Intra-His Bundle Block. Clinical, Electrocardiographic, and Electrophysiologic Characteristics
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Objective - To assess the clinical, electrocardiographic, and electrophysiologic characteristics of patients (pt) with intra-His bundle block undergoing an electrophysiologic study (EPS).

Methods - We analyzed the characteristics of 16 pt with second-degree atrioventricular block and symptoms of syncope or dyspnea, or both, undergoing conventional EPS.

Results - Intra-His bundle block was documented in 16 pt during an EPS. In 15 (94%) pt, the atrioventricular block was recorded in sinus rhythm; 4 (25%) pt had intra-His Wenckebach phenomenon, which correlated with Mobitz I (MI) atrioventricular block on the electrocardiogram. Seven (44%) pt had 2:1 atrioventricular block, 2 of whom were asymptomatic (12.5%). One (6%) pt had intra- and infra-His bundle block. Clinically, 11 (68%) pt had syncope or presyncope, 3 (18%) had dyspnea on exertion, and 2 (12.5%) were asymptomatic. Eight (50%) pt had bundle-branch block as follows: 4 (25%) pt had left bundle-branch block, and 4 (25%) had right bundle-branch block. Left anterosuperior divisional block was observed in 3 pt (19%), 2 of whom with associated right bundle-branch block.

Conclusion - Intra-His bundle block was observed in 11% of the pt with second-degree atrioventricular block, syncope or presyncope, or both, being the most frequent clinical presentation. Intra-His bundle block was more common in the elderly (> 60 years) and among females. The most frequent electrocardiographic presentations were second-degree Mobitz I or type 2:1 atrioventricular block.
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cassette magnetic tape recording in a modulated frequency. The material was analyzed with the Avionics analyzer with digital into analogical signs decoding, and printed as a standard 3-lead electrocardiogram.

The patients underwent an electrophysiologic study because of symptoms, such as dyspnea, presyncope or syncope, and second-degree atrioventricular block. Two asymptomatic patients underwent the electrophysiologic study because 1 had second-degree Mobitz II atrioventricular block and the other patient had second-degree Mobitz I atrioventricular block, both with an A:V ratio of 3:2, 2:1 and a high-degree block during wakefulness.

The electrophysiologic study was performed after withdrawing antiarrhythmic drugs for 5 half-lives and after the patient’s consent. The patients had to fast for 6 hours prior to the examination and were kept under mild sedation (midazolam, alfentanil or propofol, or both) and local anesthesia. The femoral vein was punctured at 3 sites, three 6 French (6F) quadripolar catheters were introduced and were positioned highly in the right atrium, adjacent to the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve (His bundle potential recorded), and in the right ventricular apex.

Intracavitary bipolar electrograms (right atrium, His bundle 1, His bundle 2, and right ventricle) were simultaneously recorded with 6 surface leads (D1, D2, D3, V1, and V6) by the multichannel recorder of the EMS cardiac electrophysiology system with 20- to 500-Hz filters (fig. 1).

After recording all leads in sinus rhythm, the stimulation protocol was initially performed through the atrial cavity for the following analyses: sinus function (sinus recovery times of 100, 120, 140, and 160 pulses/min), atrial electric stability (atrial stimulation with up to 3 extrastimuli in 600-, 500-, and 430-ms cycles and in sinus rhythm), AV nodal conduction, and His-Purkinje conduction.

The ventricular cavity was stimulated to assess ventricular-atrial conduction and ventricular electrical stability (up to 2 extrastimuli in the right ventricular apex in 600-, 500-, and 430-ms cycles, coupled with sinus rhythm).

The normal His potential duration (H) ranges from 15 to 20 ms. Intra-His conduction disturbance on the electrophysiological study was characterized by the following: a) recording of 2 H spikes (H1 and H2) characterizing fragmentation of the His potential with H1-H2 recording time m 30 ms (fig. 2), and intra-His bundle block characterized by the presence of an H1 spike not followed by an H2 spike, recorded by a catheter with an interelectrode distance of 2 mm (fig. 3). The H2 spike, when present, was followed by the ventricular intracavitary potential (V), the H2V interval being m 35 ms.

The difficulty in capturing the fragmented His bundle potential (H1-H2) may underestimate the true incidence of intra-His bundle block. Two of the 16 patients had previous electrophysiologic studies with no evidence of intra-His conduction disturbance.

