Association of leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a meta-analysis

Associação entre as concentrações de leptina e o risco patogenético de doença arterial coronariana e acidente vascular cerebral: uma metanálise
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This meta-analysis aimed to investigate the association of leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of CHD and stroke. Materials and methods: Studies were identified in the PubMed, Embase, and Springer link database without language restriction. Odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were used as effect indexes. The association of leptin levels with pathogenic risk of CHD and stroke, as well as the risk variation of CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level were examined via meta-analysis. The publication bias was assessed via Egger’s linear regression test. Results: Eight nested case-control studies consisting of 1,980 patients and 11,567 controls were included for current meta-analysis. ORs (95% CIs) of association of leptin levels with CHD and stroke was 1.90 (1.06, 3.43), and 2.14 (1.48, 3.08), respectively. In addition, significant result was obtained regarding the risk variation of CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level (OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 1.00-1.08, P = 0.044). There was no significant publication bias as suggested by Egger test outcomes. Conclusion: There was a significant association of leptin with pathogenetic risk of CHD and stroke, and raised leptin levels could significantly increase the pathogenetic risk of CHD. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2014;58(8):817-23
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RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo desta metanálise foi investigar a associação entre os níveis de leptina e o risco patogenético de doença arterial coronariana e acidente vascular cerebral. Materiais e métodos: Foram identificados estudos nas bases de dados PubMed, Embase e Springer Link sem restrição quanto à língua. A razão de chances (OR) e os intervalos de confiança de 95% correspondentes (95% CI) foram usados como índices de efeitos. A associação entre os níveis de leptina e o risco patogenético de doença arterial coronariana e acidente vascular cerebral com cada unidade adicional na concentração de leptina foi analisada por meio de metanálise. O viés da publicação foi avaliado por meio do teste de regressão linear de Egger. Resultados: Oito estudos com caso controle aninhado envolvendo 1,980 pacientes e 11,567 controles foram incluídos na metanálise. As ORs (95% CIs) da associação entre as concentrações de leptina e a doença arterial coronariana e acidente vascular cerebral foram de 1,90 (1,06; 3,43) e 2,14 (1,48; 3,08), respectivamente. Além disso, foram obtidos resultados significativos com a variação de risco para a doença arterial coronariana a cada unidade adicional na concentração de leptina (OR = 1,04; 95% CI = 1,00-1,08; P = 0,044). Não houve viés de publicação significativo sugerido pelos desfechos no teste de Egger. Conclusão: Há associação significativa entre a leptina e o risco patogenético de doença arterial coronariana e acidente vascular cerebral, e concentrações aumentadas de leptina podem elevar significativamente o risco patogenético de doença arterial coronariana. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2014;58(8):817-23
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INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD), particularly coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke remains the biggest cause of death worldwide (1). A number of proven and putative risk markers contributing to CVD have been identified, involving smoking, elevated glucose, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, obesity, and markers of infection or inflammation and lifestyle (poor nutrition and lack of exercise) (2). Among these risk markers, obesity has been shown to be highly associated with CVD (3), and the underlying mechanism has been fully depicted (4). Furthermore, insulin resistance was found to be implicated with several of the possible mechanisms linking obesity to CVD, and the adipocyte-derived hormone leptin has been suggested to be associated with insulin resistance and thus may play an important role in linking obesity to CVD (5,6).

Leptin, peptide product of adipose tissue specific obese (ob) gene, was discovered in 1994 (7) with significant role in the regulation of energy homeostasis, neuroendocrine function, and metabolism. Understandably, the finding about its association with insulin resistance, metabolic disturbances and cardiovascular risk subsequently sparked much interest focused on the relationship between the leptin and CVD (8-12).

Regarding this relationship, however, contradictory results were obtained. A large prospective study was conducted to examine the association of leptin levels with the risk of CHD indicating that leptin was a novel, independent risk factor for CHD (13), similarly, another investigation concluded that high leptin level was significantly associated with myocardial infarction as well as stroke in men and women, independently of several cardiovascular risk factors and obese status (14). Additionally, significant association of leptin levels with risk of CHD and stroke were also demonstrated by other studies focused on these relationships (15-18). On the other hand, in contrast to those fairly strong associations previously reported, a recent prospective study and systematic review have found that a moderate association was existed between leptin levels and CHD risk, but no statistically significant association was observed after adjustment for body mass index (BMI) (9) which is consistent with another report from population-based case-cohort study (12). In addition, more recent study focused on these associations revealed that leptin was not associated with incident CHD or incident stroke (19).

