Simultaneous evaluation of *in vivo* glucocorticoid sensitivity and expression of glucocorticoid receptor alpha-isoform in rheumatoid arthritis patients
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To analyze glucocorticoid (GC) sensitivity using intravenous very low dose dexamethasone suppression test (IV-VLD-DST) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and its correlation with glucocorticoid receptor alpha-isoform (GRα) gene expression. Methods: We evaluated 20 healthy controls and 32 RA patients with Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) and Disease Activity Score 28 joints (DAS) scores and IV-VLD-DST and GRα expression in mononuclear cells. Results: Basal cortisol and the percentage of cortisol reduction after IV-VLD-DST were lower in RA patients than in controls, whereas GRα expression was similar among groups. In the RA group there was an inverse correlation between GRα expression and the percentage of cortisol suppression that was not observed in controls. There was a direct relationship between DAS and GRα expression. Conclusions: Mechanisms involved in GC resistance observed in patients with RA are possibly not at the level of GRα gene expression, since it was similar among groups and GRα increased with disease activity. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2009;53(1):24-30.

RESUMO

Objetivos: Determinar a sensibilidade aos glicocorticóides (GC) utilizando teste de supressão com dexametasona em doses muito baixas (IV-VLD-DST) em pacientes com artrite reumatóide (AR) e sua correlação com a expressão gênica da isoforma alfa do receptor glicocorticóide (GRα). Métodos: Foram avaliados 20 controles saudáveis e 32 pacientes com AR com Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) e Disease Activity Score 28 joints (DAS), IV-VLD-DST e expressão do GRα em células mononucleares. Resultados: Cortisol basal e porcentagem de redução do cortisol após IV-VLD-DST foram menores no grupo AR do que nos controles, enquanto a expressão de GRα foi similar entre eles. No grupo com AR, ocorreu correlação negativa entre a expressão do GRα e a porcentagem de supressão do cortisol, enquanto nos controles não houve correlação. Ocorreu correlação direta entre DAS e expressão de GRα. Conclusões: Sugerimos que os mecanismos envolvidos na resistência aos GC observada na AR não estejam ao nível da expressão gênica do GRα, já que esta é igual entre os grupos e aumenta com a gravidade da doença. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2009;53(1):24-30.
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INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, auto-immune, systemic inflammatory disease of unknown etiology. It is characterized by synovial membrane inflammation due to proliferation and infiltration of lymphocytes that determine progressive destruction of cartilage and subchondral bone (1,2). Transcription factors, such as activator protein (AP)-1 and nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB), determine greater expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, COX-2, growth factors, acute phase proteins and adhesion molecules (3-6). The expression of NF-κB is increased in RA and seems to be one of the main factors involved in the pathogenesis of the disease (7,8).

Glucocorticoids (GCs) activate the cytosolic glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which translocates to the nucleus to regulate target-gene transcription and determines the reduction of synthesis and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (9-11), adhesion molecules, COX-2 and pro-inflammatory transcription factors NF-κB and AP-1 protein (12-15). A mutual inhibition of the transcriptional activities between NF-κB and GR has been observed (16-18), as well as between the AP-1 protein and GR. The anti-inflammatory effects of glucocorticoids are thought to be caused by blocking the activity of the pro-inflammatory transcription factors NF-κB and AP-1 by direct interaction of a single GR molecule with the DNA-bound NF-κB or AP-1 heterodimers (11). Variable and skewed concentrations of these transcription factors determine the chronic nature of the inflammatory process observed in RA (7,16-18).

The dramatic response of patients with RA to glucocorticoids, the aggravation of RA after resection of bilateral adrenal glands, the inappropriately normal plasma cortisol levels in patients with RA and the blunted plasma cortisol responses after surgical stress provide evidence that dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) or relative glucocorticoid deficiency might play a part in the development of RA (19).

A number of studies, using semi-quantitative techniques, have demonstrated that the expression of the GR gene is decreased in RA patients in comparison to the healthy population (11,20-22).

