology of tapetal cells between male sterile and their fertile homologous, found that male sterility is linked to abnormalities in the tapetum (Raghavan 1997, Vardar & Ünal 2012). Alterations manifested in the ultrastructure of the cells of this tissue can generate nonviable pollen (Li et al. 2006, Pacini 2010). In Rhamnaceae, the ultrastructure of tapetal cells was studied in *Colletia* paradoxa and Discaria americana from the Colletieae tribe (Gotelli et al. 2012), and Hovenia dulcis belonging to the tribe

Paliureae (Gotelli et al. 2016c). In tapetal cells of this last species, Gotelli et al. (2016c) found an anastomosing tubular network and large vesicles with fibrillar content that react positively with PAS. They named them polysaccharide vesicles and their function is still unknown.

The aim of this paper is to describe the ultrastructure of pollen grains and microsporangium development with special attention to tapetum and pollen grain wall ontogeny in species from the Paliureae and Gouanieae tribes, in order to broaden the embryological knowledge of family and to evaluate the characters of reproductive sporophytic structures that support the current classification for Rhamnaceae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Flowers at different developmental stages of *Ziziphus mucronata, Z. jujuba, Paliurus spinachristi* (Paliureae) and *Gouania ulmifolia* (Gouanieae) were collected from individuals cultivated in the campus of the Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires (34° 35' 37" S, 58° 29' 03" O). Voucher specimens of these species were deposited in the Herbarium Gaspar Xuarez (BAA).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Anthers at different stages of development were pre-fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde, 4% formaldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 48 h and then post-fixed in OsO4 at 2°C in the same buffer for 3 h. The material was embedded in Spurr's resin after dehydration in an ethanol series. Ultrathin sections (750- 900 nm) were made using a Reichert-Jung ultramicrotome and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Zarlavsky 2014). The sections were observed and photographed with a JEOL-JEM 1200 EX II TEM at 85.0 kV.

RESULTS

The anther is tetrasporangiate and its wall consists of epidermis (ep), endothecium (en), two to three middle layers and a secretory type tapetum (t). Tapetal cells are uninucleate in *G. ulmifolia*, *P. spina-christi*, and binucleate in both species of *Ziziphus*.

Microsporogenesis and microgametogenesis

Stage 1: Sporogenous tissue

At this stage, microspore mother cells start to differentiate. In their cytoplasm a conspicuous

nucleus, rough endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria are observed (Figure 1a).

Stage 2: Microspore mother cell stage with callose

Microspores mother cells of the four species present many mitochondria, rough endoplasmic reticulum, dictyosomes and vesicles (Figure 1b). Lipid globules and plastids are observed in the cytoplasm of these cells in *P. spina-crhisti* (Figure 1c). A thick callosic wall is formed between the plasmalemma and the primary wall (Figure 1d).

Stage 3: Microspore tetrads

Figure 1. Microsporogenesis. (a) Gouania ulmifolia. Microspore mother cell without calose. (b) Ziziphus mucronata. Microspore mother cell with calose. (c) Paliurus spina-christi. Microspore mother cell with calose. (d) Z. mucronata. Karyokinesis of microspore mother cell. (e) Z. jujuba. Cytokinesis. v: vacuole (f) P. spina-christi. Microspore tetrad. (g) Z. jujuba. Microspore tetrad. Scale bars: a: 1 µm; b, c, f, g: 500 nm; d, e: 2µm. n: nucleus, nu: nucleolus, m: mitochondria, rer: rough endoplasmic reticulum, c: calose, d: dictyosome, lg: lipidic globule, p: plastid, arrows: furrows.



Microsporocytes undergo simultaneous meiosis, forming tetrads with a tetrahedral arrangement. Before cytokinesis takes place, the amount of dictyosomes increases and plasmodesmata are observed between former microspore mother cells (Figure 1d). They remain surrounded by a thick callosic wall (Figure 1d, e). Cytokinesis starts by simultaneous centripetal furrows (Figure 1e). The microspore cytoplasm of the four species shows many mitochondria, dictyosomes, endoplasmic reticulum of rough type, plastids and ribosomes (Figure 1f, g).

