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RESUMO
(Biologia reprodutiva de uma espécie altamente endêmica: *Cipocereus laniflorus* Taylor & Zappi (Cactaceae)). *Cipocereus laniflorus* N.P. Taylor & Zappi é uma espécie endêmica da Serra do Caraça, Minas Gerais, Brasil. A fim de se propor estratégias de conservação para esta espécie, estudos sobre sua biologia reprodutiva foram realizados, incluindo fenologia reprodutiva, biologia floral, visitantes florais e o sistema reprodutivo. O período de floração e frutificação ocorre de maio a outubro. Poucas flores abrem-se por planta a cada noite, podendo produzir até 0.4 ml de néctar, porém 30% destas não apresentam néctar. *Cipocereus laniflorus* provavelmente oferece também pólen como recurso. A eficiência de frutificação sob condições naturais de polinização (47%) foi semelhante às encontros para outras espécies de *Cactaceae*. Pólen de *C. laniflorus* foi detectado nos morcegos *Anoura geoffroy*, *Glossophaga soricina* e *Pygoderma bilabiatum*. Entre os caracteres relacionados à quiropterofilia encontrados em *C. laniflorus*, destacamos a coloração creme da parte interna da flor, os estames numerosos e a antese noturna de curta duração. As flores de *C. laniflorus* também podem ser visitadas por besouros Nitidulidae, abelhas *Trigona fulviventris* e beija-flor. No entanto, os morcegos são os principais polinizadores desta espécie. Finalmente, por ser uma espécie auto-estéril, *C. laniflorus* precisa de um polinizador e está mais suscetível ao risco de extinção se qualquer distúrbio afetar de forma negativa o seu sistema de polinização.
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ABSTRACT
(Reproductive biology of a highly endemic species: *Cipocereus laniflorus* N.P. Taylor & Zappi (Cactaceae)). *Cipocereus laniflorus* N.P. Taylor & Zappi is an endemic species from the Serra do Caraça, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. In order to propose conservation strategies for this species, its reproductive strategies were investigated, including reproductive phenology, floral biology, floral visitors and breeding system. The flowering and fruiting period extends from May to October. Few flowers per plant open each night, producing up to 0.4 ml nectar, but 30% of them are nectarless. Probably pollen is also offered as a resource. Fruiting efficiency of *C. laniflorus* (47%) is close to that found in other *Cactaceae* species. Pollen of this species was detected in *Anoura geoffroy*, *Soricina glossophaga* and *Pygoderma bilabiatum* bats. Amongst the characteristics related to bat-pollination syndrome found in *C. laniflorus*, the cream-white colouring of the internal part of the flower, the numerous stamens and the nocturnal anthesis of short duration can be highlighted. Flowers of *C. laniflorus* are also visited by Nitidulidae beetles, *Trigona fulviventris* bees and hummingbirds, however bats are the main pollinators of this species. Finally, as a self-sterile species, *C. laniflorus* needs a pollinator and is more susceptible to the risk of extinction if local disturbances affect its pollination system.
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Introduction

Cactaceae comprises ca. 124 genera and around 1500 species distributed almost exclusively within the American Continent, with major centres of diversity in the arid regions of Mexico, central Andes (Argentina and Bolivia) and Eastern Brazil (Barthlott & Hunt 1993; Hunt et al. 2006; Taylor & Zappi 2004). Floral characters, such as colour, size, position of reproductive structures and time of anthesis are very diverse, and the species present a large array of pollination syndromes (see Faegri & Van der Pijl 1979) and several groups of invertebrates and vertebrates have been observed as visitors and pollinators, such as bees (Grant & Grant 1979; Mandujano et al.; 1996), beetles (Grant & Connell 1979), hawkmoths (Silva & Sazima 1995; Locatelli & Machado 1999a), birds and bats (Alcorn et al. 1961, McGregor et al. 1962; Locatelli & Machado 1999b; Aona et al. 2006; Rocha et al. 2007).

