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Objective - We conducted a comparative analysis of the in-hospital outcomes of patients who underwent primary percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTCA) or stent implantation because of an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) related to an acute vein graft occlusion.

Methods - Since 1991 the Brazilian Society of Hemodynamic and Interventional Cardiology has maintained a large database (CENIC). From these, we selected all consecutive patients, who underwent primary PTCA or stenting in the first 24 hours of AMI, with the target vessel being an occluded vein graft. Immediate results and major coronary events occurring up until hospital discharge were analyzed.

Results - During this period, 5,932 patients underwent primary PTCA or stenting; 158 (3%) of the procedures were performed because of an acute vein graft occlusion. Stenting was performed in 74 (47%) patients. Patients treated with stents had a higher success rate and lower mean residual stenosis compared with those who underwent primary balloon PTCA. The incidence of reinfarction and death were similar for stenting and balloon PTCA.

Conclusion - Primary percutaneous treatment of AMI related to acute vein graft occlusion is still an uncommon practice. Primary stenting improved luminal diameter and offered higher rates of success; however, this strategy did not reduce the in-hospital reinfarction and death rate, compared with that occurring with PTCA treatment.

Keywords: coronary angioplasty, bypass, myocardial infarction, stents

The acute and long-term outcomes of catheter-based reperfusion therapy using the well-known methods of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) and coronary stenting for the treatment of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) have already been validated 1-2.

Since 1985, intracoronary stenting has been progressively used in the daily routine of invasive cardiology centers, both in Brazil and worldwide, because it provides better acute and late results 3. Many randomized studies had already demonstrated the safety and efficacy of intracoronary stenting for the treatment of patients during the first 12 hours of AMI 4-5.

These randomized studies tested primary coronary stenting in native coronary arteries. Patients with prior bypass surgery who presented with AMI related to the occlusion of saphenous vein grafts (SVG) were systematically excluded. Historical data analyzing patients with occlusion of SVG that received intravenous thrombolysis demonstrate a lower rate of successful reperfusion, probably related to the great amount of thrombus mixed with atherosclerotic debris located inside this grafts that reduces the blood flow velocity and prevents better results 6-8.

The contemporary goal of catheter-based reperfusion techniques is to widen the range of patients who may benefit from these methods. One of these subgroups is the patient who has undergone previous bypass surgery because of occlusion of the SVG 9,10.

The cumulative data regarding this angiographic subgroup of patients is scarce and available primarily for those who received balloon angioplasty. The acute results were significantly inferior when compared with results from patients who underwent primary PTCA of native coronary arteries, including the success and mortality rates 10-12. Until now, no data exist about the application of stenting for the treatment of SVG after the first hours of AMI onset. However, the number of patients who undergo bypass...
Methods

From January 1996 through December 1999, the CENIC database registry received spontaneous contributions from 215 invasive cardiologists, who reported 50,113 consecutive procedures of percutaneous coronary intervention performed in 185 different Brazilian hospitals in all geographic regions of our country. A specific data base sheet reporting the clinical, angiographic, and procedural results was completed by each physician and sent to the CENIC database coordinating center.

We analyzed the data sheets for primary PTCA during the first 24 hours of AMI without previous infusion of thrombolitics, and treating, as the culprit infarct vessel, an SVG. From the original 50,113 patients, 5,932 (12%) received primary PTCA procedures, and 158 (3%) underwent mechanical reperfusion of an SVG, either with balloon or stenting.

The pharmacological and invasive procedures were performed according to the daily routine of each center, and coronary stents were implanted according to the techni-
cian’s discretion.

The global analysis of the files demonstrated that more than 90% of these patients received aspirin and ticlopidine (PO) when stents were implanted plus intravenous heparin. All the stents were implanted with final balloon pressures higher than 10 atm. The measurement of left ventricular function and severity of coronary artery stenoses were qualitative. Procedural success was defined as a residual stenosis less than 50% with TIMI 2 or 3 flow. The major complications, occurrence of reinfarction, new bypass surgery, or death, were analyzed after the end of the in-hospital phase. A comparative analysis was performed of balloon versus stenting, regarding the occurrence of procedural success and major complications after the procedure.

The categorical variables are depicted as absolute numbers and their percentages, and the continuous variables are depicted as averages and standard deviation.

