Critical Left Ventricular Volume in Aortic Stenosis in First Year of Life - Its Importance in Patients Selection Candidates to Univentricular Surgical Correction Pos-valvotomy
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OBJECTIVE

Analysis of critical end diastolic left ventricular volume (EDLVV), defined as the lowest acceptable volume to keep cardiac output, in the selection of patients with post-valvotomy aortic stenosis, candidates to univentricular correction.

METHODS

A retrospective study in 21 patients with aortic stenosis, during the first year of life, and 232 patients compiled from literature. Values of end diastolic volume (EDLVV), from 20 to 60 ml/m² were determined as normal. The EDLVV of deceased patients was compared to that from survival patients. A correlation between the age and EDLVV was carried out at the time of valvotomy, between the groups. Finally, the critical EDLVV through the theoretical relationship between the EDLVV and heart rate for different ejection fractions and designated cardiac indexes (CI): 2,000 and 2,500 20-60 ml/min/m² was determined.

RESULTS

For EDLVV values <20ml/m² and >60 ml/m², there was statistical significance between deceased and survival patients (p< 0.0001). However, in the range between 20 and 60 ml/m², that significance was lower (p=0.0309). A greater incidence of death took place among those who showed it in the first week of life. For a CI of 2,500 ml/min/m² and a heart rate (HR) of 140 bpm, critical EDLVV will be 26 ml/m² if left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is 0.70, and 44.5 ml/m², if LVFE is 0.40.

CONCLUSION

The analysis of critical end diastolic left ventricular volume (EDLVV) can add another parameter in the indication of univentricular correction in patients with post-valvotomy aortic stenosis, during the first year of life.
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Aortic stenosis in the first year of life has high post-valvotomy mortality, either through balloon catheter or surgery. That high mortality can be partly justified by reduced left ventricular volume of those patients, which are insufficient to keep a proper post-valvotomy cardiac output. Both empirical studies and creation of physiological models suggest that, even in the absence of associated lesions, survival is little probable for those patients with ventricular volumes lower than 20 ml/m². So, those patients should be candidates to a Norwood-type univentricular correction and, then, to a Fontan-type procedure.

One of determining factors in that decision will be the size of small left ventricle. Although that small ventricle may develop, due to its growth capacity, it should necessarily have an acceptable minimum volume in order to keep a proper cardiac output, immediately after a valvotomy. Then, the big questioning will be, “Which is the lowest acceptable volume the left ventricle should have and still have conditions to keep a proper post-valvotomy cardiac output?”

**METHODS**

A retrospective analysis of end diastolic left ventricular volume (EDLVV) was carried out in 21 patients with neonatal aortic stenosis, and compared to 232 cases compiled from literature. Values of EDLVV within a range from 20 to 60 ml/m² were arbitrarily regarded as normal. EDLVV from deceased patients was compared with those from survivor patients. A relationship of EDLVV with the age at the time of valvotomy was established between groups. Finally, a theoretical relationship between EDLVV and the heart rate (HR) for different left ventricular ejection fractions and determined cardiac indexes was graphically represented, from the following equation: cardiac output (CO) = EDLVV x left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) x HR, which means, EDLVV = CO/LVEF x HR. From that relation, it was possible to obtain critical EDLVV, that is, the lowest acceptable EDLVV for cardiac output maintenance.

**RESULTS**

Table I shows EDLVV 18 patients with neonatal aortic stenosis. Ten of them survived balloon valvuloplasty and eight patients died. Those groups of patients showed EDLVV of 51.5±25.1 and 38.4 ±2.5 ml/m² (p=0.28).

Table II analysis demonstrates values of EDLVV from 177 patients (including 18 from our series), who were divided in three groups, according to EDLVV values (<20; 20-60 and >60 ml/m²). For EDLVV values <20 ml/m² and >60 ml/m², there was statistic significance between deceased and survivor patients (p<0.0001). However, within the range between 20 and 60 ml/m², that statistic significance was low (p=0.0309).

**DISCUSSION**

Early post-valvotomy mortality among neonates and infants with aortic stenosis is high, within a range from zero to 100%, with a median of 31%, a lower quartile of zero and an upper quartile of 50%. Oppositely, aortic valvotomy, after the first year of life, has an early mortality rate in the range from zero to 18%, with a median of 3% and upper quartile of 7%. It is possible that such mortality would be even higher if all patients were submitted to that procedure. On the other hand, it is possible that with the development of techniques less invasive than surgeries, earlier diagnosis and better understanding and handling of intensive care, those results can be more promising.

There is a series of factors that justify the reason for the non-survival of those post-valvotomy patients. Severe associated defects, as coarctation of the aorta, aortic arch hypoplasia, mitral valve malformation, subvalvar or supravalvar aortic stenosis, pulmonary stenosis, hypoplastic left heart syndrome, cardiomyopathy, and dysmorphology, are frequent causes of early and late death.

**Table I - Relation of EDLVV with post-aortic valvotomy survival or death**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>EDLVV (ml/m²)</th>
<th>Literature</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survivors 14</td>
<td>42.6±26.8</td>
<td>Koekakin 1998</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased 5</td>
<td>21.8±7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors 19</td>
<td>50.0±8.0</td>
<td>Hammon 1988</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased 14</td>
<td>20.0±4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors 19</td>
<td>37.0±17.0</td>
<td>Grundi 1986</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased 5</td>
<td>36.0±7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors 10</td>
<td>51.5±25.1</td>
<td>Santos/Azevedo 2002</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased 8</td>
<td>38.4±2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II - Relation of EDLVV with post-valvotomy survival or death**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>EDLVV (ml/m²)</th>
<th>p (lines)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-60</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table I** shows EDLVV 18 patients with neonatal aortic stenosis. Ten of them survived balloon valvuloplasty and eight patients died. Those groups of patients showed EDLVV of 51.5±25.1 and 38.4 ±2.5 ml/m² (p=0.28).

