Efficiency of water use and nitrogen for goat milk production in irrigated pasture to different management
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ABSTRACT

The aim was to determine the efficiency of use of water and nitrogen for forage production and goat-milk production on an irrigated Tanzania Guinea grass (Panicum maximum cv. Tanzânia) pasture subjected to different management practices. The management levels tested were combinations among nitrogen fertilization levels and post-grazing residual heights (ResH): Intensive (ResH = 33.0cm and 600.0kg N/ha.year⁻¹); Moderate (ResH = 47.0cm and 300.0kg N/ha.year⁻¹); Light (ResH = 47cm and 0kg N/ha.year⁻¹); and Conventional (ResH = 33cm and 0kg N/ha.year⁻¹). The efficiency of water use for forage production was higher in intensive and Moderate management. The Conventional management was recommended only for forage production since there is no nitrogen input available because this result was similar to Intensive management in water efficiency. The efficiency of water use to produce goat milk was higher in Intensive management. Moderate management presented higher efficiency of nitrogen to produce forage. On the other hand, Intensive management was more efficient using nitrogen in goat milk production. The amount of water needed to produce one liter of goat milk varied from 893.20 to 3,933.50 L. In the moderate management, up to 121.48kg forage and 21.56 kg of milk were produced for every kilogram of N utilized. Intensive management is advantageous for water use efficiency as well nitrogen efficiency to produce goat milk in cultivated pasture.
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RESUMO

Objetivou-se determinar a eficiência de uso de água e nitrogênio para a produção de forragem e de leite de cabra em capim-irrigado de capim-tanzânia, submetido a diferentes manejos. Os manejos testados foram combinações entre níveis de adubação nitrogenada e alturas residuais pós-pastejo (AltR): intensivo (AltR = 33.0cm e 600,0kg N/ha.ano⁻¹); moderado (AltR = 47,0cm e 300,0kg N/ha.ano⁻¹); leve (AltR = 47,0cm e 0kg N/ha.ano⁻¹) e convencional (AltR = 33,0cm e 0kg N/ha.ano⁻¹). A eficiência do uso de água para produção de forragem foi obtida nos manejos intensivo e moderado. O manejo convencional só foi interessante no caso da produção de forragem em situação de ausência de nitrogênio, não diferindo do manejo intenso para a eficiência de uso de água. A eficiência de uso de água para a produção de leite foi maior no intenso. A maior eficiência do uso de nitrogênio para produzir forragem foi no moderado, enquanto a maior eficiência de nitrogênio para a produção de leite foi no intenso. A quantidade de água para produzir um litro de leite de cabra variou de 893.20 em manejo intenso a 3,933,50L em convencional. Manejo intenso é vantajoso para eficiência de uso de água e nitrogênio para a produção de forragem e de leite de cabra.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The sustainability of livestock production in semi-arid regions has led to the search for more efficient production models in the use of the natural resources that have a positive impact on the sustainable rural development of these regions. In this regard, dairy-goat farming has contributed to increasing the human development indices in semi-arid areas, especially in Brazil. This increase has been possible also through the use of technologies that make this production feasible economically and ecologically.

Some technological alternatives have been used to enable the animal production, with a minimum negative impact on the native pasture. Among these, the use of pastures cultivated in small areas, managed with irrigation and fertilization under rotational stocking, has provided great elevations in productivity, which characterizes it as a model for technically and economically viable production.

In the tropics, the scarcity of the water resource is the main constraint to production seasonality. The efficient use of this resource guarantees the sustainability, since a 1% increase in the efficiency of water in semi-arid climates would represent an economy of 200,000.0 L of water per hectare per year in agriculture, which is where 70% of the water on the planet is used (Oweis and Hachum, 2006).

Working with Tanzania Guinea grass pastures, Silva et al. (2007) produced up to 75.0kg dry matter of forage with using 1mm of water. Increased water productivity is directly related to the use of more efficient irrigation systems that consider climatic variables and plant water requirements (Oweis and Hachum, 2006, Mantovani et al., 2006). The use of nitrogen fertilization is one the most widely used tools to potentiate increases in production in irrigated areas, because of its effects on plant growth (Lemaire and Chapman, 1996).

According to Campos (2006), in a temperate climate, the production of 1.0kg of cow milk implies consumption of approximately 10,000.00L of water, and to produce 1.0kg of beef, 20,000.00 to 50,000.00L of water are consumed. This total water volume is based on the need for production of pastures and concentrate feeds utilized by the cattle, besides the quantity ingested by the animals. That author emphasizes that in a tropical climate this intake may double.

