Caprine lentivirus in sheep milk and semen

[Lentivirus caprino em leite e sêmen de ovinos]
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ABSTRACT

With the objective of detecting the presence of caprine lentivirus (CLV) in ewe milk and in ram semen, ten matrices and four reproducers experimentally infected with CLV were used. Samples of ewe milk were collected during the four months of lactation, five collections per animal, totaling 50 samples. Regarding the rams, eight semen collections were made per animal, during one year of experimentation, totaling 32 samples. The milk and semen samples were submitted to DNA extraction and the nested polymerase chain reaction test (nPCR) to detect CLV proviral DNA. Eight (16%) of the milk samples were positive in nPCR originating from two ewes. Only one (3.12%) semen sample was positive. The amplification products were sequenced, and were confirmed to be a CLV genomic sequence. Thus, the presence of CLV proviral DNA in sheep milk and semen was demonstrated, confirming the feasibility of infection between species, and alerting to the risk of spreading infections.
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RESUMO

Com o objetivo de detectar a presença do lentivírus caprino (LVC) no leite de ovelhas e no sêmen de carneiros, utilizaram-se 10 matrizes e quatro reprodutores infectados experimentalmente com o LVC. Foram coletadas amostras de leite das ovelhas durante os quatro meses de lactação, ocorrendo cinco coletas por animal, totalizando 50 amostras. Quanto aos carneiros, realizaram-se oito coletas de sêmen por animal, durante um ano de experimentação, totalizando 32 amostras. As amostras de leite e de sêmen foram submetidas à extração de DNA e à prova de reação em cadeia da polimerase do tipo nested (nPCR) visando à detecção de DNA proviral do LVC. Oito (16%) amostras de leite foram positivas na nPCR oriundas de duas ovelhas. Apenas uma (3,12%) amostra de sêmen apresentou positividade. Produtos da amplificação foram sequenciados, confirmando-se tratar de sequência genômica do LVC. Dessa forma, demonstrou-se a presença do DNA proviral do LVC em leite e sêmen de ovinos, confirmando a viabilidade da infecção entre espécies e, assim, alertando sobre o risco de que a infecção seja disseminada.
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INTRODUCTION

Caprine arthritis encephalitis (CAE) and ovine maedi-visna (MV) are diseases caused by small ruminant lentiviruses (SRLV), characterized by chronic evolution and progressive worsening until death (Blacklaws, 2012). Different clinical manifestations are known, the main ones being arthritis, pneumonia, encephalitis and mastitis, besides progressive weight loss (Pasick, 1998; Souza et al., 2015).

The most important transmission routes are by ingesting contaminated milk and colostrum, and by contact with secretions containing the virus, such as those from the respiratory and reproductive tract. Thus, transmission may happen vertically, between the infected matrix and its offspring (Alvarez et al., 2005); horizontally, by direct contact between infected and susceptible animals (Villoria et al., 2013), and in iatrogenic way, with emphasis on artificial feeding and use of semen in reproduction techniques (Alvarez et al., 2006; Andrioli et al., 2006; Souza et al., 2013).

The etiologic agents of CAE and MV were considered to be species-specific for many years. However, genomic analyzes of SRLV pointed to the occurrence of heterogeneous strains, evolved from viral prototypes of CAE and MV, able to infect both goats and sheep (Shah et al., 2004).

In this sense, the transmission of caprine lentivirus to sheep has already been proved (Souza et al., 2015), but it is not yet clear whether animals infected with heterologous strains can transmit the infection. Thus, the objective of the present experiment was to detect the presence of caprine lentivirus proviral DNA in the milk and semen of experimentally infected sheep.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was performed in the National Center of Goat and Sheep Research, belonging to the Brazilian Enterprise for Agricultural Research (“Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa Caprinos e Ovinos),”) in the municipality of Sobral (CE), Brazil, under approval from the Committee of Ethics on Animal Use from the Vale de Acaraú State University (“Universidade Estadual do Vale do Acaraú”) (number 001/2012).

In order to detect caprine lentivirus (CLV) in sheep milk and semen, two experimental groups of crossbred Morada and Santa Inês one year old animals were kept isolated in experimental stalls. The first group consisted of 10 ewes and the second of four rams. Both the ewes and rams were infected with CLV at birth, by feeding on colostrum and milk from infected goats. Infection by CLV was confirmed by nested polymerase chain reaction tests (nPCR) at seven days of life and the animals were clinically evaluated throughout the experiment.

In the group of ewes, milk samples were collected at 30, 60, 75, 90 and 120 days of lactation in sterile 15mL falcon vials after cleaning the teats using cheesecloth and 70% alcohol. The ewes were milked delicately until 15mL milk was obtained from each teat.