Amongst the cacti occurring in the Cadeia do Espinhaço (Minas Gerais, Brazil), Zappi (1989) suggested that species of Uebelmannia, Hattiora and Opuntia might be pollinated by bees, while species of Cereus, Discocactus and Arthrocereus might be pollinated by hawkmoths, Pilosocereus and Cipocereus are probably pollinated by bats, while Melocactus and Arrojadoa might be pollinated by hummingbirds, based on their floral morphology. Several studies of columnar cacti pollinated by bats have been published (McGregor et al. 1962; Fleming et al. 1994; Petit 1995; Valiente-Banuet et al. 1996; Rocha et al. 2007), and it is possible that this pollination syndrome will prove to be frequent, if not the most common one, within tribes Pachyccereae and Cereae. Recent studies have increased the list of bat-pollinated cacti in the Neotropics, such as Pachycereus pringlei (Fleming et al. 1994), Neobuxbaumia mezcalaensis, Neobuxbaumia macrocephala (Valiente-Banuet et al. 1997), Stenocereus griseus, Pilosocereus moritzianus, Pilosocereus lanuginosus, Cereus [Subpilocereus] harrispinus (Nassar et al. 1997), Pilosocereus catingicola (Locatelli et al. 1997), and Pilosocereus tuberculatus (Rocha et al. 2007).

Cross-pollination has been detected in Cactaceae. Amongst 55 taxa studied by Ross (1981), seeds are produced mainly through self-pollination in only 11 taxa, while 44 taxa produce seeds by cross-pollination. Tacinga [Opuntia] palmadora and Melocactus zehntneri are self-compatible (Locatelli & Machado 1999a). Pilosocereus catingicola is a self-incompatible species that depends on the presence of bats for its pollination (Locatelli et al. 1997). Pilosocereus moritzianus is partially self-compatible, but its flower has hercogamy (Nassar et al. 1997). Some columnar cacti are self-compatible, however the number of seeds produced by self-pollination is significantly lower than that produced by cross-pollination (McGregor et al. 1962; Fleming et al. 1994).

The genus Cipocereus comprises six species endemic to rock outcrops of the State of Minas Gerais. No study on the reproductive biology of this genus has been reported. Cipocereus laniflorus N.P. Taylor & Zappi is endemic to the Serra do Caraça and with the complete population found within the private protected area known as “Reserva Particular de Patrimônio Natural (RPPN) do Caraça”. C. laniflorus has been assessed as endangered because it occurs over a restricted area of distribution and has a small population size (IUCN 2001). In order to propose conservation strategies for this species, we investigate how C. laniflorus is reproducing in this area. This work aims at answering the following questions: Is this species pollinator-dependent for reproduction and what are its pollinators? What are the particulars of its breeding system?

Material and methods

Study area

The present study was carried out within the “Reserva Particular de Patrimônio Natural (RPPN) do Caraça” (20° 06’ N, 43° 27’ W), located within the municipalities of Santa Bárbara and Catas Altas, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. This RPPN has 11,233 hectares, being 10,180 hectares protected, while 1,053 hectares are intended for sustainable management. This area is placed at the southern end of the Cadeia do Espinhaço. The landscape is composed of alternating plateaux amongst quartzitic rocks forming peaks and sculpted crests, at altitudes varying between 750 and 2070 metres. Cipocereus laniflorus occurs in the quartzitic open grasslands within altitudes varying between 1020 and 1815 metres. The main vegetation formation within the study area is known as 'campo rupestre' (rock outcrops) (Harley 1995, Giulietti et al. 1987, Pirani et al. 2003), forming a mosaic with cloud forests and a smaller influence of cerrado’ vegetation. The reserve is surrounded mostly by pasture, degraded vegetation and iron-ore mines, while fragments of primary and secondary vegetation are rare. According to Köppen's climatic classification Caraça climate is Cwb, mesothermal, rainy, temperate, with mean temperature of the warmest month not over 22°C (Antunes 1986). The present study was carried out between August 2001 and October 2003.