In 2004, Melo and cols. described a new technique for absolute quantitation of the alpha isoform of the glucocorticoid receptor (GRα) using Real-Time PCR (23). Using a similar technique, other authors demonstrated that GRα expression is similar between patients with RA and controls (24).

There is evidence of a dysfunctional hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in RA patients (25-29), suggestive of resistance to glucocorticoids. This resistance may be related to decreased GRα expression and/or post-receptor abnormalities, such as altered GRα translocation to the nucleus, decreased transactivation and reduced GRα bioactivity secondary to increase of pro-inflammatory transcription factors.

Functional evaluation of the HPA integrity and in vivo sensitivity to GC can be addressed by cortisol suppression tests using dexamethasone (DEX) (30-34). Oral DEX-tests employing low doses allow the identification of the individual spectrum of glucocorticoid sensitivity (32); however, in order to avoid the interference of drug absorption and liver first-passage of DEX, our group recently developed a cortisol suppression test using intravenous dexamethasone in a very low dose (20µg/m²; IV VLD-DST) (33).

The relationship between GRα gene expression and the amplitude of cortisol reduction after DEX can be an important index for the recognition of conditions with hypersensitivity or hypersensitivity with wide applicability in clinical practice.

The present study is the first to determine the individual sensitivity to GCs using the intravenous very low dose DEX suppression test in patients with RA and to correlate this sensitivity with GRα gene expression, using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

We studied 32 individuals with RA from the Rheumatology Clinic of the Internal Medicine Department of the Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia São Paulo, who fulfilled the classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology and that were not treated with glucocorticoids or tolerated its withdrawal, since HPA should not be suppressed in order to have an informative response during the IV-VLD-DST (35). We also studied 20 healthy control individuals, who were not under steroids or non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) during the last 6 months. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and all individuals signed a written consent prior to their inclusion in the study protocol.

Control and RA groups were paired to gender, and women corresponded to 15/20 individuals in the control group and 27/32 patients of the RA group. Age was not paired among groups and was lower in the control group (mean 33.7 years; SD=10.7) than in RA patients (mean 42.7 years, SD=9.3). The mean (SD) BMI was 24.6(3.6)kg/m² in RA patients and 24.5(2.9)kg/m² in controls.
Patients with previous use of glucocorticoids were submitted to slow drug reduction regimen in order to be out of any steroid treatment for at least 60 days before undergoing the suppression test. To assure that the HPA was not suppressed, we defined a basal cortisol level of 7µg/dL as a minimum concentration to proceed with the IV-VLD-DST. Patients with baseline cortisol concentrations lower than 7µg/dL remained free of GC treatment for an additional 30-day period, before cortisol measurement: persistent basal cortisol levels lower than 7µg/dL was adopted as an exclusion criterion, as well as endocrine disease, prednisone doses greater than 5mg/day (or equivalent) in the 6 preceding months, obesity and alcoholism.

Clinical characteristics of the RA group were evaluated before and after medication withdrawal (Table 1). The mean (SD) RA duration was 7.5(2.6) years. Only 2/32 patients were negative for Rheumatoid Factor and 4/32 did not present erosions on the x-rays of the hands and feet. Seventeen patients (53.1%) used three or more disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), 13 (40.6%) used 2 DMARDs and 2 (6.3%) used only one DMARD.

Disease activity was estimated using the Disease Activity Score 28 joints (DAS-28) where variables include erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), number of painful joints and those with synovitis and visual scale for global evaluation of the patient. We applied a Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) with visual scales of pain and the duration of morning rigidity and use of NSAIDs and analgesics were also reported.

The control group was composed of healthy volunteers, graduating students at the Santa Casa de São Paulo-Faculty of Medical Sciences, paired to the study group in relation to BMI and gender.

