Evaluation of Longitudinal Systolic Function in Heart Failure with Normal Ejection Fraction
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Abstract

Background: Heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF) is now the most prevailing model of HF in different epidemiological studies, and abnormalities in mild systolic function (subclinical) have been observed in those patients when the left ventricular contractility (LV) is evaluated in the longitudinal axis (S’) by tissue Doppler echocardiography (TDE), even in normal LV ejection fraction.

Objective: To evaluate whether patients with HFNEF, according to the new criteria set out by the European Society of Cardiology, present changes in systolic function detected by S’ measurement when compared with patients whose HFNEF has not been confirmed.

Methods: One hundred eighteen patients with signs or symptoms of HF underwent BNP measurement and TDE with measurements of longitudinal axis velocity during systole (S’) and diastole (E’) and measures of transmitral flow during diastole (E, A).

Results: HFNEF was confirmed in 38 patients (32.2%). Peak myocardial velocity during systole (S’) and myocardial velocity in early diastole (E’) were significantly reduced in patients with HFNEF compared to patients whose HFNEF was deleted (7.8 ± 2.3 cm/s vs 9.4 ± 2.5 cm/s p=0.002 - 7.7 ± 2.6 cm/s vs 9.4 ± 2.5 cm/s - p=0.001). Mean BNP values were higher in patients with HFNEF (140.5 ± 122.4 pg/ml vs 23.1 ± 25.0 pg/ml p <0.0001). S’ correlated significantly with E’ (r=0.457 - p<0.0001) revealing a strong link between ventricular contraction and relaxation.

Conclusion: Our findings show a reduction of systolic function, as measured by S’ in HFNEF and a linear correlation between the systolic (E / E ’and E’) and diastolic dysfunction degree. (Arq Bras Cardiol. 2010; [online]. ahead print, PP .0-0)
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Introduction

The accelerated aging of the population and high prevalence of hypertension have caused an increase in heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF)¹. Recent epidemiological studies with data from primary care in Brazil⁴ confirm that HFNEF is already more prevalent than HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFREF)⁵.

The pathophysiological model of HFNEF focuses on the presence of diastolic dysfunction due to abnormalities of relaxation and/or increased of left ventricular stiffness. Diastolic changes retrogressively produce left atrial average diastolic pressure increase and pulmonary venous hypertension, and consequently the onset of exertional dyspnea in these patients⁶.⁷.

The European Society of Cardiology has recently published new guidelines⁶ for how to diagnose or exclude HFNEF in outpatients with signs or symptoms of HF. This document set diagnostic criteria including left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≥ 50%, end-diastolic volume index (EDV-I) ≤ 97 mL/ m² and diastolic dysfunction that may be assessed by invasive cardiac catheterization through measurements obtained by TDE or by natriuretic peptide blood test⁸.

LVEF is the most widely used index to assess cardiac function in both clinical and experimental studies⁹. This is especially due to the lack of an ideal measure of cardiac contractility. As its measurement and understanding are relatively easy, LVEF has remained as the most commonly used index. Although LVEF measurement has some prognostic value in certain situations, it is influenced by preload, postload, heart rate, myocardial contractility and dyssynchrony¹⁰.

The distribution of myocardial fibers is not uniform throughout the LV wall. The bundles of subendocardial and subepicardial muscles are arranged longitudinally, while the fibers located in the middle of the wall are aligned circumferentially. This group of muscle fibers is primarily responsible for LV radial axis contraction. As the fibers of the longitudinal axis at the heart base correspond to the ativoventricular ring (AR), changes in the longitudinal axis can
be measured by the movement of the AR ring through TDE (S’), and a cutoff value greater than 7.5 cm/s had a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 88% in predicting normal global function of LV. 

Studies have shown that HFNEF with normal systolic function is a very rare clinical situation and the most common one is the association of HFNEF with mild abnormalities (subclinical) of the systolic function, which can be observed by measuring the longitudinal axis shortening velocity (S’) through TDE. Normal LVEF in patients with HFNEF is directly related to the development of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), and in the presence of LVH, the ejection fraction is maintained within normal range despite a significant reduction in systolic volume. Indeed, the apparent preservation of systolic function is more a reflection of LVEF constraints, and evidence of systolic dysfunction may be obtained through TDE.

