Objective - The present study aims to evaluate the environmental role in the distribution of hypertension, obesity, and smoking and spousal concordance for the presence/absence of these 3 cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods - A cross-sectional study was conducted in a community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The households were randomly selected. Odds ratios were estimated to measure spousal concordance, across socioeconomic levels.

Results - Overall a significant aggregation of all 3 risk factors was present. The crude odds ratio for hypertension was 1.78 (95%CI=1.02-3.08); for obesity, it was 1.80 (95%CI=1.09-2.96); and for smoking, it was 3.40 (95% CI=2.07-5.61). The spousal concordance for hypertension decreased significantly (p<0.001) from the lower to the higher educational level. In the case of obesity and smoking, the opposite was observed, although p-values for the linear trend were 0.10 and 0.08, respectively.

Conclusion - In lower socioeconomic levels, couples are more concordant for hypertension and discordant for smoking. For hypertension and smoking, education seems to be a discriminant stronger than income, but for obesity the 2 socioeconomic indicators seem to represent different aspects of the environmental determinants of risk factor distribution.
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In 1998, cardiovascular diseases were responsible for 37.2% of adult deaths, excluding the ill-defined conditions in Brazil. The social impact of this group of diseases is very high, with high economic costs, many premature deaths, and marked regional variations because of different levels of socioeconomic development in the country.

Because many cardiovascular risk factors including hypertension, obesity, and smoking are determined by a combination of genetic, environmental (socioeconomic) or behavioural (life style) characteristics, or both, they are likely to cluster in families and in households. Household aggregation is the occurrence of a disease or a characteristic more frequently among the residents sharing the same house than in the general population. Although in twin studies, the 3 components may operate together, spouse pairs studies allow the investigation of determinants of disease related to behavioral characteristics that can be modified, because the couples are likely to share the same lifestyle and socioeconomic environment, but not close genetic inheritance.

Intervention programs targeting the family are based on the belief that changes in lifestyle related to some risk factors (smoking, eating, exercise) might be more likely to occur if addressed to the whole household than if focused only on the individual. The aggregation of risk factors within a household is likely to be important for compliance to the intervention, but the socioeconomic environment shared by the residents is also.

A consensus exists that among blood relatives (genetic inheritance) hypertension and obesity aggregate, and studies also show that parents’ smoking habits influence teenagers’ smoking behavior. The issue of aggregation of these risk factors in couples is controversial.

Whether aggregation of cardiovascular risk factors differs or not with socioeconomic status is a relevant question considering the presence of a strong socioeconomic gradient in morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular diseases in Western countries, including Brazil.
None of the reviewed studies has addressed the question of social class gradient concerning spouse-pair aggregation of cardiovascular risk factors.

To investigate the aggregation of the 3 risk factors, spouse concordance will be estimated to determine the state where husbands and wives share the same status in relation to the risk factors (presence or absence).

Based on data from a cross-sectional study originally designed to investigate the prevalence of hypertension, this article attempts to answer 2 questions: 1) Are spousal pairs in this population concordant for hypertension, obesity, and smoking habits?; 2) If concordance does exist in couples, does it differ by socioeconomic level?

The use of 2 socioeconomic measures, one ecological (income) and the other individual (education), to evaluate patterns of concordance was also addressed.

**Methods**

The study population comprises all adults (20 years or older) living in the Administrative Area of Ilha do Governador, Rio de Janeiro. The households were randomly selected by a 3-stage cluster sampling after stratifying the area into 3 levels based on the average income of the census sectors.

The eligible residents were interviewed in their houses with a standardized questionnaire to collect sociodemographic data and information on behavior. Blood pressure, weight, and height were measured by using standardized procedures, and the inter- and intraobserver variability were evaluated during the training period. Blood pressure was measured twice with a modified mercury sphygmomanometer appropriate for research. The second of the measurements (20-30 minutes after the first one) was used in the analysis.

The unavailable households or those in which eligible people were absent at the time of the visit were contacted at least 3 times. Simplified questionnaires were sent by post to the houses where refusals occurred and also to those where the residents could not be found by the interviewers.

