Lacrimal excretory system sequelae in patients treated for leishmaniasis

Alterações como sequelas no sistema lacrimal de portadores de leishmaniose tratada
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ABSTRACT

Leishmaniasis infection may involve destruction of nasal tissues resulting in lacrimal drainage system alteration. **Purpose:** To evaluate the frequency of lacrimal excretory system sequelae in patients treated for leishmaniasis. **Methods:** Forty-five leishmaniasis-treated patients (90 nasolacrimal ducts) were submitted to lacrimal excretory system evaluation. All were evaluated by Jones I test and when it was abnormal, dacryocystography and nasal endoscopy were performed. This situation occurred in 13 patients (26 nasolacrimal ducts). **Results:** The majority of evaluated patients had the cutaneous form (64.4%) of leishmaniasis, however, 69.23% of the patients with lacrimal excretory system alterations had the mucocutaneous form of infection before treatment. In these, the most common alteration detected was bilateral permeable and dilated nasolacrimal ducts (92.30%). Only 3.84% (1/26) of the evaluated nasolacrimal ducts were obstructed. Nasal endoscopy showed turbinate hypertrophy (53.84%), septum deviation (53.84%) and nasal septum perforation (23.07%). **Conclusion:** Permeable and dilated lacrimal excretory system were the most common sequelae related to leishmaniasis infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Leishmaniasis is one of the six major endemic tropical diseases with a prevalence of 12 million cases worldwide1-2. The disease is widespread in Latin America where it constitutes a major public health problem. Brazil and Peru are the countries where the disease is most prevalent3. The highest incidence in Brazil was observed in the North, Northeast and Center-west regions3.

In São Paulo state, the first reports occurred around 1908 during the construction of the Northeast railroad. Since most cases were observed close to Bauru city, the disease came to be known as “Bauru’s ulcer”4-5. The present study was conducted in a region 100 km from the city of Bauru.

There are three clinical forms of leishmaniasis infection: the cutaneous form characterized by ulcerous epidermis and single or multiple lesions confined to the dermis; the mucocutaneous form characterized by involvement of mucosa and cartilage due to direct or hematogenous dissemination and more frequently compromising nose, pharynx, mouth and larynx; and the visceral form characterized by involvement of the liver and spleen4-5.

Cutaneous leishmaniasis is endemic in South and Central America. The
causative agents are subspecies of L. braziliensis and L. mexicana. The spectrum of the disease ranges from a single, localized cutaneous ulcer to mucocutaneous alterations. The disease is most common in workers living at the edge of forests and in rural settlers inhabiting where the sandflies and the reservoir animals (small rodents) are abundant.

Ophthalmic manifestations of leishmaniasis infection are not often observed. Eyelid ulcers occurred in 2 to 5% of patients with facial lesions because blinking prevents mosquito bite.

Ocular involvement is rare and may happen due to the direct spread of eyelid lesions or as result of conjunctivitis. Ulcerative keratitis and granulomatous anterior uveitis were also observed in visceral leishmaniasis.

In the human lacrimal drainage system, tears flow across the eye and are drained through the upper and lower puncta which are localized at the nasal side of the upper and lower eyelids. The puncta originate the upper and lower canaliculi which have a 2 mm vertical portion and an 8 mm horizontal portion and fuse into a common canaliculus which enters the lateral wall of the lacrimal sac. The lacrimal sac has a 13-15 mm vertical length and originates the nasolacrimal duct which enters the inferior turbinate.

The alterations in the lacrimal drainage system related to leishmaniasis have rarely been reported. According to previous reports, the lid may be affected via the nasolacrimal duct, and one case with mucocutaneous infection and nasolacrimal duct stenosis associated with lacrimal fistulae, conjunctivitis and uveitis was described. Baddini-Caramelli et al. showed lacrimal drainage tract involvement in 4 patients with mucocutaneous leishmaniasis, reporting dilation of lacrimal sac and nasolacrimal duct which drains the tears into the lateral wall of the nose, just beneath the inferior turbinate.

The purpose of this study was to determine the presence of lacrimal excretory system sequelae after treatment for Leishmaniasis infection.

**METHODS**

This is a prospective observational study conducted at the Botucatu School of Medicine - Brazil to evaluate the frequency of lacrimal excretory system sequelae in patients treated for leishmaniasis.

Forty-five patients who started leishmaniasis treatment in 1999 were included. When the lacrimal excretory system was evaluated, all patients were already in the post-treatment period.

They were evaluated according to ocular complaints and submitted to irrigation of the nose cavities with 0.9% physiological saline to wash the nasal secretion and lacrimal excretory system evaluation by a dye test (Jones I test) consisting of instillation of one drop of 2% sodium fluorescein in both eyes and, after 5 minutes, evaluation of the presence of dye on the cotton tip inserted in the correspondent nostril. The test was considered negative when the dye was not recovered from the nostrils, suggesting a partial or complete obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct.

Thirteen patients who presented an altered lacrimal excretory test were submitted to dacryocystography and nasal endoscopy.

The dacryocystographic examination consisted of dilation of the inferior lacrimal punctum using a lacrimal punctum dilator and injection of an oily radiographic contrast material (Lipiodol - Guerbet) via a cannula into the inferior canaliculus of the lacrimal drainage system. The patient was then submitted to an X-Ray which allowed us to observe good opacification of the superior, inferior, common canalicui and nasolacrimal ducts at the medial aspect of the orbit in the anterior-posterior view. Contrast could normally be seen exteriorizing into the inferior meatus where the ducts empty.

Nasal endoscopy was performed using a rigid zero degree endoscope (Storz). The nasal cavity was evaluated to observe the presence of inflammation, nasal septum and/or lacrimal ostium lesions.