We used the Student t test to analyze the continuous variables and the chi-square test to analyze the categorical variables, with Fisher’s exact test applied when necessary.

Table I - Clinical profile between the groups analyzed, primary stenting or balloon angioplasty, performed during acute myocardial infarction, for the treatment of occluded saphenous vein grafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Stent</th>
<th>PTCA</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>56 (76%)</td>
<td>60 (71%)</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>64.5±14</td>
<td>63.2±12</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range (years)</td>
<td>44 - 83</td>
<td>44 - 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>16 (22%)</td>
<td>22 (26%)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PTCA</td>
<td>33 (47%)</td>
<td>35 (42%)</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time bypass surgeryAMI (years)</td>
<td>7.1±3</td>
<td>6.5±4</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior MI</td>
<td>31 (42%)</td>
<td>33 (39%)</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTCA- balloon percutaneous transluminal angioplasty; AMI- acute myocardial infarction.

Results

Of the 158 data sheets included in the analysis, 84 (53%) were for patients who underwent balloon and 74 (47%) for patients who underwent stenting. The use of coronary stents during AMI increased steadily from 1996 until 1999. The percentage of their use grew by 20, 34, 49 and 55%, respectively, in the four years analyzed.

The clinical profiles of these patients are demonstrated in table I. The demographics are similar. More than 70% of the patients were male, 25% were diabetic, and nearly 50% had already undergone previous elective angioplasty. The mean time since the bypass operation and the occurrence of AMI was 7.1 years for stenting and 6.5 years for balloon angioplasty. The former AMI was located in the wall anterior in 42% of the stent group and in 39% of the balloon group. Neither variable was statistically significant.

The angiographic characteristics are displayed in table II. In both groups, 71% of the patients presented with triple-vessel coronary heart disease. The number of patients of angioplasty group (74%) with impairment of left ventricular function (ejection fraction <45%) was significantly greater in balloon compared to those undergone to coronary stenting (55%, p=0.016). Patients who underwent balloon angioplasty also had a more significant rate of thrombus-containing lesions. No other differences were noted. The SVGs were anastomosed to the left anterior descending artery in 40% of the patients and lesions with a length greater than 10mm were present in more than 60% of the patients.

Abciximab infusion was used in 15% of the stent patients and in 11% of the balloon angioplasty patients. The mean average of the stent diameter was 3.51mm, with a mean length of 22.6mm. The operators implanted slotted-tube or multicellular stents in the majority of the patients (95%) (table III).

The in-hospital results are demonstrated in table IV. Patients who underwent primary stenting had a significantly higher success rate compared with those patients who received balloon angioplasty (97 vs. 81%, p=0.001, respectively). The mean final diameter stenosis
was also significantly reduced with coronary stenting (15 vs. 40%, p=0.0001). The occurrence of major complications was quite similar between the two groups, either the reinfarction (stent, 8 vs. balloon angioplasty, 7%, p=0.526) or death rates (stent, 7 vs. balloon angioplasty, 8%, p=0.474). No reoperations were necessary in either group.

**Discussion**

We performed a comparative analysis of the in-hospital results obtained in patients with previous bypass surgery who presented for treatment within 24 hours of AMI onset plus had occlusion of an SVG who underwent primary stenting or balloon angioplasty. The cohort analyzed was part of a large consecutive nonselected nationwide database registry of percutaneous coronary intervention (CENIC).

The analysis of these results was relevant because of the growing potential of this population of patients who already have significant coronary heart disease, and have had a previous bypass operation. Also, it is important to take into account that on average 4% of these vein grafts become occluded per year, associated with an annual MI rate of 3% 13-15.

This subgroup of patients comprises a high-risk subgroup of AMI patients. These patients may benefit most from catheter-based reperfusion techniques during the first 24 hours of AMI 10-12. The previous data for these patients remains unclear, and very limited data have been presented. The dilemma regarding the better therapeutic approach to this AMI population remains a challenge. Much of the difficulties are related to the cause and pathogenesis of the occluded SVG 6,15. Intravenous thrombolysis is not an efficacious method for obtaining SVG reperfusion, with a lower rate of TIMI 3 flow reestablishment. Vein grafts usually become occluded with a great amount of thrombus and atherosclerotic debris, which causes extra difficulty in reopening them. The flow is usually slow and the downstream dislodgment of this friable material promotes sluggish or even a no-flow phenomenon. In the angiographic substudy of the randomized trial GUSTO I (Global Utilization of Streptokinase and TPA for Occluded Arteries) 8, 48 the procedure had a rate of 3% 13-15.

