Cardiorespiratory Responses during Progressive Maximal Exercise Test in Healthy Children
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Abstract
Background: Little is known about cardiorespiratory and metabolic response in healthy children during progressive maximal exercise test.

Objective: To test the hypothesis that children show different responses in cardiorespiratory and metabolic parameters during progressive maximal exercise test when compared with adults.

Methods: Twenty-five healthy children (gender, 15M/10F; age, 10.2 ± 0.2) and 20 healthy adults (gender, 11M/9F; age, 27.5 ± 0.4) underwent a progressive treadmill cardiopulmonary test until exhaustion to determine the maximal aerobic capacity and ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT).

Results: The peak workload (5.9±0.1 vs 5.6±0.1 mph, respectively; p>0.05), exercise time (9.8±0.4 vs 10.2±0.4 min, respectively; p>0.05), and relative aerobic fitness (VO$_2$peak, 39.4±2.1 vs 39.1±2.0 ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$, respectively; p>0.05) were similar in children and adults. At ventilatory anaerobic threshold, the heart rate, VO$_2$, RR, functional estimate of dead space (VD/VT), ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (VE/VO$_2$) and end-tidal pressure for oxygen (PETO$_2$) were higher in children, while tidal volume (VT), O$_2$ pulse and end-tidal pressure for carbon dioxide (PETCO$_2$) were lower. At peak of exercise, children showed higher RR and VD/VT. However, O$_2$ pulse, VT, pulmonary ventilation, PETCO$_2$, and respiratory exchange ratio were lower in children than adults.

Conclusion: Cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses during progressive exercise test are different in children as compared to adults. Specifically, these differences suggest that children have lower cardiovascular and ventilatory efficiency. However, children showed higher metabolic efficiency during exercise. In summary, despite the differences observed, children showed similar levels of exercising capacity when compared with adults. (Arq Bras Cardiol 2010; 94(4):464-470)
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Introduction
Children are not adults in miniature; they grow up, and as such, physiologic and metabolic responses to exercise vary accordingly as they progress through childhood and adolescence. In relation to the bioenergetic profile, a large number of studies suggested that children have lower anaerobic or glycolytic capacity to produce adenosine 5-triphosphate (ATP) during exercise. According to Eriksson et al, this immature glycolytic metabolism may be explained by lower activities of anaerobic enzymes such as lactate dehydrogenase and phosphofructokinase-1. Nevertheless, other investigations showed that children can better adapt to aerobic exercise than adults because their energy expenditure appears to rely more on oxidative metabolism. In addition, some studies reported higher levels of oxidative enzymes such as succinate dehydrogenase and isocitrate dehydrogenase in children than adults.

Furthermore, several reports have showed differences on ventilation and pulmonary gas exchange during exercise in children. For instance, previous studies have observed differences in the respiratory control between children and adults. These differences were due to the fact that arterial CO$_2$ is regulated at lower levels in children, and has a higher central ventilatory neural drive resulting in higher ventilatory rates for a given metabolic demand. In addition, it has been reported that children have higher values of heart rate and lower stroke volume than adults at a given submaximal workload.

Therefore, it is evident that the responses of certain physiologic variables which include cardiovascular, ventilatory and metabolic parameters depend on maturity, making the evaluation more complex. The use of a computer system...
with ventilatory direct measurements allows bringing on an evaluation of physiologic and metabolic variables in the noninvasive way. As a result, it became an important tool that provides information of cardiorespiratory responses during incremental exercise in pediatric population.

Thus, the purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that the cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses at different phases of the maximal progressive exercise test will be different in children comparing to adults.

Methods

Study population and design

This study used a sample of subjects who entered into cardiopulmonary evaluation database from a referral hospital, collected from 2003 to 2006, in a retrospective, cross-sectional design. Eight hundred eighty subjects meeting the following inclusion/exclusion criteria were offered participation in the study: 1) age between 8 to 12 years for children and 25 to 30 years for adults; 2) no overweight or obesity; 3) untrained (i.e., those reporting no regular physical activity); 4) no medication; 5) no evidence of metabolic, hormonal, respiratory, and cardiovascular disease; 6) no smoking; and 7) achievement of a true maximal effort during graded exercise test. Subjects were considered to have reached a maximal effort if they achieved at least two of the following criteria:

