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ABSTRACT

We assessed the allelopathic effects of the aqueous extract of *Sonchus oleraceus* dry shoots on the germination and seedling growth of *Trifolium alexandrinum*, three weed species (*Brassica nigra*, *Chenopodium murale* and *Melilotus indicus*) and *S. oleraceus* itself. We assayed four different concentrations of the aqueous extract (w v\(^{-1}\)): 1%, 2%, 3% and 4%. To determine whether the effects of the extract were attributable to the presence of allelopathic compounds, its osmotic potential or both, we prepared concentrations of polyethylene glycol (PEG) with osmotic potentials equivalent to those of the aqueous extract. All concentrations of the plant extract completely inhibited the germination and seedling growth of *C. murale*. The lowest concentration of the plant extract partially inhibited germination and seedling growth of *B. nigra*, *M. indicus* and *S. oleraceus*, whereas the higher concentrations inhibited those parameters completely. The germination of *T. alexandrinum* was not affected by the aqueous extract at 1% or 2%. In general, the aqueous extracts were more effective in inhibiting seed germination and seedling growth than were the PEG solutions. Phytochemical analyses revealed that phenols and alkaloids were the most abundant compounds in *S. oleraceus* dry matter. Our results suggest that the aqueous extract of *S. oleraceus* has an allelopathic effect on some weeds, and its usefulness as a bioherbicide therefore merits further study.
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Introduction

Allelopathy is any beneficial or deleterious effects of a donor plant (including microorganisms) on the growth or development of another biological system, through the release of chemicals into the environment (Rice 1984). These chemical compounds, known as allelochemicals, are secondary metabolites produced naturally by plants.

In agroecosystems, weeds are problematic plants that compete with crops for resources such as mineral nutrients and water. In addition, weeds play a crucial role because they introduce toxins into the soil, thereby directly or indirectly influencing the germination, growth, establishment and yield of various crops (Patterson 1986). Existing weed control practices are expensive and unsafe in relation to the environment and public health. Therefore, it is vital to seek alternative strategies. The biological control of weeds is a topic that has recently garnered much interest. Numerous reports have indicated that plants with inhibitory allelopathic effects show potential as bioherbicides (Xuan et al. 2005; Fernández-Aparicio et al. 2008; Gomaa & AbdElgawad 2012).

The annual species *Sonchus oleraceus* L. (sow thistle) is a common noxious winter weed in Egypt. It is native to Europe and is widely distributed worldwide, being found in North America, South America, Africa, Asia, Australia and New Zealand (Hyatt 2006). In Egypt, it is found in various locations, such as crop fields, orchards, canal banks, gardens, roadsides, fallow lands and even at sites of uncontrolled water runoff. It dominates many weed communities in winter crops (Gomaa et al. 2012) and can cause serious problems for the cultivated crops. In addition, *S. oleraceus* can be a host for nematodes and aphids, as well as promoting the transmission of plant viruses (Hutchinson et al. 1984). Furthermore, chemical control of this weed could be difficult, because it has developed a degree of resistance to artificial herbicides, such as chlorsulfuron (Adkins et al. 1997).

Several bioactive compounds have been identified in the leaves of *Sonchus oleraceus*, including alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, terpenes, steroids and phenols (Yin et al. 2007; Singh 2010). Nevertheless, the scope of *S. oleraceus* use has been limited to a few areas, such as medicine, where it...
is as an active ingredient in the field of phytotherapy due to its antioxidant activity (McDowell et al. 2011), and pest (insect) control (El-Kamali 2009). With the exception of a study demonstrating that \textit{S. oleraceus} presents toxicity against \textit{Artemia salina} (Lima et al. 2009), there have been no studies of its allelopathic potential. Therefore, the main goal of this study was to investigate the allelopathic effects of \textit{S. oleraceus} on the seed germination and seedling growth of the crop \textit{Trifolium alexandrinum} L., three weed species—\textit{Brassica nigra} (L.) Koch, \textit{Chenopodium murale} L., and \textit{Melilotus indicus} (L.) All.—and itself.

