Clinical Efficacy of Percutaneous Renal Revascularization with Stent Placement in Atherosclerotic Renovascular Disease
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Objective: To evaluate the clinical efficacy of percutaneous renal revascularization with stenting to control hypertension and preserve/restore renal function in patients with atherosclerotic renovascular disease.

Methods: From May/1999 to October/2003, 46 patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (ARAS) underwent revascularization with stenting. The indication for the procedure was hypertension control and/or renal function preservation/restoration. Clinical characteristics: age range: 33-84 years (median = 58.5 ± 10.7), males: 26 (56.5%), 4 (10%) patients with diabetes mellitus, 21 (46%) patients with coronary artery disease, creatinine <2.0mg/dl: 39 (64%), 6 patients (14%) with congestive heart failure, 20 (43%) patients with ostial stenosis and 15 (33%) patients with bilateral stenosis. Hypertension control was evaluated by the number of drugs used before the procedure and at follow-up (FU) and by blood pressure (BP) measurement.

Results: The minimum follow-up was 7 months (range of 7-52, median: 23, mean: 24.2 ± 15.2). There were no major complications. No patient experience any cardiovascular event. There was only one non-cardiac death (2%) and one technical failure in the treatment (2%). There was no serious complication in the procedure. None of the patients presented cardiovascular events. The renal function improved or stabilized in 32 patients (82%) and worsened in 4 (10%). The BP control improved in 19/44 (43.8%) patients and worsened/stabilized in 6 patients (14%).

Conclusion: Angioplasty with renal artery stenting for ARAS showed to be an effective treatment strategy to restore and preserve renal function and to control blood pressure.
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in order to obtain the clinical control equivalent to balloon angioplasty, about half patients randomized for medical treatment were submitted to angioplasty as per physician’s decision. Additionally, angioplasty was not performed with stenting, which resulted in restenosis in 50% of patients treated in this protocol1.

A meta-analysis of seven studies with surgical intervention and four studies with percutaneous renal angioplasty with stent placement showed that 25% to 30% of patients presented improvement of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and 45% to 50% evolved with stabilization of GFR; only 20 to 25% presented a decrease in GFR10,11.

In this paper we prospectively evaluated the clinical efficacy of percutaneous renal revascularization with stent placement in consecutive cases.

**Methods**

We evaluated patients diagnosed with renal artery stenosis, which was an additional finding during coronary angiography performed in patients very likely to have renovascular disease concomitant with coronary heart disease. Furthermore, we evaluated patients from the Outpatient Clinic of Renovascular Hypertension of the Hospital do Rim e Hipertensão da Fundação Oswaldo Ramos (FOR)/Universidade Federal de São Paulo – Escola Paulista de Medicina, between May/1999 and October/2003. At that time, the patients had clinical and/or laboratory indications of renovascular hypertension (according to the IV Brazilian guidelines on treatment of systemic arterial hypertension).

The criteria for tracking stenosis during coronary angiography were at the discretion of the physician performing the catheterization procedure. In general, such an evaluation was performed when the patient presented one or more conditions indicating a high likelihood of renovascular disease, namely diffuse or multiple vessel coronary artery disease, coronary artery disease and hypertension of difficult management or associated with mild or moderate deterioration of renal function, systemic atherosclerosis (cerebrovascular and/or peripheral vascular), especially in patients aged over 70 years.

All patients were followed up with outpatient visits which included physical examination, history-taking and complementary exams (laboratory and imaging exams), before and after the intervention, during the follow-up and whenever necessary in the emergence of any other complaints.

Forty-six patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis underwent placement of a stent in the affected artery. The indications for the procedure included control of hypertension and/or preservation/restoration of renal function.

Baseline clinical features: ages between 33-84 years (median = 58.5 ± 10.7), 26 (56.5%) men, four (10%) patients with diabetes mellitus, 39 (64%) patients with serum creatinine <2.0mg/dl, 6 (13.6%) patients with congestive heart failure (NYHA grades I-III), 20 (43%) patients with ostial stenosis and 15 (33%) patients with bilateral stenosis.

Hypertension control was checked by the number of drugs used before the procedure and during the follow-up period, by means of measurements of arterial blood pressure (BP) in conformity with the guidelines for blood pressure measurement included in the IV Brazilian Guidelines on the treatment of systemic arterial hypertension12.

