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Introduction

At the onset and end of physical exercise, transient heart rate (HR) responses are observed. Responses obtained at the first few seconds of exercise are known as rapid transient responses, whereas those occurring within one or two minutes are called slow transient responses. Inadequate HR response has been frequently associated with increased mortality risk, and abnormal values have been hypothetically associated with autonomic disorders. Theoretically, the identification of this disorder would identify a group of individuals at a higher risk of sudden death.

Numerous models for the assessment of the autonomic function have been proposed. Baroreflex sensitivity, HR variability, and final transient exercise test HR response (dHR) – the difference between the maximum value and that obtained at the end of the first minute of recovery - have proven to be prognostic markers. In 2005, for instance, Falcone et al suggested that a HR increase greater than 12 bpm in the first minute of exercise would be associated with a worse prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease. However, these findings were not confirmed by Leeper et al study which used a rather different assessment protocol and obtained diametrically opposed results.

The discordance between the Italian and American studies may have been due to methodological issues. However, the possibility of a clinical meaning for the measurement of the HR variation between rest and the first minute of exercise is fundamentally related to an occasional association between this measurement and the cardiac vagal activity.

Since the late 1980’s, the 4-second exercise test (T4s) has been used to study the cardiac vagal activity (CVA). This test is pharmacologically validated and highly reliable, and has already proven very useful not only as a diagnostic tool, but also in the longitudinal follow-up of CVA, in addition to being moderately correlated with dHR.

In this context, the objective of this study was to determine the association between the initial (rapid and slow) and final transient HR responses. We hypothesized that the involvement of partially distinct autonomic mechanisms would generate a significant, albeit modest, association between these variables.
Methods

Study Sample

Data from 103 individuals (76 men) aged between 18 and 89 years who had been seen in a clinic specialized in exercise medicine between 2003 and 2006 were retrospectively analyzed. Among the individuals studied, 44 (43%) were on negative chronotropic medication. From the clinical point of view, 36 (35%) individuals had coronary artery disease – defined as previous myocardial infarction and/or myocardial revascularization - 15 (14%) had no history of cardiorespiratory symptoms, and the remaining 51% had other relevant cardiopulmonary or metabolic diseases. The widely diverse clinical and demographic profile of the individuals reflects the typical routine of clinics providing exercise testing. The assessment of all individuals included a medical visit with thorough history taking and physical examination, conventional resting electrocardiography, kinanthropometric assessment, resting spirometry, T4s, and cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), all performed in a single visit and always following this sequence. Data regarding the use of medication, and presence of coronary risk factors or symptoms were included in the clinical history taken immediately before the tests. The following inclusion criteria were adopted: 1) non-athlete individuals; 2) age above 18 years; 3) maximal CPET duration of exactly 10 minutes. Patients with cardiac pacemaker or permanent atrial fibrillation were excluded from the study.

Also, all individuals participated voluntarily in the protocol and were referred by their physicians. All gave an informed consent before the study was started. The study was approved by the Institutional committee.

Protocols

4-second exercise test (T4s)

In 1992, T4s was pharmacologically validated for the assessment of CVA, by means of analysis of the initial rapid transient HR response (rest-exercise transition)\(^2\). In short, T4s consists of pedaling an unloaded leg cycle ergometer (CatEye EC-1600, CatEye, Japan) as fast as possible, from the 4th to the 8th second of a 12-second inspiratory breath-hold. Four consecutive commands are given every four seconds: 1st – inspire through the mouth as deep and fast as possible and hold the breath; 2nd – pedal as fast as possible; 3rd – stop pedaling suddenly; and 4th – expire naturally. Using one-lead – usually CM5 or CC5, electrocardiographic monitoring (Elite Ergo PC 3.1.2.5 or 3.3.4.3, Micromed, Brazil), the RR intervals with 10-millisecond resolution recorded during the maneuver were visually identified and later measured (with the help of software features). T4s quantified CVA using the adimensional cardiac vagal index (CVI), which is expressed by the ratio between the RR interval immediately before or after the first exercise, whichever is the longest (RRB), and the shortest RR interval during exercise (RRC). Generally, two maneuvers were performed, and the one giving the highest CVI was chosen. The procedure has been described in details in another paper\(^2\).

Cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET)

The individuals underwent a cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) with direct analysis of the expired gases (VO\(_{2}\), Medgraphics, USA), immediately after T4s. They used the same leg cycle ergometer and a customized ramp protocol, aiming to achieve a test duration of approximately 10 minutes, which is considered the ideal time to obtain a real VO\(_{2}\)max and a better ratio between predicted VO\(_{2}\) and workload\(^2\). No cardiovascular medication was modified or discontinued before CPET. The feet were fixed in pedals both for T4s and CPET, in order to achieve a better motor performance and higher mechanical efficiency. A single lead (CM5 or CC5) was used for electrocardiographic monitoring from the pre-test resting period until at least five minutes after the exercise. Immediately after the test, the individuals were helped off the bicycle ergometer and were then taken to a litter positioned next to the ergometer, where they were rapidly put in the supine position.