Stage 4: Free microspores

Microspores have a conspicuous nucleus (Figure 2a). Mitochondria, dictyosomes, rough

endoplasmic reticulum and plastids are observed in their cytoplasm (Figure 2b, c).

Stage 5: Pollen grain

The generative and vegetative cells are formed by a mitotic division of the microspore. The generative cell migrates from a parietal position towards a central position. It appears to be surrounded by many small vesicles present in the cytoplasm of the vegetative cell (Figure 2d). Rough endoplasmic reticulum, lipidic globules, a few dictyosomes and some mitochondria are observed in the cytoplasm of the vegetative cell (Figure 2e). The vegetative nucleus is lobed and surrounded by mitochondria (Figure 2f).



Figure 2.

Microgametogenesis. (a) Z. mucronata. General aspect of the free microspore. (b, c) G. ulmifolia. Detail of the microspore cytoplasm. (d) Z. jujuba. Generative cell surrounded by many small vesicles (arrows). (e) P. spina-chrisi. Detail of the cytoplasm of the vegetative cell. (f) Z. mucronata. Vegetative nucleus. Scale bars: a, f: 1 µm; b-e: 500 nm. n: nucleus, m: mitochondria, rer: rough endoplasmic reticulum, d: dictyosome, p: plastid, gc: generative cell, vn: vegetative nucleus.

Pollen grain wall development

At the microspore mother cell stage, vesicles with a fibrillar and electrondense border are observed between the plasma membrane and the callose (Figure 3a). After karyokinesis, but before cytokinesis, the borders of these vesicles start to coalesce forming an electrondense granular and fibrillar structure that includes circular areas with low electrondense content. This structure is the primexine matrix (Figure 3b).

At the young tetrad stage, the primexine matrix is more fibrillar and a low electrondense protectum starts to differentiate. Electrondense double membrane structures appear to be initiating the basal layer (Figure 3c). At the more advanced tetrad stage, the protectum is observed more electrondense and the basal layer is more continuous. In the periphery of the circular areas of the primexine matrix a more electrondense substance is deposited. In this way the probacules are delimited. These last are irregular and somewhat bifurcated (Figure 3d). As this stage progresses, branched proendexinic lamellae surrounding the oncus of the proto-apertures can be observed (Figure 4a).

At the free microspore stage, in the four species, the thickness of the tectum is increased and a fribrillar and electrondense substance is present on it. An homogeneous endexine and a continuous basal layer can be observed. The basal layer is thinner than the endexine. As this stage progresses, the irregular probacules are



Figure 3. Pollen wall development. (a) Detail of the microspore mother cell wall of *Z. jujuba*. (b) Detail of the wall of the microspore mother cell during karyokinesis of *Z. mucronata*. (c) Detail of the wall of one microspore of a young tetrad of *P. spina-chisti*. (d) Detail of the wall of one microspore of a tetrad in a later stage of *Z. mucronata*. Scale bars: a, b, d: 250 nm; c: 100 nm. c: calose, v: vesicles, arrows looking down: vesicles; arrows looking up: double membrane structure. compressed by the thickening of the tectum and therefore a granular infratectum is formed (Figure 4b). As the microspore matures, the basal layer thickens and the ectexine presents less electrondensity. The infratectum reduces its thickness and keeps a granular aspect. Darkcontrasted depositions are observed between the plasmalemma and the endexine (Figure 4c).

The mature pollen grain wall of all species here studied has a thick and fibrillar intine and an endexine more electrondense than the ectexine (Figure 4d).

