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Studies have proven that immunomicroscopy is a valuable technique in research (Hsu et al., 1981) and diagnostic histopathology and cytology for the identification and classification of tumor cells using of a wide variety of markers (Flens et al., 1990; Taylor and Shi, 1994).

More recently, double immunohistochemical techniques have been developed, allowing two antigens can be simultaneously shown in a single section (Ramsay et al., 1988; Taylor and Shi, 1994; Waiser et al., 2002). Concerning that specifically to the expression of cellular antigens, this method offers a wide range of possible applications (Taylor and Shi, 1994). In human species, the impact of immunohistochemistry has been most effective in surgical pathology in the diagnosis of “undifferentiated malignant neoplasm”. In veterinary, immunohistochemical staining can be used to diagnose some kind of tumor lesions, with high sensitivity and specificity, permitting the correct prognostic and treatment of these lesions (Cassali et al., 2001). Furthermore, in mammary neoplasms in canine, tumor type was an important independent factor and a range was observed of increasing malignancy from complex carcinoma (composed of both epithelial and myoepithelial components) to simple carcinoma (composed of one type of cell either epithelial or myoepithelial cells) to sarcoma was observed (Misdorp et al., 1999).

The authors describe their experience concerning standartisation of double-staining immunohistochemistry applied a mammary tumors in veterinary pathology. For this study, four tumors, frequently observed in veterinary medicine, were used (2 - benign mixed tumors and 2 carcinosarcomas) (Misdorp et al., 1999). In these tumors, there is a neoplastic involvement of epithelial, myoepithelial and mesenchymal cells, sometimes with cartilage and/or bone neoformation.

Neoplastic mammary tissue was obtained from female dogs following surgical resections and autopsies. Two human cell markers antibodies (Table 1) were used on 4μm sections of formalin fixed and paraffin embedded dog tissues.

Table 1. List of primary antibodies, origin, characteristics and tested dilutions in canine species tissue samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibodies</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Antigen Immunising</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Main cellular expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin AE1/AE3</td>
<td>Biogenex, USA</td>
<td>Pepsin</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Epithelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100</td>
<td>Dako, Denmark</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:700</td>
<td>MC, melanocyte, adipocyte, SCM, SC, chondrocyte, histiocyte and their correspondent tumors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The canine tissue sections were deparaffinized in xilol and processed with alcohol, as in routine tissue processing. Enzymatic pre-treatment was performed for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 antibody using 0.4% pepsin for 30 minutes at 37°C. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by treating the tissue sections with 10% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 15 minutes. The sections were washed twice in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 5 minutes each one, after all incubation steps. Human appendix sections were used as positive controls. Negative controls were performed replacing primary antibodies with PBS.

All immunohistochemical steps were performed in a humidity chamber at controlled temperature. A universal staining kit1 (UltraVision Large Volume Detection System Anti-Polyvalent, HRP) was used in the first sequence of the double immunohistochemical technique. For this sequence, sections were incubated twice in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min. Then, the sections were incubated with monoclonal primary antibody Cytokeratin AE1/AE3 (mouse IgG) for 60 min and biotinylated secondary antibody (goat anti-polyvalent IgG) for 15 min. After incubation with streptavidin peroxidase complex for 15 min, the brown color-developing substrate 3,3'- diaminobenzidine1 (DAB) was added for 10 min. For the second sequence, sections were incubated for 15 min with normal goat serum2 (X0907). Subsequently, sections were incubated for 60 min with polyclonal primary antibody S100 (mouse IgG) and goat anti-mouse secondary antibody2 (P0447) for 15 min. Following incubation with alkaline phosphatase anti-alkaline phosphatase complex (APAAP Complex) for 15 min, substrate solution consisting of fast red was added. Single cells stained in brown if they are positive for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 and stained in red if they are positive for S100.

The double-staining immunohistochemical technique, in this case applied to neoplastic dog mammary tissues (benign mixed tumor and carcinosarcoma), showed satisfactory results in the characterization of the cellular types involved with tumor growth and in the classification of these tumors. In the first sequence of double immunohistochemical reaction, the use of diaminobenzidine (DAB) as substrate stained cells in brown. These cells were positive to cytokeratin and identified as epithelial cells (Fig. 1). In the second sequence of this process, the Fast Red stained in red the mioepithelial cells that were positive to S100 (Fig. 1).

The frequency of benign and malignant mammary tumours in dogs varies considerably due to the existence of different methods of tumor classification and lack of uniform criteria to differentiate these types of tumours. Morphological criteria alone may be insufficient for a proper diagnosis because when only histologically determined, benign tumors may incidentally give rise to metastasis, while canine complex adenomas and mixed tumours often show histomorphological evidence of malignancy (carcinoma or sarcoma in benign tumor) despite benign biological behaviour. Besides, the role of pathologists in the evaluation of tumors is far beyond the exact characterisation of neoplastic histogenesis.

From the results described above, double-staining immunohistochemistry can be considered a useful technique in research, to diagnose different mammary tumors types and to study of complex clinical cases in which the relation between the tumor type involved in the lesion and its prognostic value needs to be found.
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Figure 1. Photomicrographs of canine mammary tumors. A) Benign mixed tumor used as negative control to immunohistochemical staining (Haematoxylin counterstaining). 33×. B) Double immunostaining for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 and S100 in benign mixed tumors. Epithelial cells (brown) are positive for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 and myoepithelial cells (red) are positive for S100. 33×. C) Higher magnification of micrograph B. 132×. D) Double immunostaining for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 (brown) and S100 (red) in carcinosarcoma. 132×.

RESUMO

Descreve-se a técnica de dupla-marcação em imunoistoquímica aplicada a tumores mamários em cadelas incluídos em parafina. Foram utilizados dois tipos de neoplasia em que ocorre envolvimento de células epiteliais, mioepiteliais e mesenquimais, tumor misto benigno e carcinossarcoma. Foram testados dois anticorpos, AE1/AE3 para identificação de tecido epitelial e S100 como marcador de células mioepiteliais. A dupla marcarcação em imunoistoquímica pode ser utilizada como ferramenta importante na pesquisa e diagnóstico de lesões mamárias complexas.
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