The criterion used for the definition of hypertension was the one recommended by the World Health Organization, which defines as hypertensive everyone with a systolic blood pressure of 160mmHg or more and/or a diastolic blood pressure of 95mmHg or more. Individuals who were receiving antihypertensive treatment were automatically included in the analysis. Body mass index (BMI), defined as weight (kg) divided by height squared (m), was used to measure the degree of obesity. Obesity was defined as BMI of more than 27kg/m^2^.

Individuals who smoked cigarettes regularly or occasionally were classified as smokers. Ex-smokers and never smokers were considered nonsmokers.

The pairs were classified according to 2 types of socioeconomic measures. The first socioeconomic indicator used was income level, an ecological measure used to stratify the study population in the sampling process. So, couples were classified into 3 strata according to the average income of the census sectors of the households. The low stratum is the one in which the mean income of the census sectors was less than 7 minimum wage payments per month (one minimum wage was approximately US$77), the medium was—that with a mean income between 7 and 14 minimum wage payments, and the high was equivalent to a mean income above 15 minimum wage payments. The second criterion classified the subjects according to their education: Individuals: low - no formal education; Medium - less than eleven years of education; High - 12 or more years of education. Couples: Low – both partners with less than 11 years of education; Mixed - 1 spouse with low and the other with high education; High – both partners with 12 or more years of education.

The prevalence of the risk factors with a 95 percent confidence intervals (95% CI) was calculated for the different socioeconomic levels and standardized by using the direct method with the total sample population considered standard. Differences between the socioeconomic levels were tested with chi-square tests for a linear trend.

Concordance of dichotomous risk factors within spouses was measured with the odds ratio (OR), with their respective 95% confidence intervals. OR greater than 1 indicates spousal concordance. Odds ratios were estimated by using logistic regression models, and the interaction of socioeconomic groups and the husband’s risk factor was examined comparing the log likelihood under model (1) with that under model (2):

\[
\log (\text{odds risk factor present in wife}) = \alpha + \beta E + \gamma_1 v_1 + \gamma_2 v_2 + \gamma_3 v_3
\]

\[
\log (\text{odds risk factor present in wife}) = \alpha + \beta E + \gamma_1 v_1 + \gamma_2 v_2 + \gamma_3 v_3 + \delta_{E1} v_{E1} + \delta_{E2} v_{E2}
\]

where, \( a \) = intercept; \( b \) = coefficient of risk factor in husband; \( E = 0, 1 \) for absence/presence of the risk factor in husband; \( \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \) = coefficients of dummy variables created for the socioeconomic groups 1 and 2; \( v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \) = dummy variable, created to represent the socioeconomic stratum (1 = medium stratum 0 = others); \( \delta_{E1}, \delta_{E2} \) = coefficients of interaction terms; \( Ev_{1} \) and \( Ev_{2} \) = terms that represent the interaction of social group and husbands’ risk factor.

Scoring socioeconomic groups as 1, 2, 3 allowed testing for a linear trend in the odds ratios. The models were extended to adjust for age. Although the age was not the same for both members of the pair, it was very similar in practice (correlation, \( r = 0.89 \pm 0.02 \)), and it was possible to adjust for it because it was a shared covariate.

**Results**

From 674 households selected for the original study (prevalence of hypertension), 40 were unavailable (nobody answered after 3 visits) and 92 (13.7%) refused to participate in the study. Among the 542 households participating in the
study, 419 spouse pairs were identified, and in 370 (88.3%) both members of the pair were interviewed and examined. Five pairs were excluded from the analysis because the wives were pregnant, leaving 365 couples.

The analysis of the available data for nonresponders showed that the proportion of married people is similar to that of the respondents.

The mean age of the population is 46.4 years, 48.7 for men and 44.7 for women. Table 1 presents the mean age for members of the couples according to the 2 socioeconomic indicators. Men and women in the lower income stratum are younger. When level of education is used, the situation is inverted. Men and women with low education are significantly older than those with high education. The individual classification of education shows that more women (40.8%) than men (33.4%) are in the lower level (p = 0.04).

