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ABSTRACT

Serum samples collected from 358 wild boars (Sus scrofa) in breeding farms in São Paulo, southeast Brazil, from 1998 to 2001, were tested for antibodies against pseudorabies virus (PRV) by means of serum neutralization (SN) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Seropositive animals were detected in three of seven herds analyzed. Overall seroprevalence as assessed by SN was 30.7%, ranging from 25.2% to 100% for the herds that presented seropositive animals. Indirect ELISA detected lower seroprevalence (19.3%). Sensitivity and specificity of ELISA were equal to 57.3% and 97.6%, respectively. Agreement was equal to 85.2% (P<0.0001). These results showed that PRV infections occurred in farmed feral swine in southeast Brazil, and affect pseudorabies eradication program.
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INTRODUCTION

Aujeszky’s disease, also known as pseudorabies, is caused by an alphaherpesvirus and affects pigs at all ages. High mortality in naïve newborn piglets and abortion in pregnant sows cause economic losses for the swine industry. As all herpesviruses, pseudorabies virus (PRV) in natural hosts is able to establish latent infection, which can be reactivated under experimental or natural conditions (Beran et al., 1980). After stressful conditions, latent virus can be reactivated and shed in the environment. In domestic herds, pseudorabies virus is transmitted to susceptible pigs by aerosols from the respiratory tract of infected animals, or through exposure to virus present in secretions and excretions (McFerran and Dow, 1964).
Serological surveys for PRV antibodies and PRV isolation in domestic swine have been reported in many southern and southeastern states of Brazil (Hipolito et al., 1960; Romero et al., 1984; Kotait et al., 1986). The swine industry of countries that have the disease may be economically affected due to sanitary barriers imposed to international trade.

Free range swine populations are reservoirs of many diseases including brucellosis, classical swine fever, leptospirosis and Aujeszky’s disease (Gresham et al., 2002). As for Aujeszky’s disease in wild boars (Sus scrofa), occurrence of clinical illness and prevalence of latent infections is unknown.

Experimentally infected adult wild swine show little or no clinical disease, but these animals are able to transmit the virus. Infection by Aujeszky’s disease virus occurs under natural conditions in wild boars, as demonstrated by detection of serum antibodies to PRV (Van der Leek et al., 1993; Albina et al., 2000), and by isolation of the virus both from oropharingeal and genital swabs of feral boars and sows after dexametasone-induced immunosupression (Romero et al., 1997). Mechanisms of indigenous PRV natural transmission in feral swine populations are little known. Isolation of PRV from genital and respiratory tracts of experimentally immunosupressed feral swine may indicate various routes of transmission (Romero et al., 1997).

Many countries in Europe are free from Aujeszky’s disease and some have begun eradication programs. The State-Federal-Industry pseudorabies eradication program in USA began in 1989 with the aim of eradicating PRV by the year 2000 (Romero et al., 2001).

Wild swine population must be considered as a risk for the spread of many diseases, including pseudorabies (Annelli, 1995; Gresham et al., 2002), and a threat for eradication programs. Serological evidence of PRV infection in feral swine populations has been reported in the United States (Leek et al., 1993; Gresham et al., 2002;) and in Europe (Albina et al., 2000). Thus, there is a major concern in monitoring the epidemiological situation of wild boars, especially when control measures are to be determined for domestic pigs.

During the last few years, there was an increase in the number of wild boar herds in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Therefore, a serological study in feral swine herds has been initiated in this country. The objective of this paper is to report findings regarding pseudorabies virus antibodies in feral swine (Sus scrofa) from herds in cities of the State of Sao Paulo, southeast Brazil.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Blood samples were collected from 358 wild boars from seven herds of the State of São Paulo, Brazil (Table 1), from 1998 to 2001. Sera were stored at ~20°C until analyzed. All sera were tested using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a test developed by Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Suínos e Aves – EMBRAPA. It is an indirect ELISA using PRV antigen and cell culture antigen-coated microtiteration plates. Antibodies in serum samples bind to the antigens and are detected by a KPL peroxidase-labeled purified goat antibody against swine IgG. Results were interpreted as positive or negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Year sampled</th>
<th>Number tested</th>
<th>Number positive</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olímpia</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Alegre do Sul</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra Azul</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguaçu Paulista</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara d’Oeste</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra Azul</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piracaia</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Serum samples were heat-inactivated at 56°C for 45min and then assayed in a virus neutralization test with 100 PRV median tissue culture infective doses (TCID50).

