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Coronary artery disease has a heterogeneous range of clinical presentations that varies from asymptomatic patients with multivessel disease to patients with one-vessel disease with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and even sudden death. Other patients have stable angina that has evolved over many years, even decades, without progressing to unstable angina or myocardial infarction (MI). Even more serious, one third of the cases of coronary disease has sudden death as its first and only manifestation.

Despite being the main cause of death in developed countries, more people live with coronary atherosclerosis than die of it. Therefore, the most relevant question is not why atherosclerosis develops, but why a quiescent atherosclerotic plaque suddenly ruptures and, on top of it, occlusive or subocclusive coronary thrombosis develops.

To understand this remarkable clinical heterogeneity is not easy, and only the recent advances in vascular biology have allowed the understanding of important aspects of this complex disease. This text focuses only on one form of this disease presentation, which is the acute ischemic syndrome (AIS).

Pathogenesis

The atherosclerotic plaque consists of two parts: the core (atheroma), which is rich in extracellular lipids, has a soft consistency, and occupies one third of the total volume of the plaque, and the peripheral component (sclerosis), which is formed by fibrous tissue, has a hard consistency, and occupies two thirds of the total volume of the plaque. The relative proportion of each component varies greatly, and there is no relation between plaque size and its constitution.

The possibility of developing AIS does not depend solely on the number, distribution, and degree of stenosis caused by the atherosclerotic plaque. More than that, a complex balance between factors extrinsic to the plaque and their attributes not revealed by coronary angiography are currently considered.

Currently, the concept that AIS is usually precipitated by occlusive or subocclusive thrombosis on top of a complicated atherosclerotic plaque is widely accepted. According to this concept, plaque’s disruption and the consequent exposure of its components to blood precipitates thrombosis.

The factors determining rupture and subsequent thrombosis can be both extrinsic and intrinsic to the plaque.

Extrinsic factors

The extrinsic factors comprise the alterations of the formed elements and chemical components of the blood in addition to hemodynamic alterations. Several triggers of acute ischemic events have been identified. Half of the acute ischemic events do not occur at random, being triggered by external factors. AMI occurs most frequently in the morning, on Mondays, during the winter, and during emotional and physical stress. Physiologically, all these conditions have in common the increase of sympathetic tonus.

Müller et al clearly documented the daily variation in the incidence of MI, which tends to occur more often in the first hours of the morning than in any other period of the day. This observation confirmed that the typical adrenergic stimulus of waking up promotes platelet alterations and triggers coronary thrombosis. This evidence was confirmed by the observation that acetylsalicylic acid attenuated the morning peak of the occurrence of MI.

Later, Leor et al observed an increase in the incidence of infarction in Los Angeles in the hours and days following the Northridge earthquake. Curiously, these authors also noted a reduction in infarction incidence in the weeks and months that followed the earthquake. This observation suggests that the adrenergic stimulus associated with the natural disaster complicated a number of the atherosclerotic plaques vulnerable to disruption.

AIS can also be precipitated by hemodynamic changes that can cause modifications of the physical forces that operate on the atherosclerotic plaque. Bioengineering studies have documented a marked difference in physical stress in distinct regions of the plaque, contributing to its disruption and subsequent thrombosis. These studies revealed that the thicker the fibrous cap that covers the lipid core, the smaller the circumferential stress of the wall. In addition, the greater the volume of the lipid core, the higher the circumferential stress, especially at the transition bet-
between the plaque and the normal vascular wall. More curious, and less expected, is the observation that the degree of stenosis has a small influence on the physical stress of the plaque 19-25. It was recently demonstrated that the maximum circumferential stress of the plaques responsible for fatal MI is three times higher than that of uncomplicated plaques. Furthermore, the disruption site coincided with the region of higher circumferential stress.

In addition to the prothrombotic influence of the adrenergic stimulus described above, there are also concomitant hemodynamic changes that probably increase the risk of plaque disruption. According to Laplace’s law, the circumferential stress of the wall varies directly with the intraluminal pressure. Thus, the increase of blood pressure increases the circumferential stress in the plaque. The increase of inotropism and chronotropism that accompany the elevation of the adrenergic tonus also increases the compressive forces upon the plaque, favoring its disruption. Although intuitive, there is still lack of evidence of the importance of the hemodynamic changes in the increase of the risk of plaque disruption.

Another extrinsic factor to the plaque that influences its thrombotic potential is the alteration of the formed elements of the blood. It is currently known that the plasmatic concentration of fibrinogen increases the risk of coronary events independent of other factors 26. There is a correlation between the variation in the levels of the plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) and acute ischemic events, particularly MI in young individuals 27,28. Another plasmatic factor is the increase of Lp(a) that competes with plasminogen and, therefore, inhibits plasmin activation. Because this is a fibrinolytic enzyme, the final fibrinolytic capacity is depressed.

This set of extrinsic factors to the plaque influences the precipitation of AIS because they disrupt the natural balance between thrombosis and fibrinolysis.

Other plasmatic factors that can affect plaque stability are the plasmatic levels of cytokines and endotoxins. These mediators of inflammation and systemic response to virus and bacteria can precipitate AIS when a severe systemic disease occurs. Interleukin-6, in particular, actsuates in the liver, promoting acute phase protein synthesis. Another is fibrinogen, a plasma component surely related to ischemic events.

Although important, the extrinsic factors do not have an influence in the precipitation of AIS if there is no vulnerable plaque as a substrate.

**Intrinsic factors**

Contemporary pathological studies have established that the loss of integrity of the atherosclerotic plaque and subsequent thrombosis is the mechanism of the majority of AISs. There are two forms of loss of physical integrity of the plaque: erosion and disruption.

Erosion consists of a superficial loss of integrity with exposure of the subendothelial basement membrane. The exposed collagen activates the platelets and the von Willebrand factor promotes their aggregation. Atherosclerotic plaque erosion is responsible for approximately one third of fatal coronary thromboses in autopsy studies.

Another form of loss of physical integrity is the disruption of the fibrous cap and subsequent wide exposure of its highly thrombogenic lipid core. Tissue factor, a potent procoagulant, significantly contributes to lipid core thrombogenic potential 29,30. Tissue factor activates factor VII beginning the cascade of events that leads to the activation of factor X, thrombin, and finally to thrombus formation. This type of wider disruption is responsible for two thirds of the fatal coronary thromboses.

The disrupting region of the plaque usually exhibits inflammatory characteristics, especially the wider form of disruption through the fibrous cap 31. Inflammation at the most vulnerable region of the plaque is characterized by elevated concentrations of macrophages and T lymphocytes, being independent from the plaque’s morphology.