To provide reliable evidence and help clarify matters behind this controversy, we conducted current meta-analysis study to examine the association of leptin levels with risk of CHD and stroke, as well as the risk variation of CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

Up to 27th December 2013, studies were identified in the PubMed, Embase, and Springer link database without language restriction, using the words “adipokines or adipocytokines or leptin” and “coronary heart disease (CHD) or coronary artery disease (CAD) or myocardial infarction or stroke”. In addition, we searched the paper version publications by manual retrieval, and any additional studies in the reference lists of related reviews or included literatures were also screened to obtain more eligible studies for our meta-analysis.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We adopted the following inclusion criteria: (a) a case-control study or cohort study based on CHD or stroke patients as subjects and healthy population as the control group; (b) association of leptin with pathogenetic risk of CHD or stroke were assessed; (c) odds ratios (ORs) or risk ratios (RRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were used as effect evaluation index. On the other hand, study was excluded if CHD or stroke patients were involved in baseline population; all relevant review, report, review literature, letters were also excluded; and all studies were excluded except the one offering more study information when the study was duplicate publication or used the data from same population.

Data extraction and statistical analysis

Two investigators independently extracted the information that involved the first author, year of publication, geographic area, age and gender of participant, sample volume, amount of cases, types of outcomes, ORs/RRs and 95% CIs after adjustment, and the correction factors. Additionally, any disagreements were resolved via discussion with the third investigator.
ORs and 95% CIs were used as effect indexes in present study assessing the association of leptin with pathogenetic risk of CHD or stroke. The heterogeneity among studies was determined by the $Q$-statistic (20), the random-effects model meta-analysis was applied for heterogeneous outcomes ($P < 0.05$, $I^2 > 50$%), and the fixed-effect model meta-analysis was used for homogeneous outcomes ($P \geq 0.05$, $I^2 \leq 50$%). The publication bias was assessed via Egger test (21). Data analysis was performed with the Stata 11.0 Software.

RESULTS

Eligible studies

Initially, a total of 3,099 preliminary related articles were obtained from the electronic database, subsequently 1,899 articles were reserved after eliminating duplicate documents, and then 1,870 articles were further excluded according to the inclusion criteria after scanning the titles and abstracts. Moreover, scrutiny of the full text led to the exclusion of 21 articles. Additionally, none articles were obtained from manual retrieval, as a result, a total of 8 articles (13-17, 22-24) were identified for current meta-analysis. The detailed process of literature search and study selection is explicitly described in figure 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of 8 studies on leptin and risk of CHD/Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Type of outcome</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soderberg</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>25-74</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>M&amp;F MI</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8.97 (1.73, 46.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>56*</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>M CHD</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>1.70 (1.05, 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soderberg</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>72*</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>F IS</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4.10 (1.45, 11.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallerstedt</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Sweden+ Finland</td>
<td>57 (6.6)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>M MI</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00, 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>52*</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>F&amp;M MI</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19, 1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawlor</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>60-79</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>F CHD</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80, 1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra-Johnson</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>20-89</td>
<td>3,336</td>
<td>F Stroke</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.20 (1.04, 10.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,903</td>
<td>M Stroke</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.96 (1.29, 12.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.37 (0.88, 2.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3.16 (1.40, 7.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>21-84</td>
<td>2,928</td>
<td>F Stroke</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1.97 (1.21, 3.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>M Stroke</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.96 (0.66, 1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1.70 (0.51, 5.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.72 (0.23, 2.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: male; F: female; MI: myocardial infarction; CHD: coronary heart disease; IS: ischemic stroke. *: mean age.
additional one unit, while two or three categories of leptin levels were used in four studies to evaluate the risk differences of CHD or stroke under high and low levels, and the mentioned two kinds of results were included in the rest one study.

Correlation analyses between leptin and CHD or stroke

According to the characteristics of included studies, the mentioned two kinds of assessments were performed with quantitative analysis regarding the ORs and their 95% CIs. Significant association was observed for leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of CHD (OR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.06-3.43, \( P = 0.032 \)), and the random-effects model was conducted with corresponding heterogeneous outcome (\( P = 0.004, I^2 = 71.5\% \), Figure 2A); similarly, a significant association was also existed between leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of stroke (OR = 2.14, 95% CI = 1.48-3.08, \( P < 0.001 \)), and the fixed-effects model was applied for its homogeneous outcome (\( P = 0.572, I^2 = 0.0\% \), Figure 2B). The result regarding the risk variation for CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level was (OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 1.00-1.08, \( P = 0.044 \)), and the random-effects model was conducted with corresponding heterogeneous outcome (\( P = 0.001, I^2 = 78.4\% \), Figure 3).