Materials and methods

\textit{Collection and preparation of plant materials}

In 2012, we collected fresh shoots of \textit{Sonchus oleraceus}, during the fruiting stage (November-December), from various cultivated fields in Beni-Suef governorate, Egypt. The samples were air dried, ground into fine powder and stored in plastic bags in a refrigerator at 2°C until use. The seeds of \textit{Trifolium alexandrinum} (Egyptian clover) were obtained from the Agricultural Research Center in Giza, whereas ripe seeds of the target weeds (\textit{Brassica nigra}, \textit{Chenopodium murale}, \textit{Melilotus indicus} and \textit{S. oleraceus}) were collected during late April from weed communities intermingling with field crops, such as Egyptian clover, wheat and carrots.

\textit{Preparation of the extract}

Forty grams of air-dried shoots of \textit{Sonchus oleraceus} were extracted by soaking in 1 L distilled water at 20°C for 24 h, with shaking, to yield a concentration of 40 g dry tissue/L (4\% w v\(^{-1}\)). The extract was filtered through two layers of filter paper (no. 1; Whatman Limited, Kent, UK) to remove the plant debris, after which it was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was filtered again through Whatman no. 1 filter paper. Using the stock extract, four different concentrations were prepared by subsequent dilution with sterile distilled water, in final concentrations of 1\%, 2\%, 3\%, and 4\% w v\(^{-1}\), and distilled water was used as a control.

To assess the effect that the osmotic potential of the extract has on seed germination and seedling growth, as well as to exclude the possibility of osmotic interference with the allelopathic effect of the extract, we used polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 solution. The osmotic potential of the most concentrated extract (4\% w v\(^{-1}\)) was measured using an osmometer (800 CL; SLAMED, Frankfurt, Germany), with samples of 100 μl of the extract defrosted at room temperature (28°C). The osmotic potential of the 4\% w v\(^{-1}\) extract of the dry \textit{Sonchus oleraceus} shoots was \(-0.25\) Osm kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O. A definite weight of PEG 6000 (\(\approx229\) g) was mixed with 1 L of distilled water and shaken for 12 h at room temperature (Villela et al. 1991) to obtain a PEG solution of the same osmotic potential as that of 4\% w v\(^{-1}\) plant extract. We converted MPa to Osm kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O according to the equation given by Nobel (1983):

\[
MPa = \frac{Osm\ kg^{-1}\ H_2O}{0.407}
\]

The osmotic potentials of the 1\%, 2\% and 3\% w v\(^{-1}\) extract concentrations were determined to be \(-0.065\), \(-0.10\), \(-0.17\) Osm kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O, respectively. Through serial dilution of the highest concentration of PEG solution, we prepared additional PEG solutions with corresponding osmotic potentials.

\textit{Seed bioassay}

Germination tests were conducted using the different concentrations of plant extract and the corresponding PEG solutions. The seeds of the target species were surface-sterilized with 5.25\% w v\(^{-1}\) sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min, rinsed twice with distilled water and placed on filter paper in sterilized 12-cm Petri dishes, each containing 60 seeds and receiving 10 ml of the plant extract or PEG solution. Distilled water was used as a control. All Petri dishes were placed in a dark chamber at 20 ± 2°C. Treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicates. Germination was monitored daily by counting the number of germinated seeds over an eight-day period. The optimal conditions were generally the same for all the target species.

\textit{Germination indices and seedling growth}

We calculated the total germination (\(G_t\)) and the speed of accumulated germination (\(A_s\)). These indices are highly representative of the germination pattern followed in each treatment, and they are among the most widely cited and used germination indices in the literature (Chiapusio et al. 1997).

The \(G_t\) index (expressed as a percentage) provides an overview of the germination process. It detects possible stimulatory or inhibitory effects on germination, and reports the germination capacity of each species in each situation. The germination percentage was calculated as follows:

\[
G_t = \frac{(N_t \times 100)}{N}
\]

where \(N_t\) is the total number of germinating seeds after the incubation period (8 days), and \(N\) is the number of seeds used in the bioassay.

