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Millions of people throughout the world have chronic heart failure, with ischemia being one of its most prevalent causes. It is a serious public health problem. The current treatments complementary to the optimized clinical treatment, such as cardiomyoplasty, heart transplantation, and different types of pacemakers, along with mechanical devices of ventricular support have not been established as standard procedures. Each of them has its own disadvantages, creating a field for study and application of alternative techniques. Of the latter, transplantation of exogenous stem cells to the impaired myocardium, aiming at improving cardiac performance, a process called cell cardiomyoplasty, seems to have a promising future. Stem cells constitute a population of immature tissue precursor cells capable of self-renewal and production or replacement of cells of many tissues. Different methods of treatment with stem cells have already been or continue to be used, although still in an initial phase of clinical application; a better assessment of the real applicability of stem cells, however, requires long-term results.

Currently, the 2 existing types of stem cell therapy aiming at regenerating cardiac muscle or improving its vascularization are as follows: 1) use of embryonic stem cells; and 2) use of adult somatic stem cells.

The embryonic stem cells derived from the blastocyst are pluripotent, ie, they have the capacity to differentiate into over 200 types of tissue cells that exist in the human body. The somatic stem cells of adults, which were believed to have only a restricted action, may also exhibit a certain degree of pluripotency; bone marrow stem cells have been used for repairing other tissues, such as skeletal muscle, cerebral tissue, and hepatocytes.

Although embryonic stem cells have a greater potency to differentiate into cardiomyocytes, their use has been restricted to the experimental field in animals because of the inherent immunogenic component, in addition to their greater tendency towards causing arrhythmias and generating tumors, and obviously, the ethical conflicts triggered by them. In an attempt to overcome these difficulties, the use of somatic stem cells of the adult himself, such as cardiac stem cells, muscle stem cells (satellite cells or skeletal myoblasts), and bone marrow stem cells, has increased. The latter have predominated in the most recent experimental and clinical studies. Honold et al. provide an updated review on the subject.

The literature available shows the existence of some other conflicts triggered by them. In an attempt to overcome these difficulties, the use of somatic stem cells of the adult himself, such as cardiac stem cells, muscle stem cells (satellite cells or skeletal myoblasts), and bone marrow stem cells, has increased. The latter have predominated in the most recent experimental and clinical studies. Honold et al. provide an updated review on the subject.
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centers with initial experience in the subject. For analytical purposes, the access route of cell implantation should be considered, because, in clinical practice, both the open epicardial approach, complementing the procedures of surgical revascularization, and the endocardial and intracoronary approaches, in the hemodynamic laboratory, have been used.

Among those using the transepicardial approach, Ozbaran et al. 11 reported the evolution of 6 patients diagnosed with ischemic cardiomyopathy (LVEF=25%), who underwent myocardial cell transplantation of bone marrow stem cells and associated coronary artery bypass grafting. Those patients were followed up for up to 4 months and the authors reported a significant improvement in their quality of life expressed as an improvement in their functional class with marginal benefits in the echocardiographic data, in thallium scintigraphy, and in the positron emission tomography. Stamm et al. 14, with the same approach, followed up 12 patients and reported, with the aid of scintigraphy, a significant improvement in the perfusion area treated, a reduction in the left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV=140±38 mL vs. 124±30 mL; P=0.004), and an increase in the ejection fraction (39.7±9% vs. 48.7±6%; P=0.007). It is worth noting the occurrence of greater preoperative ejection fraction values when compared with those reported by Ozbaran et al. 11, who selected only those with an EF=25%. In the latter, the only marginal improvement may have resulted from the greater degree of fibrosis before the procedure.

Among those using the transendocardial route, Tse et al. 15 reported the experience with 8 patients with severe ischemic heart disease who underwent implantation of stem cells originating from the bone marrow, guided by the percutaneous procedure. After a 3-month follow-up, those authors reported, based on magnetic resonance imaging findings, an improvement in symptoms in addition to an improvement in myocardial perfusion and in the function of the area treated. On the other hand, Fuchs et al. 16 followed up 10 patients with coronary heart disease. After 3 months, those authors observed an improvement in the anginal scores. The exertion duration on exercise testing, assessed in 9 patients, was greater, although not significantly (391±155s vs. 485±198s; P=0.11). Perin et al. 17 reassessed their results at 6 and 12 months of evolution and observed that, at 12 months of evolution, the exercise capacity in the group treated was significantly better than that in controls. It is worth noting that they report the evolution of only 11 patients and not of the 14 patients in the original group. They may have assessed only the survivors, jeopardizing the appropriate interpretation of the results.

Regarding the evolution of patients undergoing stem cell transplantation through intracoronary route, Wollert et al. 18 used bone marrow cells in a randomized study with 30 patients and 30 controls with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary or rescue angioplasty, who maintained a significant area of akinesia or hypokinesia after the procedure. After 5 to 6 months of evolution, the ejection fraction in the control and treated patient groups improved by 0.7±8 1% and 6.7±6.5%, respectively (P<0.01). No significant arrhythmias were observed during the evolution. Britten et al. 19 have also injected bone marrow stem cells in the culprit artery of 28 patients 4.7±1.7 days after acute myocardial infarction. The patients were reassessed after 4 months, and the following findings were observed: a significant increase in the ejection fraction (from 44±10% to 49±10%; P=0.003); a decrease in the end-systolic volume (from 69±26 to 60±28 mL; P=0.003); and no alteration in the end-diastolic volume (122±34 versus 117±37 mL; P=NS). Aviles et al. 20 studied 5 patients with acute myocardial infarction of the anterior wall who underwent fibrinolysis followed by angioplasty in the first 24 hours, and in whom a TIMI III flow was obtained without a significant residual obstruction. Approximately 10 to 15 days after those procedures, the patients received an infusion of bone marrow stem cells through the intracoronary route and were followed up for 6 months. No significant alteration was observed in the following parameters: end-diastolic volume; end-systolic volume; and ejection fraction.

In conclusion, the procedure, regardless the route used, bears an extremely low risk, and usually reduces the recurrence of symptoms. It also seems to reduce the ischemic area, although with no significant effect on cardiac volumes, and its effect on the ejection fraction is very variable. Obviously, the low number of patients studied, the lack of adequate control groups and of randomization, the non-uniform selection criteria of patients, and the bias caused by the concomitance of procedures, such as angioplasty and revascularization surgery should be seriously considered when assessing the results. In addition, the follow-up period was short, and all the studies available do not represent more than one year of evolution. Finally, as Al-Radi et al. 21 have already reported, the adequate amount of cells to be transplanted is yet to be defined, and occasional failures regarding hemodynamic improvement may be due to insufficient inoculations, and noxious effects may perhaps be avoided with a reduction in the amount of injected cells.

Although this is a promising technique, prospective, randomized studies with strict inclusion criteria and a long-term follow-up are required before it can be considered a therapeutic option for the treatment of ischemic heart disease.

References