![Figure 2. Pooled analyses of risk difference between diverse leptin levels with CHD (A) and with stroke (B).](image-url)
Publication bias

According to the studies depicted in figure 2A, B and figure 3, there was no significant publication bias as suggested by Egger test outcomes (\( P = 0.665 \), \( P = 0.141 \), \( P = 0.108 \), respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

A total of 8 nested case-control studies consisting of 1,980 patients and 11,567 controls were subjected to present meta-analysis. A significant association of leptin levels with incident CHD and stroke was identified, in addition, the CHD risk increased by 4% with each additional one unit of leptin level. The results are aligned with the earlier studies conducted by Söderberg and his group (10,15,16,25,26).

Initially, they demonstrated elevated plasma leptin level was an independent predictor of first-ever hemorrhagic stroke but not ischemic. In addition, they proposed that the possible mechanism regarding this association may involve the effect of leptin on sympathetic nervous system activation and BP, lipid levels, as well as the fibrinolytic system. Whereas, their later larger case-referent study (16) showed that leptin was associated with both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in men and women. It is noteworthy that the different results of these studies may be owing to the limited cases included for the former one. Furthermore, their further research (26) indicated that leptin was an independent predictor of stroke in men, even after adjustment for traditional stroke risk factors. This result is consistent with a recent report (18) that leptin was associated with incident stroke after adjusting for BMI and established risk factors for stroke. This report also contains a suggestion that direct atherogenic effect of leptin on the carotid artery wall may be the pathway for development of stroke. The mechanism of this association was not well understood currently, and similar possible mechanism suggested by these studies mainly involved the atherothrombotic process, which is associated with hyperleptinemia.

Regarding the relationship between leptin and incident CHD, mixed results of previous studies seems to be difficult to explain. It was reported (27) that leptin did not display as a significant predictor for ischemic heart disease with the samples matched for potential risk markers such as smoking and BMI. However, subsequent nested case-referent study by Söderberg and cols. revealed that leptin was an independent risk factor for acute myocardial infarction even after adjustment of several cardiovascular risk factors, such as high BMI, blood pressure and hyperinsulinaemia (15). Meanwhile, a later cross-sectional study also demonstrated that serum leptin was a significant determinant of CAD in addition to other established cardiovascular risk factors, such as smoking, age, gender, fasting insulin and BMI, and the capacity of leptin activating the sympathetic nervous system was considered as pivotal role for the significant association (28). Notably, discrepant results observed from these and subsequent studies mainly attributed to different study design, particularly the different treatment for various cardiovascular risk factors. In current meta-analysis, the confounders such

---

**Figure 3.** Pooled analyses of risk variation for CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>% Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soderberg</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1.14 (1.04, 1.25)</td>
<td>12.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallerstedt (F)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00, 1.04)</td>
<td>39.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallerstedt (M)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00, 1.02)</td>
<td>42.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19, 1.85)</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawlor</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80, 1.26)</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (I-squared = 78.4%, p = 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04 (1.00, 1.08)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Weights are from random effects analysis.
as age, blood pressure, and BMI have been adjusted in all included studies. Nevertheless, the results may be influenced by some potential confounders, consequently, further research should be performed to obtain more potent evidence regarding this relationship with exploring the mechanism and effect magnitude.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first meta-analysis focused on the association of leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of CHD and stroke as well as the risk variation for CHD with each additional one unit of leptin level. Although there were some differences towards grouping criteria among these included studies, the results were still strengthened by the observation that CHD risk was significantly increased with each additional one unit of leptin level. Furthermore, there was no significant publication bias between studies. On the other hand, potential limitations of present study merit careful consideration. We only obtained observational studies for this study. These results may be influenced by some potential confounders, which may lead to the exaggeration of the positive effect of leptin on CHD and stroke, although the confounders such as age, blood pressure, and BMI have been adjusted in all these 8 studies; on another aspect, eligible studies included for this meta-analysis was limited and the sample sizes particularly the cases amount was inadequate, therefore further meta-analysis with larger sample sizes and comprehensive study design is warranted to confirm the results stability of current study.

In conclusion, a significant association of leptin levels with incident CHD and stroke was demonstrated, and raised leptin levels could significantly increase the pathogenetic risk of CHD. However, further meta-analysis involving larger sample sizes with analysis of sub-groups by age or gender is warranted for more detailed evidence.
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