Microscopically, the complicated plaques responsible for fatal ischemic events have the following characteristics 32,33: 1) thin fibrous cap 33,34; 2) large lipid core 33,34; 3) abundance of macrophages 33,34,35,36; 4) paucity of smooth muscle cell 36,37.

The main factor responsible for the integrity of the fibrous cap is the interstitial collagen, particularly types I and III, which are predominantly synthesized by the smooth muscle cells. Therefore, any factor that reduces the amount of collagen in the fibrous cap can endanger its integrity.

The reduction of collagen in the fibrous cap can occur due to three mechanisms: 1) synthesis reduction; 2) degradation increase; and 3) reduction in the amount of smooth muscle cells. Synthesis reduction can be mediated by interferon gamma that is produced by activated T lymphocytes due to chronic inflammation 39. Degradation increase depends on a set of proteolytic enzymes named matrix metalloproteinases (MMP). These enzymes, usually present in minimal amounts, have their synthesis increased by macrophages, particularly in the form of foam cells 40-42. Although migration and proliferation of smooth muscle cells are an important component of the atherosclerotic plaque formation and growth 43,44, these cells are characteristically scarce in the plaques responsible for fatal ischemic events. This must weaken the plaque because these cells are the main source of collagen. The probable mechanism for the reduction of the number of smooth muscle cells is interferon gamma cytokine synthesized by the activated T lymphocytes. Interferon gamma inhibits the proliferation of these cells and also activates their programmed death or apoptosis 45-47. Three cytokines (interferon gamma, IL-1beta, and TNF-alpha) were recently observed to activate the apoptosis via the smooth muscle cell 48.

Theoretically, a less common form of plaque rupture is called centrifuge, because it occurs inside the plaque towards the arterial lumen. This type of rupture requires that the intraplaque pressure surpass the intraluminal pressure. This can be precipitated by hemorrhage originating in the intraplaque vasa vasorum 49,50. This hypothesis, however, still awaits confirmation.
Another factor often considered as a cause of acute ischemic events is coronary spasm\textsuperscript{51,52}. Even though the coexistence of spasm and plaque rupture is a common finding, the observations suggest that the first is more a consequence than a cause of the second\textsuperscript{53,54}. In reality, it has been shown that drug-induced spasm rarely causes MI\textsuperscript{55}.

**Clinical repercussions of plaque rupture**

Plaque rupture, most of the time, is a common and asymptomatic phenomenon. Its clinical repercussion depends on the degree of coronary flow limitation caused by subsequent thrombosis, which is very variable\textsuperscript{3}. Autopsy studies reveal that 9% of “normal” individuals who die of noncardiac causes have coronary plaque complicated with rupture. This finding increases to 22% in individuals with diabetes or hypertension\textsuperscript{56}. On the other hand, in two other studies of individuals who died from coronary artery disease, many coronary plaques complicated by rupture were found\textsuperscript{57,58}. The complicated plaques that caused the death showed intense thrombosis with coronary flow limitation, and the majority of the other complicated plaques had discreet or no thrombosis.

Therefore, after plaque rupture, the degree of thrombosis determines the clinical repercussion. The three main factors that determine the intensity of the thrombotic response to rupture are: 1) rupture extension and composition of plaque contents\textsuperscript{59-62}; 2) the degree of stenosis and irregularity of the plaque surface\textsuperscript{63,64}; 3) balance between thrombotic and thrombolytic factors at the moment of rupture\textsuperscript{65-67}.

In the majority of patients with unstable angina, plaque erosion or rupture resolves with the vascular repair process that results in an increase of the degree of obstruction.

The type of AIS that a given patient develops after rupture and subsequent thrombosis is determined by four factors: 1) vessel occlusion velocity; 2) degree of obstruction: total or partial; 3) magnitude of collateral circulation to the distal bed of the occluded artery; and 4) occlusion stability: long-standing or transitory.

**Coronary thrombosis in unstable angina and AMI: similarities and differences**

Today it is well established that AIS consists of a continuum spectrum ranging from unstable angina to AMI. These syndromes have several aspects in common, such as a variable degree of plaque rupture, variable degree of subsequent thrombosis, ischemia usually determined by primary reduction of oxygen supply due to long-lasting or transitory coronary occlusion or subocclusion. There are, however, important differences in pathophysiology, which determine fundamental differences in clinical features, response to treatment, and prognosis (between unstable angina and AMI).

Clinically, unstable angina has several forms of presentation resulting in different definitions and classifications. On the other hand, AMI has a form of presentation that enables a more specific definition.

Several classifications for unstable angina have been developed, according to clinical, pathophysiological, and prognostic criteria\textsuperscript{68-70}. The classification that has been most used by clinicians and epidemiologists is the one by Braunwald\textsuperscript{71}. This classification encompasses three criteria: 1) severity of pain: recent effort angina (<2 months) or progressive angina (aggravation of previous stable angina) (class I), subacute angina at rest, with the last painful episode more than 48 hours before (class II), acute angina at rest, with the last painful episode within the last 48 hours (class III); 2) clinical circumstance of angina occurrence: secondary (A), primary (B), and postinfarction (C); 3) presence of any form of treatment at the moment of angina presentation: none (1), oral treatment (2), maximum pharmacological treatment (3). In each of these criteria, there is a progressive scale of prognosis aggravation. Therefore, the most benign unstable angina is class IA; the one with the worst prognosis is class IIC\textsuperscript{72}.

Myocardial ischemia in AISs, such as ischemia in any tissue, can result from increase in oxygen demand or reduction in oxygen supply. The increase of oxygen demand causes approximately one third of the cases of unstable angina (Braunwald class I) and rarely AMI. On the other hand, the reduction of the oxygen supply accounts for two thirds of unstable angina cases and almost all of the AMI cases.

Class II and III unstable angina and AMI are caused by atherosclerotic plaque rupture and subsequent subocclusive and occlusive thrombosis, respectively. As above described, plaque complication occurs in variable degrees from small erosion and localized fissure to extensive and deep rupture. There is a clear relation between the degree of the plaque’s anatomical complication and the clinical expression of this complication. A great number of the small erosions and ulcerations of the plaque are clinically silent. Falk\textsuperscript{73} and several other researchers\textsuperscript{74} have histologically and angiographically demonstrated that coronary stenosis causing unstable angina results from repetitive episodes of plaque ulceration and healing, which cause a slow increase of the plaque’s volume. Vascular repair subsequent to ulceration and thrombosis can increase the fibrous content through thrombus organization and incorporation to the plaque. This not only limits the extension of the subsequent complication, but also makes the plaque less vulnerable to future ruptures, which can be interpreted as a natural mechanism of arterial passivation.