This subgroup of patients comprises a high-risk subgroup of AMI patients. These patients may benefit most from catheter-based reperfusion techniques during the first 24 hours of AMI 10-12. The previous data for these patients remains unclear, and very limited data have been presented. The dilemma regarding the better therapeutic approach to this AMI population remains a challenge. Much of the difficulties are related to the cause and pathogenesis of the occluded SVG 6,15. Intravenous thrombolysis is not an efficacious method for obtaining SVG reperfusion, with a lower rate of TIMI 3 flow reestablishment. Vein grafts usually become occluded with a great amount of thrombus and atherosclerotic debris, which causes extra difficulty in reopening them. The flow is usually slow and the downstream dislodgment of this friable material promotes sluggish or even a no-flow phenomenon. In the angiographic substudy of the randomized trial GUSTO I (Global Utilization of Streptokinase and TPA for Occluded Arteries) 8, 48 the procedure had a rate of 3% 13-15.

The use of balloon angioplasty to treat stenosis located in SVGs also has some limitations. The obtainment of TIMI 3 flow is lower because of the great amount of thrombus usually found. The occurrence of elastic recoil when the lesion is located in the aorto-ostial segment is another potential cause of failure or final suboptimal result 18. Older vein grafts with more degenerated atherosclerotic debris, increase the risk of downstream embolization with plugging of the distal coronary microvasculature, an angiographic complication very difficult to treat 6,8,10,12. As a consequence of all these difficulties, less than 200 AMI patients with occlusion of SVGs were analyzed in previous studies 19.
The largest experience with primary balloon angioplasty for the treatment of occluded SVGs during AMI comes from a subgroup analysis of the international randomized study PAMI 2 (Primary Angioplasty in Acute Myocardial Infarction) 12. From the initial 1,100 patients included in the trial, only 32 (2.9%) underwent primary angioplasty of an SVG during AMI. The in-hospital results were quite similar to those observed in the CENIC registry data. In the PAMI 2 trial, angioplasty were performed less often when the culprit vessel was an SVG (72 vs. 90%, p=0.001) compared with native coronary arteries. TIMI 3 flow was observed less in vein grafts than in native arteries (70 vs. 94%, p<0.00001), and as a result, the death rate was higher in the SVG group compared with the native artery group (9.4 vs. 2.5%, p=0.02). Historical consecutive series of primary balloon angioplasty procedures performed for an occlusion of an SVG has already demonstrated a lower success rate, when compared with that in the treatment of native coronary arteries (86 vs. 94%, p=0.0001) 11.

Primary coronary stenting is a more recent approach to treating patients during AMI, offering better acute and one-year follow-up results, compared with that for balloon PTCA 15.

Large nationwide database registries, like the CENIC, allow the analysis of real-world results, not always observed in randomized controlled trials. The CENIC registry combines a consecutive nonselected series of AMI patients, treated by 185 different invasive physicians, in centers with a higher and lower volume of PTCA procedures. Because the presence of an occluded SVG is a systematic exclusion in randomized studies, a contemporary analysis of large registries will help us in defining the best catheter-based reperfusion technique for this subgroup of patients.

The analysis revealed that the treatment of SVG during AMI is still an uncommon procedure, being only 3% of all primary angioplasty procedures performed in the last four years. Primary stenting was the strategy selected by the Brazilian invasive cardiologists in nearly half of the patients. The clinical and angiographic profile of the patients revealed a group with moderate to severe complexity. The mean age is higher than expected with extensive coronary heart disease plus a significant reduction in left ventricular function. The mean time from the bypass operation until the occurrence of the former AMI is greater than 6 years resulting in more degenerated SVGs. The culprit stenoses were more diffuse, and more than 90% of them exhibited an initial vein graft with TIMI grade flow 0. The patients selected for stenting had stenosis with less thrombus, according to the judgment of the invasive physicians.

