Objective - Growing evidence suggests that sudden death after an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) correlates with autonomic nervous system imbalance. Parasympathomimetic drugs have been tested to reverse these changes. However, their effects on ventricular function need specific evaluation. Our objective was to analyze pyridostigmine’s (PYR) effect on hemodynamic and echocardiographic variables of ventricular function.

Methods - Twenty healthy volunteers underwent Doppler echocardiographic evaluations, blood pressure (BP), and heart rate (HR) assessment at rest, before and 120 min after ingestion of 30 mg PYR or placebo, according to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossed and randomized protocol, on different days.

Results - PYR was well tolerated and did not cause alterations in BP or in ventricular systolic function. A reduction in HR of 10.9±1.3% occurred (p<0.00001). There was an A wave reduction in the mitral flow (p<0.01) and an E/A ratio increase (p<0.001) without changes in the other diastolic function parameters (p>0.05).

Conclusion - PYR reduces HR and increases E/A ratio, without hemodynamic impairment or ventricular function change.
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During the past decades, great progress has been made in the treatment of coronary heart disease primarily because of the evolution of the therapeutic arsenal. β-blockers, for instance, have been shown in some studies to cause a significant reduction in mortality, mainly through a reduction in sudden death 1-3. These effects are due to a decrease in myocardial O2 consumption and additionally to the blockade of postinfarction adrenergic hyperactivity 4. Recent studies have identified subgroups under greater risk of sudden death through the use of high resolution electrocardiography, continuous electrocardiographic monitoring and baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) analysis in order to stratify postinfarction risk 5-8. In addition to the dysautonomia due to adrenergic hyperactivity, a concomitant vagal hyperactivity may be present, expressed by reduction in RR variability (RRV) and BRS 9-10. From its initial correlation with sudden death 11, and after experimental 12 and clinical 13 evidence of its prognostic value became available, vagal hypoactivity has come to be considered an independent risk factor for postinfarction sudden death 7,14,15. As a result, therefore, interest has arisen in the therapeutic action of medicines modulating the parasympathetic system, in particular, effects on the action of acetylcholine (Ach). In 1993, four groups 16-19 published the first studies on the effects of scopolamine, a cholinomimetic agent in low doses, on the vagal tonus of postinfarction patients. All the studies showed vagomimetic effect through the reduction of the heart rate (HR) and increase of the VRR and/or BRS, but the possible protective effect of scopolamine on morbidity and mortality remains to be evaluated. Despite the positive results achieved, scopolamine is inconvenient because its cholinergic agonist action is present only in low doses and the opposite (blocking) effect occurs in greater doses 20. This fact makes it difficult to determine the right dose in patients needing it, because it may cause a potentially deleterious cholinergic blockade. Moreover, no scopolamine studies in postinfarction patients have adequately reported its effects on ventricular systolic and diastolic functions, which are fundamental variables in the coronary disease patient population.
Pyridostigmine (PYR) seems to be more suitable for use in postinfarction patients. As a reversible acetylcholinesterase (AchE) inhibitor, atropine being its antidote, PYR has a dose-dependent cholinomimetic action, allowing dose setting according to individual patient response. In addition to the large amount of experience accumulated by its use in myasthenia gravis (MG) and prophylaxis of intoxication by organophosphates (chemical war weapons: sarin, soman and tabun), PYR does not penetrate the central nervous system, may be administered by oral via and has few side effects, which are all great advantages in comparison with scopolamine. In Brazil, Nóbrega et al., considering the potential of PYR use in postinfarction patients, has documented the reflex and rest responses of HR to cholinergic stimulation produced by administering a single dose of PYR to healthy volunteers. Despite the theoretically negative inotropic effect of these agents being less intense, due to reduced ventricular vagal innervation, specific evaluation is necessary. The objective of this study was to evaluate PYR’s effect on BP, HR, systolic and diastolic functions by Doppler echocardiography, and the drug tolerance in healthy individuals at rest.