For children: 1) subjective evidence of exhaustion (unsteady gait, facial flushing, hyperpnea); 2) peak heart rate > 190 beats/min; and 3) maximal respiratory exchange ratio > 1.00. For adults: 1) peak heart rate > 95% to the age-predicted maximal; 2) maximal respiratory exchange ratio ≥ 1.10; and 3) achievement of a VO₂ plateau. All subjects were instructed to refrain from eating 2 h before the maximal graded exercise test, and to abstain from caffeine and alcohol. In addition, the subjects were instructed to avoid strenuous physical activity during the day before the test. The maturation status was not assessed in this investigation. The study protocol was approved by the Human Subject Protection Committees of the Heart Institute, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo.

Anthropometric measurements - Body weight was measured using a mechanic scale (Filizola model 31-São Paulo, Brazil) to the nearest 0.1kg, with subjects dressed in a light T-shirt and shorts. The standing height was measured using the Filizola stadiometer (model 31, São Paulo, Brazil) to the nearest 0.1cm.

Cardiorespiratory evaluation - A maximal graded exercise test was carried out on a programmable treadmill (Quinton Q65, model 645, Quinton Instruments Co, Washington, USA). Gas exchange and ventilatory variables were measured continuously during the gas exchange tests breath by breath, using an open-circuit spirometry procedure on a based exercise system (SensorMedics - model Vmax 229 Pulmonary Function/Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing Instrument, Yorba Linda, CA, USA). The following variables were obtained breath by breath and expressed as 30-s averages: pulmonary oxygen uptake \( (\text{VO}_2) \text{ L.min}^{-1} \text{ and ml.kg}^{-1} \text{.min}^{-1} \text{ STPD}) \); pulmonary carbon dioxide output \( (\text{VCO}_2) \text{ L.min}^{-1} \text{ and ml.kg}^{-1} \text{.min}^{-1} \text{ STPD}) \); respiratory exchange ratio \( \text{(RER)} \); pulmonary ventilation \( \text{(VE)} \ \text{L.min}^{-1} \text{BTPS}) \); tidal volume \( (\text{VT}) \text{ L.min}^{-1} \text{BTPS}) \); respiratory rate \( \text{(RR breath/min)} \); functional estimate of dead space \( \text{(VD/VT)} \); ventilatory equivalents for oxygen and carbon dioxide \( (\text{VE/VO}_2 \text{ and VE/VCO}_2 ) \) and end-tidal pressures for oxygen and carbon dioxide \( (\text{PETO}_2 \text{ and PETCO}_2 \text{ mmHg}) \). Before each test, the gas analyzers were calibrated using gases of known concentration of carbon dioxide and oxygen balanced with nitrogen, and the flow meter was calibrated using a 3-L syringe. Heart rate was continuously recorded at rest, during the graded exercise testing and recovery period using a 12-lead ECG (Marquette Medical Systems, Inc. CardioSoft, Wisconsin, USA). All tests in this study were performed in the same laboratory at the same condition.

Exercise protocol - The subjects performed a ramp-like progressive exercise test until exhaustion on treadmill. The exercise workload (speed and/or slope) was increased every 60s with completion of the incremental part of exercise test between approximately 8 to 12 minutes.

Ventilatory anaerobic threshold - The ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) was determined to occur at the break-point between the increase in the carbon dioxide output and \( \text{VO}_2 \) (V-Slope) or the point at which the ventilatory equivalent for oxygen reached the minimum value and began to rise without a concomitant rise in ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide.

Oxygen peak consumption - The VO₂ peak was defined as the maximal attained \( \text{VO}_2 \) at the end of the exercise period in which the subject refers exhaustion (analog scale of the perceived exertion to Borg scale).

Experimental protocol - Initially, the subjects underwent resting ECG. Thereafter, they performed on the progressive treadmill exercise test, in following sequence: 1) Equipment adaptation was observed through ventilatory parameters during few minutes; 2) After this, the cardiorespiratory parameters were collected for 2 minutes at rest and 2 minutes in warm-up; 3) Therefore, incremental exercise test was started; and 4) It was closed with six minutes of monitored recovery.

