Cryptic Intragenic Deletion of the SHOX Gene in a Family with Léri-Weill Dyschondrosteosis Detected by Multiplex Ligation-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA)

ABSTRACT

LWD is associated to SHOX haploinsufficiency, in most cases, due to gene deletion. Generally FISH and microsatellite analysis are used to identify SHOX deletion. MLPA is a new method of detecting gene copy variation, allowing simultaneous analysis of several regions. Here we describe the presence of a SHOX intragenic deletion in a family with LWD, analyzed through different methodologies. Genomic DNA of 11 subjects from one family were studied by microsatellite analysis, direct sequencing and MLPA. FISH was performed in two affected individuals. Microsatellite analysis showed that all affected members shared the same haplotype suggesting the involvement of SHOX. MLPA detected an intragenic deletion involving exons IV-VIa, which was not detected by FISH and microsatellite analysis. In conclusion, the MLPA technique was proved to be the best solution on detecting this small deletion, it has the advantage of being less laborious also allowing the analysis of several regions simultaneously.
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RESUMO

Deleção Criptica Intragênica do Gene SHOX em uma Família com Discondrosteose de Léri-Weill Detectada por Multiplex Ligation-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA).

Discondrosteose de Léri-Weill (DLW) está associada à haploinsuficiência do gene SHOX resultante, principalmente, de deleções. Geralmente, o FISH e a análise de microssatélites são os métodos utilizados para a identificação destas deleções. MLPA é um novo método para detectar variações do número de cópias gênicas, permitindo uma análise simultânea de várias regiões. Aqui, descrevemos uma pequena deleção intragênica no SHOX em uma família com DLW analisada por diferentes metodologias. DNA genômico de 11 membros de uma família foram estudados por microssatélites, seqüenciamento direto e MLPA. FISH foi realizado em dois indivíduos afetados. Os microssatélites demonstraram que todos os membros afetados apresentavam o mesmo haplótipo, sugerindo o envolvimento do SHOX. MLPA identificou uma deleção intragênica envolvendo os exons IV-VIa, que não foi detectada pelo FISH e pelos microssatélites. Conclui-se que o MLPA demonstrou melhor resolução para detectar esta pequena deleção, com a vantagem de ser menos trabalhoso e permitir a análise de várias regiões simultaneamente.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1997, two independent groups identified a gene related to stature (1, 2): short stature homeobox containing gene (SHOX) [MIM 312865], located within the pseudoautosomal region 1 (PAR 1) of the short arms of sex chromosomes. They found it to be composed of 7 exons and having about 40 Kb (3).

This gene belongs to a family of genes that encode a cell-specific homeodomain protein of the paired like type involved in cell cycle and growth regulation (4). This protein, expressed in high levels in osteogenic cells, binds to DNA in a sequence-specific manner and acts as a transcriptional activator, regulating the expression of others genes also involved in growth process (4). SHOX protein may also function as a repressor of chondrocytes differentiation within the growth plate, then SHOX haploinsufficiency may result in premature terminal differentiation of proliferative chondrocytes, with progression to the hypertrophic phenotype and accelerated growth plate fusion (5).

SHOX haploinsufficiency is associated to Turner-like phenotype, Léri-Weill dyschondrosteosis (LWD) and also idiopathic short stature. LWD [MIM 127300] is a dominant inherited skeletal dysplasia characterized by disproportionate short stature, mesomelic limb shortening and the Madelung deformity of the forearm, with bowing of the radius and dorsal dislocation of the distal ulna (6). The genotype–phenotype correlation is weak and incomplete expression in families with LWD has been demonstrated, with some patients carrying SHOX mutations, only presenting short stature without the Madelung deformity (7).

RESULTS AND METHODS

Patients

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital das Clínicas of the University of Sao Paulo Medical School, University of Sao Paulo. The patients or tutors gave their written informed consent.

The index case is an apparently healthy Brazilian girl of mixed ethnicity, with short stature noticed by her parents at 6 years of age. She was born at term by vaginal delivery after an uneventful pregnancy, with normal birth weight (3,600 g). She is the first child of nonconsanguineous parents. Both parents are short, her father’s height is 159 cm (-2.4 SD) and her mother’s height is 149 cm (-2.2 SD). The patient was referred to our hospital at 11 years of age. At that time, she was prepubertal and presented with short stature (height standard deviation score [SDS] = -3.4) and normal weight (body mass index SDS = 0.6). Physical examination disclosed a high arched palate, cubitus valgus, disproportional body proportion with short limbs (sitting height:height for age SDS = +4.5) and mesomelia (upper and lower arm length SDS of -4.7 and -5.5, respectively). No Madelung or other skeletal deformity was observed in the patient and in her first-degree relatives. Her father was not available for better phenotype characterization. However, a careful review of her family history identified 1 aunt and 2 second-degree relatives (Figure 1) from paternal side with disproportionate short stature and Madelung deformity (Figure 2), findings that indicated Léri-Weill dyschondrosteosis.

Results of routine laboratory assessments and hormonal measurement were normal. Patient’s bone age was of a 11 year-old and no radiology signal of Madelung deformity was observed.