**Table 1.** Clinical and laboratory data before and after prednisone withdrawal in RA patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before prednisone withdrawal</th>
<th>60 days after prednisone withdrawal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of painful joints</td>
<td>1.65 (1.43)</td>
<td>2.21 (2.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of joints with synovitis</td>
<td>6.41 (2.77)</td>
<td>6.44 (2.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning rigidity (minutes)</td>
<td>40.2 (23.81)</td>
<td>51.7 (32.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain Visual Scale (cm)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.15)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (mm/h)</td>
<td>30.4 (6.05)</td>
<td>31.2 (6.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Assessment Questionnaire</td>
<td>1.1 (0.65)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS-28</td>
<td>4.17 (0.86)</td>
<td>4.49 (0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with NSAIDs</td>
<td>18 (0.5)</td>
<td>30 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRα expression was determined according to the protocol previously described by our group (23). Briefly, a real-time PCR was performed for GRα and BCR (Breakpoint Cluster Region) as a normalizing gene. Primers and probes were as follows: GRα Sense Primer GAA-GGAAACTCCAGCCAGAA; GRα Anti-sense Primer CAGCTAACATCTCGGGGAAT (Product size: 151bp); GRα Probe 6-FAM-GCTTCCACATTGGTGGATA-AGACCAT-TAMRA; BCR Sense Primer CTTTCGCA-GTCAATAAACAAGGAT; BCR Anti-Sense Primer CTCGATGGCGTTCAC (Product size: 67bp); BCR Probe: 6-FAM-TCCATCTGCTCATCATCACCCGA-CA-TAMRA.

In each PCR run, we used a standard curve using serial dilutions of cDNA obtained from a standardized Jurkat (E6-1 clone, ATCC) cell culture. PCR conditions were equal for both genes, using TaqMan PCR Core kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Briefly, 1X TaqMan buffer A, 500µM each dNTP, 4.5mM MgCl₂, 200nM of each primer, 100nM of probe, 0.025U/µL of AmpliTaq Gold, 5µL of cDNA and water were incubated in a total volume of 25µL. Cycle conditions on an ABI 7500 (Applied Biosystems) were: 95°C for 10 minutes (AmpliTaq Gold activation) followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds (denaturation) and 60°C for 90 seconds (annealing and extension). The ratio between GRα and BCR expression represents the number of GRα Expression Units (EU GRα) of each sample.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The comparison between cortisol concentrations from the same individual, before and after DEX suppression test was performed by a paired t-test. The comparison between RA patients and controls in relation to baseline concentrations, lower concentrations and percent of cortisol suppression was performed using the Student-t test or the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test, according to data distribution evaluated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Cortisol concentration at different time points during DEX suppression test was analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis One Way Analysis of Variance on Ranks (ANOVA on Ranks). Correlations between the percentage of cortisol suppression (F%) and the expression of GR were analyzed using linear regression equations (SigmaStat for Windows, v3.05). Linear regression equations of the standard curves and expression units of GRα (EU GRα) calculations were performed using MS-Excel 2000 for Windows software (Microsoft). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RA PATIENTS**

At the time prednisone was interrupted, 18 patients were receiving 5mg per day and 11 had been using between 2.5mg and 5mg per day. Only 3 patients had not been previously receiving prednisone. There was a minimal but significant difference of GR expression (ANOVA, p=0.034, r²=0.169) but not of F% reduction (p=0.165) according to previous prednisone dose.

Clinical worsening was observed when patients were clinically evaluated after at least 60 days of prednisone withdrawal. There was an increase in Health Assessment Questionnaire results from 1.1 to 1.7 after two months of GC-free period (p<0.001, paired t-test). The time period of morning rigidity increased from a median of 30 minutes to 51.6 minutes (p<0.001, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; mean values are shown in Table 1) and the intensity of pain also increased, in visual pain scale (VPS), from mean (SD) values of 3.8cm (2.1) to 5cm (1.6) (p<0.001, paired t-test), the mean (SD) DAS-28 varied from 4.2 (0.9) to 4.5 (0.8) (p<0.001, paired t-test). There was no change in ESR after prednisone discontinuation (30.4 to 31.2mm/h; p=0.531, paired t-test). After two months without prednisone, all patients were only using NSAIDs and analgesics.