The milk samples were centrifuged at 3,000g for 15 minutes and washed five times with phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) to obtain the cell pap, based on the methodology described by Sardi et al. (2012). DNA was extracted according to Grimberg et al. (1989), using Lithium chloride and proteinase K. After extraction, samples were stored at -20°C, until the nPCR technique was performed.

In the group of rams, eight semen collections were made per animal, during one year of experimentation. Semen was collected using an artificial vagina, using an ewe in rut as a dummy.

For gel filtration in a Sephacryl S-40 column, 100µL of fresh semen was used, according to a method by Santurde et al. (1996), to remove impurities. Then, 3µL of each filtrate was transferred to sterlye microtubes to extract the DNA, using 200µL Chelex 100 solution at 5%, 2µL proteinase K (10µg/µL) and 7µL Dithiothreitol at 1M, incubated in a water bath at 56°C, for 60 minutes. After this stage, samples were homogenized for 10 seconds and heated in a boiling water bath (100°C) for eight minutes to inactivate proteinase K. Later the filtrate was centrifuged for three minutes at 13,000G and stored in a freezer at -20°C until the nPCR test was performed.
DNA samples extracted from milk and semen were submitted to the nPCR technique, according to Barlough et al. (1994), modified by Andrioli et al. (2006). The reaction consisted of a total volume of 50µL, containing buffer (10mM tris-HCl, 50mM KCl and 1.5mM MgcL2), 100µM each dNTP, 20pmol each oligonucleotide primer, 2U Taq polymerase; 3µL sample in the first stage and 1µL of its product in the second stage, completed to the final volume with sterile water. In parallel with the tested samples, a negative (sterile water) and a positive sample (material extracted from cultured synovial membrane infected with lentivirus strain B1, circulating in the experimental flock of goats infected with lentivirus at Embrapa National Research Center for Goats and Sheep) were used as control.

Two pairs of oligonucleotide primers were used in the reaction, obtained from the sequence from the gag gene region, from the standard CAEV-Cork strain (Saltarelli et al., 1990), namely P1 primers (5'-CAAGCAGCAGGAGGAAGCTG-3') and P2 primers (5'-TCCTACCCCATATTTGATCCAC-3') used to obtain a target sequence of 297pb and P3 primers (5'-GTTCCAGCAAATGCAAACAGTAGCAATG-3') and P4 (5'-ACCTTTCTGCTTCTTCATTTAATTTCC-3'), to obtain a target fragment of 187pb (Rimstad et al., 1993).

Amplification reactions were performed in a thermocycler (Programmable Thermal Controller, PTC-100, MJ Research, Inc.), consisting of an initial cycle at 94°C for five minutes; followed by 35 cycles of one minute at 94°C, one minute at 56°C and 45 seconds at 72°C; final extension at 72°C for seven minutes. The amplified samples and positive and negative controls, along with 100 bp DNA ladder® marker, were submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis at 2% in TBE (Tris, borate and EDTA 0.1X), stained with ethidium bromide and visualized in an ultraviolet transilluminator (Andrioli et al., 2006).

The samples positive in nPCR were sequenced on the Applied Biosystems® 3500 Genetic Analyzer platform. These sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Thompson et al., 1994), with the BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor® program (Hall, 1999) and compared with sequences from standard CAEV Cork and MVVK1514 strains, available in GenBank under numbers M33677 and M60610, respectively, along with the sequence obtained from the strain circulating in Embrapa National Research Center for Goats and Sheep (BR/CNPC-G1), classified as subtype B1.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

CLV proviral DNA was detected in the milk of ewes by the nPCR technique in eight out of the 50 analyzed samples (Tab. 1). These positive samples belonged to two out of the ten ewes used.

The capacity of nPCR for detecting proviral DNA in milk was verified in other studies (Gregory et al., 2011; Sardi et al., 2012); but they worked with goats and thus there was low detection in the face of the infection, which may be justified by the possible small number of copies of the viral genome present in the milk cells since the viral load varies (Barquero et al., 2013).

In this experiment, proviral DNA detection by nPCR was 16% and this may be due to the low viral load. This fact is associated to the variability in the milk cellular composition,
mainly due to monocytes that shelter proviral DNA, that may be caused by several factors, influencing the diagnostic capacity of nPCR for CLV in milk (Ravazzolo et al., 2006; Gomes et al., 2010; Barbosa et al., 2012; Blacklaws, 2012).

The detection of CLV proviral DNA in ewe milk proved the presence of infected cells, but not necessarily the presence of the viral particle in the milk, suggesting the mammary gland as a potential route for eliminating CLV (Ravazzolo et al., 2006; Gregory et al., 2009).

It has already been proved that cells carrying proviral DNA are able to transmit the virus (Herrmann-Hoesing et al., 2007). Thus, the milk of ewes infected with CLV proviral DNA must be considered among the risk factors for controlling the disease.

