Phototoxic action of light emitting diode in the in vitro viability of *Trichophyton rubrum*.
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Abstract: BACKGROUND – *Trichophyton rubrum* is the most common agent of superficial mycosis of the skin and nails causing long lasting infections and high recurrence rates. Current treatment drawbacks involve topical medications not being able to reach the nail bed at therapeutic concentrations, systemic antifungal drugs failing to eradicate the fungus before the nails are renewed, severe side effects and selection of resistant fungal isolates. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has been a promising alternative to conventional treatments.

OBJECTIVES: This study evaluated the in vitro effectiveness of toluidine blue O (TBO) irradiated by Light emitting diode (LED) in the reduction of *T. rubrum* viability.

METHODS: The fungal inoculums' was prepared and exposed to different TBO concentrations and energy densities of Light emitting diode for evaluate the *T. rubrum* sensibility to PDT and production effect fungicidal after photodynamic treatment. In addition, the profiles of the area and volume of the irradiated fungal suspensions were also investigated.

RESULTS: A small reduction, in vitro, of fungal cells was observed after exposition to 100 μM toluidine blue O irradiated by 18 J/cm² Light emitting diode. Fungicidal effect occurred after 25 μM toluidine blue O irradiation by Light emitting diode with energy density of 72 J/cm². The analysis showed that the area and volume irradiated by the Light emitting diode were 52.2 mm² and 413.70 mm³, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: The results allowed to conclude that Photodynamic therapy using Light emitting diode under these experimental conditions is a possible alternative approach to inhibit in vitro *T. rubrum* and may be a promising new treatment for dermatophytosis caused by this fungus.
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Resumo: Fundamentos - *Trichophyton rubrum* é o agente mais comum das micoses superficiais de pele e unhas causando infecções de longa duração e altas taxas de recidiva. As desvantagens do tratamento atual envolvem medicações tópicas as quais não são capazes de alcançar o leito ungueal em concentrações terapêuticas, antifúngicos sistêmicos que não erradicam o fungo antes das unhas serem renovadas, efeitos colaterais graves e seleção de isolados fúngicos resistentes. A terapia fotodinâmica tem sido uma alternativa promissora aos tratamentos convencionais.

Objetivos – Este estudo avaliou a eficácia, in vitro, de azul de orto-toluidina irradiado por diodo emissor de luz na redução da viabilidade de *T. rubrum*. Métodos: O inóculo fúngico foi preparado e exposto a diferentes concentrações de azul de orto-toluidina e densidades de energia do diodo emissor de luz, para avaliar a sensibilidade de *T. rubrum* e o efeito fungicida, após terapia fotodinâmica. Além disso, os perfis da área e volume das suspensões fúngicas irradiadas também foram investigados.

Resultados: Uma pequena redução, in vitro, de células fúngicas foi observada após a exposição a 100 mM azul de orto-toluidina irradiados por diodo emissor de luz a 18 J/cm². Efeito fungicida ocorreu após irradição 25 μM orto-toluidina por diodo emissor de luz com densidade de energia de 72 J/cm². A análise mostrou que a área e o volume irradiados pelo diodo emissor de luz foram 52,2 mm² e 413,70 mm³, respectivamente.

Conclusões: Os resultados permitiram concluir que a terapia fotodinâmica com diodo emissor de luz, nas condições experimentais é uma abordagem alternativa para inibir, in vitro, *T. rubrum* e pode ser um tratamento promissor para as dermatofitoses causadas por este fungo.
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INTRODUCTION

Dermatophytes can cause mycosis known as dermatophytosis or tinea of the skin, hair, and nails due to its ability to utilize keratin as a carbon source. It infects humans across the world, with variable frequency and epidemiology.\(^1,2\) *Trichophyton rubrum* was found to be the most common agent of superficial mycosis in Brazilian epidemiological studies.\(^3,4\) This species often correlates with the infected host for a prolonged period, increasing the likelihood of transmission of infection to new hosts. The high prevalence of dermatophytosis is due mainly to the high human and animal reservoirs' existence and its high inherent resistance to adverse environmental conditions.\(^5\)

The conventional treatment involves topical formulations for mild initial infections and, in widespread cases, the use of systemic medications such as itraconazole and terbinafine. In onychomycosis, depending on the thickness of the nail plate, neither topical nor systemic drugs are able to reach the site of infection, causing low cure rates and frequent relapses. Moreover, pharmacological interactions of systemic medications, fungal resistance, and low patient compliance also contribute to the high rate of unsuccessful treatments.\(^6,7\)