In unstable angina, coronary thrombosis is usually subocclusive or transitorily occlusive. This type of thrombus is typically fragile and can cause periods of occlusion of 10 to 20 minutes\textsuperscript{75}, frequently with a concomitant and transitory coronary spasm component. Spasm can be caused by release of vasoactive substances by platelets and endothelial dysfunction\textsuperscript{76}. 
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In non-Q MI, the degree of plaque injury is more significant than in unstable angina, resulting in more persistent occlusive thrombosis, lasting up to one hour. Approximately one forth of the patients with non-Q infarction have a persistently occluded coronary artery responsible for the infarction, but also have the distal bed partially protected by collateral circulation.

In AMI, plaque rupture is often more extensive and deeper, with intense collagen, cholesterol crystals, and tissue factor exposure, and consequent long-lasting occlusive coronary thrombosis. It is important to emphasize that no relation exists between the degree of stenosis caused by a plaque and the extension and depth of an eventual rupture. It was recently observed that 40% of the fatal occlusive coronary thrombi occurred in plaques complicated only by erosion. This observation led to the consideration that circulating or systemic (monocytes, infection, hypercholesterolemia, etc) hemostatic factors can play a significant role in these cases. In addition, half of the AMI cases are caused by atherosclerotic plaques that reduce the luminal diameter to 50% or less, being therefore considered of little prognostic value in infarction-preceding angiographies.

Some cases of sudden death probably involve rapidly progressive coronary injury, where plaque rupture and the resulting occlusive thrombosis lead to myocardial ischemia and fatal ventricular arrhythmia in the absence of collateral circulation. Thrombosis following plaque rupture is a process that evolves in stages and begins with exposure of subendothelial components, such as collagen, von Willebrand factor, fibronectin, and vitronectin. These extracellular matrix components are recognized by the surface receptors of the platelets, which then adhere to the plaque and become activated. The activated platelets release a set of substances from their alpha granules, causing vasoconstriction, chemotaxis, mitogenesis, and more platelet activation. The substances involved in this process are thromboxane A2, serotonin, fibrinogen, plasminogen-activator inhibitor (PAI-1), and growth factors. Platelet activation leads to exposure of a particular surface receptor, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa. This integrin is the via final common pathway of all platelet aggregation agonists, and is also known as fibrinogen receptor. Aggregation consists of ligation and connection between multiple platelets, occurring through fibrinogen bridges and accelerating thrombin production. In a reciprocal manner, the generated thrombin is a potent platelet activator that stabilizes the thrombus, converting fibrinogen into fibrin.

Sherman et al. performed angioscopy on 10 patients with unstable angina. Angioscopy revealed exuberant structural abnormalities in the intima of all patients and thrombus in seven. Patients with progressive angina had plaques with complex morphology, and those with angina at rest had nonocclusive thrombus at the moment of the examination.

As coronary thrombosis is responsible for the great majority of AISs, some researchers tried to identify plasmatic markers of thrombosis and platelet aggregation. Fibrinopeptide A (FPA) is a polypeptide resulting from the trans-formation of fibrinogen into fibrin mediated by thrombin. Patients with unstable angina have serum levels of FPA much higher than patients with stable angina or with chest pain of noncardiac origin. Other authors reproduced these findings. Zalewski et al. observed elevated levels of PAI-I, a marker of platelet aggregation, in patients with unstable angina. Fitzgerald et al. verified that 84% of the episodes of angina at rest were associated with transitory elevation of thromboxane, suggesting a temporal relation between platelet activation and a clinical event. Some controversial data in relation to the presence of plasmatic markers of active thrombosis can be attributed to the heterogeneity of the patients with unstable angina studied, to the small amount of thrombus present in some lesions, and to the transitory presence of the hemostatic factors in circulation.

**Epidemiology**

AISs are the main cause of adult hospitalization in the United States. In recent years, the incidence of unstable angina has rapidly increased. With better population information, longer survival of patients with AMI, population aging, and better diagnostic efficiency of chest pain of ischemic origin, this incidence should continue to increase. In many coronary care units, unstable angina surpassed AMI as the main cause of hospitalization in the last decade. In the US, it is estimated that there are 700,000 hospitalizations annually due to unstable angina and another 700,000 cases occur in which the diagnosis either is not made or the patients are treated on an outpatient basis. It is also estimated that 1.5 million cases of AMI occur and that 20% of patients die prior to medical care. Only 30% to 50% of the patients hospitalized with a suspicion of AMI have the diagnosis confirmed. Therefore, AIS occurs in epidemic proportions.

**Clinical, electrocardiographic and enzymatic features**

Chest pain of unstable angina differs from that of stable angina mainly because it is more unpredictable, intense and longer lasting. On the other hand, patients with AMI present with a typically more intense and longer-lasting chest pain, accompanied by other clinical signals, such as dyspnea, nausea, vomiting, and intense sweating. However, the presentation can be similar to that of an episode of unstable angina. In this case, diagnostic differentiation in the emergency room is provided by electrocardiogram (ECG), which is characterized by ST segment elevation. ST segment elevation in the presence of precordial pain is generally interpreted as transmural infarction caused by occlusive thrombosis of the coronary artery responsible for the infarction. On the other hand, ST segment depression indicates subendocardial ischemia of unstable angina or of non-Q infarction caused by coronary subocclusive or transitory and recurrent occlusive thrombosis.

Therefore, no matter what the clinical presentation of the AIS at the emergency room is, the main information for
the immediate diagnostic definition in order to guide the treatment of recanalization is the presence or absence of ST segment elevation. Less common is the clinical presentation of AMI and ECG with left bundle branch block, a situation that has the same interpretation and treatment as that of patients with ST segment elevation 9.

Among the patients in the emergency room with chest pain that causes suspicion of coronary artery disease, there are two aspects of evaluation that are complementary and equally important: evaluation of the risk of coronary artery disease and evaluation of the risk of unfavorable clinical evolution 99. At the end of this evaluation, the diagnosis can be one of the following: chest pain of noncardiac origin, stable angina, unstable angina, or AMI.

A diagnostic and prognostic resource of growing use in the evaluation of patients with unstable angina is the dosage of the enzyme troponin T and I. These enzymes are usually absent or present in very small amounts in the plasma. In the presence of chest pain that causes a suspicion of unstable angina, the abnormal presence of these enzymes is an important marker for the occurrence of future clinical events such as infarction and death 99-101.