Methods

Twenty healthy volunteers underwent fasting Doppler echocardiographic evaluations immediately before and 120 min after ingestion of 30 mg PYR bromide or placebo, according to a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossed and randomized protocol, on different randomly selected days, with a seven day minimum interval. We measured BP and HR (three times each) immediately after each evaluation. Thus, four value conditions were established (pre-placebo, post-placebo, pre-PYR and post-PYR measures), each volunteer being his own control (crossed protocol). All volunteers were informed of the study objectives, methodology and risks, signing, thereafter, a formal written consent. We used the following inclusion criteria: normal physical examination, electrocardiogram and Doppler echocardiogram. Exclusion criteria were: a previous history of heart disease, diabetes mellitus, asthma or bronchitis, known hypersensitivity to PYR bromide, use of drugs with effects on the cardiovascular or autonomic nervous system (ANS) and pregnancy. The study was approved by UFF’s Comitê de Ética da Pós-Graduação (Post-Graduation Ethics Committee).

Ninety-five percent of the group analyzed were volunteers involved in biomedical activities (university students, graduates, university teachers), 8 (40%) were female, 17 (85%) were white and 3 (15%) were nonwhite, mean age was 25±5 years, mean weight was 70±13 kg, mean height was 1.7±0.1 m, and mean body surface was 1.83±0.19 m². The Doppler echocardiographic analysis was performed by only one observer, who did not know the applied condition (placebo or drug). An ATL Apogee CX200 was used with a 2.5 MHz sectorial mechanical transducer and simultaneous electro-cardiographic record. Each volunteer’s evaluation was immediately preceded by a 10 min dorsal decubitus rest in the examination bed, aiming to attain a hemodynamic return to basal conditions.

An average of five measurements was obtained for each parameter. Ventricular diameter measurements were obtained according to the standardization established at the Penn Convention. The following parameters were obtained: ejection fraction (EF), fractional shortening of the left ventricle (ΔD), cardiac index and output, end-systolic stress and thickening, isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT), velocity of the early filling of the mitral inflow (ΔE), velocity of the late filling of the mitral inflow (ΔA), E/A ratio, mitral deceleration time of the E wave (DT), and left ventricle (LV) myocardial stiffness (Ks). BP, HR and Doppler echocardiographic evaluation data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA variance analysis for repeated measures. Whenever statistical significance was found, Tukey’s procedure was used to compare and contrast differences in the mean values obtained. Moreover, for HR analysis, percent variations were obtained between pre- and post-values, in placebo and PYR conditions, which were compared using Student’s t test for paired measures. For all tests, the value p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

One volunteer developed myofasciculations and piloerection related to PYR, which was self-limited and did not need any sort of intervention. There were no hemodynamic changes or anything representing a risk to the subjects. One volunteer had an episode of self-limited and fugacious lipothymia, which he attributed to the prolonged fasting required for the procedure. At that time, he was in the PYR action peak, with a HR of 44 bpm and BP of 100 x 60 mmHg.

There was no statistically significant difference among the four study conditions for systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HR showed an average reduction of 7.1 bpm post-PYR (57.8 bpm ± 1.9 SE) compared with pre-PYR (64.6 bpm ± 2.1 SE), which was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05 – ANOVA) (fig. 1).

When HR was analyzed according to the respective control percent variation, placebo and PYR showed average reductions of 2.3% ± 1.2 SE and 10.9% ± 1.3 SE, respectively (figs. 2 and 3). Student’s paired t test revealed the difference to be markedly statistically significant (p<0.0001).

There was no significant change in ventricular diameters and volumes or in ventricular systolic function indices, such as EF, ΔD, cardiac index and output. End-systolic stress and thickening indices likewise remained unchanged. No statistically significant change in IVRT, DT and Ks occurred. A significant reduction in the A wave post-PYR (fig. 4) occurred compared with the other conditions (p<0.001). E wave tended to increase, but its increase did not reach statistical significance.
E/A ratio showed a significant increase post-PYR (fig. 5) compared with the other conditions (p<0.001).

Straight line regressions were determined from pre-/post-placebo and pre-/post-PYR, correlating HR to A wave and HR to E/A ratio variations, regardless of the changes secondary to HR reduction. It was demonstrated that, for any given HR, the post-PYR condition has the smallest A wave and the largest E/A ratio among the four conditions. In addition to upper displacement, there is a greater slope in its straight line, indicating still greater E/A values for smaller HR values.

