Bone turnover assessment: a good surrogate marker?
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SUMMARY
To assess fracture risk, bone mineral density (BMD) measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the most commonly used tool. The search for clinical risk factors is also advised and can provide a 10-year fracture probability (FRAX model). Another important determinant of bone strength is bone remodeling. High bone remodeling rates have been associated with a higher fracture risk and bone turnover markers (BTM) may offer dynamic information about skeletal status independent of BMD. The efficacy of antiresorptive agents in fracture prevention goes beyond what can be predicted by BMD especially in the beginning of the treatment. Inhibition of bone remodeling itself is certainly an important mechanism by which antiresorptive agents can rapidly reduce fracture risk. Thus, it seems quite logical to use BTM in patients with bone disease. It can also become a valuable surrogate marker in fracture prediction. However, BTM’s pre-analytical and analytical variability should always be considered.
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SUMÁRIO
O método mais utilizado para avaliação do risco de fraturas é a densitometria óssea pela técnica de DXA (absorciometria por raios-X duo-energética). A pesquisa de condições clínicas de risco também é recomendada e fornece uma estimativa da probabilidade de fratura em 10 anos (modelo FRAX). Outro fator importante é o grau de remodelação óssea. Um aumento da taxa de remodelação tem sido associado a um maior risco de fraturas e aumento dos marcadores ósseos. A medida desses marcadores fornece informação adicional independente da densitometria. A eficiência das medicações antirreabsortivas na prevenção de fraturas não é explicada apenas pelo aumento da densidade óssea. A menor remodelação óssea é um mecanismo que pode explicar a redução da taxa de fraturas principalmente no início do tratamento. A utilização desses marcadores nas doenças ósseas e na previsão de fraturas torna-se lógica. No entanto, para interpretá-los corretamente sua variação pré-analítica e analítica deve ser considerada.
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INTRODUCTION
Ten years have passed since we first published in the Brazilian Archives of Endocrinology and Metabolism a review article on bone turnover markers (BTM) and their practical application (1). In the meantime, evolution on this subject has been tremendous with better understanding of bone physiology, development of new biochemical markers and new assays along with cumulative research on how BTM can be used in the assessment of bone disease and its treatment. Among bone disorders, osteoporosis is far more common and corresponds to a major health issue mainly because it leads to increased susceptibility to fractures.

The rationale of all osteoporosis therapies concentrates in one primary endpoint which is fracture prevention. The main issue regarding drug efficacy is how one
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An important determinant of bone strength that is not assessed by BMD or clinical risk factors is bone turnover. High bone turnover is associated with more severe forms of osteoporosis and an increased fracture risk. Hence, BTM can reflect the dynamic nature of bone formation and resorption in a process that is usually coupled. Inhibition of bone remodeling itself is certainly another mechanism by which antiresorptive agents can rapidly reduce fracture risk before BMD changes (10). Additionally, BTM can independently provide a tool for monitoring response to therapy after only 2-3 months (11,12). Therefore, bone turnover assessment emerges as a valuable surrogate marker in osteoporosis. Their clinical use, advantages and limitations will be discussed below.

**BONE TURNOVER MARKERS AND REMODELING BIOLOGY**

Bone is a dynamic tissue that is continually renewed by a process called bone turnover. A variety of circulating and local factors act on osteoblasts (bone forming cells) to initiate remodeling. The osteoblasts signal for differentiation and maturation of osteoclast precursors (bone resorbing cells) which carry out dissolution of bone matrix constituents leading to the development of a resorptive cavity. After a short period of 2-3 weeks resorbing osteoclasts undergo apoptosis and osteoblasts move into the resorption cavity laying down a cement line (osteoid), synthesizing new matrix which will undergo further mineralization. The bone formation process takes several months and as new bone is made some osteoblasts are buried in the matrix and become osteocytes. This special type of cell can transduce mechanical signals necessary for adaptive modeling and remodeling in face of changing loads (13).

Depending upon their origin, BTM are classified as formation or resorption markers. If bone physiology is preserved, formation and resorption are coupled phases and BTM changes in parallel. In many bone diseases, this coupling is lost and bone resorption prevails over bone formation leading to increased bone turnover. The major condition in which this imbalance occurs is in post-menopausal osteoporosis when resorption markers tend to be higher than formation markers (14). On the other hand, conditions like osteopetrosis display an opposite state in which formation markers are much more elevated than resorption markers. The selection of which BMT to use should be based on the...
physiopathological process occurring in each bone disorder or in the mechanism of action of a specific drug, when used to monitor treatment.