Coronary angiography

Coronary angiography has contributed in a significant way to the understanding of AISs through the historical studies of DeWood 94 and Ambrose 95. Serial angiographies of patients with unstable angina have shown one, two or three vessel disease in 15%, 35%, and 50%, respectively 96-98. Coronary artery disease extension in patients evaluated in these studies is greater than that observed in patients with stable angina and MI. The TIMI IIIA study 99, which evaluated the effect of thrombolyis in unstable angina showed one, two or three vessel disease in 35%, 39%, and 26%, respectively, which is similar to the findings in patients with stable angina. When all the patients with unstable angina who underwent coronary angiography are considered, a significant stenosis of the left main coronary artery is observed in 5% to 10% of the patients, but 10% to 15% exhibit normal coronary arteries or nonsignificant stenoses.

The incidence of thrombus revealed by coronary angiography in unstable angina varies from <10% in patients with pain in the 30 days preceding the catheterization 99 until >50%, in those with pain at rest in the last 24 hours 101-103.

An important aspect that favors the indication of early coronary angiography in patients with unstable angina is that almost half of these patients have coronary multivessel disease or stenosis of the left main coronary artery, benefiting, therefore, from early surgical revascularization.

Coronary angiography in the first hours of the evolution of infarction was first described by DeWood et al 94, who verified that occlusive coronary thrombosis was present in 85% of the cases with transmural infarction. With time, spontaneous recanalization occurs in up to half of the patients. These findings had a great impact in the modern treatment of AMI, concentrating all efforts in the early restoration of the coronary flow with thrombolysis or coronary angioplasty.

Treatment

The pathophysiological substrates of unstable angina and AMI have similarities that also lead to a strategy of pharmacological treatment with similarities. The pathophysiological similarities of plaque rupture, subsequent thrombosis, and ischemia of supply due to coronary occlusion or subocclusion demand control of the thrombotic process and reestablishment of the balance between oxygen supply and consumption. These objectives are met with antithrombotic and antiischemic drugs. The basic pathophysiological difference between unstable angina and AMI, however, is that in unstable angina, the coronary flow is only transitarily interrupted, but in AMI there is a lasting coronary occlusion due to the thrombus. Therefore, in AMI the primary and immediate treatment goal is to reestablish the flow with reperfusion therapy. On the other hand, in unstable angina, the treatment aims to avoid the progression of the sub-occlusive or transitarily occlusive coronary thrombosis to stable and long-lasting coronary thrombosis. This strategy is mainly based on the data analysis of the Fibrinolytic Therapy Trialists’ Collaborative Group 104. This group of investigators combined the results of nine large scale studies of thrombolysis, including 58.6 thousand patients, of whom 32,346 with AIS ST segment elevation and 4,237 with depression of the ST segment. The group with ST segment elevation treated with thrombolysis had a 19% higher survival rate than that treated with placebo. The group with ST segment depression had a 10% smaller survival rate.

As this is a fundamental and urgent difference, at the emergency room AIS should be seen as composed of two distinct groups based on the ECG: AIS with and without ST segment elevation. The first group should be consistently considered for chemical or mechanical recanalization, and the second group should be considered only for antiplatelet, antithrombin, and antiischemic therapy.

Unstable angina

Immediate clinical treatment must be initiated in any patient suspected of unstable angina. The treatment objectives are the control of myocardial ischemia symptoms, and prevention of evolution to MI and death.

The antiischemic treatment consists of reduction of physical activity, BP control, and administration of antianginal drugs.

Patients with progressing or recent angina (Braunwald class I) can be initially treated on an outpatient care basis. The majority of these cases have a good response and the patients become asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic with acetylsalicylic acid, beta-blocker and nitrates by oral or topical via. If clinical risk assessment is favorable, these
patients remain this way; if it is unfavorable, they should be referred for catheterization, followed or not by percutaneous or surgical revascularization, depending on the anatomy and extension of the coronary artery disease.

Patients with angina at rest and last painful episode more than 48 hours before (Braunwald class II) should also initiate outpatient care treatment, except if considered of intermediate or high risk for MI or death. In this case, they must be hospitalized, begin oral treatment with acetylsalicylic acid, heparin, beta-blocker and nitrate, and be carefully observed for 24 to 48 hours for recurrence of symptomatic myocardial ischemia and dynamic electrocardiographic abnormalities.

Patients with angina at rest and painful episodes within the last 48 hours (Braunwald class III) should be admitted to the coronary unit, begin treatment with acetylsalicylic acid, heparin and nitroglycerin intravenously, and beta-blocker, intravenously or orally. Patients who evolve with recurrent angina, despite the treatment, should undergo urgent catheterization aiming to define the coronary anatomy and, if indicated, proceed to percutaneous or surgical revascularization.

These recommendations were published in 1994 and have usually been questioned or even ignored because of the recent and dramatic pharmacological advances (platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, low-molecular-weight heparin) and improvement of the results of coronary angioplasty due to the widespread use of stents. These advances have led to a more aggressive initial strategy with early catheterization followed by angioplasty and early hospital discharge, frequently before 48 hours. Although attractive and apparently more economical because this strategy shortens hospitalization, one should wait for evidence coming from large-scale randomized multicenter clinical trials before universally recommending it.

Nitrate administration continues to be routine both for treatment and for prevention of anginal crises. Nitrates can be administrated topically, by oral or sublingual via, or intravenously. Through the relaxation of the endothelium-independent smooth muscle cell, nitrates reduce the venous return and the BP. These two effects decrease the wall stress and, therefore, myocardial oxygen demand. Clinically less important is the coronary vasodilating effect, particularly upon spasm-aggravated stenosis. Intravenous nitroglycerin is indicated for high-risk patients or those with recurrent angina at rest. In addition to angina control, nitrates help to control BP and ventricular dysfunction. As tolerance to hemodynamic effects develops quickly, an interruption is recommended after 24 to 48 hours of use. In regard to hemostasis, nitroglycerin was observed to have a transitory platelet-inhibitory effect on the one hand, but it can also induce resistance to the anticoagulant effect of heparin.

Beta-blockers are widely used in unstable angina because they increase the threshold for angina occurrence, prevent recurrent ischemia and death following MI. They are specifically effective when there is evidence of sympathetic tonus increase characterized by tachycardia and hypertension.