The maintenance of sustainability in intensely exploited areas depends on the efficiency of resource use such as water and nitrogen, however, pasture factor is essential in the production system once that the use efficiency of such resources is measured through the forage production, and this depends on the intensity of grazing, in other words, of the post-grazing residue. According to Allen et al. (2011), residue is the forage remaining on the land after harvest.

The post-grazing residue is a primary factor in the management of pasture because directly influences the grazing frequency, grazing intensity, leaf renewal and, indirectly, the animal gain (Difante et al, 2010; Giacomini et al, 2009; Lana Souza et al, 2011).

Given the foregoing, the aim of this study was to determine the efficiency of water use and nitrogen for forage production and its effect on the production of milk by goats on an irrigated Tanzania Guinea grass pasture subjected to different management strategies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted at Embrapa Goat and Sheep (3°40’58.42” S latitude; 40°16’50.5” W longitude), located in Sobral/CE, Brazil, in an area with approximately 1.5 ha of pasture cultivated with Tanzania Guinea grass (Panicum maximum cv. Tanzania) grazed under rotational stocking and a fixed low-pressure irrigation system (0.2 Mpa) in the dry season, which comprises the months from August to December 2010. Climatic data of the experimental period are shown in Tab. 1.
Table 1. Monthly climatic characteristics of the experimental environment from February 2009 to February 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>MAXT</th>
<th>MINT</th>
<th>AVGT</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>WS</th>
<th>WDR</th>
<th>CLD*</th>
<th>PRP</th>
<th>EVP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug/10</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep/10</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct/10</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov/10</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec/10</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MAXT = maximum temperature (°C); MINT = minimum temperature (°C); AVGT = average temperature (°C); RH = relative air humidity; WS = wind speed; WDR = wind direction; CLD = cloudiness; PRP = precipitation; EVP = evapotranspiration.

The predominant type of soil in the area, according to the new soil classification, is an Inceptisol (Santos et al., 2006; Campos and Queiroz, 2006). Prior to the onset of the experiment, soil analysis was performed in a sample collected at 0-30cm depth aiming to characterize the soil fertility conditions. The soil was corrected and fertilized according to the grass requirement.

Fertilization for soil correction was applied to guarantee the establishment of the pasture, consisting of the application of 150kg of urea/ha, 212kg triple superphosphate/ha and 302kg potassium chloride/ha, divided into two installments, corresponding to an input of 67.5kg of nitrogen, 86.9kg of phosphorus, and 175.19kg of potassium.

The experimental design was completely randomized (CRD), with four replicates (paddocks) per treatment for the evaluations referring to pasture production. Milk production was also analyzed in a CRD, but with five replicates (animals) per treatment.

Treatments consisted of combinations among the nitrogen fertilization levels (source of urea) and post-grazing residual heights (RESH): Intensive (600.0kg N ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ and 33.0cm RESH); Moderate (300.0kg N ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ and 47.0cm RESH); Light (0.0kg N ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ and 47.0cm RESH); and Conventional (0.0kg N ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ and 33.0cm RESH). Fertilization was distributed throughout the experimental period according to the estimated number of grazing cycles. Within each grazing cycle, fertilization was split into two applications; the first one day after the animals left, and the second at the middle of the rest period.

The grazing method adopted was rotational stocking with variable stocking rate (Mott and Lucas, 1952). Stocking rate average was 70, 40, 30 and 20 goats per hectare in Intensive, Moderate, Light and Conventional management, respectively. The grazing period was fixed at four days and the rest period was variable. Rest was interrupted whenever the canopy intercepted 95±0.5% of the photosynthetically active radiation (Barbosa et al., 2007), using an AccuPAR LP-80 canopy analyzer (Decagon Devices Inc®).

Sixty-four Anglo-Nubian goats were used; five test animals were used per treatment, and the rest were put-and-take animals, which were handled in the same way as the test animals. The used animals had average body weight of 44.0 ± 1.0kg, milk yield of 0.374 ± 0.34kg milk per goat, and second-order calving.

The efficiencies of use of water and nitrogen for production of total forage dry matter (TFDM) were obtained using the following equation proposed by Oweis and Hachum, (2006):

\[ \text{EUN (kg TFDM.N kg}^{-1} \text{)} = \frac{Y}{N} \]

during the crop cycle, mm; N = total nitrogen applied during the crop cycle.