Study species

Cipocereus laniflorus is a moderately woody, shrubby cactus branching at ground level and above the ground, with green to grey-green, 5-ribbed branches. The oval, spine-and-hair bearing areoles are contiguous, becoming almost indistinguishable from each other (Fig. 1A). Flowers are hermaphrodite and borne on lateral to sub-terminal, bristly to long-spined areoles of the branches. The flower-buds are cylindrical, acute at apex. Open flowers reach 60-70 mm long and 30-40 mm diam., anthesis is nocturnal, pericarpel and tubes are bluish and ribbed externally, bearing sparse scales protecting areoles with white hairs and thin spines. The perianth-segments have fimbriate margins, the outer ones are purplish-cream while
the inner ones are white. Stamens are very numerous, filaments stout and anthers all located at the same level, slightly below the eight-lobed stigma (Fig. 1B). Fruits are 30-40 mm long and 20-30 mm diam., indehiscent, ovoid when ripe, with persistent perianth remains blackened and dried (Fig. 1C). The pericarpel is bluish with areoles with white tricomes and thin spines. The funicular pulp is translucent, and seeds are black (Fig. 1D).

In order to check the abundance and distribution of *C. laniflorus* plants, the main trails inside the RPPN do Caraça were surveyed. Every plant of *C. laniflorus* found was counted. Twelve patches of this species located mainly in quartzitic grasslands were found. These patches were from 0.6 to 8 km apart and were considered as different sub-populations of 6 to 81 adult plants. The present study was carried out in three of the largest patches.

**Reproductive Phenology**

Flowering and fruiting of all young and adult specimens in two subpopulations (one with 37 specimens and another with 113 specimens) were recorded. The number of flower-buds, flowers and fruits formed per branch in each specimen was recorded monthly throughout 2002.

**Floral biology**

Observations of colouring and scent of flowers, as well as anthesis time, position of anthers and stigma-lobes, volume and concentration of nectar were used to infer the pollination syndrome. Anther opening and stigma receptivity were observed in 30 flowers of 30 specimens. Nectar volume and concentration of 30 flowers was measured at different times from anthesis to flower closing, with a graduated 1 ml syringe. Concentration of solutes was measured in 30 flowers using a pocket refractometer “Atago” model “Ni” (0-80%). Pollen-grain viability was estimated by an indirect method of colouring with acetic carmine (Dafni 1992), wherein only coloured grains are considered viable. Separate slides with fresh pollen from five specimens were prepared and 100 pollen grains per sample were counted under a light-microscope.

**Floral visitors**

Floral visitors and their behaviour were registered both as an exclusive activity and while performing other experiments. Diurnal visitors were observed for approx. 60 hours and photographed. Insects were captured with nets and sent to specialists for determination. The captured samples were deposited in the entomological collections of the Zoology Departments of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais and Universidade Federal do Paraná. Nocturnal visitors were observed for approx. 30 hours, plus 30 hours of filming focused on open flowers, while three mist nets measuring 2 x 6 m and 3 x 12 m were fixed during 6 nights. The nets were stretched at 18:00h and collected in the morning at around 7:00h, and were examined every 30 minutes.

Samples of pollen load on the visitor’s body were removed with glycerine jelly treated with fuchsine (Beattie 1971). The samples were mounted on slides for determination under a light microscope. These samples were compared with pollen grains directly obtained from specimens of *C. laniflorus* and others species that were flowering in the study area, bearing in mind that *C. laniflorus* is the only cereoid cactus growing within the reserve and its proximities, and that its pollen is very distinctive.

**Breeding System**

In order to determine whether there was an incompatibility system, the following treatments were carried out:

1) Spontaneous self-pollination (n=29 flowers in 29 specimens): flower-buds were bagged and monitored until flowers aborted or formed fruits.

2) Manipulated self-pollination (n=22 flowers in 22 specimens): flower-buds were bagged until anthesis, when each flower was self-pollinated with its own pollen and bagged again.

3) Cross-pollination (n=15 flowers in 15 specimens): flower-buds were bagged until anthesis, when each flower was self-pollinated with its own pollen and bagged again.

4) Open pollination, not manipulated (n=17 flower in 17 specimens): flowers were simply marked and left until the abortion or production of fruits.

