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Objective
To assess the Omron 705-CP monitor for measuring blood pressure in adolescents and young adults.

Methods
According to the protocols of the British Hypertension Society and the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, we performed validation of the device in 60 adolescents. The Omron monitor was connected in Y to a mercury column. Four consecutive and simultaneous measurements were taken with the mercury column sphygmomanometer and the test device, were independently analyzed, and the mean differences between the blood pressure measurements and the standard deviations of those differences were calculated. The results were analyzed according to the grading system of the protocol used.

Results
Two hundred and forty measurements were evaluated. The mean age of the patients was 16.3 years. When the measurement performed with the mercury column sphygmomanometer was compared with that taken with the device, a difference ≤15 mmHg was observed in 97.9% of the systolic and 98.8% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements; a difference ≤10 mmHg was observed in 86.3% of the systolic and 90.4% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements, which was classified as grade A; a difference ≤5 mmHg was observed in 59.1% of the systolic and 67% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements, and was classified as grade A/B. The mean difference and the standard deviation of that difference for the systolic blood pressure was 2.91±6.42 mmHg, and, for the diastolic blood pressure, it was 1.16±5.79 mmHg.

Conclusion
The Omron 705-CP monitor proved to be useful for measuring blood pressure in adolescents according to the protocol used.
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down to zero, and auscultatory hiatus were excluded from the sample. Blood pressure measurement was taken after the individuals received instructions about the study and signed a written informed consent.

The casual measurement of blood pressure was performed by using the auscultatory technique, and recording blood pressure in the arm by using a mercury column sphygmomanometer. After that measurement, the Omron 705-CP device was connected in Y with the mercury column device and the inflation mechanism of the device was activated. Four consecutive and simultaneous measurements were taken with the device and the mercury column at 2-minute intervals.

The measurements were independently analyzed, and the mean differences between the systolic and diastolic measurements were calculated, as were the standard deviations of those differences. The classification of the device was performed considering the differences of readings between the mercury column and the automated device, according to the BHS 2 and AAMI 3 protocols. According to the BHS protocol 2, the devices should achieve at least grade B for arterial systolic and diastolic blood pressure so that they could be cataloged as recommended. Grade A corresponds to a greater concordance between the blood pressure measurements obtained with the mercury sphygmomanometer and the device, and grade D corresponds to a lower concordance (chart I).

The criterion established by the AAMI protocol recommends that the differences between the measurements taken with the test device and those with the mercury column sphygmomanometer should not exceed 5 mmHg, or that the standard deviation of the measurements obtained with the mercury sphygmomanometer should be ≤8 mmHg.

**Results**

Sixty individuals, 38 men and 22 women, were studied. Their mean age was 16.3 (12-21.5) years, their mean weight was 66.7 kg, and their mean height was 166.8 cm. Their mean arm circumference was 28.4 cm (range, 26 to 32 cm). The initial systolic blood pressure, measured with the mercury sphygmomanometer ranged from 82 to 132 (mean, 107.4) mmHg, and the diastolic blood pressure ranged from 46 to 96 (mean, 64.8) mmHg (tab. I).

Comparison between the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was performed by taking 240 simultaneous systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements. The base of comparison was the magnitude of the differences between the sequential measurements taken in the same individual with the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer.

The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures measured with the mercury column sphygmomanometer were 104.35 and 66.7 kg, and their mean height was 166.8 cm. Their mean arm circumference was 28.4 cm (range, 26 to 32 cm). The initial systolic blood pressure, measured with the mercury column sphygmomanometer ranged from 82 to 132 (mean, 107.4) mmHg, and the diastolic blood pressure ranged from 46 to 96 (mean, 64.8) mmHg (tab. I).

Comparison between the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was performed by taking 240 simultaneous systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements. The base of comparison was the magnitude of the differences between the sequential measurements taken in the same individual with the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer.