Tapetal ultrastructure

During the microspore mother cell stage, tapetal cells contain many plastids, dictyosomes, rough endoplasmic reticulum, and mitochondria. Lipid globules are only observed in *P. spina-christi* (Figure 5a). At this stage, dictyosomic vesicles are very abundant in the cytoplasm and some of them are connected with cisternal stacks of dictyosomes by an anastomosing tubular network (tn). Some of these vesicles are larger and have inside a slightly fibrillar content. Vesicles vary in size in the same tapetal cell (Figure 5b).

At microspore tetrad stage, tapetal cells are filled with fibrillar vesicles, endoplasmic reticulum of rough type, and a few mitochondria and dictyosomes (Figure 5c). Plastids are only observed in tapetal cells of *P. spina-christi* (Figure 5c, d).

Tapetal cells show at the free microspore stage similar characteristics to those of the previous stages, and the most abundant organelles in the cytoplasm are the fibrillar vesicles (Figure 5e, f). Tapetal cells are no longer observed at the mature pollen grain stage.

Orbicules were not observed in any of the species.



Figure 4. Pollen wall development. (a) Protoaberture (arrows) in a tetrad of Z. mucronata. (b) Detail of a young microspores wall of Z. mucronata. (c) Detail of a mature microspores of wall of Z. jujuba. (d) Detail of the pollen grain wall of Z. mucronata. Scale bars: 500 nm. c: calose, t: tectum. it: infratectum. en: endexine, in: intine, bl: basal layer, arrows: dark-contrasted depositions.

MARINA M. GOTELLI et al.



Figure 5. Tapetal ultrastructure. (a) Tapetal cell of P. spina -christi at microspore mother cell stage. (b) Tapetal cell of Z. mucronata at microspore mother cell stage. (c) Tapetal cell of P. spina-christi at tetrad stage. (d) Detail of a tapetal cell of P. spina -christi at tetrad stage. (e) Tapetal cell of G. ulmifolia at free microspore stage. (f) Tapetal cell of Z. mucronata at microspore stage. Scale bars: a, b, f: 500 nm, c, d: 1 µm, m: mitochondria, lg: lipid globule, p: plastid, n: nucleus, d: dictyosome, rer: rough endoplasmic reticulum, pv: polysaccharide vesicle, tn: tubular network.

DISCUSSION

This study provides new detailed information about the anatomy and the ultrastructure of tapetal cells and pollen development of *Ziziphus jujuba*, *Z. mucronata*, *Paliurus spina-christi* (Paliureae) and *Gouania ulmifolia* (Gouanieae).

The number of endothecium layers and the number of tapetal nuclei are consistent with previous descriptions (Gotelli et al. 2016b). Tapetal cells in *Z. jujuba* and *Z. mucronata* are binucleate as in *Z. mistol*, *Colletia paradoxa*, *C. spinosissima*, *Retanilla patagonica*, *Kentrothamnus weddellianus* (Jafari Marandi & Niknam 2012, Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b) whereas in *G. ulmifolia* and *P. spina-christi* are uninucleate as in *Scutia buxifolia, Condalia buxifolia, Hovenia dulcis, Cryptandra tomentosa, Siegfriedia darwinioides* and *Stenanthemun humile* (Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b) (Table I). Although Jafari Marandi & Niknam (2012) described the tapetum of *Z. Jujuba* as plasmodial, according to our observations and previous researches (Johri et al. 1992, Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b), the secretory tapetum seems to be a stable character within Rhamnaceae.

According to this and other previous researches (Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b, c), orbicules are present in all the studied species of the tribes Pomaderreae and Colletieae, and in some