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as mean ± SE. Unpaired Student t tests was used to examine physical characteristics, workload, time of exercise and the relative difference (percentage) for the cardiorespiratory variables. The chi-square \( (\chi^2) \) test was used to assess the gender differences between children and adults. The cardiorespiratory responses during graded exercise test were analyzed between two groups at rest, VAT and peak of exercise using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures to test possible differences between groups. When significance was found, Scheffe’s post-hoc comparison was performed. Probability values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

For both groups, the relative difference was calculated for the following cardiorespiratory variables: absolute oxygen consumption \( (\text{VO}_2 \text{ L.min}^{-1}) \), respiratory rate (RR), pulmonary ventilation (VE), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂, L.min⁻¹), tidal volume (VT) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) for the intervals between rest to VAT, VAT to peak of exercise, and rest to peak of exercise.
Results

Baseline measurements - 25 children and 20 adults meeting the inclusion/exclusion criteria were considered for this study. The physical characteristics of the subjects are displayed in table 1. As expected, we found differences in age, height, weight, body surface area (BSA) and body mass index (BMI). However, we did not observe differences in gender (table 1).

Exercise measurements - At VAT, the children showed a significantly higher workload (speed and slope) and minutes of exercise than adults. However, at peak of exercise these variables are similar in both groups (table 2).

Cardiorespiratory measurements - The cardiorespiratory measurements at rest were similar between groups (table 3, figure 1 and 2). However, during exercise, responses at ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) were significantly greater for HR, VO\(_2\) ml.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\), RR, VCO\(_2\) ml.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\), VD/VT, VE/VO\(_2\), VE/VCO\(_2\) and PETCO\(_2\), while VT, O\(_2\) pulse and PETCO\(_2\) have a slighter increase in children than adults. At peak of exercise, differences significantly higher in children for the variables RR, VD/VT and VE/VCO\(_2\) were observed. However, for the variables O\(_2\) pulse, VO\(_2\) l.min\(^{-1}\), VT, VE, VCO\(_2\) l.min\(^{-1}\), VCO\(_2\) ml.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\), RER and PETCO\(_2\), children exhibited values significantly lower than adults.

Furthermore, the subsequent analysis in cardiorespiratory responses during graded exercise test to group by time interaction showed significant differences between the two groups for all variables included in this study (table 3, figure 1 and 2).

Relative differences in cardiorespiratory variables - Changes in cardiorespiratory variables between rest to VAT, VAT to peak of exercise, and rest to peak of exercise are shown in figure 3. Statistical analysis showed that the percentage in VE and RR between rest to VAT was significantly higher in children compared to adults. However, for variable VT, children exhibited values significantly lower. For the interval between VAT to peak of exercise, the percentage in VO\(_2\) l.min\(^{-1}\), RR, VE, VCO\(_2\) l.min\(^{-1}\), VT and RER was significantly lower in children. Statistical analysis also showed that the percentage in VCO\(_2\) l.min\(^{-1}\), VT and RER between rest to peak of exercise was significantly lower in children.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study are as follows: 1) children have different cardiovascular, ventilatory and metabolic responses during progressive maximal exercise test as compared to adults; and 2) children showed similar levels of both relative aerobic fitness and exercise capacity than adults. Concerning differences in cardiovascular responses, children showed higher chronotropic and lower inotropic response during maximal effort (table 3). In fact, these results are in agreement with previous reports\(^{17,24}\) that showed in children higher heart rate values at the same relative workload as adults. This higher heart rate observed in pediatric population is a compensatory mechanism for their smaller hearts and lower stroke volume\(^{17,24}\). Furthermore, this response may be related to more sensitive peripheral chemoreceptors sensing the build up of metabolites in

Table 1 - Physical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Children (n=25)</th>
<th>Adults (n=20)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (m/f)</td>
<td>15/10</td>
<td>11/9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>64.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>143.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>171.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m(^2))</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. BMI - body mass index; BSA - body surface area.

Table 2 - Workload and time of exercise at VAT and peak of exercise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VAT</th>
<th>Peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (mph)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (%)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of exercise (min)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. *P < 0.05 vs adults. VAT - ventilatory anaerobic threshold.