It has become clear that it is necessary to develop new safe and effective treatments, especially for onychomycosis. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a promising alternative to conventional treatments. It consists of the activation of nontoxic photosensitizers by visible light of an appropriate wavelength inducing chemical changes in neighboring molecules through two pathways known as Type I and Type II. In Type-I photochemical mechanism there is the transfer of electrons or hydrogen atom to oxygen or other adjacent molecules to form radicals and reactive oxygen species (superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical) which can attack and oxidize any molecule within the cell. Hydroxyl radicals and hydrogen peroxide easily diffuse through membranes, thus the damage is not limited to one cellular compartment. In Type II photochemical process, during energy transfer, singlet oxygen is formed and can oxidize biological molecules as proteins, nucleic acids and lipids, irreversibly altering cellular vital components and resulting in oxidative lethal damage.\(^8,9\)

Toluidine blue O, a phenothiazine dye, binds preferably to the cell membrane as its main target. During dark incubation, the positively charged dye binds to negatively charged cell surface and remains outside of the cytoplasmatic membrane. After illumination, changes to the physical properties of the membrane are induced by depletion of ergosterol and the accumulation of polar derivatives, ultimately allowing small quantities of photosensitizer to cross the cell membrane and leading to inactivation of intracellular enzymes and the disruption of metabolism. The barrier function of the cytoplasmic membrane is lost, and its capacity to transport sugars, amino acids and phosphate is inhibited.\(^10,11\)

In the present study, we evaluated *in vitro* photodynamic inactivation of *T. rubrum* using toluidine blue O (TBO) as a photosensitizer and light emitting diode (LED) and laser as light sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Organism*: We tested a *Trichophyton rubrum* reference strain (INCQS 40051) from the culture collection of the University of Georgia, Atlanta, GA, USA. The isolate was maintained during experiments by subculture every 7 days on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA, DiCo Laboratories, Sparks, MD, USA) at 28°C.

*Photosensitizer*: The photosensitizer (PS) used was toluidine blue O (TBO) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO., USA) at 100 and 25 μM. The solution was prepared in sterile distilled water and stored at 4°C in the dark.

*Light source*: In this study we used one equipment - a 630 nm (± 10 nm) LED (Fisioled, MMoptics, SP, Brazil) with variable fluency (18-90 J/cm\(^2\)) and irradiation time (3, 9, 12 e 15 minutes).

Photodynamic inactivation in *Trichophyton rubrum*

*Inoculums’ preparation*: *T. rubrum* INCQS 40051 was subcultured in SDA and incubated at 28°C for 7 days, which corresponds to the exponential growth phase. Colonies were covered with 5 mL of sterile saline, rubbed carefully with sterile loop and the transmittance of the suspensions was adjusted to 65-70% at 530 nm, which corresponds to a final inoculum of 0.5 - 5 x 10\(^5\) cells/mL.\(^12\)

*Test procedure*: For these tests were used TBO at 100μM, energy density (18 J/cm\(^2\)) (irradiation time of 3 minutes) for LED. The resonance with this PS and the light emitted by the equipment was verified by spectroscopic analysis between 400 to 900 nm. The cell suspensions were prepared as described, equally divided into 10 x 10 mm glass tubes (final suspension volume of 1000 μL in each tube) and identified. In the groups treated with light, the glass tubes were irradiated from bottom to top. The control experiments were: untreated, without LED irradiation (L) and photosensitizer (PS) (L-PS-); irradiated by LED without photosensitizer (L+PS-) and exposed to TBO without light irradiation (L-PS+). For the groups treated with PDT, 100 μL of TBO was added to 900 μL of fungal suspension, incubated for 5 minutes in the dark and then irradiated by LED (L+PS+). After the treatment, the suspensions were diluted and subcultured in Petri dishes.
Evaluation of photodynamic therapy (PDT) fungicidal effect in *Trichophyton rubrum*: The experimental conditions were optimized to establish the lowest value of energy density able to cause 100% reduction of cellular viability (fungicidal effect) after PDT using TBO at 25 μM and different LED fluencies (54-90 J/cm²). The control experiments were divided in groups: untreated fungal suspension (L-PS-); irradiation by LED without photosensitizer with fluencies of 54 J/cm² (L54+PS-), 72 J/cm² (L72+PS-) and 90 J/cm² (L90+PS-), and tubes with 25 μM TBO incubated for 5 minutes in the dark (L-PS+). Irradiation of TBO by LED energy density of 54 J/cm² (L54+PS+), 72 J/cm² (L72+PS+) and 90 J/cm² (L90+PS+) with 25 μM TBO were the groups treated by PDT.