**INTRAVENOUS VERY LOW DOSE DEXAMETHASONE SUPPRESSION TEST (IV-VLD-DST)**

Mean (SD) baseline cortisol concentrations in the RA group was 12.5 (3.6) µg/dL. A significant reduction was observed when comparing baseline cortisol concentrations with the lowest cortisol concentration obtained after IV-VLD-DST (7.0 [2.2] µg/dL) (p<0.001). Mean (SD) baseline cortisol concentration in the control group was 19.8 (4.4) µg/dL, also showing a significant reduction to 6.4 (1.8)µg/dL (p<0.001) after IV-DEX.

When both groups were compared, baseline cortisol concentration was significantly lower in RA patients in comparison to the control group (p<0.001). However, the lowest concentration obtained from the suppression test was similar between the two groups (p=0.287). The mean (SD) percentage of cortisol reduction (F%) after the IV-VLD-DST was significantly lower (p<0.001) in the RA group: 43.8% (8.8) in comparison to the control group: 67.6% (7.0). The F% observed for three patients in the RA group who did not receive prednisone previously was similar to the remainder of the group (mean 47.2% and 43.4%, respectively).
**GRα QUANTITATION BY REAL TIME PCR**

Mean (SD) GRα expression was similar between RA patients (1.2 [0.19] EU GRα) and control individuals (1.24 [1.7] EU GRα; p=0.54). GRα expression observed for three patients in the RA group that did not receive prednisone previously was similar to the remainder of the group (mean 1.12 EU and 1.22 EU, respectively).

The relationship between GRα expression and the percentage of cortisol suppression is illustrated in Figure 1. In control individuals, although no correlation was observed between GRα expression and the percentage of cortisol suppression, the angular coefficient of the regression line was positive. On the contrary, an inverse correlation was observed between GRα expression and cortisol suppression in the RA group (p=0.034; r²=0.157).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, clinical characteristics of RA patients demonstrate that the group is formed by individuals with severe RA with elevated inflammatory potential: more than 93% of the patients used more than one DMARD, 53% more than 3 DMARDs, 94% presented high titers of Rheumatoid Factor and 88% presented erosions on the radiological exam. This, possibly reflects a severity bias of RA at our Institution, a tertiary care hospital in São Paulo, Brazil. After prednisone withdrawal, there was an increase in disease activity, measured by the DAS-28, HAQ and use of NSAIDs. This demonstrates that, despite the use of 2, 3 or more DMARDs, even the additional use of low dose prednisone is able to improve disease control. It was possible to obtain the release of the HPA axis sixty days after prednisone withdrawal, considering as normal the baseline cortisol levels greater than 7µg/dL. Although only three patients were not previously receiving prednisone, there was no difference in GRα expression or F% reduction after there was no difference in GRα > IV-VLD-DST when we compared the three patients who do not received prednisone with those prednisone was withdrawal before the study. This suggests that the HPA axis was not supressed at the time the study was performed.

Intravenous very low dose dexamethasone significantly reduced cortisol concentration and allowed the recognition of individual glucocorticoid sensitivity by identifying a spectrum of cortisol reduction in both RA and control groups. This is the first time this test was used in patients with RA in order to evaluate HPA integrity and to determine GC sensitivity.