We studied patients with clinical suspicion of HFNEF to assess whether those whose diagnosis was confirmed, according to new criteria set out by the SEC, would present alterations in systolic function detected by S’ measurement when compared with patients whose HFNEF was excluded.

### Methodology

A prospective observational study evaluated 118 patients (mean age 66.8 ± 12.0 and 72.9% female) with clinically suspected acute HF with LVEF ≥ 50%. We excluded patients with severe valve disease, cardiac pacemakers, patients undergoing cardiac surgery in the past six months and patients with severe pulmonary disease. Patients were divided into two groups, one without HFNEF (n = 80, mean age 66.0 ± 10.8) and another with HFNEF confirmed (n = 38, mean age 75.0 ± 12.1) according to the criteria of the European Society of Cardiology to diagnose or exclude HFNEF. All patients signed an informed consent, and the research was approved by the Ethics Committee on Research of the Medical School of Universidade Federal Fluminense (n.00410.258.000-08).

Doppler echocardiogram was performed through VIVID CE 7 and analyzed by the software EchoPAC by an experienced echocardiographer without prior knowledge of other test findings. All examinations were reviewed by a second echocardiographer, who issued a first examiner independent report. The images were obtained through a parasternal window and an apical window for two and four cameras. Ventricular and left atrium sizes were measured at M mode in the parasternal window. Ejection fraction was calculated through the modified Simpson’s method. All valves and their flow patterns were inspected for valve diseases. Diastolic function parameters were estimated by averaging five consecutive heartbeats. Early transmural flow (E), late transmural flow (A), the ratio between them (E/A), and early transmural flow deceleration time were measured (DT). Myocardial relaxation rate in early diastole (E’) was measured in septal, lateral, anterior and posterior segments of the mitral annulus. The mean of these measures was determined. Systolic function was globally measured through left ventricle ejection fraction and measurements of longitudinal axis stretch during systole (S’) through TDE, and the normal pattern of myocardial velocity during systole measured by TDE includes a positive initial deflection that corresponds to isovolumetric contraction (S1) and a second positive wave (S2), usually called S’, which corresponds to the LV ejection.

All tests are recorded and filed in digital media for future analyses or reviews.

All patients underwent BNP measurement through Triage BNP Test, which is a rapid test through fluorimunoanaylsis for quantitative measurement of B-type natriuretic peptide using whole blood or plasma anticoagulated with EDTA, with readings by Triage Meter. BNP levels are expressed in pg/ml.

Patients underwent resting electrocardiogram with 12 leads. The exams were evaluated by two cardiologists. Chest radiograph and lateral radiograph was performed in all patients.

Patients who had systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP ≥ 90 mmHg or were taking antihypertensive drugs were classified as hypertensive.

The program SPSS (Version 15.0 SPSS Inc. Chicago) was used to perform statistical analyses. All data were presented using summarized descriptive tables. Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as means ± standard deviation. Categorical variables were expressed in absolute numbers or percentages. Categorical variables were compared using the Qui-square test. Comparisons between groups were performed using T test for independent samples. The sample size was estimated in 30 patients with HFNEF, which could provide a power of 99% for the paired T test and 89% for the unpaired T test.

### Results

Using the algorithm of the European Society of Cardiology, HFNEF could be confirmed in 38 patients (32.2%) and excluded in 80 patients (67.8%).

The main demographic characteristics of patients with and without HFNEF are presented in Table 1. In patients with HFNEF we observed an older age group (75.0 ± 12.1 vs 66.0 ± 10.8 - p <0.0001). No significant difference regarding sex (78.9% vs 70.0% - p = 0.307) and hypertension was found (97.4% vs 86.3% - p = 0.062). Concerning renal dysfunction and atrial fibrillation, a significant difference in patients with HFNEF was found as compared to patients without HFNEF (52.6% vs 15.0% and 26.3% vs 2.5% p-value < 0.0001, respectively).