Both bagged and marked flowers were periodically inspected until fruits were either aborted or produced. Evaluation of results was based on presence or absence of fruit formation. Treatments 1, 2 and 3 used nylon mesh bags. The restricted number of tests, especially for treatment 3, was due to the small number of flowers available each night. One flower submitted to manipulated self-pollination was...
fixed in FAA 24 hours after pollination, while a spontaneous self-pollination flower was fixed in FAA after 48 hours. The growth of the pollen-tube was observed under fluorescent light microscope using the technique of Martin (1959). Some flowers submitted to spontaneous self-pollination (n=10), manipulated self-pollination (n=8) and cross-pollination (n=2), but that did not form fruits, were also fixed in FAA after falling off, to verify germination and growth of pollen-tube.

Results

Reproductive phenology

The flowering and fruiting period occurs between May and October (Fig. 2). The flowering peak was registered in May and July. According to the types proposed by Newstrom et al. (1994), the flowering pattern of C. laniflorus can be classified as of long duration. Flower development is not simultaneous and different stages, such as buds, open flowers and fruits can be simultaneously found in the same plant. The flowers stay open for one night only and, when fertilized, fruit formation takes around 40 to 50 days.

Specimens have 1 to 13 branches, and the branches may measure up to 240 cm. Reproductive structures are produced by different size branches and are formed in branches from 20 cm long. Flowering probability does not increase directly with the length of the branch and medium sized branches produce larger average of buds and flowers. On average, branches between 61 and 80 cm long had a larger number of reproductive structures (Fig. 3).

Floral biology

Flower colour when open is cream-white and there was no perceptible scent. Anthesis only lasts one night, beginning at dusk (around 17:00h), closing in the morning (around 9:00h). The anthers open at the beginning of anthesis and the stigma becomes receptive, with lobes spreading, at around 23:00h, staying receptive until 7:00 to 7:30h. The multi-lobed stigma (8-lobed) is found centrally in the flower, at the same height as the anthers. Approximately 93% of the pollen grains were coloured by acetic carmine and are apparently viable.

Nectar production starts around 22:00h and continues throughout the anthesis period. In the absence of consumption by floral visitors, no evidence was found that nectar was reabsorbed. Nectar volume (n=30 flowers) varies from flower to flower and specimen to specimen, and the maximum found was 0.4 ml per flower. On average, flowers of C. laniflorus produce 0.192 ml (± 0.127ml) of nectar. Thirty percent of the monitored flowers did not produce nectar. The concentration of solubles (n=20 flowers) was 17.95 ± 3.25 % (11 - 22 %).

Floral visitors

The following day-time visitors were found: beetles (Family Nitidulidae), bees (Trigona fulviventris) and unidentified hummingbirds. The beetle pierces through the flower-bud and remains inside the flower until after it closes. It walks over the anthers during the visit, collecting large amount of pollen, but it was not seen touching the stigma surface. The bee Trigona fulviventris is present in the flowers less often than the beetle, seeking nectar and consequently carrying pollen, however it rarely touches the stigma. Hummingbirds were observed flying near the flowers at late afternoon and at dawn, however only one individual was seen collecting nectar at 17:00h and touching the anthers and stigma. Ants also visited the flowers, harvesting pieces of perianth segments.

Night-time observations recorded visits by the Nitidulidae beetles only. Hawk-moths hover over the flowers but were not seen visiting them. Night-time filming recorded twice the presence of bats near open flowers of C. laniflorus. Hawk-moths, ants and small spiders were also recorded in the films, but they were not seen visiting the flowers. Eleven bats, belonging to 5 species, were captured in 6 nights (Tab. 1). Pollen of C. laniflorus was found on two Glossophagineae: Anoura geoffroyi, Glossophaga soricina and one Stenodermatinae, Pygoderma bilabiatum. These three species also had pollen of one to four other species. The Stenodermatinae bats Artibius lituratus and Sturnira lilium did not bear pollen of C. laniflorus, however they were bearing other types of pollen.