Cytogenetic analysis

Chromosome analysis was performed on chromosomal spreads obtained from peripheral blood lymphocyte cultures of the patients using standard karyotyping techniques with G-banding. FISH methodology was carried out in lymphocyte metaphase spreads, using...
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**Figure 1.** Family heredogram showing that all affected members shared the same haplotype suggesting the involvement of SHOX.

**Figure 2.** Madelung deformity in patient II.4.

Biotinylated cosmid LLNOYCO3/M34F5 as a probe, which contains exons III to VIb of SHOX (10). The analysis was performed using the Karyotyping Software Macktype v.5.4.1 and Mackprobe v. 4.0 (Perceptive Scientific Instruments Inc., UK).

**Molecular Analysis**

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes using the salt precipitation method (11). For microsatellite analysis, the markers SHOX CA repeat, DYS290, DXYS10093, DXYS10096 and DXYS234 were used. CA repeat is an intragenic marker identified in the 5’ untranslated region of exon I. DYS290 and DXYS10093 are intragenic markers. DXYS10096 is 3’ flanking the gene, located at an important region apparently implicated in etiopathogenesis of LWD (12). DXYS234 is located downstream of SHOX approximately 2 cM from the Xp telomere (Figure 3). They were amplified from the family genomic DNA using fluorescent labeled primers. The PCR products were submitted to electrophoresis in the ABI PRISM 310 automatic
Genomic DNA was amplified by PCR and sequenced on an ABI PRISM 3100 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) automatic sequencer using the fluorescent di-deoxy-terminator method and specific intronic primers, previously described for exons II to VI of SHOX (13).

MLPA analysis of SHOX and PAR 1 region was carried out using the commercial Kit P018-C1 SHOX (MCR Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). This kit contains 8 probes for SHOX exons, 13 for SHOX surround area and 13 for the other X regions. Six individuals with normal height and body proportions were used as control for PAR1 deletion. As deletion control, four individuals with SHOX deletion detected by FISH were used. The manufacturer instructions were followed and data was analyzed using Coffalyser software (MCR Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

RESULTS

The index case (III.2) and one second-degree relative (II.4) presented normal karyotype with presence of SHOX in FISH analysis (Figure 4).

Microsatellite analysis did not display any deletion. This analysis showed that all affected members (I.2, II.1, II.2, II.4, III.2 and III.3) shared the same haplotype (Figure 1). In the individuals I.2, I.3, III.2, III.4 the direct sequencing did not identify mutations in the encoding region and splicing sites.

An intragenic deletion of exons IV to VIa in heterozygous form was detected in 5 affected members (I.2, II.2, II.4, III.2 and III.3) by MLPA (Figure 5). It was not possible to perform MPLA analysis in the affected member II.1 due to insufficient genomic DNA and the impossibility to obtain new sample.

DISCUSSION

Several methodologies have been used in SHOX mutation screening, but the molecular defect remains unidentified in a significant proportion of LWD cases. Several factors can be involved in the failure to detect mutations in SHOX gene. First of all an alternative clinical diagnosis should be excluded. Secondly, the molecular defect may be present in unscreened areas of the SHOX gene (14).

SHOX gene deletion is the most common cause of LWD and it is usually detected by FISH or microsatellite analysis. However, in this family both techniques failed to detect the intragenic deletion which was detected by MLPA. FISH is a laborious and expensive technique that uses biotinylated specific probes and permits their visualization in a fluorescent microscope. In normal individuals with two copies of SHOX, two fluorescent signals are visible in each metaphase. In de-
Figure 5. Electropherograms of MLPA runs. Each peak corresponds to amplification of one probe. A - Normal control. B - Index case: Comparisons of relative peak areas indicate heterozygous deletion involving exons IV, V and VIa.

Microsatellite analysis uses fluorescent primers and an automatic sequencer. This technique is able to detect small deletions but in case of big genes as SHOX, it is important to analyze several markers to cover the entire gene. Besides that, parents’ DNA analysis is necessary to characterize the presence of small deletions. This analysis showed that all affected members shared the same haplotype indicating the involvement of SHOX region. However, since the markers were not located at the deleted region, it was not detected by microsatellite analysis. No mutation was detected in SHOX exons and splicing regions by direct sequencing. This family presented an apparently normal SHOX gene, despite the typical phenotype.

MLPA is a relatively simple technique that is commercially available for SHOX analysis. In this family the MLPA detected a deletion involving exons IV to VIa in all affected members analyzed. Analyzing the probes and DYS290 marker positions, it was possible to estimate that deletion could be 4 to 14 kb in length.

It is noteworthy that microdeletions involving SHOX are much more prevalent than intragenic SHOX mutations (15). MLPA has been an important tool for the diagnosis of patients with SHOX microdeletions. Recently, Fukami and cols. reported three patients with LWD with apparently normal SHOX according to conventional screening techniques. MLPA also detected an heterozygous deletion involving exons IV and V in one case, exons IV–VIa in the second case and exons IV–VIIb in the third case (8).

In conclusion, MLPA technique for SHOX analysis has the best resolution to detect small deletions and has the advantage to be less laborious and should be used to identify cryptic SHOX intragenic deletions.
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