All tests were repeated three times for reproducibility and the experiments were carried out at room temperature. To minimize any interfering factors, the experiments were performed in the dark due to dye photosensitivity; the volume in the tubes was verified during tests to check for a possible volatilization of the reagents, and fungal growth controls were compared after diluting to a concentration corresponding to the initial inoculum.

Analysis of suspension area and volume irradiated with LED: in order to evaluate the LED phototoxic activity in *T. rubrum*, the irradiated area and volume were calculated. For these tests, the dimensional data of glass tubes were considered. The test tubes were photographed and the area with greater absorption of light by the PS was calculated through the software *Laser.exe*, developed by the LAB-BIO (Bioengineering Laboratory, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais) in order to obtain a measurement in pixels. The images were then exported to the software *Solidworks 2006*, so that solids of revolution corresponding to these images were generated and the volume of irradiated areas calculated.

Statistical Analysis: The results obtained were organized and analyzed by non-parametric statistical tests using a p value equal to 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The analysis of TBO absorption showed that this PS is resonant with the light emitted by LED (Graph 1). Under optical microscopic examination, fungal cells appeared blue, indicating that the 5 minutes exposure to TBO was sufficient to coat the surface (Figure 2). With a 95% confidence interval, the statistical tests demonstrated no significant difference (p >0.05) between the groups (control for yeast growth untreated cells, LED irradiation of 18 J/cm² without TBO exposure and 100 μM TBO without irradiation). Therefore, the actions of light or TBO alone did not reduce cell viability of *T. rubrum* (Table 1). Cells treated with PDT, however, showed statistically significant difference (p <0.05) compared to experimental controls, but a small reduction of fungal cells was observed (average

---

**Figure 1**: Experimental protocol of *in vitro* photodynamic therapy (PDT) in *Trichophyton rubrum* (INCQS 40051). Control without any treatment (L-PS-); Light Emitting Diode (LED) irradiation with energy density of 18 J/cm² without toluidine blue O (TBO) (L+PS-); TBO at 100 μM without LED irradiation (L-PS+); irradiation of TBO by LED energy density of 18 J/cm² (L+PS+). SDA - Sabouraud Dextrose Agar

**Graph 1**: *Trichophyton rubrum* (INCQS 40051) with 100 μM toluidine blue O (TBO) after 5 minutes of incubation in the dark.
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The results of evaluation of fungicidal effects showed no significant difference (p>0.05) between controls G1 to G5 (control for fungal growth untreated cells, different energy density by LED without TBO exposition and 25 μM TBO without LED irradiation) (Graph 2). However, in groups treated with PDT a significant reduction of *T. rubrum* viability was observed (4.30 Log10 for 54 J/cm2 and 4.00 Log10 for 90 J/cm2). Total inhibition of cell growth occurred after TBO irradiation by LED for 12 minutes of irradiation time (72 J/cm2) (Graph 2). The analysis of area and volume of irradiation showed that the area irradiated by the LED was 52.2 mm² and the volume of suspension irradiated by LED was 413.70 mm³ (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The emergence of fungal diseases has been considered a public health problem because it can affect both immune suppressed hospitalized individuals as susceptible persons in the community, with high rates of morbidity, mortality and financial costs. Therefore, the antifungal efficacy of photodynamic therapy has been tried and evaluated using different photosensitizers (5-aminolevulinic acid - ALA, methylene blue, toluidine blue O, porphyrins) and light sources (lamps with filters, Laser, LEDs) for *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Trichophyton rubrum*, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Aspergillus nidulans*. This study evaluated the efficacy of photodynamic therapy using TBO irradiated by LED in reduction of *T. rubrum* viability.