Clade	Tribe	Species	Tapetum # nuclei	Tapetal vesicles	Endothecium layers	Orbicules	References
Rhamnoids	Rhamneae	Scutia buxifolia	1	-	2-3	_	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PO
		Condalia buxifolia	1	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PO
Ziziphoids	Pomaderreae	Cryptandra tomentosa	1	-	1	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b
		Siegfriedia darwinioides	1	-	1	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b
		Stenanthemun humile	1	-	1	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b
	Colletieae	Colletia paradoxa	2	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b
		C. spinosissima	2	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PO
		Discaria americana	2	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b
		Retanilla patagonica	2	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PO
		Kentrothamu sweddellianus	2	-	2-3	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PO
	Paliureae	Ziziphus mucronata	2	+	1	-	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PS
		Z. mistol	2	+	1	+	Gotelli et al. 2016b
		Z. jujuba	2	+	1	-	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PS
		Paliurus spina-christi	1	+	1	+	PS
		Hovenia dulcis	1	+	1	-	Gotelli et al. 2015, 2016b
	Gouanieae	Gouania ulmifolia	1	+	2-3	-	Gotelli et al. 2016b, PS

Table I. Summary of anther anatomy features. PO: personal observation, PS: present study.

species of the other tribes (Table I). Therefore, the presence or not of these sporopollenin corpuscles coating the anther locule does not seem to be a stable character for all the tribes of Rhamnaceae.

The tapetum is an ephemeral secretory tissue with a nutritional role for pollen grains

and is considered to regulate their development (Chapman 1987, Pacini 2010). The tapetum ultrastructure was analyzed in a few species of the Rhamnaceae family until now (Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016c). The anastomosing tubular network and large vesicles with fibrillar content present in the tapetal cells of the species here studied were previously described for Hovenia dulcis (Gotelli et al. 2016c). These authors found that such vesicles accumulate insoluble polysaccharides and identified them as polysaccharide vesicles. The observations made in different stages of tapetal development of Ziziphus mucronata, Z. jujuba, Paliurus spina-christi and Gouania ulmifolia are similar to those made in Hovenia dulcis (Gotelli et al. 2016c). The vesicles with fibrillar material inside originate from the dictyosomes and they increase in volume by the transfer of polysaccharides through the anastomosing tubular network. In all species studied, as in Hovenia dulcis, once the polysaccharide vesicles reach their maximum size, the network is no longer present. However, in the four species here studied, tapetal cells are degraded at the pollen grain stage while in H. dulcis tapetal cells are still present at this stage and show a PAS+ cytoplasm with an homogeneous fibrillar appearance, surrounded by a new structure resembling a lax cell wall (Gotelli et al. 2016c). According to our results, the presence of an anastomosing tubular network and large polysaccharide vesicles in tapetal cells seems to be a characteristic of Paliureae and Gouanieae tribes (Table I) since there are no reports of such structure in tapetal cells of species of other tribes of Rhamnaceae nor for other species of angiosperms in general (Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016c). Gotelli et al. (2016c) hypothesized that the tapetum could be acting as a reservoir of sugar which is possibly translocated to the sweet rachis of the inflorescence while the fruit is formed in Hovenia dulcis. In the four species here studied, polysaccharides could be translocated to the fruits, which are known for the large amount of sugar content (Li et al. 2007, Pareek 2013).

The correlation between pollen morphology and the tribal classification of Rhamnaceae seems to be incomplete (Gotelli et al. 2016b). According to Schirarend & Köhler (1993), each tribe presents several pollen types, and pollen types repeat between tribes. In this research we observe that some ultrastructural characters of the pollen grain wall development are common to other species of Rhamnaceae. For instance, the aperture entirely built up during the tetrad stage was previously described for *Ziziphus lotus* L. (Nasri-Ayachi & Nabli 1995), and the thick and fibrillar intine and a granular infratectum were observed in species of Rhamneae (Zhang & Chen 1992), Paliureae (Lobreau-Callen 1976, Nasri-Ayachi & Nabli 1995, Schirarend 1996, Gotelli et al. 2016b) and Colletieae (Gotelli et al. 2012).