Results

Of the 16 patients studied, 11 had syncope or presyncope, 3 had dyspnea on exertion, and 2 were asymptomatic.
Their ages ranged from 25 to 88 (mean of 68.8) years. Ten patients were females and 6 were males. Five patients had hypertensive heart disease, 3 had previous left ventricular failure compensated at the time of the electrophysiological study, 1 patient had Sjögren’s syndrome, and 7 patients had no structural heart disease (tab. I). Of the patients with previous left ventricular failure, 2 had idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, and 1 had ischemic heart disease.

The 12-lead electrocardiogram obtained at baseline during the electrophysiologic study showed the following: 1) second-degree Wenckebach or Mobitz I (M I) atrioventricular block in 4 patients, 3 of whom had a narrow QRS complex and another had right bundle-branch block; 2) second-degree Mobitz II (M II) atrioventricular block associated with anterosuperior divisional block in 1 patient, right bundle-branch block associated with anterosuperior divisional block in 2 patients, isolated right bundle-branch block in 1 patient, isolated left bundle-branch block in 4 patients; 3) and electrocardiogram with no (atrioventricular and intraventricular) conduction disturbance in 4 patients.

Bundle-branch block was found in 8 (50%) patients with equal distribution of left bundle-branch (4 patients) and right bundle-branch (4 patients) blocks. Anterosuperior divisional block was found in 3 (18%) patients, 2 (12.5%) of whom also had right bundle-branch block (tab. I).

Two of the 16 patients studied had type 2:1 or high-degree atrioventricular block during wakefulness (24-hour Holter) and were asymptomatic.

No electrocardiographic difference between the patients with and without structural heart disease was found.

Of the 146 patients with second-degree atrioventricular block, 16 (11%) had split His bundle potentials during the electrophysiologic study, and H1 potential not followed by H2 potential when the intra-His bundle block occurred. In 15 of these 16 (94%) patients, intra-His bundle block was recorded in sinus rhythm and in 1 (6%) patient it occurred during programmed atrial stimulation.

Four (25%) patients had decremental conduction with block (intra-His Wenckebach phenomenon). This phenomenon was characterized by a progressive increase in the H1-H2 interval until the H2 potential does not follow the H1 potential when the intra-His bundle block occurred. In 15 of these 16 (94%) patients, intra-His bundle block was recorded in sinus rhythm and in 1 (6%) patient it occurred during programmed atrial stimulation.

Of the 146 patients with second-degree atrioventricular block, 16 (11%) had split His bundle potentials during the electrophysiologic study, and H1 potential not followed by H2 potential when the intra-His bundle block occurred. In 15 of these 16 (94%) patients, intra-His bundle block was recorded in sinus rhythm and in 1 (6%) patient it occurred during programmed atrial stimulation.

Four (25%) patients had decremental conduction with block (intra-His Wenckebach phenomenon). This phenomenon was characterized by a progressive increase in the H1-H2 interval until the H2 potential does not follow the H2 potential (figs. 2 and 3). These patients had second-degree Mobitz I atrioventricular block on electrocardiography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sync</th>
<th>Dysp</th>
<th>Asy</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>MII</th>
<th>RBBB</th>
<th>ASDB</th>
<th>LBBB</th>
<th>QRSn</th>
<th>SAH</th>
<th>LVF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt: patient; Age in years; Sync- syncpe or presyncope; Dysp- dyspnea on exertion; Asy- asymptomatic; MI- second-degree Mobitz I atrioventricular block; MII- second-degree Mobitz II atrioventricular block; RBBB- third-degree right bundle-branch block; ASDB- anterosuperior divisional block of the left bundle branch; LBBB- third-degree left bundle-branch block; QRSn- narrow QRS complex (duration shorter than 120 milliseconds); SAH- systemic arterial hypertension; LVF- left ventricular failure; Normal- no heart disease on the echocardiogram; SS- Sjögren’s syndrome. The “x” letter means presence of the clinical-electrocardiographic characteristics.
Seven (44%) patients had intra-His type 2:1 atrioventricular block, 1 (6%) of whom also had the association of intra- and infra-His bundle block. Two of these 7 patients were asymptomatic.

All patients worsened their block degrees with the increase in the frequency of atrial stimulation. The H2-V interval was normal (35-45 ms) in all patients.

Sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia was induced in 1 patient (6%) with dilated cardiomyopathy and clinical left ventricular failure.