Basal cortisol concentration was 58% higher in the control group in comparison to the RA group, although within reference range. Other studies have found opposite results, with RA patients presenting baseline cortisol concentration similar or greater in comparison to the control group (36,37). The partial cortisol suppression observed in our patients can represent a residual effect consequent to the chronic use of GC. Moreover, several studies demonstrate abnormal response of the HPA to several stress conditions (10,27,28). Straub and cols (26) found an increase in cortisol concentrations and high concentrations of IL-6 and TNFα in individuals with active RA not under treatment; however, the increased cortisol concentration was lower than predicted by the observed high levels of cytokines, concluding that the HPA response is inadequate in relation to the elevated inflammatory stimuli. Similar to our findings, Eijsbouts and cols (29) also observed lower cortisol

**CORRELATION BETWEEN GRα EXPRESSION AND DISEASE ACTIVITY**

A trend of positive correlation was observed between GRα expression and DAS-28 values 60 days after prednisone withdrawal (p=0.05; GRα (EU) = 0.827 + 0.084 DAS; r²=0.135) and an inverse correlation between GRα expression and the absolute variation of DAS-28 (expressed as ΔDAS; p=0.03; GRα (EU) = 1.27 – 0.2 DAS; r²=0.163).
concentrations in individuals with RA during insulin tolerance tests, despite increased IL-6 concentration. Despite high HPA stimulatory concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines, its activity in RA is still lower than expected.

Accepting that in RA patients there is a HPA dysfunction in response to stressors, the differences between baseline cortisol concentrations in RA patients and control individuals could be related to this abnormal condition. The test itself may cause additional anxiety and stress.

Although cortisol reduction after IV-DEX was significant in both groups, it was less intense in the RA group, possibly representing a partial resistance to the GC negative feedback at the CNS level.

Although an initial hypothesis for this resistance would be a decreased expression of GR, secondary to the homologous down-regulation exerted by exogenous GC upon its own receptor, it was previously described that this mechanism begins 4 hours after the exposure of the GR to GC, with maximum activity between 18 and 24 hours, and with subsequent disappearance 48 hours after reducing the GC to half of its initial dose (37). Therefore, since in our study the patients remained 60 days without exposure to GC, this was a sufficient period to avoid interference in GR expression.

A potential mechanism involved in GC resistance observed in RA patients would be an intrinsic decrease on GR expression. On the other hand, we observed that GRα expression was similar in both RA and control groups. Using more accurate methods, such as qRT-PCR, our findings are comparable to the results described by Onda and cols. (24), demonstrating that GRα of RA patients are similar to controls. This corroborates the hypothesis that after 60 days without prednisone, down-regulation of GR was not the predominant mechanism influencing HPA sensitivity. Additionally, we observed in RA patients that the greater GRα expression, the lower the amplitude of cortisol suppression during IV-DEX (Figure 1).

Furthermore, we observed a direct relationship between disease activity (DAS-28; Disease Activity Score 28 joints) and the level of GRα expression. These two observations suggest a post-receptor resistance mechanism, in which the highly expressed GRα is not enough to reduce the inflammatory activity of the disease, recognized by DAS-28 and despite its greater expression there is lower (and not higher, as would be expected) in vivo sensibility to DEX.

The inverse correlation between GRα expression and the amplitude of variation in DAS-28, before and after prednisone withdrawal also suggests a resistance related to abnormalities at post-receptor level, in which individuals with higher GRα expression also presented a more significant increase of DAS-28 after prednisone withdrawal. If the resistance to GC was simply inversely correlated to GRα expression, the increase in disease activity would be more evident in those individuals with lower GRα expression, but this condition was not observed.

Abnormal translocation of the activated GRα to the nucleus, co-inactivation of GRα with NF-κB and AP-1 protein, heterodimerization of GRα with GRβ and altered expression and/or function of co-activators, could all be together post-receptor interferents increasing the resistance to GC (16,38-42).

Future studies should address the disequilibrium in the expression of GRα (and GRβ) and NF-κB or AP-1 in RA patients as a potential source of a post-receptor resistance mechanism. Therefore, our data support the hypothesis that the resistance mechanisms to GC observed in patients with RA are not secondary to a reduced expression of GRα but rather to alterations at post-receptor level.
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