We report on the case of a patient with a long term vascular catheter embolized into the right ventricle. This case had unique characteristics since both ends of the catheter were inaccessible for snare, which made it difficult to capture them using conventional techniques. We describe a new method to retrieve the foreign body through its mid-point portion, using a single catheter with two independent snare and hook systems.

Introduction
The endovascular pathway is frequently used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes in clinical practice. Such use ranges from venous access for drug infusion to arterial access for endoprosthesis implantation. The use of this pathway, however, gives rise to some complications, such as the embolization of the material used in the interior of the vascular bed and heart chambers. These complications should be recognized early, and the embolized material should be removed so as to prevent a morbidity increase in the treatment of these patients.

Case Report
A 72-year old male patient, with a history of colon neoplasia, underwent resection in August 2005, and had a long term vascular catheter implanted to allow adjuvant chemotherapy. During the infusion of the chemotherapeutic agent (fifth course), the patient complained of burning near the catheter connector with concurrent local hyperemia. A thorax X-ray showed catheter embolization, with both its ends resting on the muscles of the right ventricle, and its body protruding into the right atrium (shape of a C). The patient was in stable condition, without complaints or arrhythmias. We decided to remove the foreign body percutaneously.

Procedure
We punctured the right femoral vein with local anesthesia, and introduced an 8F valved sheath using the Seldinger technique. The position of the catheter was confirmed on angiography (fig.1). We built a single catheter with two systems: a snare and a hook system, that can be handled independently (fig. 2-A). We employed a 6F pigtail catheter, a 0.21” guide and a 0.014” x 300 cm guide wire.

The 0.014” guide wire was folded in its mid-point portion and each end was introduced by the pigtail through the orifices located near its distal end (fig. 2-II), and exteriorized in its proximal end (fig 2 – III), thus forming the snare system. This snare was angled so that when it was pulled it involved the whole pigtail “head” (fig. 1–E). We also used a 0.21” guide with the objective of controlling the distal end of the pigtail, so that when the catheter introduced this guide the catheter would be straightened and when the guide was withdrawn, the catheter reacquired the shape of a circumference, forming a hook system.

When introducing the system (0.014” guide wire fully pulled and 0.21” guide rectifying the catheter) we managed to place the pigtail snare at the level of the curvature of the foreign body. We then opened the snare system, introducing the 0.014” guide very carefully (fig. 2B). We withdrew the 0.21” guide slowly so that the distal end of the pigtail catheter could snare the foreign body, capturing it within its circumference (fig. 2C). We then manipulated both ends of the 0.014” guide wire to allow the snare to close progressively until the foreign body was captured, crushing it against the catheter (fig. 2D-F); we pulled the foreign body up to the tip of the 8F introducer, and removed all the set (introducer, diagnostic catheter and foreign body) at once (fig 1). We applied local compression for 10 minutes and obtained good homeostasis.

Discussion
Vascular catheters are widely used in clinical practice. Their use, and the use of other types of endovascular techniques, has led us to recognize and treat different complications associated with these methods, including the presence of foreign bodies in the vascular system. The first description of embolization of a catheter fragment was made in 1954. Although it has been reported that foreign bodies have remained in the body for up to seventeen years without major complications, the immediate removal of any embolized material is necessary due to the occurrence of well-known complications such as sepsis, endocarditis, myocardial perforation, arrhythmias and others. Fisher and Ferreyro reported the incidence of death or serious complications in up to 71% of patients in whom the foreign body is not removed. On the other hand, complications resulting from the removal of foreign bodies
are rare, and the success rate has been reported to reach up to 100\%\(^5\).

The percutaneous removal of foreign bodies from the vascular system has been carried out since 1964, when Thomas for the first time removed a guide wire using a bronchoscope\(^6\). The snare technique is the most widely used in the removal of foreign bodies when one of their ends is accessible with a snare\(^7,8\). The hook technique is used to retrieve fragments without free ends. This technique requires venous dissection, and there are very few descriptions of it in the literature\(^2,9\). Fogarty and pigtail catheters are also used in these procedures\(^9,10\). Combined methods are used especially in the case of fixed or distal fragments, but here two venous accesses are required\(^9\).

One of the factors that account for unsuccessful percutaneous removal of foreign bodies is the presence of fixed fragments without a free end\(^5\). In the case reported, both ends were inaccessible for snaring which prompted us to put together a type of catheter that worked with a double system – the snare and hook system. This enabled us to easily grasp and manipulate the mid-point portion of the foreign body with the hook while allowing us to firmly and safely pull the foreign body with the snare.

The removal of the material snared from the vascular system
requires special care, since the material can be a ball with three-
times the caliber of the pigtail catheter plus twice the caliber of
the foreign body (fig. 1F). In the case presented we used an 8F
sheath that did not generate significant resistance to the pulling
of all the block of material. However, we should highlight that
interventionists should analyze the need of choosing a higher
gauge introducer (9-12F) or even performing a small incision to
bring the material to the exterior. This remark applies especially
to those materials that have been inside the body for a longer
period (with a higher possibility of deterioration and fracture),
and to more rigid foreign bodies.

This technique is described here for the first time, and may
be a useful alternative to handle more complex situations
involving the removal of foreign bodies which result in higher
rates of failure when the percutaneous approach is used.
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