Heart rate was significantly higher in patients with HFNEF (84.9 ± 21.3 bpm vs 73.5 ± 12.1 bpm - p <0.0001). There was no significant difference between groups for diastolic blood pressure (90.6 ± 16.7 mmHg vs 88.3 ± 11.9 mmHg, p = 0.397), although systolic blood pressure was significantly different between groups (158.9 ± 30.1 mmHg vs 146.4 ± 22.5 mmHg, p = 0.013).

Natriuretic peptide levels were significantly different among patients with and without HFNEF (140.5 ± 122.4 pg/ml vs 23.1 ± 25.0 pg/ml p <0.0001) (fig. 1). Table 2 displays the TDE parameters. LVEF was similar in both groups, while the other measures (E, E/A, E’, E’, LVMI) were significantly different between groups.

There was no difference between patients when systolic
function was assessed in the radial axis by measuring the left ventricle ejection fraction (71.0 ± 9.5% vs 72.9 ± 7.9% - p = 0.229). However, assessment of LV systolic function in the longitudinal axis (S’) through TDE was significantly different between the two groups (7.8 ± 2.3 cm/s vs 9.4 ± 2.5 cm/s - p = 0.002) (fig. 2).

Parameters such as left atrial volume index (LAV-I) and E/A ratio for the assessment of diastolic function revealed a significant difference between patients with and without HFNEF (42.5 ± 15.3 ml/m² vs 28.4 ± 8.3 ml/m² p < 0.0001 and 1.04 ± 0.70 vs 0.81 ± 0.29 p = 0.021).

LV diastolic function evaluated by TDE was significantly different for the parameters that assess LV relaxation (E’ - 7.7 ± 2.6 cm/s vs 9.4 ± 2.5 cm/s - p = 0.001) and the pressure of LV filling (E/E’ - 14.3 ± 6.5 vs 7.5 ± 2.0 - p < 0.0001).

A correlation of S’ with other Doppler echocardiography parameters was found (Table 3) as the E/E’ ratio, E’ and E/A ratio (r = (-) 0.435, r = 0.457 p <0.0001, r = (-) 0.240, p = 0.014) and also with the LVMI (r = (-) 0.229 - p = 0.014), although BNP-I and LAV-I values were not correlated (r = (-) 0.125 p = 0.183, r = (-) 0.122 p = 0.194).

Discussion
This study demonstrated that patients with HFNEF have a worse systolic function when assessed by measuring the mitral annulus velocity in the longitudinal axis (S’) (fig. 3). Systolic function assessed in the radial axis is preserved in
Table 2 - Characteristics of systolic and diastolic function by Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler echocardiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 118)</th>
<th>With HFNEF (n = 38)</th>
<th>Without HFNEF (n = 80)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>72.3±8.4</td>
<td>71.0±9.5</td>
<td>72.9±7.9</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI (g/m²)</td>
<td>93.9±24.6</td>
<td>100.5±25.9</td>
<td>90.7±23.5</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (cm/s)</td>
<td>78±30</td>
<td>101±38</td>
<td>67±19</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (cm/s)</td>
<td>93±32</td>
<td>110±52</td>
<td>87±19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>0.87±0.44</td>
<td>1.04±0.70</td>
<td>0.81±0.29</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT (ms)</td>
<td>257±86</td>
<td>269±126</td>
<td>253±68</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAV-I (ml/m²)</td>
<td>32.9±12.8</td>
<td>42.5±15.3</td>
<td>28.4±6.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S' (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.9±2.4</td>
<td>7.8±2.3</td>
<td>9.4±2.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E' (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.8±2.6</td>
<td>7.7±2.6</td>
<td>9.4±2.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E'</td>
<td>9.7±5.1</td>
<td>14.3±6.5</td>
<td>7.5±2.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEF - left ventricle ejection fraction; LAV-I - left atrial volume index; TD - deceleration time, LVMI - left ventricular mass index; Numeric variables - T Test. Significant differences between groups for p <0.05.