The age-adjusted prevalence of risk factors by sex and socioeconomic level are displayed in figure 1. Women have higher prevalence rates of hypertension in lower socioeconomic levels than in higher for both socioeconomic indicators. Men are more obese and smoke less in higher income levels than in higher for both socioeconomic indicators. The adjustment for age tends to weaken associations, and for hypertension, a loss occurs in statistical significance.

A spouse pair is concordant if both partners have the risk factor or if both do not have it, and, it will be discordant if one member has the risk factor and the other does not.

An overall association exists between husbands and wives for hypertension, obesity, and smoking habits (table 2). These associations produced similar results for the 2 socioeconomic indicators used. The adjustment for age tends to weaken associations, and for hypertension, a loss occurs in statistical significance.

Figure 2 displays the odds ratios and 95% CI for the 3 risk factors by socioeconomic level of the 2 indicators. Evidence of linear trends according to socioeconomic level is present only when the indicator is education. For hypertension, the magnitude of the association decreases from the lower to the higher socioeconomic level (p<0.001). On the other hand, some evidence exists that the concordance for obesity increases from the lower to the higher level although the test for a linear trend reaches a borderline statistical significance (p=0.08). The same pattern was found for smoking habits (p=0.10).

As previously noted, members of a couple can be concordant either because both have or because both do not have the risk factor. Table 3 presents the distribution of concordant pairs in relation to the 3 risk factors in each socioeconomic level. Concordant pairs are subdivided into concordant pairs for the presence of risk factors and discordant pairs for the absence of risk factors. The proportion of concordance in the absence of risk factors is given by the proportion of pairs where none of the partners has the risk factor in that stratum, and the concordance in presence of risk factors is the proportion of pairs where both partners have it in that stratum. In relation to hypertension, the decrease in spousal concordance when we move from lower to higher levels of income or education was caused by the decrease in the proportion of concordant couples with the risk factor. But in the case of obesity, 2 different patterns are found depending on the socioeconomic index used. An increase occurs in the proportion of obese couples as income improves. On the other hand, an increase occurs in the proportion of pairs without obesity as educational level gets higher. Finally, it is observed that the proportion of nonsmoking pairs increases from lower to higher levels of income. When couples are stratified by educational level, more concordant pairs are present in the higher level than in the lower level.

**Discussion**

The present investigation showed an overall spousal pair concordance for hypertension, obesity, and smoking that is not homogeneous according to socioeconomic levels. These findings suggest that environmental factors, and not only genetic ones, may contribute to the determination of cardiovascular risk factors because concordance among couples may reflect similar life styles.

Although not a consensus in the literature, many studies have found similar results for blood pressure aggregation 5,26-31. Spousal concordance for obesity and smoking have been investigated in fewer studies, and our results are in agreement with some 7,29-32 but not with others 32-34. Many methodological differences among these studies as well as different cultural aspects of the populations studied may explain the controversial results.

Spousal concordance varied by socioeconomic level in different ways for the 3 risk factors, although statistically

| Table 1 - Distribution and mean age of the pairs by socioeconomic level |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **Socioeconomic Level**     | **N of Pairs**  | **Mean Age (years)** |
|                            | **N (%)**       | **Pairs**      | **Men** | **Women** |
| Income                     |                 |               |
| Low                        | 123 (33.7)      | 43.3          | 45.6    | 40.9      |
| Medium                     | 129 (35.3)      | 48.3          | 50.6    | 46.1      |
| High                       | 113 (31.0)      | 47.5          | 49.5    | 45.6      |
| p for heterogeneity        | 0.03            | 0.008         | 0.02    |
| Education                  |                 |               |
| Low                        | 153 (41.9)      | 48.4          | 50.6    | 46.2      |
| Mixed                      | 100 (27.4)      | 47.4          | 49.6    | 45.2      |
| High                       | 112 (30.7)      | 42.7          | 44.8    | 40.5      |
| p for heterogeneity        | 0.008           | 0.001         | 0.001   |
| Total                      | 365 (100)       | 46.4          | 48.7    | 44.7      |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 - Crude (c) and age adjusted (a) overall odds ratios for husband's and wife's hypertension, obesity, and smoking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant trends were found only when the socioeconomic category was defined based on educational level. It is important to note that none of the studies reviewed looked at the socioeconomic differences in spouse pair concordance for cardiovascular risk factors. The way concordant pairs are distributed among income and educational levels may contribute to the understanding of how environmental factors are operating in different groups.