Breeding system

Tests to verify the incompatibility system have shown that the species studied is self-sterile. Both not manipulated self-pollinated and manipulated self-pollinated flowers failed to form fruits. From fifteen tests of cross-pollination, thirteen flowers formed fruit (88.7%). Control, or open-pollinated flowers formed fruits (8 out of 17), showing fruiting efficiency of 47% under natural pollination conditions.

In self-pollinated flowers, pollen germination and pollen-tube growth were relatively fast, and several pollen tubes were growing within the style in 24 hours. The arrival of the pollen-tubes to the ovules was not observed, neither their break-up and/or formation of callose deposits, as it was seen in a self-incompatibility reaction. Certainly, there is no sporophytic incompatibility system in C. laniflorus. However, the number of flowers observed was small and more tests are needed to determine the type of self-incompatibility reaction in this species.

Flowers submitted to manipulated self-pollination, spontaneous self-pollination and cross pollination that did not form fruit fell around 15 days after anthesis. After this elapsed period of time, it was no longer possible to evaluate pollen-tube growth and ovary development. However, it was still possible to observe that the styles in these flowers had fluorescent vessels, and that the numerous ovules, still
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Figure 2. Reproductive phenology of *Cipocereus laniflorus* N.P. Taylor & Zappi under natural conditions showing flower-bud, flower and fruit productions.

Figure 3. Production of flower-buds, flowers and fruits of *Cipocereus laniflorus* N.P. Taylor & Zappi in different of length of branches during the peak of the flowering period.

linked to the placenta through long funicules, had their cell-walls broken.

**Discussion**

The flowering season of *C. laniflorus* takes place during the dry, cold months of the year. According to Pimienta-Barrios & del Castillo (2002), flowering season in certain cacti is not strongly influenced by water availability, probably due to stem succulence that may supply the plant with enough water to form reproductive structures during the dry season. Therefore, *C. laniflorus* produces resources such as nectar, pollen and fruits that may feed several species of animals during the dry months, when many other plants are not growing or fertile in the Serra do Caraça. Moreover, the asynchronic pattern of flower and fruit production may be advantageous, making resources available over a long period of time.

Time elapsed between anthesis and fruit ripening is relatively short, as seen in other species of columnar cacti (Pimienta-Barrios & del Castillo 2002). Fertilized flowers of *C. laniflorus* develop into ripe fruits within 40 to 50 days, and similar results were seen in *Pilosocereus lanuginosus, Stenocereus griseus* and *Cereus [Subpilocereus] repandus* (Petit 1995). Fruiting efficiency of *C. laniflorus* (47%) is close to that found in *Pilosocereus moritzianus* (46%), *Cereus*...
[Subpilocereus] repandus (49%) and Cereus [Subpilocereus] horrispinus (50%) (Nassar et al. 1997) and is smaller than for Stenocereus griseus (76%) (Nassar et al. 1997). Thus, this species seems to have a normal rate of fruiting efficiency, although about 89% of the control cross-pollinated of its flowers produced fruits, which indicates the occurrence of pollen limitation. Cipocereus laniflorus occurs generally in small patches of 6 to 81 specimens in fertile stage, and a few flowers open each night. Considering that not all the flowers produce nectar, it is probable that the reduced quantity and availability of resources within the area may conduce to a low visiting rate and pollination limitation. On the other hand, another floral resource offered by C. laniflorus to its pollinators is its pollen. Then, it is important to ascertain whether the fruiting efficiency of C. laniflorus is sufficient to maintain the reproductive success and stable population growth of this endemic and endangered cactus species.

Cipocereus laniflorus does not produce fruits and seeds in the absence of pollinators. Its self-sterility indicates that this is a self-incompatible species and cross-pollination is obligatory for the reproductive success of its populations. However, apart from the evidence of self-incompatibility, some adaptations favouring cross-pollination such as di-cogamy and hercogamy were detected. The anthers are open and bear pollen during the whole anthesis (17:00-09:00h), but the stigma generally presents lobes open from 23:00 to 7:30h. While the stigma-lobes are placed slightly above or at the same height as the anthers, stigma-lobes are located centrally in the flower and they are not in direct contact with the anthers. The absence of fruit formation in spontaneous and manual self-pollination also indicates absence of agamospermy in C. laniflorus. Despite the evidence of self-sterility, interruption in pollen-tube growth within the style and into the ovary of manually self-pollinated flowers was not observed and more tests are needed to confirm the type of self-incompatibility system.

