Diabetes mellitus and spinal epidural abscess: clinical or surgical treatment?
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SUMMARY

Spinal epidural abscess (SEA) is an uncommon condition and its most important predisposing factor is diabetes mellitus. Although the treatment of choice is prompt surgical abscess evacuation, followed by antibiotic therapy, successful conservative treatment of SEA has been reported in some cases. We describe a SEA case in a 23-year old white woman with diabetes for 14 years, who was successfully treated only with antibiotics, and achieved full recovery at the fourth month of follow-up. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2011;55(9):720-2

SUMÁRIO

O abscesso epidural espinhal (AEE) é uma doença incomum e o diabetes melito é o seu fator predisponente mais importante. O tratamento de escolha é a imediata drenagem cirúrgica, seguida de antibioticoterapia, entretanto, casos já foram relatados em que o AEE foi tratado clinicamente com sucesso. Descrevemos um caso de AEE em um paciente diabético tratado satisfatoriamente com uso isolado de antibióticos e que evoluiu com recuperação total no quarto mês de seguimento. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2011;55(9):720-2

INTRODUCTION

Spinal epidural abscesses (SEA) have an incidence ranging from 2.5 to 3 per 10,000 hospital admissions (1-4). Back pain, fever and neurological deficit are the three most common symptoms (5-7). Neurological dysfunction occurs when the spinal cord becomes directly compressed or ischemic due to vascular involvement (6,8). Although the treatment of choice is prompt surgical abscess evacuation, followed by antibiotic treatment (9), successful conservative treatment of SEA has been reported in the literature, especially in diabetic patients (8). We described a SEA case in a diabetic patient who was successfully treated only with antibiotics, and who achieved clinical and radiological cure at the fourth month of follow-up.

CASE REPORT

A 23-year old white woman with diabetes for 14 years, presented low back pain radiating down the right leg and prickling on its middle portion, besides fever and worsened glucose control. Physical examination was normal, except for severe tenderness over the lumbar apophyseal process. Laboratory data detected leukocytosis with neutrophilia, elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and fasting blood glucose of 319 mg/dL. Blood and urine cultures were negative. Lumbar x-ray was normal and computed tomography (CT) of the lumbar spine showed a right dorsal paraspinal and epidural collection at L3-L4, with 2 cm of diameter, without signs of spinal osteomyelitis (Figure 1A and B). Symptoms disappeared, adequate glucose control was...
achieved and ESR normalized after 6 weeks of empiric intravenous treatment with clindamycin (2.4 g/day) and amikacin (15 mg/kg/day). The treatment was maintained orally with cephalexin (4.0 g/day) for other 6 weeks. CT showed improvement after one month (Figure 1C) and normalization occurred after 4 months of the initial treatment (Figure 1D).

DISCUSSION

Clinical progression of SEA is graduated into four stages: 1. back pain; 2. nerve-root pain radiating from the involved spinal area; 3. motor weakness, sensory deficit, and bladder and bowel dysfunction; 4. paralysis (1,5,8). The clinical triad of back pain, fever, and neurological deficit should be a warning of SEA diagnosis, even though this triad is only present in a small number of patients (5). Our patient presented the first two of these SEA classic symptoms, and had established stage 2.

Neuroimaging is essential in identifying the location and extent of a lesion. CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are highly sensitive options in SEA diagnosis (2,5,6,8). Leys and cols. (10) described five SEA cases that were diagnosed using CT with an IV contrast injection, in which the most common signs were loss of physiological epidural fat and unusual fixation of the contrast material at the dural sheath, surrounded by a higher density area situated between the bone and the dural sheath. Our findings were similar to those.

Diabetes mellitus is the mainly predisposing factor associated with SEA (4). Karikari and cols. confirmed these data in the revision of his 104 patients, and found diabetes incidence of 33.6% (11). A factor that could be involved at the SEA pathogenesis in diabetic patients would be the difficulty of polymorphonuclear cells in phagocytizing S. aureus (12), the most common agent involved in the disorder (3,13,14). As diabetes incidence is on the rise, it may be an important factor in the doubling in the incidence of SEA observed in the past two decades (5).

Isolated clinical treatment with broad spectrum antibiotics, with activity against S. aureus, anaerobes and Gram-negative organisms was chosen for this patient, since no pathogen was isolated, neither the source of the infection was identified, as occurs in about 20.5% of patients (5,9). CT imaging did not confirm bone lesion in our patient, but the treatment was prolonged orally for other 6 weeks, since vertebral osteomyelitis coexists with SEA in up to 80% of the cases (5).

Figure 1. CT at L3-L4 level. A: right dorsal paraspinal and epidural collection before treatment; B: unusual fixation of the IV contrast; C: first month after the treatment; D: CT normalization at the fourth month after the treatment.
Optimal management of SEA is still being debated in the literature, and there are no clear indications of when medical approach is more appropriate than surgery (8,11). Leys and cols. (10) defined four patient groups there are candidates for clinical management: high-risk surgical patients, neurologically intact and stable patients, those with complete paresis for more than 72 hours, and those with extensive diffuse abscess formation (6,8). Rigamonti and cols. (15) also argued that conservative management could be a reasonable option in selected patients, but the clinical outcome of patients who were specifically managed with conservative therapy was not mentioned.

In a recent review, Karikari and cols. (11) did not support the hypothesis that surgical management is superior to conservative treatment, either. However, they have not clearly shown if there was radiological cure among the seven (11%) of 62 patients who presented improvement with nonoperative treatment (Table 1). Besides, this kind of treatment was not necessarily represented by antibiotic therapy alone, because CT-guided aspiration was also considered.

Few articles reported clear clinical and radiological cure. Our case showed that antibiotic therapy could be indicated as an initial treatment in selected patients, and that more studies are necessary to identify the real safety of the clinical approach in comparison with surgery. The latter is still considered first-line treatment (5,16), but presents higher mortality (10,11). The option of nonoperative treatment is justified for patients without marked neurological deficits, according to Siddiq and cols. (17).

In our case report, the high level of suspicion was crucial to SEA diagnosis. The cure was achieved only with antibiotic therapy. Clinical and radiological recovery occurred at the fourth month of follow-up.

**Table 1.** Clinical outcome based on treatment plan (Karikari et al. Neurosurgery. 2009;65:919-24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonoperative</th>
<th>Operative</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved, no. (%)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remained stable, no. (%)</td>
<td>41 (64)</td>
<td>17 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened, no. (%)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died, no. (%)</td>
<td>11 (17)</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

Since there is a high incidence of diabetes mellitus, SEA should be included at the differential diagnosis when these patients present back pain and fever. Antibiotic therapy itself is an efficient SEA treatment and should be considered in cases of early diagnosis and absence of advanced neurological deficit.
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