USE OF PROSTHESES IN SURGICAL CORRECTION OF HIATUS HERNIA

Uso de próteses na correção cirúrgica das hérnias hiatais
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ABSTRACT – Background - A major complication after laparoscopic fundoplication is migration of the fundic wrap into the chest, with or without rupture of the valve. This can occur as a result of inadequate closure of the diaphragmatic hiatus, by rupture of sutures or carried by laceration of the muscle fibers manipulated. Aim - To verify the efficiency of the use of Dacron® or Prolene® mesh in the reinforcement of the diaphragmatic hiatus. Methods - Fifteen patients were operated with mean age of 46 years using laparoscopic antireflux surgery, with Prolene® or Dacron® mesh reinforcement of the hiatal crura. The patients were operated under general anesthesia by laparoscopy using five trocars. The average time of surgery was 120 minutes. No deaths occurred. The mean postoperative time was 3.5 days. Patients returned to normal activities around the 10th postoperative day. The mean follow-up was five years. In only two patients, in which were used in a Dacron® mesh, and in another Prolene®, there was migration of the mesh to the esophageal lumen causing dysphagia. Conclusion – The mesh use in laparoscopic hioplasties is good alternative to close the diaphragmatic defect in large hiatal hernias, correction of reflux esophagitis associated with Barrett’s esophagus or hiatal hernia/esophagitis recurrence after surgical correction.

RESUMO – Racional - Uma das principais complicações após a fundoplicatura laparoscópica é a migração do fundo gástrico para o tórax, com ou sem ruptura da válvula. Isso pode ocorrer como consequência de fechamento inadequado do hiato diafragmático, por ruptura das suturas realizadas ou por laceração das fibras musculares manipuladas. Objetivo - Estudar a utilização da tela de Prolene® ou de Dacron® para reforçar o fechamento do hiato diafragmático. Métodos - Foram operados 15 pacientes (6 homens e 9 mulheres) com idade média de 46 anos (22 a 78) tendo-se realizado operação laparoscópica anti-refluxo, utilizando-se tela Prolene® ou Dacron® para reforçar a crura hiatal. Os pacientes foram operados sob anestesia geral, por videolaparoscopia utilizando cinco trocáteres. Resultados - O tempo médio de operação foi de 120 minutos. Não ocorreu nenhum óbito. O tempo pós-operatório médio foi de 3,5 dias. Os pacientes retornaram às suas atividades habituais em torno do 10º dia do pós-operatório. O tempo médio de seguimento foi de cinco anos. Em apenas dois pacientes, nos quais foram utilizadas em um a tela de Dacron® e em outro Prolene®, ocorreu migração da tela para o lúmen esofágico ocasionando disfagia. Conclusão - Utilizar tela nas hioplastias videolaparoscópicas é boa alternativa para o fechamento dos defeitos diafragmáticos nas grandes hérnias hiatais, na correção da esofagite de refluxo associada ao esôfago de Barrett, ou em caso de recorrência da hérnia hiatal ou esofagite após correção cirúrgica prévia.

INTRODUCTION

The laparoscopic antireflux surgery is described as definitive treatment for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) two decades ago16. From its first description in 1991 the laparoscopic management of GERD has been developed and described in several studies as effective and safe technique with excellent functional results and improved quality of life. 2.3 The laparoscopic antireflux operation has shown excellent clinical results in 85 to 95% of cases beyond the reduction in mortality when compared to conventional technique10,11,12,21. Despite the excellent results, there are some reports of increased postoperative complications in laparoscopic technique1,3,4,6,8,13,17,18,25.
Failures caused by triggered it can generate the persistence of reflux symptoms or the development of dysphagia, flatulence or diarrhea, which may become necessary the need for surgical rapprochement\textsuperscript{15}. One of the major complications after laparoscopic fundoplication is migration of the gastric fundus the chest, with or without rupture of the valve. This can occur as a result of inadequate closure of the diaphragmatic hiatus, by rupture of sutures or carried by laceration of the muscle fibers manipulated\textsuperscript{28}.

The relatively high frequency of postoperative herniation of gastric fundus into the chest after its realization has led some surgeons use a small screen to assist in closing the gap\textsuperscript{2,14,15}. Although this procedure has been shown effective in reducing the rate of herniation Postoperative closure of large hiatal anatomic defects is still a challenge for even the most experienced surgeons due to the great technical difficulty.

In order to present a proposal to this problem, especially in young patients with congenital defects, or Barrett’s esophagus is postoperative recurrence, the authors of this paper propose the use of screen nonabsorbable material to reinforce the hiatal crura closure by laparoscopy.

**METHODS**

From January 2000 to October 2006, a total of 15 patients (six men and nine women) with mean age 56 years (22-85), were considered for anti-reflux procedure laparoscopically using fabric Dacron \textsuperscript{®} or Prolene \textsuperscript{®} to reinforce the crural hiatal closure.

The basic prerequisites for the procedure in all patients, careful evaluation of symptoms of GERD, upper endoscopy (EDA), contrast radiography of the esophagus, stomach and duodenum (DSE) or cinedeglutograma, 24-hour pH monitoring and esophageal manometry.