Measurement of HR variation – Slow initial transient (ΔHR)

Slow initial transient measurements, expressed in bpm, were obtained from the analysis of resting ECG tracings and CPET, by subtracting the HR values in the first minute of the test from the HR values at rest. For the analysis of resting HR, three different measurement methods were used: a) the first one, resting delta (ΔHR\(_{\text{rest}}\)), was based on the 10-second resting ECG tracing in lead DII (Schiller Cardiofit AT 10, Switzerland), with the patient on the supine position, and no respiration control; b) the second one, effective delta (ΔHR\(_{\text{eff}}\)) - thus called because we believe this measurement could express the real HR value at the moment in which the exercise is effectively started - is based on the changeable mean of the last 8 seconds with the individual already sitting on the bicycle ergometer and the mouthpiece in position; c) the third one, CPET delta (ΔHR\(_{\text{CPET}}\)), was obtained from the quantification of the mean duration of the first two RR intervals of the continuous record obtained during exercise (recording was started simultaneously with the oral command of the observer for the individual to start the test). These last two methods were obtained using the Elite Ergo PC 3.1.2.5 or 3.3.4.3 software (Micromed, Brazil), with a single lead – usually CM5 or CC5.

Maximum heart rate (MHR)

Maximum heart rate (MHR) measurements, in bpm, were obtained from the readings made by the same software, using the mean of the last 7.33 seconds in the tenth minute of CPET. This effective measurement was later compared with the predicted MHR as calculated using the following equation: 210 - 0.65 x age (years)\(^2\).

Final transient HR response (dHR)

The final transient HR response (dHR) was determined from the readings of the software recordings after the exercise, with the individual lying in the supine position, so as to evaluate the slow final transient HR response, as calculated by subtracting MHR from HR in the first minute of recovery, also expressed in bpm.
Table 1 - Descriptive analysis of the results of the main study variables (n = 103).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum - maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR&lt;sub&gt;REST&lt;/sub&gt; (bpm)</td>
<td>66 ± 11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44 - 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR&lt;sub&gt;EF&lt;/sub&gt; (bpm)</td>
<td>77 ± 16</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>48 - 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR&lt;sub&gt;CPET&lt;/sub&gt; (bpm)</td>
<td>74 ± 14</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>47 - 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHR (bpm)</td>
<td>152 ± 27</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>84 - 206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dHR (bpm)</td>
<td>32 ± 13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8 - 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVI</td>
<td>1,38 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.03 – 2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR<sub>REST</sub> - HR obtained from the resting ECG in the supine position; HR<sub>EF</sub> - HR obtained from the resting ECG in the sitting position in the bicycle ergometer; HR<sub>CPET</sub> – HR obtained from the ECG performed immediately at the beginning of the exercise; dHR – variation between maximal HR on cardiopulmonary exercise test and that obtained in the first minute of recovery in the supine position; CVI – cardiac vagal index obtained in the 4-second exercise test.

Table 2 - Correlations between the main study variables (n = 103).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CVI</th>
<th>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;EF&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;REST&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;CPET&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dHR</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;EF&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64*</td>
<td>0.63*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;REST&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHR&lt;sub&gt;CPET&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant values: p < 0.01,

Results

A total of 103 individuals (76 males) aged between 18 and 89 years were analyzed. Descriptive data of the three different methods for measuring resting HR, MHR, dHR, and CVI are shown in Table 1.

Repeated measures ANOVA showed differences between the three methods for measuring resting HR variation (p < 0.001), with lower values for ΔHR<sub>REST</sub> followed by ΔHR<sub>CPET</sub> and then by ΔHR<sub>EF</sub>, the latter being approximately 3bpm higher, on average, than the measurement taken a few instants prior to the beginning of the exercise, with the individual already sitting on the bicycle ergometer (Figure 1).

Results of the correlations between CVI and the other variables studied are shown in Table 2. Most of these correlation coefficients are positive and significant, thus indicating a tendency for the increase of a variable when another one also increases. However, for the magnitude of the associations found, the predictive value, that is, the determination coefficient ($r^2$) is very modest. More specifically, we observed that the fast initial transient HR response as quantified by CVI has a positive correlation, albeit modest, with the three methods for the quantification of the slow initial transient HR response, $r$ between 0.27 and 0.31 (p < 0.001). However, no significant difference was observed between the three methods. On the other hand, the association with the slow final transient HR response was slightly more significant, reaching $r$ of 0.53 (p < 0.001). These associations can be better visualized in Figures 2 and 3, which represent the greater association between the initial transient and slow final transient HR responses and CVI, respectively.
The analysis of the associations when the individuals were divided into two groups - those using and those not using negative chronotropic medication - did not add to the overall analysis, since no significant differences were found in relation to the data regarding the sample as a whole. Specifically, the highest association was observed between CVI and the HR descent value in the first minute, with correlation coefficients of 0.32 and 0.53 (p < 0.001), respectively. Lower levels were observed for the association between the variation in the first minute of exercise and CVI, with r values of 0.29 (p = 0.05) and 0.20 (p = 0.12), respectively.