Patients considered to be diabetic were those with three consecutive blood glucose tests ≥120 mg/dL obtained in different days.

Renal function was evaluated through serum creatinine levels, in mg/dL, both before and after the intervention. Present serum creatinine and later measurement available during the follow-up period (days and months after intervention) were considered for analysis.

**Criteria for creatinine evaluation** - Renal function was evaluated by serum creatinine levels, both before the procedure and later on during the follow-up period. Normalization: serum creatinine <1.2 mg/dL; improvement: decrease of (at least) 15% compared with baseline values; stabilization: serum creatinine levels are the same as pre-intervention levels, with no deterioration during the study period; worsening: increase in serum creatinine levels by over 10% as compared with baseline values.

**Criteria for blood pressure evaluation** - Cure: diastolic blood pressure (DBP) <90 mmHg and systolic blood pressure (SBP) <140 mmHg without medication; improvement: DBP <90 mmHg and/or SBP <140 mmHg with the same or lower number of medications, or reduction of DBP by at least 15 mmHg with the same or lower number of medications. Worsening: unchanged BP or with no criteria for inclusion in any of the other categories described above.

The statistical analyses used were Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney test (for creatinine values) and quantitative and qualitative descriptive analyses.

**Inclusion criteria** - Patients were followed up at the Outpatient Clinic of Renovascular Hypertension of the Hospital do Rim e Hipertensão da Fundação Oswaldo Ramos (FOR)/Universidade Federal de São Paulo – Escola Paulista de Medicina.

The main inclusion criterion was the presence of an atherosclerotic stenosis of one or both renal arteries presenting occlusion ≥70% and/or systolic gradient >20 mmHg in the lesion, associated with high blood pressure of difficult management (or refractory hypertension) and presenting recent deterioration (<6 months) of renal function. After the initial approach, all patients underwent renal arteriography that confirmed the diagnosis of renal artery stenosis before stenting.

As to measurement of blood pressure, all patients were considered hypertensive. This classification followed the guidelines of the World Health Organization, i.e., according to the mean values of blood pressure levels ≥140/90 mmHg, on three consecutive visits, with an interval of at least one week between visits (WHO, 1996). They were also classified according to the grade of congestive heart failure according to the New York Heart Association.

Among the criteria used, we clarify that refractory hypertension was defined as high blood pressure >140/90 mmHg, while using three or more antihypertensive...
medications. Recent loss of renal function was related to serum creatinine levels >1.2 mg/dL that were present for a period ≤ 6 months before diagnosis.

The study included patients with type I and type II diabetes, comprising Afro-Brazilian, Caucasian and Asian individuals.

Exclusion criteria - The study excluded patients with valve diseases, neoplastic diseases, degenerative diseases (diseases of the connective tissue), patients with chronic parenchymatous nephropathy (of any etiology) with severe renal atrophy (kidney size <7 cm in its longer axis measured by ultrasound), patients with renovascular disease of any etiology other than atherosclerosis (fibromuscular dysplasia, arteritis), stenosis of renal artery < 50% identifiable with renal arteriography, or lesion <50% and gradient <20 mmHg13, and patients on dialysis.

Study design - The patients selected for renal angioplasty with stenting were evaluated through physical examination, serial measurements of creatinine and creatinine clearance, blood glucose level, serum urea, electrocardiogram (ECG) at rest, Doppler ultrasound of the kidneys with renal arteries (US-Doppler), diagnostic renal arteriography and renal angioplasty with stent placement.

Results

Out of 46 patients studied, 20 were women (43.5%) and 26 were men (56.5%). The mean age was 58.5 years and age ranged from 33 to 84 years (SD: 10.7 years). Most patients were white (80%). All patients were receiving drug treatment for hypertension.

The patients were followed up for 7 to 52 months, with a median of 23 months (mean: 24.5 ± 15.2 months).

Blood pressure - Blood pressure (BP) was controlled (cure) in 43.8% of patients in the analysis comparing the pre-intervention and late follow-up values. BP was stabilized in 9.1% of patients, while 4.5% of patients showed worsening of BP levels.

Although decrease in diastolic (DBP) and systolic (SBP) blood pressures was statistically significant (p<0.001), when SBP was analyzed separately from DBP, a greater impact of this reduction was seen with SBP.

The overall results of the main measurements of BP are presented in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2.

Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) presented a mean reduction by approximately 15 mmHg (p<0.001) (Figure 1).