Calcium antagonists are effective in the prevention of recurrent ischemia, particularly those having a negative chronotropic effect. Nifedipine, however, should only be used in association with a beta-blocker, because, isolated, it increases the incidence of clinical events, as demonstrated in the HINT (Holland Interuniversity Nifedipine/Metoprolol Trial). Antithrombotic treatment in unstable angina consists of administration of antiplatelet and antithrombotic agents, aiming to control plaque thrombosis. As coronary flow is usually preserved, there is no indication of recanalization. In fact, the thrombolytic agent is contraindicated because it increases platelet activation and aggregation leading, therefore, to more thrombosis and worse clinical outcome.

The standard antiplatelet agent in AIS is acetylsalicylic acid. Its efficacy has been consistently proved. Acetylsalicylic acid reduces the risk of fatal and nonfatal MI in 71% in the acute phase, in 60% in three months, and in 52% in two years. The beneficial mechanism of acetylsalicylic acid is the irreversible inhibition of cyclooxygenase, blocking the formation of thromboxane A2 and platelet aggregation. In order to achieve the cyclooxygenase blockade more rapidly, an initial dose of 160 to 325mg is recommended. The maintenance dose can vary from 80 to 160mg daily. The dose of 80mg daily is as effective as higher doses and causes fewer collateral effects. Another antiplatelet agent used when acetylsalicylic acid is contraindicated is ticlopidine, which inhibits platelet aggregation mediated by ADP and inhibits platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa activation. Ticlopidine at a dose of 250 mg twice a day reduced in 46% the risk of fatal and nonfatal MI in six months, when compared to placebo. The benefit, however, only became apparent 10 days after the beginning of treatment, which supports the already known late onset of activity of this drug.

The development of a new group of antiplatelet agents, the platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, has changed the treatment scenario and perspective of AISs, focusing the strategy more on the control of the thrombus white (platelet) component. The first compound of this group to be widely tested in randomized multicenter trials was abciximab, which is a monoclonal antibody against the platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa, the final common pathway of platelet aggregation. Other molecules of parenteral use are epifibatide or integrilin, a peptide, and tirofiban and lamifiban, non-peptide compounds. Abciximab was tested with huge success, as an adjunct of coronary angioplasty, aiming to reduce its complications, such as acute occlusion, AMI and urgent reintervention. Abciximab and cilostazol were tested aboximab in a population with refractory unstable angina, whose vessels responsible for the clinical event were adequate to percutaneous revascularization. The drug was administered for 18 to 26 hours prior to angioplasty, followed by infusion during one hour after the procedure. There was reduction of the combined objectives (death, non-fatal infarction, or emergency revascularization) from 15.9% to 11.3% (p=0.012) at the 30th day and no benefit at the sixth month.
Tirofiban, used in association with acetylsalicylic acid and heparin, was recently tested in the treatment of unstable angina and non-Q MI. It proved efficient in reducing death and incidence of nonfatal MI in 48 hours from 2.6% to 0.9% (reduction of 66%), and in 30 days from 11.9% to 8.7% (reduction of 30%), when compared to the combined use of only acetylsalicylic acid and heparin. Tirofiban, used in association with acetylsalicylic acid and heparin, was also tested in unstable angina and also proved efficient in reducing nonfatal MI and death from 15.7% to 14.2% (reduction of 9%) in this study. In refractory ischemia was not considered in the combined objective, which might explain the smaller reduction of events of 9%, instead of 30% of PRISM-PLUS. In both studies, the benefit was more evident in the group of patients that later underwent coronary angioplasty, which comprised one third of the cases. In view of these favorable results, tirofiban and eptifibatide were recently approved for clinical use. However, in patients surviving an episode of unstable angina or MI, local activation of the hemostatic system lasts for months, causing recurrence of acute ischemic events. Two trials with platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, RESTORE and CAPTURE, and one with thrombin direct inhibitor, GUSTO IIIb, consistently showed early benefit. This benefit, however, was variable and declined considerably over time, coinciding with the interruption of the administration of the drug. Therefore, more than one dozen oral platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors that might be active for a long period are being exhaustively investigated.

Heparin is recommended for the treatment of unstable angina based on innumerable randomized studies of moderate size that are strengthened by meta-analysis. Heparin is usually intravenously administered in bolus of 5 thousand units, followed by continuous infusion in the necessary dose to keep the activated partial thromboplastin time two times the basal value. Due to low bioavailability and necessity of monitoring the anticoagulation of unfractionated heparin, the efficiency of low-molecular-weight heparins has been tested. The FRISC Study showed therapeutic equivalence between dalteparin and unfractionated heparin. The ESSENCE Study showed the superiority of enoxaparin, which reduced the incidence of nonfatal MI, death and recurrent angina from 23.3% to 19.8% in 30 days, an absolute reduction of 3.5% and relative reduction of 15% (p=0.019). In these two studies, low-molecular-weight heparin was administered for a few days and new studies are assessing the possibility of extending the benefit, maintaining the administration for several weeks after hospital discharge. The advantages of low-molecular-weight heparins are their subcutaneous administration and predicting degree of anticoagulation, which eliminates the need for monitoring.

Another antithrombotic drug still under research is hirudin, a direct thrombin inhibitor. A preliminary study with high doses was prematurely interrupted due to the occurrence of hemorrhagic complications. A low-dose study showed benefits in the first days, but these benefits significantly decreased in the following 30 days. A great study testing a moderate dose of hirudin is being carried out based on the favorable results of a pilot study.

Considering the placebo group of several recent mega trials on unstable angina, the prognosis of this syndrome with conservative treatment with acetylsalicylic acid, heparin, nitrate, beta-blockers and calcium antagonists is poor, evolving to death or mainly nonfatal MI in 11% of the cases. Aiming to improve this prognosis, two randomized studies compared the conservative clinical treatment with an invasive initial strategy of myocardial revascularization: the National Cooperative Study and the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study. Both studies reported that survival is similar with the two strategies. In the first study, the one-year survival was 92% and 93% in the surgical and clinical groups, respectively. There was, however, considerable transfer from the clinical to the surgical group, in these two studies, and this index was 19% in one year and 34% in two years. In the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study, the advantage of surgical compared to clinical treatment in two groups of patients was particularly significant. The five-year survival of patients with multivessel disease was 89% for the group that underwent surgery and 75% for the group clinically treated. Among patients with ventricular dysfunction and ejection fraction between 30% and 49%, the survival for the surgical group was 86% compared to 73% for the clinical one.

