Effects of Sibutramine on the Treatment of Obesity in Patients with Arterial Hypertension
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Objective - To assess the effects of weight reduction with 10mg of sibutramine or placebo on blood pressure during 24 hours (ambulatory blood pressure monitoring), on left ventricular mass, and on antihypertensive therapy in 86 obese and hypertensive patients for 6 months.

Methods - The patients underwent echocardiography, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, and measurement of the levels of hepatic enzymes prior to and after treatment with sibutramine or placebo.

Results - The group using sibutramine had a greater weight loss than that using placebo (6.7% versus 2.5%; p<0.001), an increase in heart rate (78.3±7.3 to 82±7.9 bpm; p=0.02), and a reduction in the left ventricular mass/height index (105±29.3 versus 96.6±28.58 g/m²; p=0.002). Both groups showed similar increases in the levels of alkaline phosphatase and comparable adjustments in antihypertensive therapy; blood pressure, however, did not change.

Conclusion - The use of sibutramine caused weight loss and a reduction in left ventricular mass in obese and hypertensive patients with no interference with blood pressure or with antihypertensive therapy.
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expenditure \(^{14,15}\), which make sibutramine efficient in inducing weight loss. Several studies show an adequate tolerability profile for the drug; however, aspects referring to hypertensive patients are still inconclusive, and the presence of higher adrenergic activity may interfere with the benefits resulting from weight loss.

We carried out a prospective, double blind study for 6 months to assess the effects of the use of sibutramine or placebo on blood pressure levels, left ventricular mass and evolution of the antihypertensive therapy in obese and hypertensive patients. In addition, we assessed drug tolerability through the analysis of the frequency of clinical and laboratory adverse effects.

**Methods**

We selected 109 obese patients (30 kg/m\(^2\) < BMI <50kg/m\(^2\)) who were being followed up at the UNIFESP in the outpatient clinic for obesity. These patients had arterial hypertension (95mmHg <DBP <110mmHg; or DBP <95mm Hg if on medication) and a preferentially central body fat distribution (waist/hip ratio above 0.85 for females and 0.95 for males). The following patients were excluded from the study: diabetic patients undergoing any treatment for weight loss; patients using medications, such as corticosteroids or tricyclic derivatives; and patients with endocrine diseases that could interfere with body weight. After randomization, the patients were advised to follow a diet of 1,200 calories (males) and 1,500 calories (females and males, respectively) and received placebo or 10mg of sibutramine for 6 months. These patients were followed up with monthly visits for a complete physical examination (weight, height, blood pressure, heart rate, waist and hip circumferences), assessment of adverse effects, and necessary adjustments in the concomitant antihypertensive medication. During the study, the following patients were excluded: patients with changes in the hepatic enzymes above twice the normal limit; patients whose diastolic blood pressure levels exceeded 110mmHg, even under treatment; patients who started to use corticosteroids, or who interrupted the prescribed medication for more than 1 week. Blood samples were withdrawn at the 1\(^{st}\), 12\(^{th}\), and 24\(^{th}\) weeks to measure alkaline phosphatase (AKP), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), and glutamic-oxaloacetic (GOT) and glutamic-pyruvic (GPT) transaminases (method: spectrophotometry). At the beginning of the study, the patients underwent the following tests: total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides (method: colorimetric enzymatic), and the oral glucose tolerance test, with glucose measurements (method: oxidase glucose) during fasting and every 30 minutes up to 2 hours after glucose overload. At the beginning and at the end of the study, the cardiac mass was measured by Doppler echocardiography (Escote Biomédica; Florence, Italy), and mass index was calculated considering the patient’s height, according to Levy and Lauer et al \(^{16,18}\), and was used to characterize ventricular hypertrophy in this obese population. A 24-hour blood pressure profile was obtained using 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (Spacelabs 90202 Equipment, Redmond, WA). The percentage drop (\(\Delta\)) in mean systolic blood pressure (MSBP) during sleep was calculated using the formula: \(\Delta = \text{MSBP (wakefulness)} - \text{MSBP (sleep)} \times 100/\text{MSBP (wakefulness)}\).

Statistical analysis: we used the Sigma Stat software (SPSS Industry). Data were expressed as mean \(\pm\) standard deviation. The Student \(t\) test for dependent and independent variables was used for intra- and intergroup comparison, respectively. The frequencies of adverse effects, causes of exclusion from the study, and changes in the antihypertensive medication between the groups were compared using the chi-square test. It was considered significant a \(p\) value < 0.05.