It is noteworthy that there was no clinical manifestation of the disease in the ewes, the udders remained healthy throughout the experimental period, discarding the possibility of indurative mastitis, which is in agreement with the low viral replication in the mammary gland, which reduced the occurrence of injuries (Gregory et al., 2009). Clinically healthy animals may have a lower viral load (Ravazzolo et al., 2006), and this possibly justifies the discreet detection of positivity in nPCR.

The presence of caprine lentivirus proviral DNA was also observed in a sample of sheep semen, from the 32 evaluated sheep (Tab. 2).

Table 2. Results of nested PCR in samples from four rams experimentally infected with caprine lentivirus, collected between April, 2013 and April, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Rams 1</th>
<th>Rams 2</th>
<th>Rams 3</th>
<th>Rams 4</th>
<th>Rams 5</th>
<th>Rams 6</th>
<th>Rams 7</th>
<th>Rams 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-): Negative; (+): Positive.

The presence of CLV proviral DNA has already been detected in naturally and experimentally infected male goats. Paula et al. (2009) verified intermittence in positivity to nPCR when conducting studies with goats infected with the same strain as used in the present experiment.

The physical examination of the ewes and rams did not indicate any clinical alteration of infection by lentivirus. This absence of symptoms has been reported in other studies (Cavalcante et al., 2013; Souza et al., 2015), and may be associated with factors such as the pathogenicity of the viral strain for sheep, since it was circulating only in goats and, demonstrating symptoms in them. Possibly it did not establish a natural mechanism of adaptation to the ovine species, corroborating with the absence of clinical manifestation (Gregory et al., 2011; Rachid et al., 2013).

It is important to highlight that some viral quasispecies are proven to be more adapted to goats and others to sheep, and that the species interaction is different, which must be considered when interpreting results (Shah et al., 2004; Souza et al., 2015; Rachid et al., 2013).

It is also important to highlight the possibility of the virus entering a state of quiescence, when its genetic material remains integrated to the nuclear DNA, but without being activated, or in an intracellular environment, but not integrated (Cavalcante et al., 2013), justifying the absence of replication that would stimulate the clinical manifestation.

Regarding the discreet detection of positivity in nPCR, this may be due to the low viral replication in those infection sites, and therefore the capacity to detect genetic material in the tissues (Barquero et al., 2013), although breast is a preferred site for lentivirus replication in small ruminants (Ravazzolo et al., 2006; Gregory et al., 2011).

It is also important to consider that regarding the detection of genetic material, small ruminant
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Lentivirus have the characteristic named intermittence, alternating between positivity and negativity, depending on the presence of infected cells in the evaluated sample (Alvarez et al., 2005; Paula et al., 2009).

Regarding the genetic sequences obtained by sequencing samples positive in nPCR (Figure 1), it was verified that they are sequences of the caprine lentivirus gag gene, with some changes occurring in nucleotides in the studied fragment (Feitosa et al., 2010; Souza et al., 2015).

Regarding the homology of the sequences, it was verified that sequences of milk from ewes 04 and 07 had 98% and 97% homology with CLV Cork, and 90% and 88% with strain MVV K1514, respectively. The sequence obtained from semen demonstrated 100% homology with CLV Cork and 90% with MVV K1514. This may be explained by the selection imposed by the milk and semen compartmentalization over the caprine lentivirus (CLV) population. The compartmentalization of small ruminant lentivirus (SRLV) quasispecies is determined as the genetic distinction of SRLV isolates in tissues from different animals, corresponding to viral sub-populations in the same individual (Ramirez et al., 2012).

*Red arrow indicates change of nucleotide in relation to the genomic sequence of the standard CAEV Cork strain.

Figure 1. Partial sequences of the small ruminant lentivirus gag gene: results obtained from nested PCR in milk from ewes and semen from rams infected with caprine lentivirus.

Comparison of fragments obtained provides important information regarding the modified nucleic sites, and these alterations may be associated with mutations that would lead to the adaptation of the viral strain to the host. However, this experiment was not enough to attest a mutation linked to adaptation. It is emphasized that those modifications may influence the process of viral replication (Barquero et al., 2013).

Thus, there are several verified characteristics influencing lentivirus transmission, since the dissemination of the disease is not species-specific, and the viral quasispecies are closely linked to the evasion mechanisms of the immune response, resulting from viral RNA transcription errors by reverse transcriptase, which may be correlated with the nucleic variations observed in the present study (Pasick et al., 1998; Reina et al., 2006).
CONCLUSIONS

Caprine lentivirus proviral DNA was detected in ewemilk and in ram-semen, in experimentally infected animals, thus suggesting the technical feasibility of infection passing from goats to sheep, despite the absence of clinical signs. The small changes observed on the genetic sequences obtained suggest that the viral strain may adapt to the new species because of the possibility of errors in gene transcription. The possibility of infection re-transmission highlights the attention that should be given to control measures of the disease in small ruminants.
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