Table 3 - Cardiorespiratory variables at rest, VAT and peak of exercise in children and adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>VAT</th>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR bpm</td>
<td>89.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>87.5 ± 5.8</td>
<td>159.1 ± 5.0*</td>
<td>132.7 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2) pulse (O(_2)/HRx10(^{3}))</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_2) l.min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_2) ml.kg(^{-1}).min(^{-1})</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>28.4 ± 2.2*</td>
<td>20.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO(_2) l.min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO(_2) ml.kg(^{-1}).min(^{-1})</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>26.3 ± 2.2*</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. HR - heart rate; RER - respiratory exchange ratio; VO\(_2\) - oxygen consumption; VCO\(_2\) - carbon dioxide production. *P < 0.05 vs adults.
the exercising muscles. On the other hand, children demonstrated lower values for O$_2$ pulse during maximal exercise compared with adults. Although O$_2$ pulse is only an indirect estimate of stroke volume, we suggest that this finding may be due to the following factors: 1) smaller heart size; 2) lower stroke volume; and 3) smaller muscle mass which result in an attenuated venous return (preload) observed in children.

In addition, our study shows alterations in ventilatory responses during progressive exercise, with children demonstrating lower ventilatory efficiency. In fact, we found values significantly higher for the respiratory rate and lower values to tidal volume during exercise in children (figure 1A and 1C). Moreover, children group demonstrate higher values for the ventilatory equivalent for O$_2$ and CO$_2$ to a given energy expenditure suggesting an increase of ventilatory requirements.
during physical effort (figure 2A and 2C, respectively). These findings emphasized that the ventilatory pattern in pediatric population is dependent on maturity. In the same context, Armon et al observed in children higher pulmonary ventilation for a given VCO$_2$ as compared to adults. What is the explanation for these findings? There are some evidence that younger children have a lower carbon dioxide set point and a higher central ventilatory neural drive resulting in higher ventilatory rates for a given metabolic demand. Our findings are in agreement with this assumption, since the relative difference (percentage) has not showed differences for the VCO$_2$ at rest to VAT between groups (figure 3D). However, the pulmonary ventilation demonstrated higher increase, suggesting higher ventilatory impulse for a given VCO$_2$ in children (figure 3C). In addition, the children group showed different responses of both end-tidal pressures for oxygen and carbon dioxide during progressive exercise (figure 2B and figure 2D, respectively). Although PETO$_2$ is only an indirect estimate of alveolar and arterial PO$_2$, the fact that PETO$_2$ was higher at VAT in children (figure 2B) can be attributed to a greater ventilatory impulse with subsequent rise in alveolar PO$_2$. In fact, the pediatric population may be under relatively alveolar hyperventilation during exercise. In other words, excessive ventilation is observed during physical effort.

On the other hand, different authors suggest that PETO$_2$ responses may be influenced by both VE/VO$_2$ and breathing pattern used during exercise. For instance, the decrease or increase in VE/VO$_2$ during exercise conducts a change in the same direction in PETO$_2$. For the variable PETCO$_2$, children have shown values significantly lower during progressive exercise than adults (figure 2D). Although PETCO$_2$ is an indirect estimate of alveolar and arterial PCO$_2$, our findings are in accordance with previous report. Ohuchi et al demonstrated that the magnitude and change in end-tidal to arterial carbon dioxide tension difference (P(ET- a)DCO$_2$) during graded exercise were smaller, with children showing lower values than adults in both at anaerobic threshold and peak of exercise. We postulate that this finding may be explained by a lower ventilatory efficiency and a lower glycolytic capacity during exercise in children than adults.

Interestingly, other authors suggested that higher values of respiratory rate are closely related to the decrease in inspiratory time and as a consequence of a premature cessation, a rise in PETCO$_2$ during progressive exercise. In fact, our findings are in agreement with these observations. The ventilatory pattern in pediatric population is dependent on maturity and there is a higher central ventilatory neural drive resulting in higher ventilatory rates for a given metabolic demand. This finding is in agreement with previous reports. However, the pulmonary ventilation in children showed a higher increase, suggesting a higher ventilatory impulse for a given VCO$_2$. In addition, the children group showed different responses of both end-tidal pressures for oxygen and carbon dioxide during progressive exercise. Although PETCO$_2$ is an indirect estimate of alveolar and arterial PCO$_2$, our findings are in accordance with previous report. Ohuchi et al demonstrated that the magnitude and change in end-tidal to arterial carbon dioxide tension difference (P(ET- a)DCO$_2$) during graded exercise were smaller, with children showing lower values than adults in both at anaerobic threshold and peak of exercise. We postulate that this finding may be explained by a lower ventilatory efficiency and a lower glycolytic capacity during exercise in children than adults.

