Fasting ghrelin but not PYY (3-36) is associated with insulin-resistance independently of body weight in Wistar rats

A grelina, mas não PYY (3-36), está associada com a resistência à insulina independente do peso corporal em ratos Wistar
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the association between insulin-resistance and fasting levels of ghrelin and PYY in Wistar rats. Materials and methods: A total of 25 male Wistar rats, weighing 200-300 g, was included in this study. The animals were maintained in cages with a 12/12h light-dark cycle and fed standard chow and water ad libitum. After 12-h overnight fasting, ghrelin, PYY, insulin and glucose values were determined. Insulin resistance was assessed by means of the HOMA-IR, which was ranked and the median was used as a cut-off value to categorize insulin-resistance. HOMA-IR values equal and above 2.62 were considered insulin-resistant (IR) while values below 2.62 were considered insulin sensitive (IS). Differences between means were determined using the Student t-test. Multiple regression and Pearson’s correlation test were used to evaluate the association between variables. Results: HOMA-IR median IQ range values for IS and IR groups were, respectively, 1.56 (0.89 – 2.16) vs. [4.06 (3.50 – 4.61); \( p < 0.001 \)]. The IR group presented increased levels of fasting ghrelin, PYY and insulin respectively: [50.35 (25.99 – 74.71) pg/mL vs. 12.33 (8.77 – 15.89) pg/mL; \( p = 0.001 \)]; [54.38 (37.50 – 71.26) pg/mL vs. 33.17 (22.34 – 43.99) pg/mL; \( p = 0.016 \)]; [18.04 (14.48 – 21.60) uU/mL vs. 7.09 (4.83 – 9.35) uU/mL; \( p = 0.001 \)]. Ghrelin, but not PYY, correlated linearly and positively with HOMA-IR: ghrelin vs. HOMA-IR \((r = 0.52; \ p = 0.008)\), and PYY vs. HOMA-IR \((r = 0.22; \ p = 0.200)\). This correlation was independent of body weight. Conclusion: Fasting ghrelin and PYY serum levels are increased in lean, relatively insulin resistant Wistar rats, and this increase is independent of weight.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a associação entre a resistência à insulina e os níveis de grelina e PYY em jejum em ratos Wistar. Materiais e métodos: Um total de 25 ratos Wistar machos, pesando 200-300 g, foi usado neste estudo. Os animais foram mantidos em gaiolas com um ciclo de luz escuro de 12/12h e alimentados com ração padrão e água ad libitum. Depois de um jejum de 12h, os valores de grelina, PYY, insulina e glicose foram determinados. A resistência à insulina foi avaliada pelo HOMA-IR que foi ordenado e a mediana utilizada como valor de corte para categorizar a resistência à insulina. Os valores de HOMA-IR iguais ou acima de 2,62 foram considerados resistentes à insulina (RI), enquanto valores abaixo de 2,62 foram considerados sensíveis (SI). As diferenças entre as médias foram determinadas usando-se o teste t de Student. A análise de regressões múltiplas e o teste de correlação de Pearson foram usados para avaliar a associação entre as variáveis. Resultados: A mediana e a variação IQ do HOMA-IR para os grupos RI e SI foram, respectivamente, 1,56 (0,89 – 2,16) contra [4,06 (3,50 – 4,61); \( p < 0,001 \)]. O grupo RI apresentou níveis aumentados de grelina, PYY e insulina em jejum, respectivamente, [50,35 (25,99 – 74,71) pg/mL contra 12,33 (8,77 – 15,89) pg/mL; \( p = 0,001 \)]; [54,38 (37,50 – 71,26) pg/mL contra 33,17 (22,34 – 43,99) pg/mL; \( p = 0,016 \)]; [18,04 (14,48 – 21,60) uU/mL contra 7,09 (4,83 – 9,35) uU/mL; \( p = 0,001 \)]. A grelina, mas não PYY, se correlacionou de forma linear e positiva com o HOMA-IR: grelina vs. HOMA-IR \((r = 0,52; \ p = 0,008)\), e PYY vs. HOMA-IR \((r = 0,22; \ p = 0,200)\). Essa correlação foi independente do peso corporal. Conclusão: Os níveis séricos de jejum de grelina e PYY estão aumentados em ratos Wistar magros relativamente resistentes à insulina, e esse aumento é independente do peso.
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INTRODUCTION

Insulin resistance is a life-long condition associated with increased abdominal adiposity, overfeeding, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Gastrointestinal peptides have been recently associated with control of hunger and satiety, but the link between these peptides and insulin-resistance has not been completely determined.

Ghrelin is the only known circulating orexigenic peptide, primarily produced in stomach, but also in smaller concentrations in the small intestine, liver, pancreas, kidney, lung, pituitary, hypothalamus, placenta and testes (1). Under physiological conditions, ghrelin is secreted in a rhythmic pattern of expression with circadian light-dark cycles, and a unique secretory pattern with surges preceding meals (2).