The forage matters utilized for the calculation were obtained by the estimate of the forage
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accumulation rate, expressed as TFDM in kg.ha⁻¹.day⁻¹, through morphogenesis. To calculate the efficiency of use of nitrogen, the utilized mass was obtained as the difference between the masses of forage from the treatment without fertilization and those fertilized with the same residual height.

\[
\text{REUW (kg milk.mm⁻¹)} = \frac{\text{MY}}{V}
\]

where REUW and REUN = relative efficiency of use of water and nitrogen in kg milk.mm⁻¹ or kg milk.kg N⁻¹; MY = milk yield, kg; V = total water depth applied during the crop cycle, mm; N = total nitrogen applied during the evaluated period. Milk yield was obtained by daily measurements in two lactations of the analyzed period.

For the efficiency of the irrigation water, the water depth applied corresponding to the evapotranspiration of the culture was considered, obtained based on the reference evapotranspiration, which was estimated by the Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998). The crop coefficient adopted was 1.0, as proposed by Doorenbos and Kassan (1979). An application efficiency of 70% was used to obtain an average water depth of 3.4mm.day⁻¹. The daily application was performed during 1h40min, as the soil was physically characterized as shallow.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance and means were compared by Tukey’s test at 5% probability. The SAS 9.0 software was used for the statistical procedures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Greater efficiencies in the use of water for green dry forage production were quantified in the grazing intensities that received nitrogen fertilization, with 36.62 and 32.73 kg Green Forage Dry Matter (GFDM)/mm of water for the Moderate and Intensive managements, respectively. Tanzania Guinea grass is a kind of grass that has been used in intensive grazing systems because it has high capacity to biomass production specially when receiving inputs as nitrogen and irrigated water. Other studies presented similar results with sheep production systems on pasture (Silva et al., 2007). Moderate and Intensive management can be used to promote efficiency in water use and nitrogen as well. (Tab. 2). There is another important result that we can see in table 2, if there is not nitrogen available the Conventional management is recommended because this one is similar to the Intensive management considering EUWFDM. This recommendation is particularly interesting when smallholders are using area only to produce forage, especially during the rainy season when goats are used to browsing Caatinga vegetation.

The efficiency values in water use in the irrigation of the Tanzania Guinea grass for milk production were higher in the Intensive treatment, while in the other treatments production was similar (Tab. 2). This difference was expressive considering an average of 11.51 L by mm in intensive management against 3.58 L from others. These results demonstrate how much the intensification of management provides increments in the productive system. First of all, as Intensive management received high nitrogen input, more biomass was produced and more animals were necessary to get the goal post-grazing residual height. For this reason, goat milk production per hectare was highest in intensive management. This approach has a positive impact on efficiency use of water in goat milk production in Intensive management compared to the others. Finally, considering both efficiencies (forage and milk production) it seems that Intensive management is more adequate than others.
The amount of water necessary to produce 1.0kg of green forage varied between 273.97 and 386.56L (Tab. 3). There are not many data available about efficiency of use water in grasslands, whereas data from crops are more common. We are using crops to get a reference to understand and discuss some of our results.

Tanzania Guinea grass consumed less water (386.56L) than corn culture (1,400.00L) in same conditions, (Silva et al., 2007). Corn is one of the most popular crops used by farms to feed animals in dry lands during the dry season. Grains are very expensive to produce and use but sometimes are necessary because there are no other feed sources. Based on these results with Tanzania Guinea Grass, cultivated pastures can be another alternative to use small lands for grazing in places where water sources are available for irrigation, consequently reducing the amount of grains necessary during the dry season because it is possible to produce forage enough to feed the animals. This fact is noteworthy, since pastures in semi-arid areas can be more efficient in the use of water than the agricultural corn crop, which is the main energy source used in these regions, and to produce corn it is necessary to acquire machinery and man labor for cultivation and administration to animals, which raises the costs when compared with the grazing system.

The amount of water in kilogram and liters of milk from managements evaluated is showed in Table 3. Moderate and conventional management were different from forage dry matter production. Conventional management needed more water than moderate management. This last one probably needs less water than the other because its leaves are more efficient in the photositsesis process and reduced senescence because canopy is basically formed by young leaves, increasing biomass production (Cutrim Jr., et al. 2013). Working with guinea grass, Silva et al. (2007) obtained values between 1,072.50 and 1,413.60L to produce one kilogram of forage in a rotational system. It should be stressed that the use of management strategies combining grazing intensities and nitrogen fertilization may increase this efficiency by up to seven times.

