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Objective - To test the hypothesis that left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) reduces the electrocardiographic and functional effects of right coronary artery occlusion.

Methods - We analysed 215 patients (166 males and 49 women, age of 58.9±10.6 years), with occlusion of the right coronary artery without other associated lesions. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in age and gender distribution between the 78 patients with LVH (left ventricular mass >100g/m²) (Group A) when compared with the 137 patients without LVH (left ventricular mass <100g/m²) (Group B).

Results - The electrocardiographic finding of transmural necrosis was more often found in group B patients than in group A patients (56.9% and 30.8%, respectively; p<0.05). The left ventricular function parameters of group A were better than those of group B: the ratio end-diastolic pressure/systolic pressure (EDP/SP) (A: 0.108±0.036; B: 0.121±0.050; p<0.05); the end-diastolic volume index (A: 75.9±31.3ml/m²; B: 88.0±31.0ml/m²; p<0.01); the end-systolic volume index (A: 16.0±10.0ml/m²; B: 27.0±20.0ml/m²; p<0.001); the ejection fraction (A 78.6±10.8%; B 67.7±17.9%; p<0.001); the anteroinferior shortening (A: 43.9±10.3%; B: 35.1±12.8%; p<0.001). A higher degree of coronary tortuosity was observed in group A than in group B (78.2% and 24.1%; p<0.001) and also a more frequent absent or minimal diaphragmatic hypokinetic area (A: 80.8%; B: 54.0%; p<0.05).

Conclusion - LVH reduces the effects of myocardial sequelae and protects LV function when right coronary occlusion develops.

Keywords: left ventricular hypertrophy, acute myocardial infarction, ischemic cardiopathy
patients with hypertrophy of the non-infarcted myocardium showed improvement of the rest and exercise ejection fraction (EF), but this did not happen to those who did not develop LVH. Therefore, the post-infarction LVH represented a beneficial myocardial adaptation. The group of Ginzton 16, studying AMI due to proximal occlusion of the anterior descending coronary artery in dogs, reported that after three and a half months the recovery of the post-infarction EF depends on a correlated increase of the left ventricular mass.

The objective of our study was to clarify possible clinical, electrocardiographic, hemodynamic, and cineangiographic differences between patients with isolated occlusion of the right coronary artery, with or without LVH.

Methods

This is a retrospective cohort study on 215 patients (166 males and 49 females, mean age 58.9±10.6 years) with proximal occlusion of the right coronary artery. These patients underwent a first coronary angiographic study in the catheterization and Interventional Cardiology Laboratory in 1992 and 1993. This cohort study is part of broader research on the prognosis of ischemic heart disease, which is being developed. Patients with surgical or percutaneous myocardial revascularization, with obstructive lesions >50% in the left coronary tree, and those with associated valvular lesions were excluded from the analysis. All patients underwent a left heart catheterization and coronary angiography more than one month after AMI, usually for risk stratification or due to post-infarction angina. The patients were divided into two groups as follows: group A – patients with LVH (left ventricular mass >100g/m²); group B – patients without LVH (left ventricular mass <100g/m²). The left ventricular mass was measured using the ventriculogram.

The ECGs of all patients were analysed and the following findings were considered evidence of LVH: R wave >20mm in V5 or V6; R wave >20mm in DI or DII; R wave >12mm in AVL; R wave in V5 or V6 + S wave in V1 >35mm. The necrosis was arbitrarily classified into three degrees, according to the possible transmural characteristic of the infarction estimated through Q wave amplitude in aVF lead, as follows: degree I – Q wave < 0.03s, amplitude > 20% of R wave; degree II – Q wave > 0.03s, amplitude < 50% of R wave; degree III – Q wave > 0.03s, amplitude ≥ 50% of R wave.

The measurements of the ventricular pressures and the left ventriculogram were performed at the beginning of the examination, prior to coronary angiography, using conventional techniques, and a Besi recorder and the Philips Polydagnostic DCI for coronary angiography. For the calculation of ventricular volumes, 40mL of ionic contrast medium (Meglumine) was injected into the LV in right anterior oblique view at 35°. The following parameters of LV were determined in all patients: systolic pressure (SP) and end-diastolic pressure (EDP) in mmHg; end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes (EDV and ESV, respectively) in mL; EF of LV and anteroinferior shortening (AIS) in %; left ventricular mass in g/m². The measurement of the ventricular volumes was performed through the delimitation of the silhouette of the LV by planimetry and applying the formula of Dodge corrected by Kennedy 18. For determining the left ventricular mass, the method of Rackley 19 was used, and a perfect visualization of the cardiac silhouette was considered essential. Nineteen out of the 234 original patients were excluded from the analysis because their delimitations of the cardiac silhouette were not considered precise. In addition to the usual coronary angiographic analysis, coronary tortuosity was evaluated through the tortuosity index (TI) using curvimeter 9 and was classified into two degrees, as follows: degree I – TI ≤ 1.1; degree II – TI > 1.1. The repercussion in the LV of the right coronary artery occlusion was evaluated through the area of contractile abnormality obtained by planimetry after remodeling or normalization of the systolic silhouette 17. According to this area, the abnormalities were classified as follows: absence of or minimum hypokinesia (asynergic area ≤ 1.5cm², or 5% of the diastolic area); moderate or severe hypokinesia (asynergic area > 1.5cm²), with or without akinesia, dyskinesia, or aneurysm.