The analysis of the concordance for hypertension by educational levels showed a statistically significant downward trend. The higher the level, the less concordant the spousal pairs. This pattern of aggregation may reflect a similar individual behavior in partners with a lower educational background, and a poorer social environment may also influence it. Different mechanisms have been suggested to explain the negative association between hypertension and socioeconomic status, such as positive values and attitudes about health, access to a nutritious diet and health care, housing, and working conditions, and social support.\textsuperscript{35-37}

Differently from hypertension, obesity had a significant upward trend in spousal concordance with the increase in the socioeconomic level. The proportion of obese pairs increases as the average income gets higher (table III). However, in the educational categories, a different pattern is observed and the
increase in concordance is due to nonobese pairs. So, couples in the higher educational levels were similar because they were less obese. Here some evidence exists that the 2 socioeconomic indicators can represent different aspects of the environmental determinants of risk factor distribution. Although higher income may be directly associated with behavior patterns that induce obesity, higher educational levels may be associated with behavior patterns that reduce obesity among members of the same couple. In Hong Kong, it was shown that educational level is associated with a healthier diet and lower prevalence of overweight \(^38\).

Spouse similarity in dietary habits is probably present through all the socioeconomic levels. It was shown that in the lower strata, however, partners are likely to have different patterns of energy expenditures, with men having more physical activity related to work than women \(^39\). In the higher income strata, the imbalance between caloric intake and expenditure is probably similar for both sexes because men have more sedentary occupations. On the other hand, in the higher educational levels, men and women seem to have achieved a more balanced relationship between caloric intake and expenditure.
Finally, the concordance in smoking habits increases from the lower to the higher levels because of an increase in the proportion of nonsmoking pairs (table III). In a study carried out in Finmark husbands and wives had similar smoking habits in 63.5% of all marriages.40

The overall odds ratios for spousal concordance of the 3 risk factors were reduced when adjusted for age but remained statistically significant, except for hypertension. Age-adjusted and crude estimates address different questions. The adjusted odds ratios avoid the occurrence of associations resulting from the confounding effect of age because some risk factors change with ageing and spouse pairs tend to be of similar age. Differences in spousal concordance by socioeconomic levels could also occur due to a confounding effect of age because the age structures of the socioeconomic levels were different. Moreover, nonage-adjusted odds ratios allow for a cumulative effect of the shared environment and are important in the identification of groups where spousal concordance is stronger and that could benefit from interventions focusing on couples rather than on individuals. For the purpose of identifying these groups, the confounding effect of age is not significant.

The concordance showed similar patterns of variations by the 2 socioeconomic indicators. In agreement with Abramson,41 who found a good correlation among education and income indicators, these 2 socioeconomic variables were also highly associated in the present study (p<0.001). This fact can explain the reasonable consistence of the findings when both were used.

Education seems to be a stronger discriminant than income as we might expect because education is based on individual information and consequently tends to be more accurate than income, which is ecological information based on average values. Besides that, information on education is considered more reliable and more stable than information about income, and it can be measured independently for wives that are not in the work force.42 In a Chinese population, education was the most important socioeconomic measure among the 4 indicators used (education, occupation, income, and marital status). People with a lower socioeconomic status had higher levels of cardiovascular risk factors in this study.43 Also in Finland, Luoto et al found that education and occupation had a stronger association with a cardiovascular risk factor score in both men and women than income.44,45

The option to work with both indicators allowed the investigation of patterns of concordance that could be due to different mechanisms of determination. Studies have suggested that social and physical environments in poor areas of residence are associated with an increased risk of disease that depends on individual behavior.13,36,42-46-48 On the other hand, the relation between education and disease has been associated with individual health practices. More educated people tend to make choices that are better for their health, independently from income.