In angiosperms, although there are many differences in the exine morphology of mature pollen grains, the way pollen grain wall develops seems to follow a common pattern (Meier-Melikyan et al. 2003, Grigorjeva & Gabarayeva 2018). However, the moment in which events occur may vary significantly between species (Blackmore et al. 2007, Grigorjeva & Gabarayeva 2018) as well as the morphology and chemical composition of the pollen wall layers (Meier-Melikyan et al. 2003). The microspore tetrad stage is known to be the most important period for the determination of the exine pattern (Dickinson 1970, Heslop-Harrison 1972, Blackmore & Barnes 1987, Gabarayeva 2000, 2014. Gabarayeva & Grigorjeva 2016. Vinckier & Smets 2005, Taylor & Osborn 2006, Taylor et al. 2013, 2015). According to Gabarayeva et al. (2016) the ectexine is organized through physical processes that include self-assembly operating in a very organized glycocalyx, which is a cell surface coating formed by glycoproteins and lipopolysaccharides (Rowley 1971, 1973, Pettitt & Jermy 1974, Rowley & Dahl 1977, Pettitt 1979). The exine design depends on the accumulation of sporopollenin on this glycocalyx. In this work, we use primexine matrix (Heslop-Harrison

1963, 1972, Dickinson 1970) as a synonym for glycocalyx (Gabarayeva et al. 2016). In *Ziziphus mucronata, Z. jujuba, Paliurus spina-christi* and *Gouania ulmifolia*, the primexine matrix is an electrondense granular and fibrillar structure that includes circular areas with low electrondensity content. These last areas give place to the "exine template" (Blackmore et al. 2007) defining the probacula structure, since the sporopollenin is deposited in the periphery of the circular areas of the primexine matrix as a more electrondense substance. That is why, the probacula are observed irregular and sometimes bifurcated defining the primexine.

As the tectum and basal layer thicken throughout the development, the infratectum becomes thin and is visualized as granular. In Z. lotus, Nasri-Ayachi & Nabli (1995), observed a columellate infratectal layer in the beginning of pollen wall development that becomes granular later because of the thickening of the tectum. According to Doyle (2009) some authors question the concept of granular structure, based on the occurrence of apparent precursors of probacula early in the development. However, Gabarayeva (1995) recognized that granular and columellar structures have a common basis in their development and one may derive from the other. Our observations reaffirm this last concept.

According to Blackmore et al. (2007), during the free microspore stage tapetal cells are involved in the synthesis of sporopollenin precursors, which incorporate to specific sites in the ectexine and on its surface. At this stage, it is possible to observe in *Ziziphus mucronata*, *Z. jujuba*, *Paliurus spina-christi* and *Gouania ulmifolia*, abundant fibrillar and electrondense material in the anther loculus and on the exine surface of the microspores. This material can be interpreted as sporopollenin precursors in accordance with the observations of Lattar et al. (2012). According to Gabarayeva & Grigorjeva (2014) this fibrillar material observed on the free microspores surface may carry out a direct contact between these and tapetal cells.

At the advanced microspore stage, in the species here studied, dark-contrasted depositions are observed between the plasmalemma and the endexine. This may be related to the intine formation as, at this stage the endexine reaches its maximum thickness and the intine is not present yet. At the mature pollen grain stage, the ectexine is observed less electrondense, since the sporopollenin reaches its maximum grade of polymerization.

Taxonomic considerations

In Rhamnaceae, the anatomy of the reproductive sporophytic structures seems to have more systematic value than the gametophytic structures (Gotelli et al. 2016b). Differences observed in the anther anatomy support those found in previous studies for this same family (Kajale 1944, Johri et al. 1992, Gotelli et al. 2012, 2016b, c). The number of endothecium layers, the number of nuclei of the tapetal cells and tapetal cells ultrastructure of Ziziphus jujuba, Z. mucronata, Paliurus spina-christi and Gouania ulmifolia underpin this hypothesis and the phylogenetic relationships published by Richardson et al. (2000a, b) and Hauenschild et al. (2016) (Table I). On the other hand, the presence of polysaccharide vesicles seems to be an exclusive characteristic of the tribes Paliureae and Gouanieae, since it has not been observed for any species belonging to other tribes until now (Table I). Therefore, this character supports one of the optimal trees from the *rbcL* analysis published by Richardson et al. (2000a). This character should be studied in other species of the family, especially from other tribes in order to confirm this hypothesis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBACyT grant number 20020160100012BA).

