Epworth’s Sleepiness Scale in Outpatients with Different Values of Arterial Blood Pressure
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Objective - To compare sleepiness scores of the Epworth scale in patients with different levels of arterial pressure when undergoing outpatient monitoring within the context of clinical evaluation.

Methods - A total of 157 patients selected for outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure during hypertension evaluation were divided into 3 groups: group 1 - normotensive; group 2 - hypertensive; group 3 - resistant hypertensive. For analysis, values $\geq 11$ were considered as associated with respiratory disturbances during sleep.

Results - Seventeen (10.8%) patients in group 1, 112 (71.3%) in group 2, and 28 (17.8%) in group 3, which was composed of aged, more severely hypertensive individuals, were analyzed. Groups were similar relative to sex and body mass index, but different in relation to systolic and diastolic pressure levels and age. Despite an absolute difference, no statistically significant difference occurred between Epworth scores and in the proportion of patients with values $\geq 11$ (5.9% vs. 18.8% vs. 21.2%; $P=0.37$). Despite the positive association between degree of sleepiness measured with the scale and the severity of the hypertension, no statistical significance occurred following control by age ($p=0.18$).

Conclusion - A positive correlation exists between degree of sleepiness and hypertension severity. The absence of a statistical significance shown in the present study could be due to a beta type of error. Instruments that render this complaint into an objective finding could help in the pursuit of an investigation of respiratory disturbances during sleep in more severely hypertensive patients, and should therefore be studied better.
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Recent studies demonstrate the association between arterial hypertension and the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome diagnosed by polysomnography. The VI Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (VI JNC) points towards the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome as one of the 9 clinical situations responsible for resistant hypertension. The presence of resistant arterial hypertension is not uncommon in the hypertensive population, affecting about 20% of patients.

Polysomnography, the gold standard for diagnosing the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, is a sophisticated examination, difficult to perform within a high prevalence context like that of hypertension. Thus, the identification of clinical parameters associated with this syndrome would be an ideal screening procedure for identifying hypertensive individuals who should undergo polysomnography.

Sleepiness during the day is a clinical parameter essential for the suspicion of a diagnosis of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. The Epworth sleepiness scale is an easy-to-administer questionnaire incorporating the possibility of using this complaint as an objective parameter. Clinical studies of polysomnography have demonstrated that values $\geq 11$ are associated with sleep disturbances, including the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

The objective of the present study was the comparison between differences in the sleepiness score of patients with different degrees of systemic arterial hypertension undergoing outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure within the context of clinical evaluation.

Methods

Patients aged over 18 years were selected from subjects seen for outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure during their evaluation at the Serviço de Hypertensão do Instituto de Cardiologia/Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul between June of 1999 and July of 2000. The possibility of the presence of sleep disturbances was determined based on telephone contacts in which patients indicated having a history of snoring and the Epworth
sleepiness scale composed of questions on the possibility of napping during 8 different situations. Patients rated each question on a scale of 0 to 3. The maximum value attainable was 24 (Table I). Data concerning the use of antihypertensive medication, and the weight and height of the patients were also collected. The Spacelab 90702 apparatus with 3 and 4 hourly checks during sleep and wakefulness respectively was used for outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure. Systolic and diastolic pressure averages were taken for analyses over 24 hours, and a nocturnal (11:00 PM to 7:00 AM) decrease in pressure was also noted; examinations were accepted when at least 1 valid measurement had been taken every hour.

For comparisons, patients were divided into 3 groups: group 1 (normotensive) - patients with a 24-hour systolic average <135mmHg and a diastolic average <85mmHg, not using medication; group 2 (hypertensive) - patients with a 24-hour systolic average <135mmHg and a diastolic <85mmHg using antihypertensive medication or systolic or diastolic averages ≥135/85mmHg, or both, using up to 1 antihypertensive medication; and group 3 (resistant hypertensive) - patients with systolic or diastolic averages ≥135/85mmHg or both, using at least 2 antihypertensive medications. The 3 groups were compared relative to age, sex, body mass index, snoring history, and pressure values checked by outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure and also values on the sleepiness scale, as well as frequency of individuals having Epworth scores ≥11 or a history of snoring.

Comparisons were made with the ANOVA test for continuous variables, using Bonferroni’s test to identify which groups differed from each other. Differences between category variables were analyzed with the χ² test. Logistic regression models were created, based on theoretical presuppositions by the authors, to evaluate the isolated effect of analyzed variables relative to the occurrence of hypertension or resistant hypertension.

### Results

Two hundred thirty-one consecutive patients undergoing outpatient monitoring of arterial pressure were included. Six were excluded due to technical examination problems, and 60 due to difficulties in the obtained interview data. A total of 157 patients (70.4% of the total sample) were analyzed, 17 (10.8%) from group 1, 112 (71.3%) from group 2, and 28 (17.8%) from group 3. Table II demonstrates that this last group was made up of significantly older subjects, more severely hypertensive, and with a reduced nocturnal systolic decrease. Groups did not differ in relation to sex and body mass index. Table III compares sleepiness scale scores and snoring frequency in the 3 groups. No difference in the percentages of snoring occurred, but although not attaining statistical significance, a linear increase in the averaged Epworth score and the frequency of subjects with higher scores (Epworth ≥11) existed when groups 1, 2, and 3 were compared. Table IV presents a logistic regression model in which the presence of systemic arterial pressure (resistant or not) was included as the dependent variable. Age was significantly associated with the diagnosis of systemic arterial hypertension. A tendency existed towards statistical significance relative to the presence of an Epworth score ≥11. Other models that included resistant arterial hypertension as dependent variable had similar results.