With the development and wide spread utilization of coronary angioplasty, the clinical trials were reoriented to compare the clinical treatment and the invasive treatment with angioplasty or surgery, depending on coronary anatomy. On the other hand, the Veteran Affairs non-Q Wave Infarction Strategies in Hospital Study (VANQUISH) reported a better hospital and one year clinical outcome with the conservative strategy. The incidence of death and nonfatal MI during the hospital phase was 3% and 8%, respectively, in one year, and 18.5% and 24% with clinical and early invasive (surgery or angioplasty) treatment, respectively. It is important to stress that the revascularization index in 18 months was 44% in the randomized group for the early aggressive strategy and 33% (p=NS) in the randomized group for the initial conservative strategy. Therefore, this data analysis suggests that clinical and invasive (angioplasty or surgery) treatments are more additive or complementary than competitive therapeutic options. When the revascularization option is required, the choice between angioplasty or surgery is guided by coronary disease extension, morphological aspects of the lesions, estimated risk and awaited benefit of each procedure, and, not rarely, by the patient’s preference, when both options are equivalent and this option is offered to the patient by the assistant physician. In fact, the comparison between angioplasty and surgery in patients with multivessel disease has shown a similar survival, except for the patients with left main coronary arterial disease and diabetic patients with multivessel disease. The majority of patients with unstable angina are well-controlled with clinical treatment,
without recurrence of the myocardial ischemia and have a favorable prognosis. These patients may not need routine catheterization and can be properly stratified with noninvasive tests of ischemia. A negative exercise test after stabilization of eliminates the possibility of demand myocardial ischemia related to severe stenosis and is associated with a low incidence of clinical events in the late follow-up. Patients with positive exercise (or any other imaging ischemic test) test are indicative of severe stenosis and should be referred for invasive stratification with catheterization. Patients with angina after MI or those who do not stabilize with clinical treatment are considered high-risk for MI recurrence or death, and should also undergo catheterization aiming to identify a critical coronary stenosis that can benefit from percutaneous revascularization or surgery. Recurrence of ischemia in unstable angina in the presence of clinical treatment indicates lack of disease control, presence of critical coronary stenosis, or multivessel disease. Intravascular balloon is often indicated to stabilize the more unstable patients, as a bridging procedure for the more definitive treatment of the disease.

Acute myocardial infarction

Three contributions had a huge impact on the modern treatment of AMI: first, DeWood et al performed coronary angiography in patients with AMI and verified that 85% of the cases had evidence of occlusive coronary thrombosis in the first hours of onset; second, Rentrop et al demonstrated acute reperfusion with intracoronary infusion of streptokinase; third, Reimer et al demonstrated that, in dogs, coronary occlusion causes a wave of necrosis that progresses from the endocardium to the epicardium, in a few hours, and that flow restoration in the first three hours preserves part of the myocardium at risk. These anatomical and pathophysiological observations guide all established or under investigation treatment strategies.

Thrombolysis

More than 200,000 patients were studied in randomized clinical trials in order to test the efficiency of the thrombolytic treatment. Around 65,000 were randomized to compare thrombolysis with placebo or control treatment, and the rest was randomized to compare different thrombolytic drugs and regimens. Hospital mortality of AMI was reduced from around 15% to 8% with thrombolytic treatment. Despite this brilliant clinical result, the hypothesis of the open artery in the AMI had often been questioned. Initial studies on early coronary patency revealed that t-PA (tissue plasminogen activator) was more efficient than streptokinase. However, there was no difference in the mortality indices between these two thrombolytic agents in large-scale randomized studies, such as GISSI II and ISIS III. Then, the hypothesis that t-PA dose and administration regimen may have been inadequate in these studies appeared, leading to the speculation that t-PA superiority may have been masked. These considerations stimulated the design of GUSTO I (Global Utilization Strategies to Open Occluded Coronary Arteries). This clinical trial was enriched with an angiographic substudy investigating the relation between early coronary patency and clinical outcome. In GUSTO I, 41,021 patients were randomized for four different thrombolytic strategies: streptokinase plus intravenous or subcutaneous heparin, accelerated t-PA plus intravenous heparin, and streptokinase plus t-PA plus intravenous heparin. The regimen presenting the highest reperfusion index at 90 minutes was t-PA plus intravenous heparin. This was the regimen with the smallest mortality rate (6.3 versus 7.3 for streptokinase plus intravenous heparin, p=0.001). Mortality reduction was already evident after 24 hours. Normal coronary flow in angiography (TIMI III) at 90 minutes occurred in 54% with t-PA, versus 31% with streptokinase (p=0.001). However, there was no difference at 180 minutes (43% versus 38%, p=NS), suggesting that the difference between the two thrombolytic agents lies in the precocity of revascularization and not in the revascularization rate. These angiographic observations emphasize the importance of the precocity of coronary patency achieved by thrombolysis. The difference in 30-day mortality can be accurately anticipated (r²=0.92), based on the difference of the TIMI III flow index, at 90 minutes. The coronary artery patency related to the infarction was also associated with a higher late survival. Among the 12,864 patients of GUSTO I who underwent angiography, the mortality at one year was smaller when the artery was found patent than when it was closed: 3.3% versus 8.5% in the clinically treated patients, 2.5% versus 8.5% in those who underwent angioplasty, and 4.2% versus 9.6% in those who underwent myocardial revascularization. Early and thorough reperfusion not only reduces 30-day and one-year mortality, but it also results in decreased cardiac morbidity, with a smaller incidence of heart failure, atrial fibrillation, atrioventricular and intraventricular conducting disorders, and ventricular arrhythmia.

To confirm the open artery hypothesis, it was observed that reocclusion eliminates the benefit of the initially successful thrombolysis. In addition, independent from the thrombolytic regimen used, the 30-day mortality of the patients without revascularization or with only partial revascularization was 8.9% and of the patients with thorough revascularization was 4.0%.

GUSTO I is a landmark study in the modern treatment of AMI because it demonstrated that reperfusion obtained with thrombolysis preserves myocardium and lives, and that this benefit depends primarily on its capacity for early, thorough and maintained reperfusion.

The choice between streptokinase and t-PA, the two most commercially available thrombolytic agents, should involve consideration of the clinical benefits desired and the cost-effectiveness ratio. These considerations have led to the proposition of an individualized strategy determined by the initial risk stratification. The high risk markers for death are: age ≥ 65 years, female gender, previous ventricular...
lar dysfunction, anterior wall infarction, complete left bundle branch block, marked ST segment elevation, diabetes, heart rate ≥ 100 bpm, and systolic BP (SBP) < 100 mmHg at the moment of hospital admission. In patients with pain for less than 4 hours, the reperfusion speed is crucial, recommending the accelerated t-PA, except in patients with low risk of death (young people with inferior infarction) and high risk of cerebral hemorrhage (BP ≥ 170/110 mmHg). In these patients, t-PA and streptokinase are equivalent options, except for the higher cost of t-PA. For patients with pain between 4 and 12 hours, low risk of death, but high risk of cerebral hemorrhage (age > 65 years with inferior infarction, and SBP > 100 mmHg), streptokinase must be the thrombolytic agent of choice.