Smijs et al. reported complete inactivation of *T. rubrum* spores and destruction of the hyphae using porphyrins (DP mme, Sylsens B) activated by broadband white and red light. Later, in an *ex vivo* model, the same group of researchers observed that PDT is more effective in the early stages of germination of microconidia of *T. rubrum* than after the formation of hyphae and demonstrated that morphological changes such as wall deformations, leakage of internal cell material, structure of the hyp-
hal wall with rough appearance, bulge formation and a ruptured hyphal wall small smooth appearing occurred after the photodynamic treatment.\(^{17,18}\) These authors showed that the photosensitizer Sylsens B did not penetrate the fungal cell wall when the photodynamic inhibition (IFD) was unsuccessful, and the opposite happened exactly after irradiation of light with a successful photodynamic process, showing that the dye penetrates the cell interior.\(^{19,20}\)

The selection of an effective photosensitizer is critical to the success of PDT. It should have a safe molecular profile in humans, it needs to absorb the light at a compatible wavelength and must produce a high excitation efficiency.\(^{21}\) Photosensitizer dyes with the absorption band at the resonant wavelength emitted by LEDs increase the efficiency of this therapy, allowing to treat increasingly deeper infections without the need for multiple irradiation sessions.\(^{22}\)

In this paper, we utilized TBO at 100 and 25 μM because it’s nontoxic in the dark to the microorganism tested, presented an absorption band resonant with the light wavelength produced by equipment used and easily associated with the fungal cell surface. TBO at 100 μM causes reduction of fungal growth, but fungicidal effect was observed using a lesser TBO concentration (25 μM). Later, we demonstrated that TBO at 25 μM causes high reduction of Candida albicans after LED irradiation compared with higher concentrations (100 and 50 μM).\(^{15}\) According to Jackson et al., at higher TBO concentrations, photodynamic inactivation would be less efficient because the photosensitizer target sites would become saturated, leaving a substantial pool of unbounded TBO that can absorb photons of light away from TBO associated with cells.\(^{25}\)

Lasers and LEDs have been used in various therapies. The possibility of using PDT in the red spectrum for dermatophytosis should be considered, because this spectrum of light is not absorbed by hemoglobin and can penetrate more deeply into the living tissue. This property is particularly important in the treatment of nail infections, often refractory to conventional therapy.\(^{15}\) The LED is compact, requires less energy to emit light with the desired wavelengths, does not produce thermal damage in biological tissues and has been manufactured in various wavelengths (650, 670 and 690 nm).\(^{24,25}\)

The equipment tested in this report was effective in reducing the T. rubrum viability in fungal suspension. The LED irradiated area of 52.2 mm² and volume of 413.7 mm³ showed high inhibition of viable fungal cells plus fungicidal effect. This occurred because the light emitted by the LED clusters is divergent allowing a wider treatment area.\(^{20,27}\)

In this study, increase of energy density and time of irradiation showed improvement of T. rubrum photoinactivation efficiency. Prates et al. observed that longer exposure times were more effective than short times to generate reactive oxygen species and is more effective in inhibiting the growth of Candida albicans. Qin et al. showed that an upper limit of photonic effects is observed because the photosensitizer does not absorb all light excess.\(^{15,28}\)

Clinical studies have demonstrated the efficacy of PDT in the treatment of superficial mycoses. Calzavara-Pinton et al. described a successful and well-tolerated treatment of interdigital Tinea pedis with PDT, using 29% ALA in Eucerin cream, pre-irradiation period of four hours and 75 J/cm² irradiation with light in the red spectrum. However, four patients had recurrence of the mycosis after 4 weeks of therapy.\(^{25}\) Watanabe et al. succeeded in treating two clinical cases of onychomycosis with PDT after treatment with urea at 20% per 10 hours, using cream of 20% ALA, with pre-irradiation time of five hours, and irradiation of 100 J/cm² laser at 630 nm.\(^{30}\) Clinical and microbiological cures were observed after seven sessions for the first patient, and six sessions for the second patient. After six and three months respectively, there was no recurrence of the mycosis. Both patients reported tolerable soreness during irradiation.

Sotiriou et al. treated 30 patients with onychomycosis using ALA irradiated by red light (570-670 nm) from a non-coherent light source in three sessions and observed that 13 patients (43.3%) were cured at month 18, and one year after the cure rate dropped to 36.6%.\(^{16}\) Experimental tests using others photosensitizers as TBO and non-coherent light source as LED are extremely important because TBO irradiated by LED can be an inexpensive solution when compared to the use of other sensitizers such as ALA in conjunction with Laser.
CONCLUSION
The present study shows that PDT has an effective T. rubrum fungicidal activity in vitro and TBO irradiated by LED can reduce fungal growth. It also demonstrated that a lower concentration of photosensitizer and higher energy density were essential conditions to obtain maximal fungicidal effect.
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