REFERENCES

BLACKMORE S & BARNES SH. 1987. Pollen wall morphogenesis in *Tragopogon porrifolius* L. (Compositae: Lactuceae) and its taxonomic significance. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 52: 233-246.

BLACKMORE S, WORTLEY AH, SKVARLA JJ & ROWLEY JR. 2007. Pollen wall development in flowering plants. New Phytol 174: 483-498.

CHAPMAN GP. 1987. The tapetum. Int Rev Cytol 107: 111-125.

DICKINSON HG. 1970. Ultrastructural aspects of primexine formation in the microspore tetrad of *Lilium longiflorum*. Cytobiologie 1: 437-449.

DOYLE JA. 2009. Evolutionary significance of granular exine structure in the light of phylogenetic analyses. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 156: 198-210.

ERDTMAN G. 1952. Pollen morphology and plant taxonomy Angiosperms. New York: Hafner Publishing Co, 553 p.

GABARAYEVA NI. 1995. Pollen wall and tapetum development in *Anaxagorea brevipes* (Annonaceae): sporoderm substructure, cytoskeleton, sporopollenin precursor particles, and the endexine problem. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 85: 123-152.

GABARAYEVA NI. 2000. Principles and recurrent themes in sporoderm development. In: Harley MM, Morton CM & Blackmore S (Eds), Pollen and spores: morphology and biology, Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, p. 1-17.

GABARAYEVA NI. 2014. Role of genetic control and selfassembly in gametophyte sporoderm ontogeny: hypotheses and experiment. Russ J Dev Biol 45: 177-195.

GABARAYEVA NI & GRIGORJEVA VV. 2014. Sporoderm and tapetum development in *Eupomatia laurina* (Eupomatiaceae). An interpretation. Protoplasma 251: 1321-1345.

GABARAYEVA NI & GRIGORJEVA VV. 2016. Simulation of exine patterns by selfassembly. Plant Syst Evol 302: 1135-1156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-016-1322-6.

GABARAYEVA NI, GRIGORJEVA VV & BLACKMORE S. 2016. Pollen wall substructure and development in *Tanacetum vulgare* (Compositae: Anthemideae): revisiting hypotheses on pattern formation in complex cell walls. Int J Plant Sci 177: 347-370. https://doi.org/10.1086/684946. GOTELLI M, GALATI B & MEDAN D. 2012. Pollen, tapetum and orbicule development in *Colletia paradoxa* and *Discaria americana* (Rhamnaceae). Sci World J 2012: 948469, 8 p. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/948469.

GOTELLI M, GALATI B & MEDAN D. 2016a. Morphological and ultrastructural studies of floral nectaries in Rhamnaceae. J Torrey Bot Soc 144: 63-74.

GOTELLI M, GALATI B & ZARLAVSKY G. 2016b. Pollen development and anther morphology in 14 species of Rhamnaceae. Plant Syst Evol 302: 1433-1444.

GOTELLI M, GALATI B, ZARLAVZKY G & MEDAN D. 2016c. Pollen and microsporangium development in *Hovenia dulcis* (Rhamnaceae); a new type of tapetal cell ultrastructure. Protoplasma 253: 1125-1133. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00709-015-0870-x.

GRIGORJEVA VV & GABARAYEVA NI. 2018. Pollen wall ontogeny in *Polemonium caeruleum* (Polemoniaceae) and suggested underlying mechanisms of development. Protoplasma 255: 109-128. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00709-017-1121-0.

HAUENSCHILD F, MATUSZAK S, MUELLNER-RIEHL AN & FAVRE A. 2016. Phylogenetic relationships within the cosmopolitan buckthorn family (Rhamnaceae) support the resurrection of *Sarcomphalus* and the description of *Pseudoziziphus* gen. nov. Taxon 65: 47-64.