In regard to the statistical analysis, the database was formed using blind data, and the analysis was performed through the Statgraphics 5.0 program. The quantitative variables were summarized through their means and standard deviation, and the Student’s t test was used for comparison of the means in two independent series. The analysis of the qualitative data, expressed by their frequencies and percentages, was performed by comparison of the proportions in the two groups of patients through the chi-square test or, when convenient, through the Z test for comparison of percentages. The probabilistic values <5% (p<0.05) were considered significant.

Results

The values of left ventricular mass, which was the criterium for placement of patients in groups A or B, are shown on table I.

The distributions of age and gender of the patients in groups A and B were not statistically different (p>0.05), nor was the prior diagnosis of AMI. In group A, the body surface and the incidence of SAH were significantly higher (table II).

The characterization of LVH by means of ECG, according to the criteria proposed, occurred in 26 patients (33.3%)
in group A, and in none of the patients in group B. Findings of necrosis through the relation Q/R in aVF occurred in 133 patients (61.8% of the total) and was more severe in those in group B (p<0.05), where the occurrences of absence of necrosis or minimum necrosis (degree I) and severe necrosis (degree III) (p<0.05) were compared. The moderate necrosis (degree II) had a similar incidence in both groups (p>0.05) (table III).

Table IV shows that ventricular SP and EDP were not statistically different (p>0.05) in groups A and B. The different values (p<0.05 to <0.001) were the following: the relation EDP/SP, the indexes of end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume, the EF and the anteroinferior shortening of the LV.

In group A, the percentage of patients with normal or decreased coronary tortuosity (degree I) was significantly smaller than the percentage of patients with moderate to severe tortuosity (degree II), but in group B it was the opposite (p<0.001) (table V). There was no significant difference in the presence of collateral flow from the left coronary tree to the right one (88.4% in group A and 83.2% in group B; p>0.05).

Table VI shows that group A had a higher proportion of absence of hypokinetic area or minimum hypokinetic area than group B (p<0.05). The opposite occurred with moderate or severe hypokinesia and dyskinesia (p<0.05).

Figures 1 and 2 present the relations between left ventricular mass, necrosis, asynergy in the infarcted area, and behavior of left ventricular function.

Discussion

The presence of LVH in patients with coronary occlusion or AMI is a frequent possibility, not always remembered, because one can lead to the other and SAH can be common to both. The criterion of division into groups according to ventricular mass (> or <100g/m²) allowed the separation of two populations with normal distribution and aleatory degrees of left ventricular mass (table I).

Gender distribution and mean age of the patients (table II) were similar (p>0.05) in the groups with and without LVH. Other studies report older age in patients with LVH. Diagnosis of SAH occurred in 69.2% of patients with LVH and in 46.7% of patients without LVH, confirming that SAH is prevalent (p<0.05) in both pathophysiological situations (LVH and AMI).

### Table II – Clinical data of the patients studied and statistical significance of the differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Males n (%)</td>
<td>58 (74.4%)</td>
<td>108 (78.8%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females n (%)</td>
<td>20 (25.6%)</td>
<td>29 (21.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>M ± DP</td>
<td>58.6±12.9</td>
<td>59.1±9.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS (m²)</td>
<td>M ± DP</td>
<td>1.89±0.20</td>
<td>1.83±0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>54 (69.2%)</td>
<td>64 (46.7%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>42 (53.8%)</td>
<td>91 (66.4%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BS- body surface; SAH- systemic arterial hypertension; AMI- acute myocardial infarction; M- mean; sd- standard deviation; NS- not significant.