The \(A_s\) index indicates the effect of a given treatment on the cumulative speed of germination over a given number of time points (Bradbeer 1998; Dias 2001), as calculated with the following equation:

\[
A_s = \frac{(n1/1 + n2/2 + n3/3 +...+ nn/n)}{\text{cumulative speed of germination}}
\]

where \(n1\), \(n2\), \(n3\),...,\(nn\) are the cumulative numbers of germinated seeds at time points 1, 2, 3...,\(n\) throughout the
Phytochemical analyses of Sonchus oleraceus

Determination of total soluble phenols

Using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, we estimated total soluble phenols according to Chun et al. (2003), with minor modification. A 1-g dry shoot sample of Sonchus oleraceus was sonicated in 100 ml of distilled water for 60 min. The solution was allowed to stand for 15 min before filtration with a double layer of filter papers (Whatman no. 1). In a 10-ml volumetric flask, a sample aliquot of 200 μl of the aqueous extract was mixed with 400 μl of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. The solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for 8 min before 200 μl of 7.0% sodium carbonate were added. The solution was completed to 10 ml with distilled water and was allowed to stand for 2 h. The absorbance of the samples was read at 750 nm in a spectrophotometer (UV-Vis 1601 PC; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). A blank sample with distilled water was used as control. Three replicates were used for each determination. Gallic acid was used as standard to construct a calibration curve. Total soluble phenols are expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of dry sample (mg g⁻¹ dry weight).

Determination of total flavonoids

The total flavonoid contents were measured by Zou et al. (2004). Three replicated samples of 1 g dry shoot of Sonchus oleraceus were sonicated in 100 ml 80% aqueous methanol for 60 min. In a 10 ml volumetric flask, a 1 ml aliquot of the extracts was diluted with 4 ml distilled water and immediately mixed with 300 μl 5% sodium nitrite. After 5 min, 300 μl of 10% AlCl₃ were added. After 6 min, 2 ml of 1 M NaOH were added to the mixture. The mixture was then immediately diluted by adding 2.4 ml of distilled water and mixing. A blank sample with 80% aqueous methanol was used as a control. Absorbance was read at 510 nm. Rutin was used as a standard for the calibration curve. Total flavonoid content of the extracts is expressed as milligrams of rutin equivalents per gram of dry sample (mg g⁻¹ dry weight).

Determination of tannins

The tannin content of the plant tissue was measured according to van-Burden & Robinson (1981). Each of three replicates of 1 g of dry plant sample was sonicated in 100 ml of distilled water for 60 min and filtered into a 100-ml volumetric flask, after which 10 ml of the filtrate were decanted into a 25-ml measuring flask and mixed with 5 ml of 0.1 M FeCl₃ in 0.1 N HCl and 0.008 M potassium ferrocyanide. Absorbance was read at 120 nm. A blank sample was prepared with distilled water and measured at the same wavelength. Tannic acid was used as a standard for the calibration curve. Tannin content in the extracts is expressed as milligrams of tannic acid equivalents per gram of dry sample (mg g⁻¹ dry weight).

Determination of alkaloids

The alkaloid content in the dried shoots of Sonchus oleraceus was determined according to Harborne (2005). Each of three replicates of 5 g of dry plant sample was placed into a 250-ml beaker, infused with 200 ml of 10% acetic acid in ethanol, covered and allowed to stand for 4 h. After filtration, the extracts were concentrated to the quarter by heating in a water bath. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide was then added dropwise to the extract for complete precipitation. The whole solution was allowed to settle, after which the precipitate was collected by filtration and weighed. Total alkaloids are expressed as mg g⁻¹ dry weight.

Determination of saponins

The total saponins of the plant samples were measured using the method devised by Obadoni & Ochuko (2001). 5 gm air-dried plant samples were sonicated in 250 ml of 25% ethanol. The suspension was heated in a water bath at 60°C for 4 h, with continuous shaking. After filtration, the residue was again extracted with ethanol (200 ml of 20% ethanol). The two extracts were mixed together and reduced to 40 ml over a water bath at 90°C. In a 250-ml separating funnel, 20 ml of diethyl ether were added to the concentrated extract with vigorous shaking for purification. The ether layer was discarded, and the aqueous layer was recovered. This step was performed thrice. We then added 60 ml of n-butanol. The n-butanol extract was washed twice with 10 ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation, the samples were oven-dried to a constant weight. Three replicates of plant samples were used for this analysis. Saponin content is expressed as mg g⁻¹ dry weight.

Identification of phenols and flavonoids by high-performance liquid chromatography

Extraction of phytochemicals from plant tissues

In preparation for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), we extracted phytochemicals from plant tissues. Extraction followed the procedure described by Golisz et al. (2007), with certain modifications. We used 1 g of dry shoot sample and 4 ml of methanol. Rutin and
quercetin (flavonoids) were extracted with absolute (HPLC grade) methanol at 60°C for 30 min following the procedure described by Andlauer et al. (1999). The remaining flavonoid and phenolic compounds were extracted with 80% methanol for 12 h at room temperature. Both extracts were centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was filtrated through a 0.45 μm syringe filter and stored at −20°C until analysis.