The indications for operation were large hiatal hernias (sliding, para-esophageal or mixed) primary or secondary to previous antireflux operation.

All patients signed a consent form to perform the laparoscopic procedure. The mean follow-up was 5 years (40-10). Patients were evaluated postoperatively a week or two, one and three months and then every six months. The EDA was held in three months and one year after the operation. The DSE, manometry and esophageal pH monitoring were performed only when necessary, in the presence of symptoms.

The patients were operated under general anesthesia in a semi-gynecological, with the surgeon standing between the patient’s legs and classic five trocars placement.

The operation began with the release of the arm of left diaphragmatic crus, using a harmonic scalpel, followed by opening the lesser omentum above the hepatic branch of the vagus nerve and dissection of the right arm of the diaphragmatic crus. After dissection of the abdominal esophagus laminar drain was passed to assist the mobilization of the esophagus and its drift into the abdominal cavity with visualization and preservation of the integrity of the anterior and posterior vagus nerves. Was then performed with hiatoplastia points “X”, guided by Fouchet probe inserted 12 mm into the esophagus. The following was done to strengthen the esophageal hiatus with the fabric of Dacron \textsuperscript{®} or Prolene \textsuperscript{®}, cut in a “U” and placed around the hiatus, secured with clips like “pig-tail” (Figure 1). Then was made the mixed type of fundoplication by the technique of Spider-Brandalise (Figure 2).
RESULTS

The mean operative time was 120 minutes (40 to 240). In no case has required intensive care postoperatively, nor were no deaths. In one case of reoperation, the adhesions between the fundoplication and the diaphragm led to the intense difficulty of dissection, with consequent pneumothorax on the left, immediately treated with drainage at the end of laparoscopy, and the drain removed on day 2 postoperatively.

Liquid diet was introduced on day 1 postoperatively, with good acceptance in all cases. No cases of postoperative infection. The mean hospital stay was 3.5 days (2-5), with time to return to usual activities an average 10 days (7-15).

Gaseous distension and flatulence occur initially in all patients showed improvement after three to six weeks without specific treatment. Three patients had transient dysphagia improved after three months with conservative treatment.

In 15 patients there were no complications such as dysphagia or persistent fistulas with adjacent viscera and also did not occur late herniation into the chest with a hernia recurrence in a mean period of 5 years (40-10). In only two patients, in which the screen was used in a Dacron® and Prolene®, on the other, there was migration of the screen to the esophageal lumen in a patient after 36 months and another after 48 months.

DISCUSSION

Many studies have shown the efficacy of laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. The long-term results indicate 90% satisfaction among these patients, with short hospitalization and rapid return to activities.

Although minimally invasive surgery antireflux be widely used as a treatment of GERD, especially in patients requiring long-term treatment, there are some complications from this procedure, being the most common herniation of the fundoplication into the chest, with or without pneumothorax. The reinforcement of the prosthesis may be due to inadequate closure of the diaphragmatic hiatus, or rupture of the sutures hiatalplasia. Another cause is the existence of short esophagus due to inadequate mobilization of this to the abdomen and can lead to increased tension in the fundoplication over the gap. The use of fabric placed in the hiatus crura showed up, as evidenced in this study, an efficient method to avoid this complication.

Horgan, et al. reported 48 cases of patients who had previously been operated by conventional technique or laparoscopic anti-reflux. The paraesophageal hernia was the most frequent complication. In addition to preoperative evaluation complete with correct diagnosis and an experienced surgeon, to improve the performance of the surgical procedure some technical aspects of HPLC should be followed to reduce postoperative complications. There is a general consensus among surgeons that the hiatal closure should be done routinely, regardless of whether or not a hiatal hernia.

Granderath, et al. reported after more than 500 antireflux procedures laparoscopically with routine closure of the hiatus, the hernia of the fundoplication into the thorax was the major cause of treatment failure. In the first series with 361 patients, herniation of the fundoplication into the thorax was observed in 22 patients (6.1%). Some researchers have suggested that the use of prostheses in strengthening the hiatal crura has proven to be a protective factor for the presence of recurrent hiatal hernia or slip of the fundoplication into the chest.

The reinforcement of the prosthesis for hiatal hernia repair has been successfully used by Carlson, et al. In a randomized study with 31 patients, laparoscopic primary repair of large hiatal hernias was compared with laparoscopic primary repair reinforced with fabric of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). After at 12 to 36 months, they observed significantly lower rate of recurrence of the hernia in relation to the unreinforced PTFE. Frantzides, et al. concluded the same with a randomized clinical trial of 72 patients. In this study, there was clinical improvement without recurrence of reflux or slippage. Despite the small number studied two cases of migration of the screen to the esophageal lumen. This finding is alert to the application of this technique to avoid this complication.

CONCLUSION

The use of nonabsorbable fabric as reinforcement of the hiatal crura can be considered good procedure to prevent slippage of the fundoplication into the chest, the opening of the esophageal hiatus and the need for reoperation. However, the migration of the screen to the esophageal lumen is a potential complication of this procedure and should be thoroughly analyzed the indication for its use.
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