Our findings are in agreement with this assumption, since the relative difference (percentage) has not showed differences for the VCO$_2$ at rest to VAT between groups (figure 3D). However, the pulmonary ventilation demonstrated higher increase, suggesting higher ventilatory impulse for a given VCO$_2$ in children (figure 3C). In addition, the children group showed different responses of both end-tidal pressures for oxygen and carbon dioxide during progressive exercise (figure 2B and figure 2D, respectively). Although PETO$_2$ is only an indirect estimate of alveolar and arterial PO$_2$, the fact that PETO$_2$ was higher at VAT in children (figure 2B) can be attributed to a greater ventilatory impulse with subsequent rise in alveolar PO$_2$. In fact, the pediatric population may be under relatively alveolar hyperventilation during exercise. In other words, excessive ventilation is observed during physical effort.

On the other hand, different authors suggest that PETO$_2$ responses may be influenced by both VE/VO$_2$ and breathing pattern used during exercise. For instance, the decrease or increase in VE/VO$_2$ during exercise conducts a change in the same direction in PETO$_2$. For the variable PETCO$_2$, children have shown values significantly lower during progressive exercise than adults (figure 2D). Although PETCO$_2$ is an indirect estimate of alveolar and arterial PCO$_2$, our findings are in accordance with previous report. Ohuchi et al demonstrated that the magnitude and change in end-tidal to arterial carbon dioxide tension difference (P(ET- a)DCO$_2$) during graded exercise were smaller, with children showing lower values than adults in both at anaerobic threshold and peak of exercise. We postulate that this finding may be explained by a lower ventilatory efficiency and a lower glycolytic capacity during exercise in children than adults.

Interestingly, other authors suggested that higher values of respiratory rate are closely related to the decrease in inspiratory time and as a consequence of a premature cessation, a rise in PETCO$_2$ during progressive exercise. In fact, our findings are in
accordance with this explanation, since we observed, during progressive exercise, higher respiratory rate in the children group. In addition, this lower ventilatory efficiency observed in children could be reinforced with the lower decline for VD/VT during progressive exercise, suggesting that there is higher ventilation in the anatomic dead space (figure 1D).

Furthermore, in agreement with a previous investigation, our study also shows differences in metabolic responses during progressive exercise in children. We observed values significantly lower to the respiratory exchange ratio at peak of exercise in the children group (table III). Additionally, for the relative difference (percentage) children showed lower RER increase in both at rest to peak of exercise and at VAT to peak of exercise (figure 3F). These findings suggest that children have lower glycolytic capacity than adults. Several possible reasons are raised in literature for the immaturity of anaerobic metabolism in children during exercise, as follows: 1) lower levels of muscle glycogen; 2) reduced activity of phosphofructokinase-1 and lactate dehydrogenase; and 3) greater proportions of slow twitch fibers.

Interestingly, despite these differences in cardiovascular and ventilatory responses during progressive exercise, the children group demonstrated similar levels of exercising capacity as adults (Table 2). We suggest that these results may be due to a greater metabolic efficiency observed in children and as a consequence, smaller muscle fatigue, since they had a minor reliance of the glycolytic metabolism during exercise.

Conclusion

In conclusion, these findings indicate that the cardiovascular, ventilatory and metabolic responses during progressive maximal exercise test are different in children as compared to adults. Specifically, the results found in the present study suggested that children have lower cardiovascular and ventilatory efficiency. On the other hand, children showed higher metabolic efficiency during progressive exercise. In summary, despite the differences observed, children demonstrated similar levels of the exercise capacity as compared to adults.

Limitations

We recognize limitations in the present study. The main limitation is that our patients were selected from a database, in a retrospective cross-sectional study. Information about the relationship between sample and population is limited in this condition of non-probability sampling. Thus, we cannot extrapolate the results of this study to the population.
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