**Discussion**

The importance of HR reduction in coronary artery disease has been reported in studies that determined the effectiveness of β-blockers in reducing morbidity and mortality [38]. Also in congestive heart failure (CHF), HR was identified as an independent prognostic factor, reflecting abnormal sympathetic nervous system activation [39]. Additionally, ventricular function improvement in patients using amiodarone was ascribed to amiodarone-induced HR reduction [40]. Evidence exists that HR reduction might, by itself, improve ventricular function in this population [41,42]. On the other hand, several agents used in CHF treatment, by increasing EF and functional capacity, may not necessarily increase survival [43-45].

PYR has arisen as a medicamentous treatment option in HR reduction. Its pharmacological profile seems attractive, when considering its use in CHF or coronary artery disease patients, in particular the absence of effects on ventricular systolic function and peripheral resistance. In addition, its action on the ANS has been broadly established from its use in MG patients, as well as its safety profile [46]. Its capability to promote improvements in the markers of dysautonomia in patients with heart diseases, still remains to be determined, as well as its impact on morbidity and mortality indices in this population. This study was a first approach in this direction, aiming to corroborate its safety profile with regards to hemodynamic and ventricular function variables.

The present study shows that PYR is well tolerated, and does not have severe side effects in the dose used, which corroborates the literature [47-49]. As in the study conducted by Nóbrega et al [26], PYR caused HR reduction in subjects (p<0.05) - this is particularly true in the present study, where HR decreased in all subjects. Despite the significant HR reduction in the post-PYR condition, there was no corresponding change in cardiac index and output, probably due to a trend of stroke volume increase (p=NS). Similar hemodynamic behavior was shown in an experimental study in dogs [50].

The A wave reduction was statistically significant (p<0.01). This reduction, associated with a nonsignificant trend of E wave increase, resulted in a still greater increase in E/A in the post-PYR condition (p<0.001).

From interpreting PYR-induced mitral flow changes, we identified three mechanisms for E/A ratio increase: 1) improvement in ventricular relaxation, 2) secondary to HR reduction, and 3) secondary to a reduction in left atrial inotropism.

Although the mitral flow E/A ratio increase might indicate improvement in ventricular relaxation, other factors may also cause this effect. Indeed, the present study does not support the inference that PYR has an action on ventricular relaxation, because IVRT, DT or K\textsuperscript{LV} changes did not occur. However, we have found a study ascribing to PYR an improvement in LV relaxation in hypertensive patients [51].

Harrison et al [52] have shown an inverse correlation between HR and E/A ratio in healthy subjects. One may, therefore, conclude that HR reduction with PYR has been responsible for the increase in the E/A ratio. However, A
wave values were always lower in the post-PYR condition for any given HR value. These findings indicate that, in addition to the expected A wave and E/A ratio variations derived from HR variation, there seems to be an additional factor to these changes, possibly a reduction in atrial inotropism, as previously shown in animal patterns.

Because of their rich vagal innervation, the atria may have their contractility experimentally reduced by 100%, both by efferent fibers and pharmacological stimulation. Considering that the PYR dose used is well known as sufficient to result in significant AchE activity reduction, one may expect some negative atrial inotropic effects, which could not be measured by the methods used in the present study.

Despite the potential implications of a negative atrial inotropic effect, several studies have shown there is no performance reduction in various situations demanding an increase in cardiac output (CO).

Further studies will be able to validate these findings in populations more dependent on atrial contraction for CO maintenance, as in patients with ischemic or hypertensive heart disease.

Based on this study, we may infer the following clinical implications: 1) PYR may be considered, with a reasonable safety margin, for future studies in other clinical conditions, such as ischemic heart disease, aiming particularly to analyze its hemodynamic and autonomic effects, 2) the PYR effects on HR may be analyzed by other studies, comparing it, for instance, with β-blockers, in patients with heart failure, since PYR seems to have a smaller negative inotropic effect, 3) assuming that favorable results of the above mentioned considerations will be obtained, one may evaluate the impact of PYR on morbidity and mortality indices in these populations.

In conclusion, this study allows us to infer that, in a population of young healthy subjects at rest, in the dose used, PYR is well tolerated and does not change the overall heart pump function. Specifically, PYR does not change BP, does reduce HR, does not change ventricular systolic function, and produces a reduction in A wave, as well as an increase in the E/A ratio.
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