Ghrelin secretion mechanisms occur in part by means of the GHS-R receptor, depending on growth hormone effect (3), which is not completely understood yet, with potential regulation by insulin (4). Recent studies show that cultured newborn rat stomach cells can express the insulin receptor, suggesting that insulin may play an important role in this regulation. Indeed, ghrelin secretion is inhibited when the animal is exposed to low and moderate concentrations of insulin, but this inhibitory effect seems to be lost in the presence of very high concentrations of insulin (4). Moreover, in obese hyper-insulinemic patients with diabetes, fasting ghrelin is suppressed, suggesting that adiposity and insulin-resistance can interfere in ghrelin regulation (5). Thus, it is important to understand the relationship between ghrelin and insulin resistance outside the context of obesity.

Peptide YY (PYY) is a satiety peptide mainly secreted by L cells at the distal intestine and colon in response to food intake. It is rapidly metabolized to its active form, PYY (3-36) by means of the action of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) (6). PYY (3-36) has been implicated as a satiety peptide inhibiting food intake in both humans (7) and rodents (8), and its fasting levels have been shown to be reduced in human obesity, suggesting that it is related to adiposity (9). The association of these peptides and insulin-resistance has not been studied outside the context of obesity and hyperglycemia.

In the present study, we evaluated fasting plasma ghrelin and PYY in relation to weight and relative insulin-resistance determined by HOMA-IR in lean non-diabetic Wistar rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protocol

In a cross-sectional study, we examined 25 male Wistar rats to determine serum PYY, insulin, ghrelin and glucose. Rats weighing 200-300 g were included in this study. The animals were kept in a 12/12h light-dark cycle, and fed standard chow and water ad libitum. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the animal welfare guidelines of the institution and were approved by the Ethics Committee in Animal Research at Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

Sample collection

After 12-hour overnight fasting, blood was collected from the retro-orbital artery in a glass cannula directly into an eppendorf tube. Simultaneously to the blood collection, Pefabloc® SC (AEBSF) (Roche®, Mannheim, Germany) was added to ghrelin at 1 mg/mL of total blood. Samples were not pre-acidified. Blood was mixed with Pefabloc® less than 30 s after collection. Then it was immediately placed in an ice-bath and centrifuged at 1,000 xg for 10 minutes at room temperature, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Serum was stored at -80°C until the assay was performed.

Hormone and biochemistry measurements

Total Ghrelin, PYY (3-36), and insulin were determined by Luminex® using kits purchased from Linco Research® (Millipore®, Billerica, MA). Acylated ghrelin is the bioactive form of ghrelin; however, total ghrelin seems to be a good surrogate for the acylated form because the ratio of the two molecular forms is constant under a wide variety of conditions that affect this peptide (5,10,11). Respective limits of detection for ghrelin, PYY and insulin were: 2, 16, and 28 pg/mL. Capillary blood collected from the tail was used to determine blood glucose values using a glucometer (Medisense® Optium Xceed Meter).

Statistical analysis

HOMA-IR was ranked a priori to determine the median, which was the cut-off value used to categorize insulin resistance. Rats above HOMA-IR median value were considered insulin resistant (IR). Those below the median were defined as insulin sensitive (IS). Asymmetric data were log transformed and, thus, variables
were presented as means and standard deviations. Differences between IR and IS groups were determined using the Student t-Test. For the study of correlations, we used multiple linear regression and Pearson’s correlation test for all variables. SPSS version 17 was used. A p value of 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

According to HOMA-IR rank, we determined insulin resistant (IR group) (n = 13) and insulin sensitive (IS group) (n = 12) rats. HOMA-IR median cut-off for insulin resistance was 2.62. Median HOMA-IR and interquartile range values for IS and IR groups were respectively: [1.56 (0.89 - 2.16) and 4.06 (3.50 - 4.61); p < 0.001] (Table 1).

We verified that the IR group presented significantly higher levels of fasting ghrelin, PYY and insulin than the IS group: [50.35 (25.99 - 74.71) pg/mL vs. 12.33 (8.77 - 15.89) pg/mL; p = 0.001], [54.38 (37.50 - 71.26) pg/mL vs. 33.17 (22.34 - 43.99) pg/mL; p = 0.016], [18.04 (14.48 - 21.60) uU/mL vs. 7.09 (4.83 - 9.35) uU/mL; p = 0.001] (Table 1).

The IR and IS groups did not differ in body weight and fasting glucose values: [292.90 ± (10.84) g vs. 289.95 ± (10.32) g; p = 0.495], [97 ± (10.48) mg/dL vs. 91 ± (12.45) mg/dL; p = 0.201] (Table 1).

Fasting ghrelin, but not PYY, correlated directly with HOMA IR: (r = 0.52; p = 0.008) and (r = 0.22; p = 0.200) (Figures 1A and 1B, respectively). Fasting ghrelin was significantly correlated with body weight (Figure 1C) and fasting insulin: (r = 0.54; p = 0.005) and (r = 0.51; p = 0.009). Fasting PYY did not correlate with insulin: (r = 0.32; p = 0.119) or body weight (r = 0.2; p = 0.921) (Figure 1D). Fasting ghrelin and PYY peptides did not correlate with each other. (r = 0.37; p = 0.069).