Ohman et al. demonstrated that early reocclusion determines deterioration of the ventricular function and doubles the rate of mortality. The incidence of reocclusion varies from 4.9% to 25%, depending on the thrombolytic agent and the adjunct therapy. Reocclusion until one year after reperfusion can occur in 25-30% of the early recanalized arteries. As late patency of the infarction-related artery is an independent prognostic factor, even silent late reocclusion can impair the long-term clinical outcome. The observation that reduction in mortality often surpasses the impact obtained in the degree of ventricular dysfunction stimulated the hypothesis that even late reperfusion can cause clinical benefits through mechanisms different from those of myocardial preservation. These mechanisms can be: flow provision for collateral circulation, electrical stability, reduction of wall stress and aneurysm formation, attenuation of ventricular remodeling and dilation. At least two studies confirmed that coronary arterial patency is an important predictor of late survival independent from ventricular function. In order to accelerate reperfusion, reduce the resistance to thrombolysis and prevent reocclusion, several new antithrombotic agents, such as direct antithrombinic agents, low-molecular-weight heparins and antiplatelet agents, are currently being tested in addition to new thrombolytic agents.

New thrombolytic agents, specifically the plasminogen activators, have been developed and have the following properties: longer plasmatic half-life, which allows bolus administration, higher specificity for fibrin, and higher resistance to the natural inhibitors of the thrombolytic agents, such as PAI-I. The following agents are in different phases of commercial availability or clinical investigation and have been compared in large-scale randomized studies with accelerated t-PA, the thrombolytic regimen considered the gold standard, and with streptokinase: reteplase or r-PA (INJECT and GUSTO III), lanoteplase or n-PA (InTIME-2), TNK-tPA (ASSENT-2), saruplase (COMPASS), staphylokinase and an alpha 1-plasminogen activator derived from the saliva of the snake Desmodus rotundus. GUSTO III demonstrated equivalence between t-PA and r-PA at the endpoints of death and disabling stroke. The final results of the studies InTIME-2 and ASSENT-2 are expected during the first semester of 1999.

All the studies search for the ideal thrombolytic agent, which should present the following properties: quick recanalization (15-30 minutes), recanalization index close to 100%, quick administration in a single bolus, low index of cerebral and systemic hemorrhagic complications, specificity for fresh thrombus, low index of reocclusion, sustained late patency, and reasonable cost.

The best available treatment for AMI is the early and immediate administration of the thrombolytic agent to all patients without contraindication, who seek the emergency room within 12 hours of symptom onset and have ST segment elevation or complete left bundle branch block, unless mechanical recanalization with coronary angioplasty is being considered to be safely initiated within 90 minutes of hospital arrival.

Coronary angioplasty

Coronary angioplasty can be used after thrombolysis failure or after successful thrombolysis to treat severe residual stenosis despite normal flow at rest. Angioplasty after unsuccessful thrombolysis, also known as rescue coronary angioplasty, was assessed in several small observational studies. Even though the success index of the procedure in restoring flow was 80%, the reocclusion index was 18% and mortality 10.6%. Considering these results, the RES-CUE Study was carried out. It randomized patients with anterior infarction treated with thrombolysis, without evidence of recanalization, for clinical conservative treatment and to rescue angioplasty. This study showed reduction of the composite endpoint of death and heart failure, in the group treated with angioplasty (16.4% versus 6.5%, p=0.05). This difference was not statistically significant in view of the low statistical power of the sample in detecting a difference that could be clinically significant. Therefore, despite lack of evidence based on a large-scale randomized clinical trial, rescue angioplasty has been the standard treatment when thrombolysis fails and angioplasty is available.

The verification that the reduced mortality obtained through thrombolysis is primarily related to its capacity for early and thorough recanalization, and the evidence that this occurs in more than half the patients treated with thrombolysis added to the fact that half of the patients are not eligible for thrombolysis, stimulated the investigation of mechanical recanalization through coronary angioplasty, an option designated direct or primary angioplasty in AMI.

Several small observational series verified an important reduction in mortality with primary angioplasty, leading to three randomized studies conducted and published simultaneously. These studies, which randomized 804 patients, compared different thrombolytic regimens with primary angioplasty in AMI and showed that mechanical recanalization offers a significant reduction in mortality (2.5% versus 6.4%, p<0.008), in infarction recurrence (2.0% versus 7.9%, p<0.001), in ischemia recurrence (10.6% versus 31.4%, p<0.0001), and in stroke (0.3% versus 2.5%, p<0.007). As these were small-scale studies, carried out in centers of
excellence in interventional cardiology, and none of them used the thrombolytic regimen considered the gold standard (accelerated t-PA), there was a considerable questioning in regard to the possibility of generalizing these results to common hospital institutions that had interventional cardiology services. This is a crucial point because AMI has a highly prevalent occurrence, causing 1.5 million to 2.0 million hospital admissions yearly all around the world. Based on these premises, GUSTO IIb was designed, randomizing 1,138 patients in 57 centers. In this study, the index of normal flow attainment (TIMI III) was 73%, quite inferior to the indexes >90% reported in the first three studies. The main analyzed variables showed statistically nonsignificant reductions of mortality (5.7% versus 7.0%, P=0.37), of the infarction recurrence index (4.5% versus 6.5%, P=0.13), and of stroke (0.2% versus 0.9%, P=0.11). When considered altogether, however, these variables occurred less frequently with coronary angioplasty (9.6% versus 13.7%, P=0.03).

In the most comprehensive available metaanalysis comprising 10 randomized studies and 2,606 patients, Weaver et al observed that primary angioplasty can be superior to thrombolysis because it offers lower mortality rate (4.4% versus 6.5%, P=0.02), associated with a lower recurrence of infarction (7.2% versus 11.9%, P<0.001), and a lower incidence of cerebral hemorrhage.

However, despite the higher efficiency of primary angioplasty evidenced in small and moderate randomized studies and in metaanalysis, two registries, NRMI-2 and MITI, did not confirm these results, even though the analysis comprised around 30,000 patients, 20% of whom were treated with angioplasty and the remaining with thrombolysis. Mortality in NRMI-2 was 5.4% versus 5.2%, and in MITI it was 5.6% versus 5.5% for angioplasty and thrombolysis, respectively.