The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures measured with the mercury column sphygmomanometer were 104.35 and 66.7 kg, and their mean height was 166.8 cm. Their mean arm circumference was 28.4 cm (range, 26 to 32 cm). The initial systolic blood pressure, measured with the mercury column sphygmomanometer ranged from 82 to 132 (mean, 107.4) mmHg, and the diastolic blood pressure ranged from 46 to 96 (mean, 64.8) mmHg (tab. I).

The mean difference between the systolic blood pressure measurements taken with the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was 2.91 mmHg (95% confidence interval: 2.09 to 3.73) and the standard deviation was 6.42; the mean difference between the diastolic blood pressure measured with the test device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was 1.16 mmHg (confidence interval: 0.42 to 1.19), and the standard deviation was 5.79.

Figures 1 and 2 show the mean differences observed between the systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements taken with the mercury column sphygmomanometer and the Omron 705-CP device. The difference between the measurements taken with the electronic device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was = 15 mmHg in 97.9% of the systolic blood pressure measurements and 98.8% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements, which, according to the BHS classification, is grade A. The difference between the measurements taken with the electronic device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was ≤10 mmHg in 86.3% of the systolic blood pressure measurements and 90.4% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements, which is grade A, according to the BHS classification. The difference between the measurements taken with the electronic device and the mercury column sphygmomanometer was ≤5 mmHg in 59.1% of the systolic blood pressure measurements and 67% of the diastolic blood pressure measurements, which is grade A/B (tab. II).

**Discussion**

Most of the existing validation studies of blood pressure devices were performed in the general adult population, and their validation in special groups is important.

**Table I – Characteristics of the individuals assessed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>16.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>12-21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>166.8 (9.3)</td>
<td>144.5-185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.79 (11.8)</td>
<td>43.5-92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm circumference (cm)</td>
<td>28.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>26-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>107.4 (11.7)</td>
<td>82-132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>64.8 (11.1)</td>
<td>46-96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP - systolic blood pressure; DBP - diastolic blood pressure; SD - standard deviation.

**Table II – Comparison between Omron HEM 705-CP and mercury column sphygmomanometer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>≤ 5</th>
<th>≤ 10</th>
<th>≤ 15</th>
<th>mean difference ± SD (95% confidence interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP - systolic blood pressure; DBP - diastolic blood pressure; SD - standard deviation of the difference.
In adolescents and children, the need for adjusting the cuff size to the arm circumference often leads to technical modifications of the equipment, which makes that validation even more necessary. The reliability of those devices according to international norms of validation in special groups is extremely important to the recommendation of its use in clinical practice, mainly aiming at its use for home control of blood pressure.

The Omron HEM 705-CP device has already been validated according to the BHS protocol, initially assessed by O'Brien et al, when it obtained grade A for both systolic and diastolic blood pressures. That device functions with automated inflation and deflation and has adequate weight and size, and those are important factors for greater adhesion to home control of blood pressure in hypertensive individuals. In our study, that device was classified as grade A/B according to the same criteria for both systolic and diastolic blood pressures, and it achieved the criterion established by the AAMI.

The BHS protocol for validation of blood pressure devices recommends that different levels of blood pressure be evaluated, from 100 to 240 mmHg for systolic blood pressure, and from 60 to 120 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure. The AAMI criterion does not recommend that evaluation by blood pressure levels. In our study, the variations in systolic and diastolic blood pressure were 82 to 132 mmHg and 46 to 96 mmHg, respectively, and systolic levels greater than 140 mmHg and diastolic levels greater than 100 mmHg could not be assessed. The few studies available about validation of devices in adolescents have also assessed individuals neither with systolic blood pressure levels greater than 140 mmHg nor diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 mmHg. This difficulty to assess higher blood pressure levels in the adolescent population is explained by the lower prevalence of hypertension in that age group as compared with that of adults, and by the smaller chance of finding younger patients with extremely high blood pressure levels. This has led us to suggest that the recommendation of the BHS to use in special groups the same criteria of validation already established for the general adult population should not be applied, mainly to adolescents and children.

In conclusion, we recommend the Omron HEM 705-CP device for blood pressure measurement in adolescents, because it meets the AAMI criterion and was classified as grade A/B, according to the BHS protocol for systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurement.
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