Amongst the morphological characters related to bat-pollination syndrome (Faegri & Van der Pijl 1979) found in C. laniflorus, the cream-white colouring of the internal part of the flower, the numerous stamens and the nocturnal anthesis of short duration are highlighted. Other characters, such as flower relatively short and thick, with straight and relatively wide tube, perianth segments short, filaments not versatile and stigma-lobes relatively wide and short also suggest bat-pollination. Concentration of sugar in nectar of C. laniflorus is similar to that found in bat-pollinated Cactaceae (Nassar et al. 1997; Molina-Freaner et al. 2004) and flowers of other bat-pollinated species from other plant families (Sazima et al. 1982; Sazima et al. 1999). However, in Cactaceae the nectar sugar concentration is not very variable and there is significant overlap between flowers pollinated by different agents (Scogin 1985). It was surprising that no scent was perceptible during the present study, as bad and strong odour is often associated with bat-pollination of cacti (Locatelli et al. 1997; Rocha et al. 2007).

Cipocereus laniflorus produces variable volume of nectar per flower, reaching 0.4ml. The total nectar production in some bat-pollinated Cactaceae exceeds 0.4 ml per flower, with values around 0.44 ± 0.072 ml.flowers⁻¹.night⁻¹ (Locatelli et al. 1997); 0.48 ± 0.12 ml.flowers⁻¹.night⁻¹ (Lemke 1984); 0.671 ± 0.272 a 1.091 ± 0.452 ml.flowers⁻¹.night⁻¹ (Valiente-Banuet et al. 1996). Nectar was absent in 30% of the flowers monitored. Total absence of nectar was also found by Scogin (1985) in flowers of 8 species out of 43 Cactaceae studied.

Partial absence of nectar was found by Thakar et al. (2003) in 24 out of 28 studied species, with a percentage of nectarless flowers within different species varying between 1.96% and 67.82%. It is possible that low volumes and absence of nectar in some flowers of C. laniflorus encourages more intense contact between the visitor and the floral parts in search of nectar. Then, contrary to what was discussed above, the occurrence of nectarless flowers may enhance pollen donation and male reproductive success in C. laniflorus.

The pollen from Cipocereus laniflorus found on Glossophagineae bats Anoura geoffroy and Glossophaga soricina (Sp.1), 1(Sp.2), 13 (Sp.5), 12 (Sp.6) was not observed and more tests are needed to confirm the type of self-incompatibility system.

Table 1. Captured bats and the amount of pollen grains of Cipocereus laniflorus N.P. Taylor & Zappi and of other plant species carried on their bodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bat species captured</th>
<th>Number of pollen grains of C. laniflorus</th>
<th>Number of pollen grains of other plant species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoura geoffroy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23 (Sp.1), 4 (Sp.2), 1 (Sp.3), 2 (Sp.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 (Sp.1), 9 (Sp.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibius littatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (Sp.1), 12 (Sp.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossophaga soricina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (Sp.1), 1(Sp.2), 13 (Sp.5), 12 (Sp.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (Sp.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pygoderma bilabiatum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (Sp.1), 1 (Sp.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturnira lilium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (Sp.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
soricina, and on the Stenodermatinae bat Pygoderma bilabiatum was considered to be proof that these bats performed visits to the flowers. Glossohaginacea bats are small, light and well adapted to quick visits, able to drink nectar of delicate flowers, while Stenodermatinae are larger and heavier and, according to Heithaus (1982), are better adapted to perform visits where they hang from fruits and strong branches. Glossohaginacea bats frequently are associated with ‘trapline’ routes (Fleming 1982; Lemke 1984), as it has been observed in several studies of bat-pollinated flowers from the Atlantic rain forest (Sazima et al. 1994; Machado et al. 1998; Sazima & Sazima 1999). This feeding strategy is common in visits to small to medium size plants dispersed within a habitat, where there are few flowers open on each plant and those have long flower-tubes full of abundant nectar (Sazima et al. 1999), leading to a regular revisiting of the individuals dispersed throughout a given area (Lemke 1984; Machado et al. 1998). Stenodermatinae feed changing from one feeding resource to another and may feed in groups, preferring plants with abundant number of open flowers (Sazima & Sazima 1975; Fleming et al. 1977). The trapline behaviour is favoured by the flowering pattern of C. laniflorus, where one to a few flowers opens per night in each plant. Plants of C. laniflorus are found in 12 patches of 6 to 81 fertile individuals in the RPPN do Caraça. Probably, the trapline bats are able to exchange pollen among these patches that may represent subpopulations of a unique metapopulation of these narrowly endemic species, avoiding genetic erosion within it. On the other hand, the mixture of pollen-types carried by the bats captured during this experiment show a promiscuity of visits, that is also characteristic of this feeding type, and can also decrease the pollination efficiency of C. laniflorus.