The group of patients selected for primary stenting had a higher success rate with lower residual stenosis when compared with those who underwent primary balloon angioplasty. However, despite this initial favorable geometric impact, the occurrence of major in-hospital complications, such as reinfarction and death, were similar between the two groups. This finding shows a very positive trend in the balloon angioplasty patients. These patients presented with a significantly higher rate of thrombus-containing lesions and more depressed left ventricular function, compared with that in the coronary stenting group, predisposing them to greater chances of major coronary events, which, however, did not occur.

The comparative analysis of stenting or balloon angioplasty in native vessels included in randomized trials was similar to those found here in this registry of SVGs. The STENT PAMI trial found higher one-year mortality rates when a routine stenting strategy was applied 1,3. The CENIC registry already compared the in-hospital results in patients who underwent stenting or balloon PTCA. The mortality rate was significantly lower for stent patients, but only when this strategy was used in patients admitted in Killip Class 2,16. The small number of patients analyzed here with occlusion of the SVG does not permit conclusions to be made regarding the treatment of patients in Killip Class 3 or 4.

Currently, the importance of preservation of the coronary microvasculature is becoming stronger. The quality of TIMI 3 flow is important not only in the epicardial portion of the vessel but also in micro-coronary circulation. The percutaneous treatment of SVGs during AMI constitutes a higher risk subgroup for the occurrence of distal embolization, generating platelet and thrombus plugs that can easily affect distal coronary flow. The use of intravenous glycoprotein receptors was reduced in less than 15%, which is similar to that found in stenting or balloon PTCA 20,21.

New bypass surgery, on either an emergency or elective basis was not necessary in either group. The use of intracoronary stents may have contributed to that. Stents had an extraordinary capacity for correcting sub- optimal balloon PTCA results. In the previous CENIC registry results, the necessity for emergency bypass surgery were lower for both balloon PTCA and stenting (0.4 and 0.5%, respectively) 16.

The objective of this registry analysis is to give a more clear definition of which is the best catheter strategy during AMI related to vein graft occlusion. Primary stenting may help patients avoid new emergency bypass surgery and obtain a greater success rate with a reduced residual stenosis. However, this strategy did not promote a significant reduction in the major AMI complications, such as reinfarction or death rates. A more recent approach to this problematic group of patients is the association of routine antiplatelet therapy or devices that can promote distal coronary flow protection. These trials are ongoing and in the near future may clarify this issue 23.

Until more consistent data are obtained from larger randomized series, the catheter-based strategy for this subgroup of patients will remain a challenge. Stenting should be indicated only in the presence of suboptimal balloon angioplasty results (DS >50% or flow limiting dissections), because the death rate was not altered by the routine stent approach. The use of stents to treat long segments of diffuse degenerated vein grafts should not be compared with the implantation of stents in native coronary stenosis. The association of previous antiplatelet pharmacology infusion,
such as abciximab, may help because recent trials have demonstrated that up to 25% of vessels can be opened with TIMI 3 flow when this drug is infused in the emergency room. The retrospective analysis of PAMI trials showed that patients who underwent primary PTCA with a previous TIMI 3 flow had better angiographic results and a reduced death rate. New percutaneous devices, such as those for distal protection, may help prevent embolization of this friable degenerated material to the downstream coronary circulation.

The CENIC registry has its own limitations. The device selection was not performed in a random fashion but at the operator’s discretion. This individual selection for each patient of the respective device may have promoted some bias in this series. However, this constitutes a common finding in the data of large registries. Primary stenting has already been demonstrated to have greater advantages over balloon PTCA, when the outcome of the procedure was analyzed at least six months after the procedure, and when used to treat AMI or in elective stenting of vein grafts. Until now, our Brazilian registry does not have long-term follow-up data on these patients.

Summarizing, the catheter-based reperfusion treatment of saphenous vein grafts during AMI is still an uncommon practice, being only 3% of this primary PTCA nationwide registry. Brazilian invasive cardiologists decided on primary stenting in 50% of these patients, obtaining higher success rates with lower residual stenosis, compared with that in primary balloon PTCA. However, the occurrence of reinfarction or death was not modified by this strategy. Emergency bypass surgery in this cohort of patients was not necessary.

The adverse clinical and angiographic scenario observed in this subgroup of patients with AMI suggests the need for addition of new pharmacological and percutaneous devices to obtain better results in the future.

The following invasive cardiologists, members of the Brazilian Society of Interventional Cardiology, had participated in the CENIC registry, from 1996 until 1999:
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