HPLC system

Free phenolic and flavonoid compounds were detected via absorption at 254 nm using a UV-Vis detector (LC 1110; GBC Scientific Equipment, Braeside, Australia). Separation was carried out with an HPLC column (Kromasil 5 μm, 250 mm × 4.6 mm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), with WinChrom Chromatography software, version 1.3 (GBC Scientific Equipment). The mobile phase in the HPLC instrument was A: acetonitrile: 0.05% H₃PO₄ (99:1); and B: water: H₃PO₄ (99:1) in gradient elution timetable. We injected 5 μl into the HPLC system where the flow rate was 1 ml min⁻¹. All chemicals and solvents used were HPLC grade. The different phenolic and flavonoid compounds in the plant samples were identified by comparing their retention times with those of known pure authentic phenols (caffeic, ferulic, p-hydroxybenzoic, p-coumaric, syringic, vanillic and sinapic acids, as well as vanillin, catechol and resorcinol) and flavonoids (catechin, rutin and quercetin), all of which were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Height and peaks from the pure standards were used for calculations. Concentrations of phenolic and flavonoid compounds are expressed as mg ml⁻¹.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the SPSS Statistics software package, version 19.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Data analysis was first performed using box plots to detect and remove outliers. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances were then applied to check the data for normality and homoscedasticity, respectively. The data that presented normality and homoscedasticity were analyzed by the parametric statistic, using one-way ANOVA. When the ANOVA revealed significant differences, we used the results of the Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons of means of germination indices and the seedling growth. The data that were not normal or heteroscedastic were analyzed by nonparametric statistics. We applied the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test and the Mann-Whitney U test as post hoc tests. We used t-tests to compare concentrations of the plant extract with their corresponding PEG solutions in terms of the germination indices (AS and GT) and seedling growth. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Germination indices

The inhibitory effect of the aqueous extract of Sonchus oleraceus shoots was found to depend on the extract concentration and the species studied (Tab. 1). For Chenopodium murale, Gᵢ and Aᵢ were both reduced to zero by the plant extract at all concentrations assayed. In species such as Trifolium alexandrinum, the plant extract had no significant effect on the Gᵢ or Aᵢ at a concentration of 1% or 2%, whereas both indices were significantly lowered by application of the plant extract at 3% and 4%. For the other target species, the Gᵢ and Aᵢ were significantly lowered by application of the plant extract at 1% and were reduced to zero by treatment with the remaining concentrations.

The effect of the osmotic potential of the PEG solutions on the Gᵢ and Aᵢ was concentration- and species-dependent (Tab. 1). The application of the PEG solution with an osmotic potential of −0.065 Osm kg⁻¹ H₂O significantly lowered the Gᵢ and Aᵢ of the target weed species (p < 0.05). At −0.1 Osm kg⁻¹ H₂O, the PEG solution significantly lowered the Gᵢ and Aᵢ of Brassica nigra, Melilotus indicus and Sonchus oleraceus, whereas it reduced both indices to zero in Chenopodium murale. At osmotic potentials of −0.17 and −0.25 Osm kg⁻¹ H₂O, the PEG solution significantly lowered the Gᵢ and Aᵢ of Trifolium alexandrinum and reduced both indices to zero in the other target species. The difference between the allelopathic effect of the extract and that of its osmotic potential was significant at some of the concentrations applied. For T. alexandrinum, the Gᵢ was significantly lower when we applied the plant extract at the highest concentration (4%) than when we applied the PEG solution with the equivalent osmotic potential (p < 0.05); and the Aᵢ was significantly lower when we applied the plant extract at the 3% concentration than when we applied the PEG solution with the equivalent osmotic potential (p < 0.05). For all three weed species, the Gᵢ and Aᵢ were both significantly lower when they were treated with the 1% plant extract than when they were treated with the corresponding PEG solution. Likewise, the number of germinating seeds of B. nigra, M. indicus, and S. oleraceus was significantly lower when we applied the 2% plant extract than when we applied the corresponding PEG solution (p < 0.05).