Therefore, we can conclude that both recanalization methods still present important limitations. Thrombolysis is limited due to the following reasons: it restores normal flow in little more than half of the patients treated; only half of the patients are eligible for this treatment; fatal or disabling cerebral hemorrhage occurs in 1% of the patients treated this way; and there is a high index of recurrence of infarction and ischemia with this type of treatment. On the other hand, angioplasty is limited for the following reasons: it is not widely available (catheterization laboratories exist in 20% of the North American hospitals, 10% of the European hospitals, and 2% of the Brazilian hospitals); when available, there is a delay of more than two hours for the beginning of the procedure; there is infarction recurrence in 3-5% of the cases; there is recurrence of ischemia in 10-15% of the cases; there is angiographic restenosis in 30-50% of the cases; the target vessel needs revascularization in six months in 20% of the cases; and there is a great variability among operators and institutions.

Therefore, these limitations provide great opportunities for research with the two methods of recanalization aiming to treat the highest number of patients, in the smallest period of time, reducing immediate and mediate mortality and morbidity. The clinical research lines concentrate in three areas: search for the ideal thrombolytic agent and regimen; improvement of primary percutaneous revascularization by the use of stents and adjunct use of platelet glycoprotein IIbIIIa inhibitors; combination of thrombolysis and mechanical recanalization.

The main studies involving the new thrombolytic agents have already been mentioned. However, the newest concept in chemical revascularization is the association of thrombolytic agents in low doses with the potent platelet glycoprotein IIbIIIa inhibitors. The clinical exploration of this concept aims to make recanalization happen earlier, increase the recanalization index, promote a sustained recanalization, reduce the incidence of cerebral hemorrhage, and enable an earlier angioplasty to treat the postthrombolysis residual stenosis. Three pilot studies of efficiency and safety are in the final phase of analysis. The preliminary data have been positive in showing that a low dose of t-PA and abciximab (TIMI 14), a low dose of r-PA and abciximab (SPEED Trial or GUSTO IV Pilot), integrilin with a low dose of t-PA (INTRO AMI) produced recanalization indexes equal or superior to those obtained with the conventional dose of the thrombolytic agent, without increasing hemorrhagic complications. Therefore, these studies demonstrated that platelet glycoprotein IIbIIIa inhibition facilitates thrombolysis. Taking this into account, a study comprising 17,000 patients will compare two thrombolysis regimens (r-PA in conventional dose and r-PA in half of the conventional dose associated with abciximab) having as its main goal the analysis of 30-day mortality. This study is GUSTO IV AMI and has its recruiting phase planned for the beginning of 1999.

The improvement of primary mechanical recanalization has involved the use of primary stent with or without abciximab, the most exhaustively clinically tested inhibitor of platelet glycoprotein IIbIIIa, so far. The use of primary stent in infarction was tested in several small studies, such as FRESCO, GRAMI, PASTA, Stent PAMI Pilot, and the study of Suryapranata et al. Hospital and six-month results have proved the superiority of the stent in relation to angioplasty, especially for reducing the recurrence of infarction, the recurrence of ischemia, and the revascularization of the target vessel. The Stent PAMI Study, which randomized around 900 patients for the two techniques of mechanical recanalization, is in its final phase of analysis. The other investigation line of mechanical recanalization is its association with abciximab, and the Controlled Abciximab and Device Investigation to Lower Late Angioplasty Complications (CADILLAC) Study is in its recruiting phase of the 2,000 expected patients, who will be randomized into four groups to compare angioplasty versus stent, with and without the use of abciximab. Another ongoing study is the Abciximab Before Direct Angioplasty and Stenting in Myocardial Infarction Regarding Acute and Long-term Follow-up (ADMIRAL).

The most recent reperfusion strategy under study is the association of thrombolysis and mechanical recanalization. This strategy aims to maintain the advantage of easy
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and immediate administration of the thrombolytic agent in low dose, followed by angiography to document the state of flow and anatomical stratification. If the flow is adequate, the dose of the thrombolytic agent is completed and the patient is maintained under clinical treatment for six weeks, when an evaluation with an ischemic test is performed. If the flow is inadequate by angiography, angioplasty is performed. This study is called Plasminogen Activator Angioplasty Compatibility Trial (PACT), and its preliminary results have shown significant benefits in the preservation of the ventricular function.

Despite the unquestionable importance of the early and long-lasting flow obtainment in the infarction-related artery, more specific technologies to evaluate myocardial perfusion have shown that there often is dissociation between epicardial flow restoration and myocardial perfusion. Among these technologies we can cite: speed of resolution of the elevation of the ST segment 178, invasive measurement of the flow speed with Doppler wire 179, positron emission tomography 180, contrast echocardiogram with microbubbles 181,182. The extreme of that phenomenon is known as no-reflow phenomenon and it uncommonly complicates coronary angioplasty.

Conclusion

The recent advances in vascular biology and the findings of early coronary angiography and angioscopy have allowed the establishment of the erosion or rupture of a silent atherosclerotic plaque as the common denominator in AIsS, independent from its degree of stenosis. The plaque complication depends on extrinsic and intrinsic factors, and often, but not consistently, results in subocclusive or temporary occlusive coronary thrombosis, which leads to unstable angina or non-Q MI. When the coronary thrombosis is occlusive and long-lasting, the clinical repercussion is AMI. The difference between unstable angina and AMI is the extension of the plaque rupture and subsequent degree of thrombosis.

Unstable angina treatment aims to control the thrombosis process with antithrombotic agents and to reestablish the balance between oxygen supply and consumption with antiischemic agents. The antithrombotic agents, either the antiplatelet ones (acetylsalicylic acid, ticlopidine, or platelet glycoprotein IIbIIIa inhibitors) or the antithrombotic ones (unfractioned or low-molecular-weight heparin) are administered to avoid the progression to long-lasting occlusive thrombosis and consequent MI or death. The antiischemic agents (nitrates, beta-blockers, or calcium antagonists) are administered to abolish or treat the anginal crises.

AMI treatment aims at the immediate and stable reestablishment of the flow in the infarction-related artery. The most used therapeutic option to coronary recanalization is the intravenous administration of thrombolytic agents (streptokinase, TPA, or r-PA). Thrombolysis is effective in half of the patients and its main complication is the rare occurrence of fatal or disabling cerebral hemorrhage. In addition, the early or late reocclusion is frequent. The alternative to chemical recanalization is mechanical recanalization with primary angioplasty. Angioplasty is more effective than thrombolysis in reestablishing a thorough and more stable recanalization; however, it is less available and has logistical limitations in treating a disease with such a high prevalence as AMI.
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