When age was included at the time of aortic valvulotomy (tab. III), and it was correlated with EDLVV value, it was observed that, from 0 to 10 days, 15 from 27 patients survived and 12 died, and 18 had EDLVV in the range of 20-60 ml/m². From 10 days of life, survival possibility increased, and almost the totality of them were within the range of A EDLVV values between 20 and 60 ml/m².

Figure 1 graphically represents a family of theoretical curves, which were obtained through the relations between EDLVV and HR for different ejection fractions and cardiac indexes. The horizontal arrow points at the critical EDLVV. So, a neonate individual with critical EDLVV of 26 ml/m² can survive, temporarily, if he/she shows a HR of 140 bpm, LVEF of 0.70, obtaining a cardiac index of 2,500 ml/m². For those same cardiac index and HR, an EDLVV of 0.40 is sufficient, since the critical EDLVV increases to 44.5 ml/m².
supravalvar stenosis, or large shunts on atrial, ventricular or ductus arteriosus level, substantially add the possibility of early post-valvotomy mortality. Literature also directs the attention to the fact that many patients die immediately after the procedure, in terms of complications inherent to the procedure, maintenance of left ventricular dysfunction due to fibroelastosis or subendocardial ischemia, or even in consequence of repetition of the procedure.

Aortic stenosis patients, who showed up in neonatal period, have higher mortality in terms of a lower left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and, as well as a reduction of EDLVV (tables I and II). In our series, that mortality rate reached 100% of those who are critically ill, soon after birth, and that have high post-valvotomy mortality (tab. III). In our series, that mortality rate reached 100% of the total of the group, EDLVV was still increased over 120% in 6 patients, but reduced under 80% in 8 patients.

For some time, literature has presenting publications trying to correlate end diastolic left ventricle volume with post-valvotomy survival (tables I and II).

Normal left ventricular volume values up to two years of life, obtained through angiographic study determined by Graham et al, were 42 ml/m²±10 ml/m².

Another observation demonstrated by literature is that lower volume ventricles are found in younger patients and, consequently, those who are critically ill, soon after birth, and that have high post-valvotomy mortality (tab. III). In our series, that mortality rate reached 100% of the nine patients who were submitted to balloon valvuloplasty, in the first week of life.

In terms of ventricular configuration distortions and possible mistakes in determining diastolic left ventricular volume, two questions must be asked: 1) how could the true determination and importance of end diastolic left ventricular volume be evaluated? 2) What determines when a volume is very little?

In order to try to answer those questions, we considered the basic physiology of cardiac output: cardiac output = EDLVV × LVEF × HR.

That formula allows us for relating EDLVV to cardiac output and HR for any LVEF. If we decide for a minimum cardiac output that a newborn or infant needs to survive in the first post-valvotomy weeks, which is the period that the left ventricle is under adjustment, and we determine which maximum HR is acceptable. So, we are able to build a family of curves that will define those relationships. Those are demonstrated in figure 1. In that figure, arrows point at the critical EDLVV, which will be the lowest acceptable volume to maintain a certain cardiac index for any LVEF and HR of 140 and 160 bpm. Although selected cardiac indexes are low, those patients can...
survive for some time if a proper support therapy is used. Through those charts we can see that a 20 ml/m² EDLVV can keep the life of the infant only if LVEF is 0.60 or higher. A cardiac index of 2,500 ml/min/m² (a lower limit than the normal one) and a HR of 140 bpm, acceptable for the first month of life, will need an EDLVV of 26 ml/m² if LVEF is 0.70; 36 ml/m² for a 0.50 LVEF and 44.5 ml/m² for a LVEF of 0.40.

In the example of a neonate with EDLVV of 20 ml/m² and LVEF of 0.40 and HR of 160 bpm, the cardiac index will be 1,280 ml/min/m², which is very low for life maintenance. In that situation, it seems to us more physiological that the most suitable post-valvotomy procedure be the assignment for a univentricular correction. However, if, due to valve clearance and improvement of clinical conditions with inotropic measures, LVEF raises to 0.60, cardiac output will increase to 1,920 ml/min/m², which would allow for survival, since there was a progressive ventricular volume increase. Moreover, if such increase does not happen, univentricular correction seems to us the best choice of procedure.

A high number of neonates and infants already show proper EDLVV and LVEF, even before valvotomy. However, with the success of that procedure there may be an improvement of such parameters. Unfortunately, it is very difficult to predict how much of LVEF and EDLVV increase will take place on the first post-valvotomy days. The limiting factor of such process is given by the level of endocardial fibroelastosis and myocardial fibrosis that already existed in intrauterine life9,15,18. As those processes are progressive, the procedures of early delivery19-21 or even valvular clearance in the fetus22-25 are justified, or even valvular clearance in the fetus22-25.

In conclusion, our work does not aim at determining critical ventricular volume as an exact magnitude, as it is usually done by using mathematical formulas, as those by Simpson, Dodge and other formulas. Therefore, critical ventricular volume does not represent an anatomical parameter, expressed in ml/m² of body surface, but a physiological one, dependent on variables, such as heart rate, ejection fraction, cardiac index, etc, therefore varying in the same patient.

It is evident that many factors must be taken into consideration in the decision on which type of procedure must be the ideal one, for neonate and infant patients of post-valvotomy critical aortic stenosis. Very often, the presence itself of associated defects may contribute for the selection of patients. However, when the matter is univentricular correction versus biventricular correction, critical EDLVV parameter adds the possibility of a more coherent decision for that group of critically ill patients.
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