**BONE FORMATION MARKERS**

**Procollagen I extension peptides**

Type I collagen is synthesized by osteoblasts as the precursor molecule (procollagen) with extension peptides in the carboxy (C) and amino (N) ends. These extensions are cleaved by proteases during collagen extracellular metabolism giving rise to N-terminal (PINP) and C-terminal (PICP) propeptides of type I collagen (Figure 1). They go into the circulation as a trimeric form which is rapidly converted in its monomeric form and represents a marker of type I collagen synthesis (15). Different assays can measure both monomeric and trimeric forms. PINP measurement has practical advantages of a low diurnal variability, its circulating levels are not significantly influenced by food intake (patient does not need to be fasting) and it is primarily metabolized by the liver with clearance unaffected by renal dysfunction (16,17).

Pyrophosphate which inhibits mineral crystallizations and acts as a calcium-binding protein. It has a half-life of 1-2 days making it less sensitive to circadian variation, and it is not influenced by renal dysfunction (19).

**Osteocalcin**

Osteocalcin is the most abundant non-collagen protein found in bone. Its structure is monomeric and contains 49 aminoacid and three-gamma-carboxyglutamic acid residues which are responsible for the calcium-binding properties of the molecule. During osteoid synthesis, osteocalcin is released by osteoblasts and it is involved primarily in bone mineralization. A small fraction of osteocalcin is released into the circulation and has a circadian rhythm peaking at 4 a.m. It is also cleared by the kidney and its levels are affected by renal dysfunction. Osteocalcin has a short half-life of less than an hour and is quickly degraded so that intact molecules and fragments coexist in circulation (20). There are different assays to measure the intact peptide and/or its fragments making comparison between them difficult.

**BONE RESORPTION MARKERS**

**Telopeptides of type I collagen**

These specific peptides are degradation products of the non-helical region of type I collagen in the amino-terminal region (NTX) and the carboxy-terminal region (CTX) produced by osteoclasts during bone resorption (Figure 2). NTX and CTX can be measured in either serum or urine. The serum levels are influenced by circadian rhythm and food intake therefore samples must be collected at the same time of the day (in the morning) and in fasting conditions (21). The 24-hour urine collection has the advantage of overcoming circadian changes and is less sensitive to dietary interferences, although patients may find it difficult to collect urine properly. Increasingly the automated CTX serum assay has become more available and is replacing urinary NTX because of its simplicity and robust performance. Reference intervals should be age and sex-specific.

**Pyridinium cross-links**

The cross-links (aldehyde links between lysine or hydroxylysine residues) are formed between collagen molecules (Figure 2) and are released into circulation when collagen is catabolized. They follow a circadian
rhythm and are higher early in the morning and are less influenced by diet. There are two types of cross-links currently available in serum and urine: Pyridinoline (which is less specific and can be derived from cartilage, tendons and blood vessels) and Deoxypyridinoline (more specific and found in bone and dentin). Nowadays immunoassays are preferred instead of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) used in the past (22,23).

Nowadays immunoassays are preferred instead of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) used in the past (22,23).

Acid phosphatase

Osteoclasts produce an acid phosphatase isoenzyme which is not inhibited by tartrate called type 5 tartrate resistant acid phosphatase band 5b (TRAP 5b). This enzyme is present in the osteoclast’s ruffled border membrane and in the resorptive space. Increased TRAP 5b levels have been described in high bone turnover states like Paget’s disease and bone metastasis. Recently, due to assay evolution, TRAP 5b is becoming one of the BTM used for prediction of high bone turnover with a significant correlation with BMD loss (24).

Recommendations in BTM Analysis and Interpretation

For practical reasons, serum samples are more used than urine samples when measuring BTM. Fasting is recommended and blood samples should be obtained in early morning. Bone resorption peaks in the second half of the night (between 3 and 7 a.m.) and has its nadir in the late afternoon (25). Bone formation has less circadian variability. The time between sample collection, centrifugation and storage should be no longer than 4 hours. All samples must be transported in refrigerated conditions to assure their stability. Osteocalcin is very sensitive to temperature and should be handled in an ice bath. If stored at -20°C, BTMs are stable for several months. Exercise should be avoided preceding sample collection because it can increase bone turnover (26). Age and sex strongly influence BTM. Values are significantly higher in children and post-menopausal women when compared to adults. Reference ranges adjusted for age and sex must be used for better interpretation but this information is not always available (27,28). Coexistent health conditions such as bed rest and immobility, bone metastases, acromegaly and thyrotoxicosis (all of them increasing bone resorption) may change bone turnover (29,30). A recently sustained fracture alters bone turnover. Bone formation and resorption markers increase as early as a minimum of 4 months after the fracture, reflecting bone healing (31).