HESLOP-HARRISON J. 1963. An ultrastructural study of pollen wall ontogenty in *Silene pendula*. Grana 4: 7-24.

HESLOP-HARRISON J. 1972. Pattern in plant cell wall: morphogenesis in miniature. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 45: 335-351.

JAFARI MARANDI S & NIKNAM F. 2012. Pollen and Anther Development in *Ziziphus jujuba* L. (Rhamnaceae). Adv Environ Biol 6: 2339-2343.

JOHRI BM, AMBEGAOKAR KB & SRIVASTAVA PS. 1992. Comparative Embryology of Angiosperms. Vol. 1/2. Berlin: Springer, 1221 p.

KAJALE LB. 1944. A contribution to the life history of *Ziziphus jujuba* Lamk. Proc Natl Acad Sci 10: 387-391.

LATTAR EC, GALATI B, PIRE S & FERRUCCI MS. 2012. A comparative ultrastructural study of the pollen of *Linum burkartii* and *L. usitatissimum* (Linaceae). J Torrey Bot Soc 139: 113-117. https://doi.org/10.3159/TORREY-D-11-00082.1.

LI M, YANG GL, MIN S, GAO XY, WANG Y & LI MR. 2007. Extract process of cyclic adenosinem on ophoshate (cAMP) in *Ziziphus jujuba*. Am J Chin Med 30: 1143-1145.

MARINA M. GOTELLI et al.

LI N ET AL. 2006. The rice tapetum degeneration retardation gene is required for tapetum degradation and anther development. Plant Cell 18: 2999-3014.

LOBREAU-CALLEN D. 1976. Ultrastructure de l'exine de quelques pollens des Celastrales et des groupes voisins. Adansonia 16: 83-92.

MAHESHWARI P. 1950. An introduction to the embryology of angiosperms. New York: McGraw-Hill, 453 p.

MEDAN D & SCHIRAREND C. 2004. Rhamnaceae In: Kubitzki K (Ed), Flowering Plants Dicotyledons. The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants, vol 6. Springer, Berlin, p. 320-338. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-07257-8_37.

MEIER-MELIKYAN NR, GABARAYEVA NI, POLEVOVA SV, GRIGORJEVA VV, KOSENKO YV & TEKLEVA MV. 2003. Development of Pollen Grain Walls and Accumulation of Sporopollenin. Russ J Plant Physl 50: 330-338.

NASRI-AYACHI MB & NABLI MA. 1995. Pollen wall ultrastructure and ontogeny in *Ziziphus lotus* L. (Rhamnaceae). Rev Palaeobot Palynol 85: 85-98.

PACINI E. 2010. Relationships between tapetum, loculus, and pollen during development. Int J Plant Sci 171: 1-10.

PAPAGIANNES E. 1974. Pollen studies of selected genera of Rhamnaceae, Master Thesis, University of Illinois at the Chicago Circle, Chicago.

PAREEK S. 2013. Nutritional composition of jujube fruit. Emir J Sci Food Agric 25: 463-470.

PERVEEN A & QAISER M. 2005. Pollen flora of Pakistan-XLIV. Rhamnaceae. Pak J Bot 37: 195-202.

PETTITT JM. 1979. Ultrastructure and cytochemistry of spore wall morphogenesis. In: Dyer AF (Ed), The experimental biology of ferns, London, New York, San Francisco: Academic Press, p. 211-252.

PETTITT JM & JERMY AC. 1974. The surface coats on spores. Biol J Linn Soc 6: 245-257.

RAGHAVAN V. 1997. Molecular Embryology of Flowing Plants. New York: Cambridge University Press, 690 p.

RICHARDSON JE, FAY MF, CRONK QCB, BOWMAN D & CHASE MW. 2000b. A phylogenetic analysis of Rhamnaceae using rbcl and trnL-F plastid DNA sequences. Am J Bot 87: 1309-1324.