According to evaluation of systolic blood pressure values, there was a mean decrease of SBP by 42.11 mmHg after intervention (SD ± 37.85); this reduction was statistically significant (p<0.001) (Figure 2).

Renal function - Following the intervention, serum creatinine was evaluated on the next day and months after the procedure; the statistical analysis compared the late results with the baseline results.

The values found both in the pre-intervention and late evaluations are listed in Table 2.

The mean value of creatinine before the intervention compared with the mean value after the procedure demonstrated a reduction greater than 17%, which was significant in terms of clinical improvement.

The (mean) decrease in creatinine levels was from 2.33 mg/dL to 1.94 mg/dL (p<0.001) (Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 3).
Number of drugs - According to the number of drugs taken before and after the procedure, there was a significant reduction by one drug in average (p<0.0001). This information is shown in Figure 4 (above) and in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creatinine (before)</th>
<th>Creatinine (after)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum value</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum value</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*only one patient presented this increase (technical failure); the second highest creatinine level was 4.4 mg/dl.

Table 2 - Renal function assessed per serum creatinine level (mg/dl) measured before and after intervention in patients followed up at outpatient clinics.

Fig. 3 - Evaluation of the impact of stenting on renal function

The number of daily doses of each drug were not evaluated.

There was only one non-cardiac death (2%) and a technical treatment failure (2%), characterized by dissection of the renal artery during the procedure. In this patient, the renal artery originated from the aorta and formed an acute angle that hindered stent access. The artery flow was established by means of other subsequent procedures; however, in the late follow-up, the patient evolved with worsening of renal function (creatinine reached 9.0 mg/dl) and hemodialysis was indicated.

There was no severe complication associated with the procedure. No patient presented cardiovascular events during the study follow-up.

Discussion

All 46 patients evaluated in this study underwent renal artery stenting. All presented significant atherosclerotic lesions in renal arteries (occlusion ≥70% with hemodynamic repercussion evidenced by a gradient of blood pressure ≥ 20 mmHg in the lesion). Patients were referred to renal artery angioplasty with placement of a stent according to the clinical history and physical examination analyzed in conjunction with stenosis of renal artery suggested by the renal US-Doppler and with the results of renal arteriography, similarly to procedures recommended and performed in other previous studies.14-17

In the late follow-up, the patients were evaluated both clinically (physical examination and laboratory tests) and by renal US to investigate restenosis of renal artery. Renal US-Doppler was used since it is sensitive to detect post-stenting renal artery stenosis18,19. The literature reports post-stenting renal artery stenosis rates of 15%20. In the sample studied, the patients did not present restenosis in the follow-up period and there was only one case of technical failure (2%) characterized by dissection of the renal artery during the procedure. In this patient, the renal artery originated from the aorta and formed an acute angle that hindered stent access. Later on, the patient evolved with a worsening of the renal function (creatinine reached 9.0 mg/dl) and hemodialysis was indicated. Despite the small number of patients involved in this study, the sample tried to select the cases with a clear clinical indication for percutaneous renal revascularization, either to control systemic hypertension or to preserve or restore renal function3,12,20,21. In fact, several studies have evaluated the renal function after renal angioplasty with stent placement10-11,16-18,20-23.

A study carried out by Jensen24 evaluated 107 patients with ARAS in a one-year follow-up after stenting in renal artery and found that this group showed decreased BP and increased glomerular filtration rate. Watson25 also noticed the repercussion of stent angioplasty in the renal function of 33 patients with azotemia for 20 months (mean follow-up) and reported that the deteriorating renal function had stabilized or improved after the procedure.
In the current study about renal function, according to serum creatinine measured before the procedure and compared with the last measurement, we were able to notice a significant reduction in its levels. The detailed results related with the repercussion on the renal function and blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) were exposed in the previous section.

The data found in this study show that stent placement in the treatment of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis is an effective and safe therapy, and it should be appropriately indicated for a group of patients who can benefit from renal control and preservation, as well as from blood pressure control.

Conclusions

The use of a stent in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis was efficient and effective to restore and/or preserve renal function. The use of a stent in ARAS was effective to attain the control of blood pressure (reduction in the number of antihypertensive drugs). Treatment of the atherosclerotic renal artery lesion proved to be a safe and effective method, with low mortality rates during hospitalization and follow-up periods.
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