**Results**

Twenty-three patients (13/10, placebo/sibutramine) did not complete the study due to different causes: biochemical alterations in the blood (1/1; ns), poor treatment compliance (8/7; ns), hypertensive crisis due to interruption of the antihypertensive treatment (1/1; ns); inappropriately use of corticosteroids (2/1; ns); and pyelonephritis (1/0; ns). At the end of the study, we assessed 43 patients in each group, accounting for 88% and 83% of female patients in the placebo and sibutramine groups, respectively. Except for age (50.6±8.1 in the placebo group versus 46.4±8.2 in the sibutramine group, \(p<0.01\)), no significant difference was observed in the initial clinical characteristics between the 2 groups (tab. I). The prevalence of glucose intolerance, total cholesterol >200mg/dL, and triglycerides >180mg/dL in the study population was, respectively, 34%, 52%, and 29%.

Considering only the patients who completed the study, a weight loss of 6.8±2.3kg (6.7 %) in the sibutramine group and of 2.4±2.2kg (2.5%) in the placebo group (\(p<0.001\)) occurred. Weight loss greater than 5% occurred in 27 (62.8%) and 10 (23.2%) patients of the sibutramine and placebo groups, respectively (\(p<0.001\)). Table I shows that a significant reduction in the waist/hip ratio occurred in the sibutramine group, indicating an improvement in body fat distribution.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure measured at the medical office, the mean systolic (MSBP) and diastolic (MDBP) blood pressures during ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, the percentage drop (\(\%D\)) in mean systolic blood pressure during sleep, and mean heart rate during 24 hours did not significantly change in either group (tab. I). Heart rate measured at the office showed a significant increase in the sibutramine group (78.3±7.3 versus 82±7.9 bpm; \(p=0.02\)), but not in the placebo group (76.3±9.6 versus 75.68±8.8 bpm; ns).

The characteristics of the initial antihypertensive treatment and its changes throughout the study are detailed in table II. As can be seen, the proportion of untreated patients and those treated with 1 or more agents did not differ in the 2 groups. The proportions of patients using different classes of antihypertensive agents also did not differ in the 2 groups. Only 18.6% of the patients in both groups were not using antihypertensive medication at the beginning of
After 6 months of treatment, 27.9% and 16.2% of the patients (p=0.29) in the placebo and sibutramine groups, respectively, had evolved with a reduction in the number or dosage of the antihypertensive agents initially used. Maintenance of the medication was observed in 39.5% and 53.5% of the patients (p=0.29) in the placebo and sibutramine groups, respectively, while 32.5% and 30.2% of the patients, respectively, required an increase in the number or dosage of the antihypertensive agents used (p=1.0).

Considering the patients in the 2 groups undergoing echocardiography, the prevalence of ventricular hypertrophy (LVM/HEI >110g/m) was 31.80 (38.7%) at the beginning of the study, and 26/81 (32%) at the end of the study (p=0.36; ns). Left ventricular mass and left ventricular mass index corrected for height showed a significant reduction after treatment in the sibutramine group (p=0.002), but not in the placebo group (tab. I and fig. 1). In the sibutramine group, a tendency towards correlation between the percentage of weight loss and the reduction in left ventricular mass index was observed (r=0.27; p=0.08). Considering only those patients who achieved weight loss above 5% and underwent the same analysis, a significant reduction in left ventricular mass index corrected for height was observed in the sibutramine group (106.9±31.8 versus 99.8±29.7 g/m; p=0.04). The prevalence of hypertrophy did not change in the 2 groups.

The most frequent adverse effects were as follows: dry mouth, insomnia, nervousness, cephalgia, constipation, and arthralgia. None of these adverse effects required interruption of the medication. Only dry mouth (37.2% versus 9.3%; p<0.005) and arthralgia (16.2% versus 2.3%; p=0.03) were more frequent in the sibutramine group.