Diagnostic and proportion of agreement were performed and estimated using the spreadsheet DAG_Stat (Mackinnon, 2000) to provide a comprehensive range of statistics calculable from 2×2 tables, which are useful in evaluating diagnostic tests and inter-rate agreement. Data entered were frequencies arising from the cross-tabulation of the test being investigated (ELISA) against the criterion (serum neutralization – SN – Gold Standard) for the presence or absence of the disorder to which the test is supposedly sensitive.

RESULTS

The results in Table 1 show that wild boar presenting antibodies to PRV were detected in three of seven herds. Overall seroprevalence by SN was 30.7%; ranging from 25.2% to 100% for the three herds showing seropositive animals. Table 2 shows the results obtained in the application of two assays for antibodies against PRV. Indirect ELISA showed a lower seroprevalence (19.3%). The characteristics of diagnostic tests and inter-rate agreement were calculated from Table 2. The sensitivity and specificity of ELISA were equal to 57.3% and 97.6%, respectively; observed agreement was equal to 85.2% (P<0.0001).

Table 2. Cross tabulation of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) against serum neutralization (SN) test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*McNemar’s test (Chi Square=30.2; P<0.0001).

DISCUSSION

The serological survey on farmed wild boar presented is the first study on PRV virus infection of this specie in herds of the State of Sao Paulo. The survey described can increase the concern of the veterinary services and farmers on infectious diseases that may affect wild boars and may be transferred to domestic pigs. This major concern also leads to the decision to include serological monitoring of farmed wild boars in a surveillance program carried out on domestic pigs for notifiable disease.

PRV seroprevalence in farmed wild boars in the present trial was 30.7%. This finding are in agreement with other similar studies performed in the USA and Europe (Van der Leek et al., 1993; Gresham et al., 2002; Zupancic et al., 2002). However, studies performed in Germany in the 1990’s report that 1.7-8.9% wild boars had antibodies against ADV, showing a lower seroprevalence than what was reported here (Lutz and Wurm, 1996; Muller et al., 1998). Albina et al. (2000) in a serological survey on classical swine fever, Aujeszky’s disease and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses in both farmed and hunted wild boars in France also reported lower PRV seroprevalence, equal to 1.2% and 5.5% respectively.

It is well known that wild boars are natural reservoirs for Aujeszky’s disease (Gresham et al., 2002). However, the actual importance of these animals as infection sources for domestic swine is controversial. Van der Leek et al. (1993) detected 34.8% PRV seropositive wild boars all over Florida and concluded that this population may seriously undermine efforts to eradicate the virus from domestic swine population in the USA. A survey compared the prevalence of Brucella suis and Pseudorabies virus antibodies in feral swine populations in South Carolina in two different periods and reported an increase in PRV infection, 20% from 1975 to 1987, and 42% from 1987 to 1999. It also suggested that these animals may constitute a reservoir of infectious agents transmissible to domestic swine, presenting a risk to local domestic livestock (Gresham et al., 2002). However, Romero et al. (2001), studying the routes of transmission involved in natural infection by pseudorabies virus indigenous to free-range feral swine, concluded that the respiratory route is not the main route of transmission, and that transmission of the virus to domestic pigs is unlikely, posing only a limited risk to the success of eradication programs for the disease.

The PRV antibodies of all samples were detected by means of SN test and ELISA performed using a test developed by CNPSA– EMBRAPA. Banks
and Cartwright (1983) compared four tests used in the detection of antibodies to Aujeszky’s disease virus in porcine sera and concluded that ELISA sensitivity was greater than SN. ELISA described here in the detection of PRV antibodies in domestic swine sera shows a higher correlation with SN and is routinely used in monitoring swine herds. On the other hand, results show that ELISA was less sensitive than SN (SR= 58.9%) when used in wild boar serum samples. The poor response of indirect ELISA is in agreement with similar results published elsewhere (Schmitt et al., 1991; Hahn et al., 1997). According to Hahn et al. (1997) the poor response of ELISA could be due to variation in specific viral antigens between the feral pig strains and the domestic pig strain, however, neutralizing titers were more comparable, suggesting that neutralizing epitopes are not different.

The ELISA is easy to perform and detect infection earlier than SN. However, our results suggest that the performed ELISA should not be used by monitoring PRV antibodies in wild boars.

These data show that PRV infections occur in farmed feral swine in southeast Brazil. Thus, these animals may be a source for the virus to infect domestic pigs and there is an urgent need for a risk assessment study to elucidate the importance of infected feral swine in a pseudorabies eradication program.
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