No electrophysiologic difference was found between patients with and without structural cardiomyopathy.

Discussion

Split-His bundle potentials (H1-H2) occur due to fibrosis in the penetrating region of the His bundle in the central fibrous body, sometimes with a calcific lesion embracing the His bundle and sparing its proximal and distal portion, usually in patients with hypertensive and atherosclerotic diseases.

The fibrotic lesion may extend downward until the formation of the fibers of the left branch, and may even replace the origin of that branch by fibroelastic tissue, which would explain the left bundle-branch on the electrocardiogram.

Anatomicopathological studies in 4 patients, who died due to noncardiac causes and who had been diagnosed with intra-His bundle block on the electrophysiologic study, showed a higher than 50% reduction in the conduction cells, which were replaced by fibrosis in the most distal portion of the His bundle. The authors considered the H1 spike of the electrogram of the His bundle originating in the penetrating portion of the His bundle and the H2 spike originating in the right side of the distal portion of the His bundle.

According to the literature, our series showed that most patients with intra-His bundle block (68%) had syncope or presyncope, the minority (12%) being asymptomatic. The patients most frequently affected by the blocks were the elderly (65 years) and females.

The old females were more prone to calcific degeneration of the His region due to the involvement of the mitral-aortic ring, which occurred 3 times more frequently in females than in males. In our case series, the females represented 62.5% of the patients, and the echocardiographic findings of the mitral-aortic calcific degeneration were considered compatible with age with no signs of significant valvular stenosis or insufficiency. It was not possible to differentiate the predominance of sex in regard to the mitral-aortic calcification as a causal factor of intra-His bundle block.

The primary etiology due to degeneration of the conduction system (Lev disease) occurs in many patients. Myocardial infarction may cause acute intra-His bundle block. Some intra-His bundle block are congenital, and others are caused by penetrating wounds or by surgery. In our case series, 5 patients had hypertensive heart disease, 3 had compensated left ventricular failure, 2 of whom had idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy and 1 had ischemic heart disease, 1 patient had Sjögren’s syndrome, and 7 had no structural heart disease.

In autoimmune diseases, such as dermatomyositis, distal block to the His bundle is caused by fibrosis in the distal portion of the left bundle-branch and in the proximal portion of the right bundle-branch with replacement by fibroelastic tissue. In our only young patient (25 years) with intra-His bundle block, the underlying disease was Sjögren’s syndrome, probably caused by anatomicopathological alterations similar to those of dermatomyositis. In patients younger than 40 years, toxoplasmosis may cause the block.

The chronic use of amiodarone may cause AV nodal, infra-His bundle, or intra-His bundle blocks in patients with no previous conduction disturbance. None of our patients was using amiodarone during Holter performance or electrophysiologic study.

Guimond and Puech followed up 102 patients with intra-His bundle block and observed the following on the electrophysiologic study: first-degree atioventricular block in 36 patients (35.3%), second-degree atioventricular block in 23 patients (22.5%), and third-degree atrioventricular block in 43 patients (42.2%). In the second-degree atrioventricular block group, 70% of the patients had 2:1 type behavior, 18% had M I type behavior, and 12% had M II type behavior. The 2:1 type atrioventricular block is the most common electrocardiographic manifestation of intra-His bundle block during the electrophysiologic study and it occurred in 44% of our case series.

According to Narula, second-degree M I atrioventricular block usually has an AV nodal origin (72% of the cases), followed by an infra-His bundle origin (21% of the cases), and, less commonly, an intra-His bundle origin (7% of the cases). Therefore, second-degree M I atioventricular block does not always have a benign character (intra-nodal AV). Its finding, even with a narrow QRS complex in patients > 50 years during wakefulness or after an atropine test, should be carefully seen due to the possibility of an intra- and infra-His bundle origin for the block. In our case series, second-degree Mobitz I atrioventricular block was present in 4 (25%) patients, 3 with a narrow QRS complex and 1 with right bundle-branch block.

Therefore, in a symptomatic or oligosymptomatic patient with second-degree M I atioventricular block with an AV conduction rate of 3:2 and 2:1 during wakefulness, mainly if the patient is older than 50 years, an electrophysiologic study should be considered.