Seedling growth

The effects of the Sonchus oleraceus shoot extract on root and shoot lengths of the studied species were, like its effects on the germination indices, concentration- and species-dependent (Tab. 2). The root length of Brassica nigra, Melilotus indicus, and S. oleraceus was significantly reduced by the S. oleraceus extract at 1%, which completely inhibited the root growth of Chenopodium murale. The remaining concentrations of the extract completely inhibited the root growth of the studied species.
Table 1. Effects of the different concentrations of *Sonchus oleraceus* extract and of their osmotic potentials (equivalent in polyethylene glycol solution) on total germination and speed of accumulated germination, both expressed as a percentage of control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract concentration (Ψs)</th>
<th><em>T. alexandrinum</em></th>
<th><em>B. nigra</em></th>
<th><em>C. murale</em></th>
<th><em>M. indicus</em></th>
<th><em>S. oleraceus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. alexandrinum</td>
<td>B. nigra</td>
<td>C. murale</td>
<td>M. indicus</td>
<td>S. oleraceus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total germination</td>
<td>Speed of accumulated germination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 (0.0)*</td>
<td>100±0.0 (100±0.0)</td>
<td>100±1.7 (100±1.4)</td>
<td>100±16.0 (100±14.3)</td>
<td>100±0.0 (100±0.0)</td>
<td>100±5.7 (100±8.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1.0 (−0.065)              | 100±0.0 (100±0.0) | 95±8.7 (76±8.7) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 1.2±1.7 (93±1.4) | 49±3.8 (60±10.6) **
| 2.0 (−0.10)               | 100±0.0 (100±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) |
| 3.0 (−0.17)               | 95±3±1.4 (84±1.6) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) |
| 4.0 (−0.25)               | 83.3±1.2 (17.5±2.1) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) |

Ψs – solute potential (osmotic potential).

Values of parameters in parentheses correspond to the effect of the osmotic potential.

Means followed by the same lower-case letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level, according to Tukey’s test.

* Control.

** Significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the effect of the plant extract and that of the corresponding polyethylene glycol solution, according to t-tests.

Table 2. Effects of the different concentrations of *Sonchus oleraceus* extract and of their osmotic potentials (equivalent in polyethylene glycol solution) on the root and shoot lengths of eight-day-old seedlings of the studied species, both expressed as a percentage of control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract concentration (Ψs)</th>
<th><em>T. alexandrinum</em></th>
<th><em>B. nigra</em></th>
<th><em>C. murale</em></th>
<th><em>M. indicus</em></th>
<th><em>S. oleraceus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R o o t   l e n g t h</td>
<td>S h o o t   l e n g t h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
<td>% (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 (0.0)*</td>
<td>100±0.0 (100±0.0)</td>
<td>100±3.0 (100±0.2)</td>
<td>100±8.0 (100±0.4)</td>
<td>100±0.0 (100±1.0)</td>
<td>100±0.0 (100±0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1.0 (−0.065)              | 99±1.0 (100±1.0) | 8.7±3.6 (96±3.0) | 0.0±0.0 (28.2±0.2) | 2.0±0.0 (91±0.9) | 37.0±0.0 (58±6.0) **
| 2.0 (−0.10)               | 96±2.0 (99.5±0.01) | 0.0±0.0 (11±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (32±0.3) | 0.0±0.0 (18±0.0) **
| 3.0 (−0.17)               | 47.4±4.0 (71.8±0.01) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) |
| 4.0 (−0.25)               | 11±0.0 (17±0.5) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) | 0.0±0.0 (0.0±0.0) |

Ψs – solute potential (osmotic potential).

Values of parameters in parentheses correspond to the effect of the osmotic potential.

Means followed by the same lower-case letter in the same column do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level, according to Tukey’s test.

* Control.

** Significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the effect of the plant extract and that of the corresponding polyethylene glycol solution, according to t-tests.
growth of all weed species. In *Trifolium alexandrinum*, application of the 1% extract significantly (*p* < 0.05) increased root length by 23%, whereas the higher concentrations induced significant gradual reductions in root length. The effect of *S. oleraceus* extract on shoot length of the weed species exhibited the same pattern as that observed for root growth. At all concentrations, the plant extract inhibited the shoot elongation of *T. alexandrinum*, and the degree of that inhibition increased gradually in parallel with increasing concentrations of the extract.