**RESULTS**

Forty-five patients treated for leishmaniasis (90 nasolacrimal ducts) were evaluated. Most of them (91.1%) came from rural areas and 68.8% were males. Cutaneous leishmaniasis (64.4%) was the most prevalent form observed, followed by the mucocutaneous (31.1%) and the visceral (4.4%) forms.

Ophthalmological complaints consisted of epiphora (24.4%), burning (8.8%), chronic ocular secretion (2.2%) and refractive disorders (22.2%); 42.4% did not have ocular complaints.

Jones I test was abnormal in 13 patients (28.88% - 13/45). Of these, 69.23% had the mucocutaneous, 23.07% the cutaneous and 7.69% the visceral form of the disease before treatment. The characteristics of these patients are presented in table 1.

All patients who presented an abnormal Jones I test were submitted to dacryocystography and nasal endoscopy evaluation. The main alteration observed in the dacryocystographic examination was nasolacrimal duct dilation which was completely permeable to the contrast (Figure 1 A, B, C, D).

The nasolacrimal duct dilation was bilateral in 11 patients (22 nasolacrimal ducts) and unilateral in two (2 nasolacrimal ducts). Thus the dilated and permeable ducts were observed in 92.30% (24/26) of the lacrimal excretory systems evaluated by dacryocystography. The lacrimal sac was dilated in one patient (3.84% - 1/26) (Figure 2) and another (3.84% - 1/26) presented a nasolacrimal duct obstruction.

Radiological signs of septum deviation were seen in 46.6%.

Nasal endoscopy revealed turbinate bone hypertrophy (53.84% - 7/13) and septum deviation (53.84% - 7/13). Perforation of the septum was observed in 23.07% (3/13) and dilation of the lacrimal ostium in one patient (6.2% - 1/16). Collapse of the nasal pyramid was present in one patient (6.2% - 1/16).
DISCUSSION

More than half of the 45 patients evaluated in this study presented the cutaneous form of leishmaniasis. The majority lived in rural areas close to the city of Bauru where the transmitting mosquito was easily found and from where came the first reports of the disease in Brazil. The infection was also more prevalent in men, probably because they usually spend more time working outside.

*L. braziliensis* is the main agent responsible for leishmaniasis disease in Brasil and can persist after the disappearance of the primary cutaneous ulcer and subsequently appears as a mutilating mucosal infection. It causes destruction of the nasal and labial tissues and various lesions can be observed all over the body. The nasopharyngeal region may be involved concomitantly with or after the initial cutaneous infection during the disease. All patients included in this study were already in the post-treatment period; therefore, as expected, nasal inflammation was not observed.

As leishmaniasis infection may affect mucous tissues, we decided to evaluate the lacrimal excretory system of treated patients.

The basic lacrimal excretory system evaluation begins with the non-invasive procedures (screening tests), one of which

![Figure 1 - A, B, C, D: Dacryocystographic evaluation of patients with leishmaniasis with permeable and dilated lacrimal excretory system](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Dacryocystography</th>
<th>Endoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Unilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Septum perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora+ secretion</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Septum perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Unilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Visceral</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Turbinate hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation. No obstruction</td>
<td>Septum deviatium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Epiphora</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>Bilateral dilation and obstruction</td>
<td>Septum perforation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2 - Dacryocystographic evaluation showing a patient with leishmaniasis with lacrimal obstruction and lacrimal sac dilation (arrow) in the left side and nasal septum perforation](image)
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Dacryocystography is only performed on patients with the strong probability of lacrimal drainage system alteration. It is not indicated for normal patients because it is an invasive procedure, costly regarding both time and money. In addition, patients have to be submitted to exposure to radiation. For these reasons we did not include a control group with normal patients, and only performed dacryocystographic examinations in patients who presented an abnormal Jones I test.

The normal lacrimal sac is the most dilated portion of the lacrimal excretory system(15), and those who presented any portion of their diameters greater than the lacrimal sac were considered dilated nasolacrimal ducts.

Thirteen of our patients presented an altered lacrimal excretory dye test (Jones I test). The nasolacrimal ducts may be affected by direct, hematogenous or lymphatic dissemination(6,18), depending on the type of clinical manifestation, and their involvement is mainly observed in patients with the mucocutaneous form of the disease, as observed here. In the present study, 80% of the patients who presented obstruction by the lacrimal excretory test had had the mucocutaneous form.

The main alteration observed in the lacrimal excretory system was a complete permeable lacrimal drainage tract with nasolacrimal duct dilation. Only one patient had lacrimal excretory system obstruction and lacrimal sac dilation.

There are many possible explanations for the nasal mucosal disease. Often it starts in the septum as a slight swelling and reddening of the mucosa and progresses slowly over time to result in perforation and destruction of the septum evolving to nose collapse(3).

In this study, many patients had nasal alterations which might explain the nasolacrimal duct alterations. The “tapir” nose was observed in one patient and nasal endoscopy showed nasal septum perforation and dilated lacrimal ostium too.

An inflammatory process of the distal portion of the lacrimal drainage tract, the mechanical obstruction caused by nasal scabs or nasal collapse could be possible causes for lacrimal excretory system dysfunction(13) and consequent obstruction.

Common symptoms of leishmaniasis affecting the ocular region include tearing and purulent discharge, and conjunctivitis usually occurs as a result of direct extension of the lid infection or through the hematogenous route(13). However, lacrimal drainage system obstruction might be another cause of chronic eye discharge.

The authors intend to show that chronic dacryocystitis can be a possible complication of leishmaniasis infection, but that few cases have been reported, probably because of misdiagnosis.

In conclusion, our results show that the most frequent lacrimal drainage alteration in leishmaniasis-treated patients is nasolacrimal duct dilation without obstruction, occurring mainly as a sequela of the mucocutaneous form of leishmaniasis infection.