Pygoderma bilabiatum, better known as a frugivore bat, apparently visits C. laniflorus flowers without damaging them. It is known that Sternodermatinae bats feed on flowers during the dry season when fruits are hard to come by (Heithaus et al. 1975; Sazima & Sazima 1975). Slides prepared for deposits found on Artibius lituratus and Sturnira lilium bats did not have signs of pollen from C. laniflorus, but had traces of pollen from other species. Apart from the five species of bat captured, there are records of other bats occurring in the Serra do Caraça, namely the nectarivorous Anoura caudifer and frugivore Carollia perspicillata, Platyrhinus lineatus and Vampyressa pusilla (Falcão et al. 2003). These are all potential pollinators and fruit dispersers of C. laniflorus that can promote long-distance pollen and seed dispersal.

It is possible that hummingbirds may also have an important role in the pollination of this cactus, mainly at dawn, when flowers not visited at night and still with receptive stigma may receive pollen. A generalist pollination system, alternating bird and bat pollination has been studied by Muchala (2003), who found that the small amount of nectar left in the flowers early in the morning did not constitute a barrier for hummingbird visits. Such flexibility may help seed formation in areas where the main pollinator becomes rare. However, only one hummingbird was seen visiting C. laniflorus in late afternoon, when the flowers are opening and the stigmas are not receptive yet.

Trigona fulviventris bees make sporadic visits, and their pollen collection in the morning after anthesis is common in many bat-pollinated flowers (Sazima & Sazima 1975; 1978; Sazima et al. 1982) representing only a type of robbery and being a common behaviour within the group of Meliponinae bees. Small beetles (Family Nitidulidae) perforate C. laniflorus buds and remain in the flower throughout anthesis seeking pollen. These beetles were not seen touching the stigma surface. Because they stay within flowers during anthesis, they may occasionally walk on the stigma. However, it is not very probable that these indeed transfer pollen between sparse specimens with few open flowers per night. They induce mainly self-pollination and do not contribute to increasing the fruiting efficiency. In this case, it appears that the suggestion of Pimenta-Barrios & del Castillo (2002) of them being pollen/nectar robbers prevails. In Pilosocereus catingicola, small beetles remain in the old flower until two days after the perianth closes (Locatelli et al. 1997).

Vegetative reproduction is very common amongst Cactaceae and is frequently seen in C. laniflorus, through the growth of adventitious roots on fallen branches and also in the form of lengthening of roots through rock crevices, forming new buds and clonal individuals. Asexual reproduction may fix favourable combinations of genes previously produced by sexual reproduction, and also may permit the population growth in the absence of pollinators. However, crossed sexual reproduction is apparently the most important reproductive strategy for C. laniflorus because fruit set per plant is high. But, study on population genetic diversity and structure is needed to clarify this assumption.

Finally, as a self-incompatible species, C. laniflorus needs pollinator services and, as an endemic species, it is highly susceptible to the risk of extinction if any disturbance causes negative effect in the local pollinator populations (see Harris & Johnson 2004). Consequently, the drastic limitation of C. laniflorus fruit production during the dry season may further affect the populations of its pollinators, as well as of its fruit feeders.
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