The effects of the PEG solutions varied among species (Tab. 2). At an osmotic potential of −0.065 (equivalent to that of the plant extract at a concentration of 1%), the PEG solution significantly inhibited the root growth of *Melilotus indicus* and *Sonchus oleraceus* (*p* < 0.05), as well as the shoot growth of all target species except *Chenopodium murale*. In general, the inhibition of root and shoot growth increased in parallel with decreasing osmotic potentials (values that were more negative). The roots of *Brassica nigra* and *C. murale* were significantly shorter when those weeds were treated with 1% plant extract than when they were treated with the corresponding PEG solution. For all weed species, shoots were significantly shorter when the 1% plant extract was applied than when the corresponding PEG solution was applied (*p* < 0.05). When treated with PEG solution at an osmotic potential of −0.1 Osm kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O (equivalent to that of the plant extract at a concentration of 2%), *B. nigra* and *M. indicus* exhibited root and shoot lengths significantly greater than those exhibited by the same species treated with the corresponding concentration of *S. oleraceus* extract. For *Trifolium alexandrinum*, root length was significantly greater in seedlings treated with 1% plant extract than in those treated with the corresponding PEG solution. The inverse was true at higher concentrations of *S. oleraceus* extract (3% and 4%), the application of which resulted in *T. alexandrinum* roots being significantly shorter than those produced under the effects of the corresponding PEG solutions. The shoot lengths of *T. alexandrinum* seedlings were significantly greater when we applied the PEG solution at the osmotic potential of −0.065 Osm kg\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O than when we applied the *S. oleraceus* extract at the corresponding concentration (1%).

**Phytochemical analyses and HPLC of Sonchus oleraceus**

The quantitative determination of some phytochemical constituents of *Sonchus oleraceus* shoots is summarized in Tab. 3. Among the compounds detected, tannins were predominant (118.21 mg g\(^{-1}\) dry weight). Saponins, total phenols and alkaloids were also detected in relatively high amounts (73.0, 66.5 and 52.3 mg g\(^{-1}\) dry weight, respectively). In contrast, the flavonoid content was low (2.95 mg g\(^{-1}\) dry weight).

The HPLC analysis of the dry shoots of *Sonchus oleraceus* confirmed the presence of eight phenolic compounds and three flavonoids (Tab. 4). Among the phenolic compounds, catechol and ferulic acid were the most abundant (10.4 and 7.92 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively), whereas caffeic and p-coumaric acids were the least abundant (0.014 and 0.02 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively). In addition, catechin and rutin were the dominant flavonoid compounds (1.52 and 1.40 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively), collectively representing a content approximately 15.2-fold greater than that of quercetin (0.092 mg ml\(^{-1}\)).

**Discussion**

The present study revealed that the aqueous extract of *Sonchus oleraceus* had inhibitory effects on the germination indices (G\(_s\) and A\(_s\)) and seedling growth of the test species, as well as on those of itself. In addition, our bioassay indicated that the allelopathic effects of *S. oleraceus* extract on the test species are concentration- and species-dependent. The rate of seed germination decreased in parallel with increasing concentrations of the extract. Previous studies have demonstrated that allelopathic plants can affect the germination indices (G\(_s\) and A\(_s\)) and seedling growth of many target species (Hussain et al. 2011; Novoa et al. 2012). Increasing the inhibitory effect by altering the extract concentration is in accordance with previous studies (Hussain et al. 2011; Gomaa & AbdElgawad 2012). In the present study, growth inhibition was recorded in the seedlings of all weed species, as well as in the shoots of *Trifolium alexandrinum*, at all concentrations assayed. Reduction in the seedling growth of the target species in this study may be attributed to reduced cell division of the seedlings, because the phenolic allelochemicals could inhibit cell division and alter the ultrastructure of the cells (Li et al. 2010).

The spectrophotometric analyses of *Sonchus oleraceus* indicated the presence of several classes of compounds: phenols, flavonoids, tannins, saponins and alkaloids. These compounds are known to affect the growth of many plants (Higias 2008). Various phytochemicals, including phenols, sesquiterpenes, flavonoids, terpenes and alkaloids, exhibit strong phytotoxicity (Qasem & Foy 2001). Arowosoge et al. (2012) attributed the inhibitory effect of the aqueous extract of *Aloe ferox* to such chemical constituents. The inhibitory

---

**Table 3.** Phytochemical composition of *Sonchus oleraceus* dry shoots.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Concentration (mg g(^{-1}) dry weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Phenols</td>
<td>66.5±8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>2.95±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>118.21±4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>52.3±12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>73.0±6.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean ± SD.

