Ectopic Positioning of Pacemaker Electrode
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Introduction

The implant of a definitive transvenous pacemaker is a highly-effective, surgically invasive procedure with low complication rate. Among the most frequent complications are electrode fractures, surgical wound infection, large-vessel thrombosis, electrode displacement and diaphragmatic stimulation. The acute mechanical complications of the pacemaker implant are rarer, but severe and life-threatening, such as hemothorax, hemopericardium, pneumothorax and cardiac perforation.1

Electrode positioning in the left cardiac chambers occurs accidentally in rare cases of pacemaker implant and can be a source of cardioembolic complications, caused by the presence of a foreign body in the systemic circulation.2-5 The echocardiogram is an accessible and important complementary assessment method to visualize intracardiac structures for the diagnosis of pacemaker electrode positioning.6-7

We describe a case of an accidental ectopic positioning of pacemaker electrode in the left ventricle, diagnosed through echocardiography.

Case Report

J.T.S., a 38-year-old male individual with the cardiac form of Chagas disease, presented left ventricular dysfunction and second-degree atrioventricular block Mobitz type II, associated with several episodes of syncope. He had undergone a double-chamber definitive artificial pacemaker implant three years before the current assessment. The postoperative period after the implant was uneventful, which persisted for a year after surgery, when he started to present symptoms caused by abnormal electric diaphragmatic stimulation, followed by a diagnosis of atrial electrode displacement. At that time, he was submitted to the atrial electrode reimplantation, without complications.

Two years after the first surgical procedure, at the Outpatient Clinic of Professor Edgard Santos University Hospital (HUPES), due to dyspnea on exertion and complementary examinations, which included an echocardiogram, were performed to evaluate the left ventricular function.

During the echocardiogram, the presence of a foreign body with typical metallic characteristics was detected in the left cardiac chambers in the initial long-axis parasternal view. Other echocardiographic projections confirmed the initial findings, demonstrating the presence of a linear metallic structure that extended from the right atrium, crossed the interatrial septum and the mitral valve and reached the basal portion of the lateral wall of the left ventricle, consistent with an ectopic pacemaker electrode (Figure 1). The electrocardiogram showed that the patient presented dual-chamber pacing with adequate capture and right bundle-branch block pattern, corroborating the artificial left ventricular stimulation (Figure 2).

The chest x-ray confirmed the abnormal position of the ventricular electrode, in the left ventricular region (Figure 2).

Considering the favorable three-year evolution with the electrode located in the systemic circulation and the risks of trauma in the ventricular wall and mitral valve, in addition to the risk of thromboembolism during the electrode removal procedure, the conservative approach was chosen, associated with chronic oral anticoagulation therapy due to the increased risk for embolism determined by the presence of a foreign body in the systemic circulation.

Discussion

The location of the pacemaker electrode in the systemic circulation is a known complication; however, it is seldom reported in the literature. Most of the described cases are related to the presence of congenital anomalies of the interatrial septum, such as patent foramen ovale and interatrial communication.2-5
The management of this type of complication has not been well established; however, most literature reports are favorable to the removal of the electrode in cases of an early diagnosis, in the presence of previous thromboembolic events or during cardiac surgery performed due to a different cause\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\).

For cases of which the diagnosis is a late one, as in the present case, or when the patient refuses a new surgical intervention, the indication is usually of definitive anticoagulation therapy, as chosen in the present case\(^7\).

Another important factor in patient assessment post-pacemaker implant is the attention that must be given to the analysis of complementary examinations carried out after the procedure. The presence of right-bundle branch block morphology at the electrocardiogram can be seen in some cases, even with the electrode positioned in the right ventricle, but the highest likelihood is that of an ectopic electrode implantation, and, therefore, the complementary investigation must be carried out\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\). Moreover, the location of the electrode must be evaluated through a control chest X-ray, which is also useful when assessing the positioning of the electrode.

Finally, in cases where there are doubts about the positioning of the pacemaker electrodes, the transthoracic echocardiogram must be carried out, which, in most cases, clarifies the doubts, or the transesophageal echocardiogram, in the rare cases where the transthoracic assessment is inconclusive\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^6\).

**Conclusion**

The assessment of the positioning of the pacemaker electrodes must be performed early through accessible and affordable examinations, such as chest X-ray and echocardiogram, so that the electrode correction can be promptly carried out, preventing the occurrence of early or late complications.

When there has been a long time between the implant and the diagnosis or when the patient refuses to undergo a new procedure, the patient must be evaluated through a definitive anticoagulation therapy, as chosen in the present case.

The presence of right-bundle branch block morphology at the electrocardiogram can be seen in some cases, even with the electrode positioned in the right ventricle, but the highest likelihood is that of an ectopic electrode implantation, and, therefore, the complementary investigation must be carried out\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\).
surgical intervention, the definitive oral anticoagulation therapy can be used as prophylaxis for thromboembolic events.
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