RICHARDSON JE, FAY MF, CRONK QCB & CHASE MW. 2000a. A revision of the tribal classification of Rhamnaceae. Kew Bull 55: 311-340.

ROWLEY JR. 1971. Implications on the nature of sporopollenin based upon pollen development. In:

Brooks J, Grant PR, Muir M, Van Gijzel P & Shaw G (Eds), Sporopollenin. London: Academic Press, p. 174-219.

ROWLEY JR. 1973. Formation of pollen exine bacules and microchannels on a glycocalyx. Grana 13: 129-138.

ROWLEY JR & DAHL AO. 1977. Pollen development in *Artemisia vulgaris* with special reference to glycocalyx material. Pollen Spores 19: 169-284.

SCHIRAREND C. 1996. Pollen morphology of the genus *Paliurus* (Rhamnaceae). Grana 35: 347-356.

SCHIRAREND C & KÖHLER E. 1993. Rhamnaceae Juss. World Pollen Spore Flora 17: 1-53.

TAYLOR ML, COOPER RL, SCHNEIDER EL & OSBORN JM. 2015. Pollen structure and development in Nymphaeales: insights into character evolution in an ancient angiosperm lineage. Am J Bot 102: 1-18. https://doi. org/10.3732/ajb.1500249.

TAYLOR ML, HUDSON PJ, RIGG JM, STRANDQUIST JN, GREEN JS, THIEMANN TC & OSBORN JM. 2013. Pollen ontogeny in *Victoria* (Nymphaeales). Int J Plant Sci 174: 1259-1276.

TAYLOR ML & OSBORN JM. 2006. Pollen ontogeny in *Brasenia* (Cabombaceae, Nymphaeales). Am J Bot 93: 344-356.

VARDAR F & ÜNAL M. 2012. Ultrastructural aspects and programmed cell death in the tapetal cells of *Lathyrus undulates* Boiss. Acta Biol Hung 63: 52-66.

VINCKIER S & SMETS E. 2005. A histological study of microsporogenesis in *Tarenna gracilipes* (Rubiaceae). Grana 44: 30-44.

ZHANG YL & CHEN YL. 1992. A Study on Pollen Morphology of Tribe Rhamneae (Rhamnaceae) in China. J Syst Evol 30: 73-81.

ZARLAVSKY GE. 2014. Histología Vegetal: técnicas simples y complejas. Buenos Aires: Sociedad Argentina de Botánica, 198 p.

How to cite

GOTELLI MM, LATTAR EC, ZARVLASKY G & GALATI BG. 2020. Pollen and microsporangium development in Ziziphus jujuba, Z. mucronata, Paliurus spina-christi and Gouania ulmifolia (Rhamnaceae). An Acad Bras Cienc 92: e20181382. DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202020181382.

Manuscript received on December 21, 2018; accepted for publication on May 13, 2019

MARINA M. GOTELLI^{1,2}

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9991-1087

ELSA C. LATTAR^{3,4}

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6127-4038

GABRIELA ZARVLASKY¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7158-3958

BEATRIZ G. GALATI¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7891-9429

¹Cátedra de Botánica General, Depto. de Recursos Naturales y Ambiente, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. San Martín 4453 - C1417DSE, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Godoy Cruz 2290 (C1425FQB) CABA Buenos Aires, Argentina

³Cátedra de Morfología de Plantas Vasculares, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste/FCA-UNNE, Sargento Juan Bautista Cabral 2131, Corrientes, Argentina

⁴Istituto de Botánica del Nordestes, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Sargento Juan Bautista Cabral 2131, 3402BKG Corrientes, Argentina

Correspondence to: **Marina María Gotelli** E-mail: gotelli@agro.uba.ar

Author contributions

MG and BG conceived and designed this research. GZ prepared and processed the material for observation. MG, BG and EL analyzed data. MG wrote the manuscript, EL and BG revised and corrected the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