In the present study, blood pressure levels used to classify individuals as hypertensives were higher than those used today. The WHO criteria (160/95 mmHg) was applied instead of the one proposed by the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (140/90).49

If we use 140/90 mmHg as the cutoff point for hypertension to look at the prevalences in the socioeconomic strata, we see that the trends do not change although the prevalence itself changes a lot. The pattern is the same for men and women and for both socioeconomic indicators, that is, prevalences are higher in the lower socioeconomic levels.

We also classified obesity by using as a cutoff point for BMI of 27kg/m², instead of 30kg/m², which is the WHO classification. We chose this cutoff point because many studies have shown that above this level an increase occurs in cardiovascular risk.20-22 If we use the value 30kg/m², the trends change and the prevalences of obesity in the lower socioeconomic levels are higher than in the higher levels. Otherwise, if we use 25kg/m² as the cutoff point for overweight, the trend pattern is quite similar to that seen before with 27kg/m², that is, prevalences are higher in higher socioeconomic levels for both indicators, for men, but not for women.

The overall odds ratio for hypertension concordance decreases when we use the lower cutoff points (from 1.82 to 1.60 for the crude and from 1.42 to 1.13 for the adjusted). Nevertheless, the findings did not change. That is, adjustment for age caused a decrease in the odds ratio, which looses its statistical significance.

When we consider a higher cutoff point (30kg/m²) for obesity and when overweight was investigated, the ORs continue to be statistically significant (ORc = 1.77; ORadj =

| Table III - Proportion of concordant couples (absence/presence of risk factors) by socioeconomic level |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Hypertension | Obesity | Smoking |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Absence | Presence | Absence | Presence | Absence | Presence | Absence | Presence |
| Income | | | | | | | |
| Low | 58.5 (72) | 11.4 (14) | 54.5 (67) | 8.1 (10) | 42.3 (52) | 19.5 (24) | |
| Medium | 56.6 (73) | 7.8 (10) | 48.4 (62) | 13.3 (17) | 49.6 (64) | 16.3 (21) | |
| High | 57.5 (65) | 7.1 (8) | 46.4 (52) | 17.9 (19) | 56.6 (64) | 16.8 (19) | |
| Education | | | | | | | |
| Low | 53.6 (82) | 14.4 (22) | 45.1 (69) | 12.4 (19) | 45.1 (69) | 16.3 (25) | |
| Mixed | 58 (58) | 8.8 (14) | 49.5 (49) | 14.1 (14) | 52 (52) | 15 (15) | |
| High | 62.5 (70) | 1.8 (2) | 56.8 (63) | 11.7 (13) | 52.7 (59) | 21.4 (24) | |
The knowledge of spousal concordance for cardiovascular risk factors and the understanding of its components may be helpful for planning studies to investigate different approaches for preventive interventions. Programs targeting individuals have been used and the effectiveness of such programs is variable. The hypothesis that intervening in couples rather than in individuals may be more efficient has not yet been tested and the assessment of spouse concordance is a first step. In the British Family Heart Study, men and women who benefit most from risk factor reductions have partners who also tend to benefit most, possibly through mutual reinforcement of lifestyle changes.

Hypertension is known to be an important cardiovascular risk factor and the estimated prevalence rates in Brazil vary from 10 to 40 percent. From a public health point of view, the spouse concordance for hypertension may be used as a strategy for case-finding because a man or a woman identified as hypertensive has almost a 2 times greater chance of having a partner that is also hypertensive than someone that is not. This aspect is particularly important for hypertension because it is usually symptomless until the late stages of the disease. The lower socioeconomic group (mainly the less educated) may be seen as a group more amenable to preventive strategies involving spouse pairs.

Preventive strategies towards obesity will require modification of cultural characteristics involving food preparation, eating, and physical activity that may not be easy to achieve. Perhaps couples are more likely to be motivated to change eating habits and to exercise than single individuals are.

Preventive strategies involving nonconcordant smoking couples and emphasizing the role of the nonsmoking partner may be important in programs to persuade people to stop smoking. Because discordant pairs are more likely to be in lower socioeconomic categories, actions targeting this group could be more effective.

Education seems to have a fundamental role in the determination of disease. Preventive strategies have to take into account the educational process as a whole, making it possible that all members of society have the same access to the information necessary to make healthy choices.
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