---
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action of *S. oleraceus* might therefore be attributed to the presence of the phytochemicals detected in the plant tissues. Various authors have attributed the inhibition of seed germination and seedling growth to the release of phenolic compounds (Fahmy et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013). Phenols as well as the other detected compounds were previously reported in the tissues of *S. oleraceus* by El-Kamali (2009). Quantification of phytochemicals in the current study showed that the total phenolic content (66.5 mg g⁻¹ dry weight) was higher than that reported by Yin et al. (2007) in the same species (40.5 mg g⁻¹ dry weight). However, the total flavonoid content reported in our study (≈ 3 mg g⁻¹ dry weight) was much lower than that reported by Yin et al. (2007) and was considerably higher than that recorded by Fahmy et al. (2012) in *Pluchea dioscoridis* (0.60 mg ml⁻¹), while vanillic acid and rutin were approximately the same in both species. The compounds analyzed by HPLC in *S. oleraceus* might be reasonable candidates for the inhibition which occurred in germination and seedling growth of the target species. This notion was supported by Fahmy et al. (2012) who showed that catechol, syringic acid and rutin significantly reduced the seedling growth of *Corchorus olitorius*. The authors also showed that catechol exhibited a stronger inhibitory effect than did syringic acid and rutin. Therefore, catechol, which presented the highest concentration of the phenolic and flavonoid compounds detected here in *S. oleraceus*, might be an effective allelochemical against the target species in our study. However, certain flavonoids, including rutin and quercetin, have been found to exert an inhibitory effect on the germination and seedling growth of radish (Basile et al. 2000). In addition, Golisz et al. (2007) concluded that rutin is the major contributor to the allelopathic activity of buckwheat. Therefore, the flavonoids detected in *S. oleraceus*, rutin in particular, might play a significant role in the resulting inhibition. Reductions in seedling growth might be attributable to the involvement of the phenols, which can suppress the synthesis of protein and nucleic acids and inactivate several enzymes in the growing plants (Chou 2006).

Our data indicate that, among the species studied, the weeds were more sensitive to the *Sonchus oleraceus* extract than was the crop (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). In contrast with what was observed for the weed species, the germination of *Trifolium alexandrinum* was not affected by the application of the plant extract at either 1% or 2%. This observation is consistent with that of Al-Sheríf et al. (2013), who showed that Egyptian clover and wheat are less sensitive to the extracts of *Brassica nigra* than are the weed species, *Phalaris paradoxa* and *Sisymbrium irio*. Previous studies have indicated that weed species might vary in their response/tolerance to phytotoxicity (Batish et al. 2004; Gomaa & Abd ElGawad 2012).

Our results confirm the autotoxic nature of *Sonchus oleraceus*. Autotoxicity is an intraspecific type of allelopathy, occurring when a plant species releases chemical substances that inhibit or delay the germination and growth of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Concentration (mg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechol</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-hydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-coumaric acid</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapic acid</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanillic acid</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Quantitative high-performance liquid chromatography analysis of the concentrations and retention time of phenolic and flavonoid compounds in the aqueous extracts of *Sonchus oleraceus* shoots.
same plant species (Alias et al. 2003; Hegab & Ghareib 2009; Gomaa & AbdElgawad 2012). The autotoxicity of S. oleraceus might have implications for the biocontrol of S. oleraceus itself.

In general, the application of PEG solutions with osmotic potentials equivalent to those of the applied extract concentrations inhibited the germination and seedling growth of the target species, although it was to a lesser degree than the corresponding concentrations of the extract. This suggests that the allelopathic and osmotic potentials of Sonchus oleraceus extract both contribute to the inhibition of germination and seedling growth of the target species. Previous studies confirmed the significant role of both allelopathic and osmotic effects of plant extracts in inhibiting seed germination and seedling growth (Wardle et al. 1992; Souza et al. 2010).

In conclusion, our results show that allelopathic and osmotic effects of the aqueous extract of the Sonchus oleraceus shoots both suppressed germination and seedling growth of the target species. That inhibition was concentration- and species-dependent. The phytochemical analyses revealed the presence of several compounds that might increase the allelopathic potential of S. oleraceus. These natural products could be used as “eco-friendly” bioherbicides for weed control. Evaluation of the allelopathic potential of S. oleraceus under field conditions is an important future guideline.
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