Combining diagnostic procedures for the management of leishmaniasis in areas with high prevalence of Leishmania guyanensis

Procedimentos diagnósticos combinados no manejo da leishmaniose em áreas com alta prevalência de Leishmania guyanensis

Ednelza de Almeida Benicio¹
Ellen Pricilla Nunes Gadelha¹
Anette Talhari¹
Roberto Moreira da Silva Jr¹
Luis Carlos Ferreira¹
Mayara Cristina Cordeiro dos Santos²
Marcelo Távora Mira³
Cintia Mara Costa de Oliveira³
Carolina Talhari⁴
Sinésio Talhari⁴
Paulo Roberto Machado⁶
Albert Schriefer⁶

Abstract: BACKGROUND: The Amazon region corresponds to approximately 40% of the cases of leishmaniasis in Brazil. We report a prospective study with 180 patients conducted in a health care unit that diagnoses 10% of the cases of leishmaniasis in the Brazilian Amazon. The study addresses how a combination of procedures improves diagnosis in areas with high prevalence of Leishmania guyanensis.

OBJECTIVES: to evaluate diagnostic methods in areas with high prevalence of Leishmania guyanensis.

METHODS: All subjects were amastigote-positive by direct microscopic examination of lesion scarifications. We conducted skin biopsy and histopathology, polymerase chain reaction and parasite cultivation.

RESULTS: Polymerase chain reaction detected almost ninety percent of infections when two amplification protocols were used (mini-exon and HSP-70). HSP-70 specific polymerase chain reaction matched the sensitivity of parasite cultivation plus histopathology.

CONCLUSION: The best combination was polymerase chain reaction plus histopathology, which increased diagnostic sensitivity to 94%. Species discrimination by polymerase chain reaction disclosed prevalence of human infections with Leishmania guyanensis of 94% and with Leishmania braziliensis of 6% for this region.
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Resumo: FUNDAMENTOS: O Amazonas corresponde a aproximadamente 40% dos casos de leishmaniose do país. Nós reportamos um estudo prospectivo com 180 pacientes de uma unidade de saúde que diagnostica 10% dos casos de leishmaniose da amazônia brasileira, com combinação de métodos diagnóstico em área de alta prevalência de Leishmania guyanensis.

OBJETIVOS: avaliar métodos diagnóstico da Leishmaniose em área endêmica para Leishmania Amazonensis.

MÉTODOS: Todos os pacientes tiveram exame direto positivo com presença de amastigotas. Foi feita também biópsia cutânea, com realização de exame histológico, reação em cadeia da polimerase e cultura.


CONCLUSÃO: A melhor combinação foi a reação em cadeia da polimerase com histopatologia, com sensibilidade de 94%. A discriminação das espécies causadoras de infecção humana nessa região mostrou Leishmania guyanensis em 94% dos casos e Leishmania braziliensis em 6%.
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INTRODUCTION

The leishmaniases are neglected diseases that cause a burden of about two million DALYs worldwide. Ninety percent of all cases of tegumentary forms of these disorders concentrate in five countries, including Brazil, where approximately twenty thousand new cases of American tegumentary leishmaniasis (ATL) occur every year. The Amazon region corresponds to approximately 40% of these ATL cases, with *Leishmania guyanensis* as the most prevalent parasite. There are no previous studies that specifically address how a combination of diagnostic procedures improves case management in areas affected by ATL with high prevalence of this *Leishmania* species. In order to help fill this gap, we carried out a prospective study that enrolled 180 ATL patients, all amastigote-positive by the direct microscopic examination of specimens from lesion scarifications. The research was conducted at the Tropical Medicine Foundation (FMTAM) in Manaus, capital city of the state of Amazonas and one of the largest cities in the country, with 2.5 million inhabitants. FMTAM drains over 70% of all cases of ATL in the state of Amazonas, which is responsible for over 15% of the infections in the entire Brazilian Amazon region.

METHODS

One hundred and eighty consecutive cases of ATL, confirmed by direct microscopic examination of giemsa-stained specimens from lesion scarifications, were enrolled.

RESULTS

There were 141 (78%) males and 39 (22%) females in the sample. Their ages varied from 5 to 65, with a mean age of 29 years. Inclusion criteria consisted of any individual with confirmed infection, fewer than six lesions, willing to participate in the study and signing the informed consent form. Exclusion criteria consisted of current or recent (less than 90 days) treatment with anti-Leishmania drugs, treatment with immune system suppressive drugs, pregnancy and/or reported chronic conditions that might interfere with immunity against the parasite and thus bias their detection, like diabetes mellitus, AIDS and cancer. Histopathology, cultivation of parasites in LIT/NNN and *Leishmania viannia* specific PCR with discrimination between *L. braziliensis* and *L. guyanensis*, evaluating two different genomic targets (i.e. HSP-70 and mini-exon loci), were performed for lesion biopsy specimens of all subjects, following protocols previously reported.