Discussion

The present study was designed to investigate the association between the fast initial transient HR response during exercise, expressed by CVI, and the slow initial and final transient HR responses quantified in one minute and determined by specific HR variation measurements. The results showed a significant, albeit relatively modest, association between these measurements. Based on this result, we can presume that, despite being modulated by the autonomic nervous system, these variables have partially distinct physiological mechanisms. Therefore, the information obtained from these transient responses seems to be complementary, considering that, at most, 10% to 25% of a measurement variability is explained by another measurement.

Exercise evokes HR elevation at the end of the 1st minute, both through increased adrenergic activity and through reduced parasympathetic activation. Although Araújo et al have demonstrated that, through pharmacological blockade, the initial fast transient HR response (first 4 seconds) is exclusively mediated by vagal inhibition, the specific contribution of each branch of the autonomic nervous system in the first minute of exercise remains uncertain because, even though a vagal component does exist, there seems to be a predominance of the adrenergic participation in the one-minute slow transient HR responses.

Another scientific gap to be filled is related to the analysis of resting HR measurement. Considering the different methods of ΔHR measurement (Δ HR_{REST}, Δ HR_{CPET}, Δ HR_{EF}), analysis of variance demonstrated a significant difference between the measurements. Therefore, the method used for the resting HR measurement proved able to influence the results found for the slow initial transient HR response.

Despite the differences in the three methods of resting HR quantification, and thus of determination of slow transient HR response at the beginning of exercise, this did not significantly affect the modest association with CVI. The interpretation of this positive association shows that individuals with higher ΔHR tend to have a higher CVA as measured by CVI. Within this perspective, our findings corroborated, at least in part, those described by Leeper et al, who recently demonstrated a better prognosis in individuals with ΔHR ≥12 bpm undergoing symptom-limited treadmill ET. The modest association found in our study may be explained by these authors’ argument that the HR response in the 1st minute of exercise may reflect not only exercise-induced vagal withdrawal, but also the magnitude of the adrenergic response to exercise.

Corroborating our findings, Ricardo et al demonstrated some degree of association between CVI and dHR, another important and independent prognostic marker, thus also suggesting the presence of different autonomic mechanisms associated with the measurement of these variables.

In our study, the analysis of data involving the ramp protocol reduced the likelihood of the magnitude of the HR response being affected by sudden changes related to load variation between the different stages. This is because with the linear load increment, and as demonstrated in the literature, this protocol provides a better relationship between the work rate and the measurement of oxygen uptake during exercise.

One of the potential limitations of this study was the heterogeneity of the sample, which was comprised of individuals of different ages, with different clinical conditions and situations associated with the use of negative chronotropic medication that could interfere with the ΔHR measurement. However, in a preliminary analysis, the division of our sample
into subgroups - those with or without negative chronotropic medication - led to a decreased magnitude of the association between the slow and fast transient HR responses. This is, again, consistent with the existence of distinct physiological mechanisms sensitive to adrenergic β-blockade.

These findings have implications on the assessment of the cardiovascular autonomic function. In the past decades, noninvasive autonomic assessment has been focused by several studies because of the strong association between autonomic dysfunction and the risk of cardiovascular death. Although several procedures for autonomic assessment are available in the literature, T4s stands out for its practicality, low cost, validation and reliability, in addition to its specificity for the vagal component. Also considering the methodological limitations associated with the analysis of HR variation in the first minute of the rest-exercise-rest transitions, it seems that the simultaneous analysis of the three transient HR response measurements can be complementary, thus increasing the diagnostic and prognostic value of the assessment of the autonomic modulation during exercise. Prospective studies with long follow-up periods should test, in the same population, the prognostic value of initial (slow and fast) and final transient HR responses to exercise for objective end points, such as all-cause mortality or the occurrence of adverse cardiovascular events.

Conclusions

Based on the results found, we concluded that for the quantification of the slow initial transient HR response, it is appropriate to standardize the method of resting HR measurement, since different values may be obtained according to body position and choice of the exact moment at which the measurement is taken.

Considering the operational and practical difficulty to measure the resting HR exactly at the beginning of exercise, which was one of the options of the present study, it does not seem reasonable to recommend the routine use of this measurement, even though it is statistically different from that obtained some moments prior to the beginning of the exercise itself, because this difference is usually small and bears little clinical or physiological relevance (3bpm).

Simultaneous quantification of the different transient HR responses during exercise determined by only partially similar autonomic physiological mechanisms may be a complementary contribution to the prognostic clinical assessment.
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