Drugs can modify bone turnover, predominantly in case of corticosteroid use. They promptly inhibit bone formation with a fall in osteocalcin, PINP and BSAP. The use of corticosteroids (prednisone 5 mg or equivalent) can already decrease bone formation. Higher doses (more than 7.5 mg prednisone daily or equivalent exceeding 3 months) not only decreases bone formation and but also increases bone resorption (32,33). The use of thiazolidinediones can alter bone turnover mainly decreasing bone formation by induced activation of PPAR in mesenchymatous cells promoting their differentiation into adipocytes in preference to osteoblasts (34).

Another important issue to be considered is BTM interpretation is the Least Significant Change (LSC) of each marker. LSC calculation includes pre-analytical and analytical variations by using standard statistic methods providing a range of values that a given test must exceed to conclude that a true biological effect is happening in opposition to the measurement error (35). Recent guidelines have suggested that a decrease of at least 30% for serum markers and 50%-60% of urinary markers need to be observed to suggest that a biological event has occurred (36). For instance, patients treated with alendronate that exhibit a decrease greater that 30% in BSAP had significant lower risk of spine or hip fracture compared with those with BSAP changes below 30% from baseline (it is important to remember that bone resorption and formation are coupled) (37). With risedronate therapy, improvement in the rates of vertebral fractures were noted when changes greater than 60% from baseline in urinary CTX and changes greater than 40% in urinary NTX were observed (38). In teriparatide trials changes greater than 40% in PINP should occur before a biological effect may be inferred.
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although some evidence suggests that this limit should be at least 20% (39,40). Thus, the need of specific reference intervals in different ages and establishment of LSC could be obstacles in the use of BTM in clinical practice. There are growing efforts to define these intervals for each BTM considering the within-subject and between-subject variation so that serial measurements may provide clinical insights into bone metabolism changes.

**CLINICAL VALUE OF BTM ASSESSMENT**

The association between higher bone turnover rate and the risk of fracture has been confirmed in several prospective and cohort studies. Higher levels of resorption markers are associated with a two-fold increase of vertebral and non-vertebral fractures compared to women with normal BTM levels in a population older than 65 years of age (41,42) and younger than 65 years (43). Interestingly, osteopenic women with high BTM levels are at risk of fracture similar to that of osteoporotic women. But osteopenic women with normal BTM have a low fracture risk comparable to post-menopausal women with normal BMD (44). Additionally, increased urinary levels of deoxypyridinoline were associated with higher risk of hip fractures in elderly women with normal BMD from the EPIDOS cohort (45). Since a large proportion of women who suffer fragility fracture have a BMD above the defined osteoporotic threshold (T-score of -2.5 or less) (46), BTM provide another way of assessing fracture risk independent of and complementary to BMD measurements.

Another potential utility of BTM is using bone turnover as a guide to drug selection. For example, women with a high turnover rate should receive antiresorptive treatment and those with low remodeling rates could benefit from an anabolic agent. Some studies have found a higher fracture reduction with bisphosphonates in women with high resorption markers (47,48). However, there is not enough evidence or practical guidelines to recommend this conduct in clinical practice.

Currently, the chief clinical application of BTM measurements is monitoring response to therapy. Changes in BTM during treatment depend on the marker type evaluated, cellular mechanism of a drug’s action, its potency and route of administration. Bisphosphonate administered orally decreases bone resorption in 3 weeks with a nadir after 6-12 months. Intravenous bisphosphonates and subcutaneous denosumab induces a more rapidly dose dependent decrease in bone resorption. The higher the dose the lower are the resorption markers and higher the increase in BMD (49-50). During anabolic treatment with teriparatide, for example, an increase in bone formation is followed by an increase in bone resorption. After 1 month, PINP increases about 60%-70% above baseline and continues to rise for 3-6 months and then decreases. A rise in CTX is also observed at a lesser extent (51). Strontium Ranelate has modest impact in BTM and slightly increases BSAP and slightly decreases serum CTX during initial use, then both plateau throughout treatment (52). The changes in BTM levels after withdraw of osteoporotic medication are related to posterior changes in BMD and a probable decrease in anti-fracture efficacy (53). This could be one of the guiding points in the decision to restart therapy or to extend drug suspension without the need to wait for a decrease in BMD. Finally, as with any relatively asymptomatic disease, adherence to treatment is poor in osteoporosis (nearly 50% at 6 months for oral medications) and anti-fracture efficacy is linked to continuous treatment (54). It is possible that BTM measurements improve adherence to osteoporosis treatment since their change occurs much earlier than it can be detected by BMD, but this observation has not been demonstrated conclusively in clinical trials.

**CONCLUSION**

Bone turnover assessment is a valuable tool in management of osteoporosis and other bone diseases. Potential clinical uses of BTM include prediction of bone loss and fracture risk, monitoring drug action and adherence to osteoporosis therapy. Limitations imposed by pre-analytical and analytical interferences should always be considered. Nevertheless, BTM are increasingly becoming good surrogate markers and their association with BMD measurement can provide a better view of bone status.
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