The second-degree M II atioventricular block associated with bundle-branch block has an intra-His bundle (35%) or infra-His bundle (65%) lesion. The intra-His bundle block has a narrow QRS complex in 30% to 65% of the cases. In our study, 50% of the patients had narrow QRS complex and 50% had bundle-branch block (25% with right bundle-branch block and 25% with left bundle-branch block). The patients with narrow QRS complex usually had...
an electrocardiographic behavior of second-degree M I atrioventricular block, and those with bundle-branch block had type 2:1 atrioventricular block.

The His bundle has a forward course in the left or right side of the crest of the muscular interventricular septum in 62% and 16% of the hearts, respectively 25, and a greater tendency towards left bundle-branch block than right bundle-branch block exists. However, right bundle-branch block may occur more frequently than left bundle-branch block 25. This contradiction may be due to the fact that the origin of the fibers, which will form both the right and left branches, follows the anatomic distribution of the His bundle and the lesions in the His bundle occur both in the right and left fibers.

In the series by Guimond and Puech 1, 35% of the patients had wide QRS complex distributed as follows: isolated right bundle-branch block in 7% of the patients, right bundle-branch block associated with anterosuperior divisional block in 10% of the patients, right bundle-branch block associated with posterosinflor divisional block of the left branch (PIDB) in 2% of the patients, and left bundle-branch block in 16% of the patients. In the present study, right bundle-branch block was present in 25% of the cases, half of which were associated with anterosuperior divisional block. This association of right bundle-branch block with anterosuperior divisional block in patients with intra-His bundle block may be due to the proximity, within the His bundle, of the fibers that originate the respective right bundle-branch and the anterosuperior fascicle of the left bundle-branch 6,26. The close relation of the mitral-aortic ring with the left side of the His bundle (fibers that originate the left bundle-branch) justifies the left bundle-branch block during intra-His bundle block, which occurred in 25% of our cases. This infiltration occurs in the penetrating portion of the central fibrous body.

The association of atrial arrhythmias (tachycardia, flutter, or fibrillation) and intra-His bundle block is infrequent. It occurred in 5% of the series by Guimond and Puech 1, but was not evidenced in ours.

In our case series of 146 patients with second-degree atrioventricular block undergoing electrophysiologic study, we found an 11% incidence of intra-His bundle block. The intra-His bundle conduction in these patients was normal, in accordance with that in the literature 10.

Patients with bundle-branch block have a high incidence of cardiac death that may be sudden or due to heart failure, with no relation to the increase in the H-V interval 27,28. The greatest mortality occurs in patients with heart disease and left bundle-branch block and is due to tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation, or both 27.

Therefore, patients with bundle-branch block should undergo a complete electrophysiologic study including programmed ventricular stimulation, because ventricular arrhythmia may occur in 1/3 to 1/2 of the patients 29. Occasionally, a patient with intra-His atrioventricular block and structural heart disease may have paroxysmal syncope due to sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia 25. Sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia was induced in 6% of the patients in our case series.

Third-degree atrioventricular block of intra-His origin has an escape heart rate ranging from 30 to 50 bpm with instability of the escape rhythm, which rarely reaches 70 bpm, especially in those surgically induced. Under atropine use or physical activity, heart rate may reach 56 bpm, leading most patients to symptoms, such as syncope or dizziness. Occasionally, the patient may be asymptomatic 29.

Mangiardi et al 30 followed up 35 patients (27 females and 8 males) with intra-His bundle block, all of them with narrow QRS complex, for a period ranging from 12 to 120 months (mean of 45 months). All patients received a definite pacemaker, early for most of them (90%), and late for the minority (10%).

The mortality rate of patients with intra-His bundle block has not been reported in the literature, which may be explained by definite pacemaker placement, as most patients are highly symptomatic. In this way, we interfere with the natural history of the disease, even though the uncommon asymptomatic cases evolve well with no pacemaker implantation 21.

Immediately after the electrophysiologic study, all our patients with intra-His bundle block underwent definite pacemaker implantation, and, in a mean 22-month follow-up (18±10 months), they were asymptomatic.

In conclusion, in our study of patients with intra-His bundle block, syncpe and presyncpe were the most common (68%) symptoms. On electrocardiography, we observed normal QRS complex in half of the patients, most of whom (68%) had second-degree Mobitz I or type 2:1 atrioventricular block. Intra-His bundle block occurred in 11% of the patients with second-degree atrioventricular block undergoing the electrophysiologic study.
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