Table 1 summarizes the results obtained for each of the procedures and for several combinations of them. While the traditional and widespread methods of parasite cultivation and lesion biopsy histopathology proved capable of detecting only half of the infections each, their combination improved sensitivity and allowed for detection of approximately three quarters of the infected ATL patients. The single most sensitive technique was PCR, which detected almost ninety percent of all infections, when the two tested amplification protocols (i.e. using primers for mini-exon and HSP-70) were employed. PCR had the same sensitivity as the combination of parasite cultivation and biopsy histopathology when only HSP-70 specific primers were used. The mini-exon protocol was employed only as a rescue protocol to detect infection and differentiate species in those samples that were negative for HSP-70 PCR. In these cases, the mini-exon specific PCR presented a sensitivity of 54%. A combination of HSP-70 and mini-exon PCR protocols with parasite cultivation resulted in no improvement, while their combination with biopsy histopathology increased diagnostic sensitivity to 94%. Besides having the highest sensitivity of all procedures, PCR also carries the advantage of allowing for species discrimination. In the current sample, 152 subjects were positive for *L. guyanensis* and 9 for *L. braziliensis* of the 161 patients with positive PCR. This indicates that the prevalence of human infections with *L. guyanensis* is 94% and prevalence of infections with *L. braziliensis* is 6% in the region of influence of FMTAM.

DISCUSSION

The data here reported show that PCR is a very sensitive procedure for confirming clinical suspicion of ATL, which could be easily implemented within secondary and tertiary health care units in *L. guyanensis*-prevailing areas. In these diagnostic stances, PCR should be coupled with direct microscopic investigations of giemsa-stained scraping or impression smears and biopsy histopathology. Since diagnosis by all these techniques can be accomplished within twenty four hours of patients’ initial consultations, anti-Leishmania specific treatment might be rapidly initiated for positive patients. Negative cases would then undergo differential diagnosis for other causes of skin disease with clinical features overlapping ATL and further leishmaniasis investigation by specimen cultivation in LIT/NNN. However, cultivation takes weeks for detecting infection and presents lower sensitivity than PCR or its combination with histopathology.

The enrollment of patients with positive direct microscopic examination of skin scrapes in this study served the purpose of minimizing false diagnosis, while allowing for better comparison of top sensitivities for each test and their combinations in detecting...
ATL in areas with high endemicity for *L. guyanensis*. However, the sensitivities here reported must be expected to drop markedly when cases which are negative by direct microscopic examinations are considered. It is also possible that evaluations of patients, testing positive and negative for *Leishmania spp* by direct microscopic examinations, result in correction of the prevalences here observed between the two species. This would be expected if their amastigote frequencies in lesions proved different.

There are several reported protocols of conventional PCR for detecting *Leishmania spp*. Some explore the nuclear genome of these parasites, other take advantage of the high copy number of kDNA mini-circles in the kinetoplast of these protozoa to heighten the sensitivity of the test. One such assay has been evaluated for its ability to detect *L. guyanensis*. Although the kDNA PCR proved highly sensitive, approaching 100% in that study, the sample was comprised of only 35 ATL patients. Furthermore, all subjects were culture positive for the parasite, which may have biased the results towards increased sensitivity.

However, the major drawback of that technique for day-to-day application is that it does not allow species identification.

### CONCLUSION

The two protocols tested in the current study combine good overall sensitivity with the ability of discriminating species. This last feature is of great importance in the Amazon region because part of the infections will be due to *L. braziliensis*, which leads to aggressive mucosal involvement more often than other *Leishmania*. Furthermore, *L. guyanensis* and *L. braziliensis* present different sensitivities to the drugs used for treating ATL. Thus, adding these PCR procedures to the regular diagnostic steps would not only help increase detection of ATL, but also improve its management. For example, patients with *L. braziliensis* would undergo immediate detailed investigation for mucosal lesions and be advised of returning for eventual reassessments of mouth, throat and nose involvement, while *L. guyanensis* infected individuals might be straight put to pentamidine treatment, since this species is less sensitive to antimonials. 

### Table 1: Sensitivities of different procedures used in the diagnosis of ATL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Numbers of positive cases*</th>
<th>Percentage of positive cases*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parasite cultures</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy histopathology</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR 1 (HSP-70)*</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR 2 (HSP-70, mini-exon)*</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture + histopathology</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR2 + culture</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR 2 + histopathology</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR + culture + histopathology</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Patients that were positive for more than one technique in the assessment of combinations were counted only once.

& - PCR protocol using primers specific for HSP-70 locus

+ - Separate PCR protocols using primers specific for HSP-70 and mini-exon loci
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