Figure 2 - Comparison between S' values in patients with and without HFNEF, 7.8 ± 2.3cm/s vs 9.4 ± 2.5 cms p = 0.002.

this population, as noted by the LV ejection fraction above 50%. Our results are similar to those of Tan et al\textsuperscript{17}, which showed that patients with HFNEF have abnormalities of LV systolic and diastolic function and that HFNEF is not an isolated disorder of diastole\textsuperscript{17}.

For many years, the HFNEF pathophysiology has been related to abnormal diastolic relaxation and/or LV stiffness. Discussions of systolic function have been undervalued due to normal LVEF in these patients\textsuperscript{18}. However, LVEF as a systolic function index has significant limitations because of the dependence on pre-and post-load, suboptimal reproducibility and low sensitivity in detecting small reductions in LV systolic function.

New mechanistic discussions involving the systolic and diastolic function by means of imaging methods that assess mechanisms of LV contraction and relaxation allow a better understanding of the link between systole and diastole in the normal heart and HFNEF\textsuperscript{19}. Due to the orientation of its muscle fibers, when the LV contracts, it promotes a rotational motion that builds energy, and during diastole, the energy stored is released, promoting a diastolic suction that contributes to the LV filling in the next cardiac cycle. Even small changes in systolic function, not detected by LVEF measurement, may change ventricular contraction leading to a decreased relaxation and loss of suction that affects quick LV filling.

S' values are related with LVEF and, invasively, with peak
Table 3 - Correlation between systolic function evaluated in the longitudinal axis (S') with TDE and BNP variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pearson - r</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E'(cm/s)</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E' (-)</td>
<td>-0.435</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A (-)</td>
<td>-0.240</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMi (g/m²)</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAVI (ml/m²)</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/ml)</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAVI - left atrial volume index; LVMi - left ventricular mass index, BNP - B-type natriuretic peptide. Correlation is significant up to p = 0.05.

dP/dT\textsuperscript{20}. S' has the advantage of simple measurement, which is easily detectable in most patients and has high reproducibility. Most studies point out to the relative independence of pre- and post-load in S' values. Some of S' limitations include the fact that we cannot discriminate between active and passive movements due to wall or heart movement as a whole and that this measure is angle-dependent. Patients' movements, breathing and heart rate may also interfere with this measure\textsuperscript{21}.

S' is a marker of early systolic dysfunction in many pathological conditions that are characterized by conservation of conventional systolic indices, including hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, coronary disease, obesity and hypertension\textsuperscript{22}. High blood pressure promotes a gradual diastolic dysfunction along with the progress of concentric remodeling and hypertrophy. Advanced diastolic dysfunction (E / E' > 15) is associated with the presence of impairment of longitudinal systolic function in hypertensive patients in a symptomatic (HFNEF) or asymptomatic way.

This study is the first in the literature to prospectively use new diastology criteria in characterizing patients with HFNEF. Therefore, it was observed that patients with criteria for HFNEF presented a significant increase in pulmonary venous pressure measured indirectly by E/E' ratio, lower E' and S' values, suggesting reduced ventricular relaxation and contractility, as well as a BNP six times higher. Our data are quite similar to those found in other studies that have shown a significant relationship between systole peak (S') and annular velocity in early diastole (E')\textsuperscript{14,17,23}, demonstrating a strong connection between LV contraction and relaxation where a modified LV systolic function has a significant impact on ventricular relaxation.

A limitation in our study is that systolic function was not measured by other techniques that can be used to assess it.

Another limitation is that the LV function, measured by longitudinal axis shortening, is sensitive to the effects of hypertension, ischemia, diabetes and age, which are situations that may precede HFNEF. However, in our study, except in relation to age, other variables showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups.

**Conclusion**

Our findings point out to a reduced contractility in the longitudinal axis in patients with suspected HFNEF. Average S' values were significantly lower in the group with HFNEF with a significant difference in the reduction of S' among patients with and without HFNEF. There is linear correlation between the systolic dysfunction (S') and diastolic dysfunction (E/E' and E').

The strong relationship between the contractility assessed
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