### Table III – Hemodynamic data and statistical significance of the differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP (mmHg)</td>
<td>151.0±35.0</td>
<td>143.0±28.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP (mmHg)</td>
<td>15.9±5.3</td>
<td>17.0±6.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP/SP (%)</td>
<td>10.8±3.6</td>
<td>12.1±5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDVI (ml/m²)</td>
<td>75.9±31.3</td>
<td>88.0±31.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESVI (ml/m²)</td>
<td>16.0±10.0</td>
<td>27.0±20.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (%)</td>
<td>78.6±10.8</td>
<td>67.7±17.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS (%)</td>
<td>43.9±10.3</td>
<td>35.1±12.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed in mean ± sd; SP- systolic pressure; EDP- end-diastolic pressure; EDVI- end-diastolic volume index; ESVI- end-systolic volume index; EF- ejection fraction; AIS- anteroinferior shortening; NS- not significant.

### Table IV – Coronary tortuosity degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I*</td>
<td>17 21.8</td>
<td>61 78.2</td>
<td>78 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II*</td>
<td>104 75.9</td>
<td>33 24.1</td>
<td>137 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.001; I- coronary tortuosity by curvimetry up to 1.1; II- coronary tortuosity by curvimetry >1.1.

### Table V – Coronary tortuosity degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinesia Absent or Minimum</td>
<td>63 80.8</td>
<td>14 17.9</td>
<td>1 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinesia Moderate or Severe</td>
<td>74 54.0</td>
<td>58 42.4</td>
<td>5 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; Absent or minimum; Moderate or severe.

### Table VI – Degrees of the left ventricle asynergy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Hypokinesia Absent or Minimum</th>
<th>Hypokinesia Moderate or Severe</th>
<th>Dyskinesia</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>63 80.8</td>
<td>14 17.9</td>
<td>1 1.3</td>
<td>78 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>74 54.0</td>
<td>58 42.4</td>
<td>5 3.6</td>
<td>137 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although LV SP and EDP were not significantly different (p>0.05) in the two groups, the EDP/SP ratio, which better expresses LV functionality than does isolated EDP, was significantly smaller (p<0.05) in group A, indicating once again a better functional capacity. The smaller values of diastolic volume and mainly of end-systolic volume in group A than in group B (p<0.001) also confirm this. This behavior is responsible for an increased EF in group A (p<0.001). It is still a matter of speculation as to what degree this functional behavior can influence a prognosis and clinical behavior.

One can admit that the larger caliber and tortuosity of the coronary arteries represent an expansion of the tree to better nourish the increased ventricular mass. In our series of patients, this fact is confirmed because coronary tortuosity was significantly more frequent (p<0.001) in group A than in group B. The smaller regional motion abnormality we observed due to right coronary occlusion in patients with LVH is not emphasized in the literature. The only reference was that hypertrophy is proportional to the degree of necrosis caused by the obstruction. It is worth supposing that the better function in these cases can represent a favorable short- and long-term prognosis.

Several authors postulate that the justification for the beneficial role of LVH could rest in the larger muscle mass adjacent to the necrotic process, capable of confining the necrosis to the subendocardium and median myocardium. The smallest size of the infarction is demonstrated by the following: smaller rate of enzymatic elevation in patients with AMI and LVH, in comparison to those with AMI without LVH, greater percentage of patients with LVH among those with non-Q wave infarction than in the general infarcted population.

The explanation for a tissue structure, which has increased oxygen consumption and decreased coronary reserve, to develop a smaller infarcted area when after a coronary occlusion has been attributed to the development of an effective intra- and intercoronary collateral flow, according to studies carried out in animals and humans. Since in our groups the majority of the cases presented collateral flow, this was not a differentiating factor. However, the significant difference can rest not only in the presence but in the degree, in the microvasculature, and/or in the moment when the AMI occurs, and not more than one month thereafter. It is speculated that the harmful effects attributed to hypertrophy are not directly dependent on its presence, but on the disorders causing the circulatory stress established by variegated stimuli such as neuronal, humoral and metabolic stimuli. These effects can also contribute to facilitating phenomena of cardiac events, among which LVH would be a mere marker. A confirmed mechanism of overload and risk for coronary events is the increase in heart rate, which frequently is part of the same disorder of adrenergic hyperactivity. This hyperactivity is present in the majority of the hypertensive patients and has also been recognized as a factor that might facilitate the
occurrence of coronary events. On the other hand, pronounced degrees of LVH can determine significant changes in ventricular compliance and contribute to the development of heart failure and arrhythmias.

To conclude, we can say: 1) the absence of a previous clinical diagnosis of AMI is a common finding in right coronary artery occlusion, and it is more frequent in patients with LVH; 2) the localized sequela is significantly smaller in patients with LVH than in those without LVH; 3) patients with LVH show a superior left ventricular functional performance than those without LVH after the development of right coronary occlusion. Therefore, the disorder due to the presence of LVH should be dissociated from the consequence of its determining pathophysiological processes. In the therapeutic control of such situations, LVH might be seen as a marker of continuing circulatory stress, with positive or negative consequences, depending on individual characteristics.
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