Biochemical alterations in alkaline phosphatase values >200 IU/L caused the exclusion of 1 patient in each group. Biochemical fluctuations below that level were followed up, and the frequency of values above 100 IU/L prior to and after the treatment was compared in both groups. Even though the frequency of alkaline phosphatase values above 100 IU/L was significantly higher at the end of the study in the sibutramine group (44.2% versus 18.6%; p=0.02), the mean values of alkaline phosphatase in these patients did not differ between the 2 groups (137.3±39.5 versus 147.0±57.7, sibutramine and placebo groups, respectively; p=0.5). In addition, considering all patients who completed the study, statistically significant increases of similar magnitude in alkaline phosphatase values occurred in the 2 groups (tab. I).

![Fig. 1 – Initial and final MVE/ALT in the 2 groups (sibutramine and placebo) mean ± SD.](image-url)
Discussion

In our trial, the treatment of obese hypertensive patients with sibutramine was more effective in inducing weight loss than that with placebo. In a 6-month period, this weight loss reached 6.7% in the sibutramine group and 2.5% in the placebo group, which is a value similar to those obtained in other studies. Part of the effects of sibutramine is due to the inhibition of noradrenaline reuptake with the resulting maintenance of adrenergic tonus in these patients, which can be beneficial for avoiding the drop in energy expenditure that follows weight loss according to the studies by Hansen et al and Seagle et al. This effect, however, could result in an elevation in blood pressure and a reduction in the antihypertensive benefits of weight loss. In fact, previous studies in normotensive patients reported elevations in heart rate and blood pressure associated with the use of sibutramine.

In our study, even though an increase in heart rate measured at the medical office was observed in the sibutramine group, the same was not observed on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. No alteration in blood pressure levels occurred, either at the medical office or on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Concomitant adjustments of the antihypertensive medication were performed aiming at avoiding fluctuations in blood pressure. We observed that increases in the dosage or number of antihypertensive drugs were performed in the same proportion in both groups, suggesting that the increase in the sympathetic activity is not of a magnitude sufficient to interfere with blood pressure control in treated hypertensive patients. On the other hand, as the weight loss was significantly greater in the sibutramine group, and the magnitude of the reduction in the antihypertensive therapy was similar in both groups, loss of the antihypertensive benefits due to weight loss was observed.

In the obese population studied, the diuretic, used alone or in combination, was the most frequently used drug to treat hypertension followed by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors as shown in Table II. Due to the constant volume overload that exists in hypertensive obese patients, the association of diuretic drugs is frequently necessary for adequate blood pressure control.

Even though no reduction in the prevalence of myocardial hypertrophy was observed in the period studied, a significant reduction occurred in ventricular mass in obese hypertensive patients. Myocardial hypertrophy in the obese hypertensive patient is known to result from the two following pathophysiological factors: volume overload determined by weight and the increase in peripheral vascular resistance. Therefore, after adjustments for height, obese normotensive patients show ventricular hypertrophy of a magnitude similar to that seen in nonobese hypertensive patients, while obese hypertensive patients show a higher degree of hypertrophy as compared with that of the other groups. Our results suggest that the reduction in ventricular mass occurred preferentially due to a reduction in volume overload secondary to weight loss, because the blood pressure levels remained stable. Therefore, weight loss per se, even not associated with a drop in blood pressure, was related to a cardiovascular benefit in this group of patients.

An increase in the levels of alkaline phosphatase, but not in the other hepatic enzymes, was observed in our patients during the study. At the end of the treatment, the two groups showed statistically significant increases in alkaline phosphatase, but only the sibutramine group experienced an increased frequency in values above 100 IU/L. Studies assessing degree 3 obese patients (BMI >40kg/m²) with hepatic steatosis showed that weight loss, despite its association with a reduction in hepatic steatosis, may have resulted in a moderate hepatic inflammatory lesion. This lesion could be caused by the rapid mobilization of fatty acids and cytokines in the adipose tissue, especially in visceral adipose tissue.

In conclusion, sibutramine proved to be efficient in inducing weight loss, leading to a reduction in left ventricular mass in obese and hypertensive patients. No significant interference was observed in blood pressure levels, allowing us to state that in obese and hypertensive patients, in whom clinical supervision and adjustments in the antihypertensive therapy are possible, the use of sibutramine poses no additional risks. The most frequent adverse effects associated with the use of sibutramine in this population were dry mouth and arthralgia, and a higher frequency of insomnia or irritability, as suggested in other studies, was not found. The alterations reported in alkaline phosphatase cannot be attributed to the use of sibutramine and may be associated with the mobilization of visceral adipose tissue; larger studies, however, are required to confirm this hypothesis.
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