Table 3. Total amount of water required to produce one kilogram of total forage dry matter and one kilogram of milk in different managements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Water requirement (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production of 1.0 kg of total</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>305.94 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of 1.0L of goat milk</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>273.97 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>347.25 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>386.56 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>893.20 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2,677.70 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>3,933.50 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guineagrass forage dry matter</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>3,270.60 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter do not differ by Tukey’s test (P>0.05).

To produce 1.0kg of goat milk it was necessary from 893.20 to 3,933.50L of water. Intensive and light management were different (P<0.05). Light management spent four times more than intensive management to produce 1kg of forage. The stocking rate combined with individual milk production was responsible for this result (Tab. 3).

Water is essential for plant development, and, associated with the fertilization technique, which is an accelerator of the plant physiological processes, it makes the system more efficient. According to Taiz and Zeiger (2006), water plays the role of carrying nutrients from the soil to the plant via mass flow or diffusion. In the plant, water performs a number of metabolic processes such as transpiration, which reduces the leaf
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temperature and ensures the gas exchange via stomata so that the photosynthetic processes can occur. When water is not the limiting factor, the use of nitrogen accelerates the growth rate of plants through the morphogenetic processes that occurs in the tiller (Lemaire and Chapman, 1996).

Some literature information pointed that milk production has more efficient water use than beef production (Campos, 2006; Silva et al. 2007). Around 10,000L are to produce 10kg dairy milk. Using the same amount of water it is only possible to produce 1kg of beef cattle (Campos, 2006). In this same point of view, for small ruminant system production, 16,835 are necessary to produce 1.0kg of sheep meat (Silva et al., 2007), whereas this same amount of water should be able to produce 191 of goat milk in the intensive management presented in this paper.

The efficiency of conversion of nitrogen to green forage in the Moderate grazing was greater than that in the Intensive management. However, an increase in the level of nitrogen was expected to elevate green forage production, but this effect was suppressed (Tab. 4). Higher nitrogen amounts by urea sources are more susceptible leaching and volatilization losses especially in irrigated dry areas. This kind of impact is more expressive on biomass production.

Mello et al. (2008) obtained greater conversion efficiency of nitrogen into forage production with 307kg/ha for Panicum grass. These authors associated this response to a physiological limit of this type of plant to high nitrogen levels. Part of the nitrogen might also have volatilized; even though the application was divided in installments and there was irrigation, these strategies were not sufficient to reduce nitrogen losses.

Grazing Tanzania Guinea grass at a level similar to that tested in the intensive grazing, Silva et al. (2007) obtained a conversion efficiency of 80.0kg DM of forage/kg of N applied. These values are very similar to that obtained in the present experiment, which proves the potential of using fertilization on forage production at the time of growth of the grass, regardless of the region. This result also demonstrates how responsive Tanzania Guinea grass is to intensive grazing under rotational stocking, irrigation, and fertilization, because elephant grass, a high-yield grass highly responsive to nitrogen fertilization, shows an efficiency of nitrogen use that varies from 56 to 65DM/kg of applied N.

Considering the efficacy in the use of nitrogen to produce milk, the reverse effect was obtained with the green forage so Intensive management was higher (Tab. 4). This result is probably closely related to stocking rate. Whereas intensive grazing has used 70 goats per hectare the moderate has used 40 goats per hectare, this aspect affecting milk production by hectare became more efficient in intensive management.

From the water and nitrogen efficiency point of view it seems that intensive management presents great advantage. Higher nitrogen amounts combined with an intensive grazing management should be an interesting tool to increase productivity in goat milk production systems. Rational use of natural resources and outside inputs could be a way for small systems of goat milk production to become viable by intensification of dry lands.

Table 4. Relative efficiency of the use of nitrogen (REUN) for production of green forage dry matter (kg/kg N) and milk (kg/kg N) in the intensive and moderate managements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>REUN for green forage production</th>
<th>REUN for milk production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>91.01b^1</td>
<td>29.52a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>121.48a</td>
<td>21.56b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1Means followed by the same letter do not differ by Tukey’s test (P>0.05).

From the water and nitrogen efficiency point of view it seems that intensive management presents great advantage. Higher nitrogen amounts combined with an intensive grazing management should be an interesting tool to increase productivity in goat milk production systems. Rational use of natural resources and outside inputs could be a way for small systems of goat milk production to become viable by intensification of dry lands.
CONCLUSION

Intensive management is advantageous for water use efficiency as well nitrogen efficiency to produce goat milk in cultivated pasture. Conventional management is advantageous for forage production using water efficiently in situations when nitrogen input is not available.
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