Table 1. Sample characteristics*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS Group (n = 12)</th>
<th>IR Group (n = 13)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>289.95 ± (10.32)</td>
<td>292.90 ± (10.84)</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>91 ± (12.45)</td>
<td>97 ± (10.48)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (uU/mL)</td>
<td>7.09 [4.83 - 9.35]</td>
<td>18.04 [14.48 - 21.60]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.56 (0.89 - 2.16)</td>
<td>4.06 (3.50 - 4.61)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>12.33 [8.77 - 15.89]</td>
<td>50.35 [25.99 - 74.71]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYY (pg/mL)</td>
<td>33.17 [22.34 - 43.99]</td>
<td>54.38 [37.50 - 71.26]</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Comparison between groups by t test for two independent samples. Body weight and glucose are expressed in mean and standard deviation. HOMA-IR values are shown in median and interquartile ranges. Other variables are expressed in mean and Confidence Interval. IS group = insulin sensitive group; IR group = insulin resistant group.

Figure 1. Pearson’s correlations between Ghrelin vs. HOMA-IR (A); PYY vs. HOMA-IR (B); Ghrelin vs. Weight (C) and PYY vs. Weight. Data were log transformed for analysis.
DISCUSSION

The present study shows that, in Wistar rats, fasting ghrelin is associated with insulin-resistance, independently of body weight. The same effect was not observed for PYY (3-36). This finding suggests that ghrelin-secreting cells may be up-regulated in the fasting state by the presence of insulin-resistance without the interference of weight.

So far, few studies have evaluated the association between ghrelin and insulin-resistance. In newborn rat X/A stomach cells, the secretion of ghrelin is progressively inhibited by increasing concentrations of insulin. However, this effect is abolished by higher concentrations of insulin by the inhibition of phospho-inositol-3 kinase, thus suggesting that cellular insulin resistance can impair the suppressive effect of insulin in fasting ghrelin (4). In another study, the ablation of the ghrelin receptor (growth hormone secretagogue receptor – GHS-r) in knockout Ghsr-/- mice, compared with wild type mice, improved the natural age-related insulin-resistance, reinforcing a role of ghrelin in the insulin-resistant state (12). Moreover, in healthy overweight subjects receiving ghrelin infusions over 2 hours along with endogenous insulin and somatostatin, an acute muscle insulin resistance was produced by ghrelin (13). On the other hand, when evaluating fasting ghrelin levels in cross-sectional studies with insulin-resistant hyperinsulinemic obese patients, ghrelin levels are decreased, indicating a possible suppressive effect of insulin in ghrelin secretion in the presence of obesity (5). In the present study, we observed a significant positive association between ghrelin and insulin-resistance and an independent association between ghrelin and weight, which is in accordance with the above findings and reinforce the concept that insulin resistance may up-regulate fasting ghrelin secretion in the absence of obesity.

The relationship between PYY and insulin-resistance has been controversial. In relatives of type 2 diabetic patients, fasting serum PYY has been shown to be low and to correlate negatively with insulin resistance (14). However, in a larger recent study of type 2 diabetic patients with coronary artery disease, the serum active form of PYY (3-36) in the fasting state was positively correlated with serum insulin levels and to body mass index (9). This study also showed that abdominal adiposity was an important determinant of PYY (3-36) concentration in type 2 diabetic subjects, and the higher PYY levels could be due to the higher adiposity of the patients. However, the authors found that the association between high fasting PYY (3-36) did not depend on total or regional adiposity. It was then speculated that the two forms of PYY may have different effects on insulin metabolism, with PYY (1-36) having a more potent role in insulin secretion than PYY (3-36), while PYY (1-36) may have an increased impact on insulin-resistance than PYY (3-36). Our results did not confirm an association between insulin-resistance and fasting PYY levels in the present model, suggesting that mild insulin-resistant states could be insufficient to influence the increase in fasting ghrelin and PYY (3-36) in lean animals. We cannot exclude, however, that the response of PYY to food overload would be altered in the setting of insulin resistance.

The present study has clear limitations because of the cross-sectional design, which does not enable inferring causality between insulin-resistance and the secretion of both peptides. We believe, however, that insulin-resistance may be the most logical causative factor because of the presence of insulin receptors in stomach cells. Longitudinal studies are necessary to further clarify the natural history of insulin-resistance and the regulation of fasting ghrelin in this experimental model.

In conclusion, these results support a role of fasting ghrelin in the pathogenesis of insulin-resistance in Wistar rats, and the hypothesis of a possible gastrointestinal role of insulin-resistance. We speculate that the loss of insulin inhibitory effect may be an adaptive metabolic adjustment. Further studies are necessary to investigate whether insulin resistance may influence satiety and food intake by means of the effect on these peptides.
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