### Discussion

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome is a disturbance characterized by recurring episodes of respiratory flow arrest during sleep, affecting about 4% of men and 2% of women.

Several recent studies have strengthened indications

### Table I - Epworth sleepiness scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Group 1 (N=17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (N=112)</th>
<th>Group 3 (N=28)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seated reading</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth score (%)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score above 11 (%)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>21.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56 ± 1</td>
<td>108 ± 1</td>
<td>120 ± 1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II - Characteristics of patients according to the severity of arterial systemic hypertension – means ± SD or %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (N=17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (N=112)</th>
<th>Group 3 (N=28)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>41.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>38.4 ± 5.6</td>
<td>39.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45.5 ± 14.3</td>
<td>53.4 ± 11.2</td>
<td>56.7 ± 11.9**</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>26.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>27.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP over 24h (mmHg)</td>
<td>121.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>134.4 ± 13.6</td>
<td>143.2 ± 15.3*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP over 24h (mmHg)</td>
<td>75.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>83.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>85.9 ± 13.6**</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal systolic decrease</td>
<td>10.7 ± 7.8</td>
<td>9.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 10.1***</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal diastolic decrease</td>
<td>15.4 ± 9.3</td>
<td>14.0 ± 8.7</td>
<td>9.7 ± 11.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Group 1 vs. 2; 1 vs 3; 2 vs 3 – **group 1 vs 2; 1 vs 3 - ***group 1 vs. 3; 2 vs. 3; 8 percentage points; SD-standard deviation; BMI- body mass index; SAP-systolic arterial pressure; DAP-diastolic arterial pressure.

### Table III - Sleep disturbances according to the severity of systemic arterial hypertension-means ± SD or %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (N=17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (N=112)</th>
<th>Group 3 (N=28)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snoring (%)</td>
<td>64.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>59.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>60.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth score (%)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score above 11 (%)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>21.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV – Model of the logistic regression that includes the diagnosis of arterial systemic hypertension as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>IC(95%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (continuous variable)</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.01-1.10</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score ≥ 11</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.5 - 33.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that this syndrome may cause arterial hypertension. It is understood that respiratory sleep disturbances have components of increased sympathetic activity, decreased reflex baroreceptor sensitivity, and vascular hyper-responsiveness. Carlson et al demonstrated that patients with the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome have a significant increase in sympathetic muscle activity when compared with controls during wakefulness. An increase in plasma levels of norepinephrine associated with systolic arterial pressure was observed, suggesting a correlation between these factors and the development of the cardiovascular complications of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. The same authors pointed towards the decreased sensitivity of the baroreflex stimulus consequent to increased sympathetic activity as a possible mechanism for the development of sustained systemic arterial hypertension in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

The recently published 4-year follow-up of 709 patients from an American working community supplied data for a first cohort study evaluating the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome as a risk factor for the development of arterial hypertension. A positive association, controlled by confusion factors, with a relative risk of 2.89 (IC 1.49-5.64) was found in individuals with an apnea/hypopnea index.

Another recent study, with a transversal design performed with a sample of 6,841 patients, similarly demonstrated an association between an apnea/hypopnea index diagnosed by home polysomnography and hypertension. Specific analyses demonstrated that this finding was independent of obesity, sex, or age.

Despite these findings, this topic has not been fully appreciated by the majority of doctors active in the area of hypertensive disease. An explanation for this fact could be the difficulty in the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. The gold standard for its identification is polysomnography, which permits the monitoring of stages of sleep, oxygen saturation, and respiratory patterns, and quantifies the number of apneas and hypopneas during sleep by the apnea/hypopnea index. However, polysomnography is an expensive examination, demanding patient cooperation, a capable professional, and a specific laboratory.

A clinical interview during the screening of patients with a suspicion of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome would be a useful tool, because it could be used by any medical professional. Hoffstein et al demonstrated that a clinical result alone would not be sufficient to diagnose obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, only attaining a 60% sensitivity, and a 63% specificity. The Epworth sleeping scale was considered by Johns as a form of objective evaluation of a complaint of sleepiness. A significant correlation between values ≥11 and sleep disturbances, among which is the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, was found. Polysomnography was used as the gold standard in this clinical investigation. The more severe the hypertension is we may assume that differences will exist in the Epworth values and the proportion of individuals with values ≥11 if an association between obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and systemic arterial hypertension exists and an association between values on the sleepiness scale and the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome as diagnosed by polysomnography also exists. This finding would indicate that the questionnaire is a promising instrument for the evaluation of hypertension, because it could be an indirect indicator of the presence of a respiratory sleep disturbance.

The frequency of snoring, a symptom often associated with the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, is to be noted in the present sample; it confirms the hypothesis that hypertensives are individuals with a higher prevalence of respiratory disturbances during sleep. The above-cited results of the study with American workers showed a prevalence of 20% of snoring in the general population, a value much below the 60% of the present work. However, a similarity in numbers between the 3 groups does not indicate that this is a reliable clinical parameter for indicating the presence or not of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome within the context of hypertensive individuals.

Other methods less complex than polysomnography have been tested as a way of screening patients for a deeper investigation of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Some workers defend the use of pulse oximetry to this effect.

We conclude that it remains a challenge to identify simpler clinical or complementary methods to aid in the diagnosis of the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in the routine management of hypertensives. The research of the degree of sleepiness among patients with more severe hypertension could help in proposing the use of more definitive diagnostic procedures like polysomnography.
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