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INTRODUCTION

Intermittent exotropia \([X(T)]\) is a disorder of the oculomotor system, characterized by intermittent divergence deviation of the visual axes, with normal retinal correspondence and good surgical prognosis\(^{(1)}\).

Surgery gives satisfactory results, but quite frequently, more than one operation is needed to obtain stable orthophoria\(^{(1-4)}\). In spite of the disagreement regarding the best surgical approach, the two basic types are: recession of both lateral recti muscles and the monocular recess-resect procedure\(^{(1)}\).

The advantages of monocular surgery include low incidence of overcorrections and less risk of complications\(^{(5-6)}\), however, it may cause a larger number of undercorrections\(^{(7)}\). Some authors state that isolated recession of the lateral recti provides more stable surgical results\(^{(2,8)}\).

In different studies, the success rate using the monocular recess-resect procedure varies from 55% - 82%\(^{(7,9-10)}\) and with recession of lateral recti it varies from 52% - 69%\(^{(4,7,11-14)}\).

In the literature, there are few comparative studies between the two
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Due to the large variability of the results achieved with identical surgical procedures in patients with X(T), with the same angle of deviation, the aim of this study was to compare the surgical results between recession of the lateral recti (LR recession) and the monocular recess-resect procedure, for the correction of X(T) of the basic type (12).

Recent systematic review lead to the conclusion that recession of the lateral recti produces better results for the correction of the divergence excess type of X(T), and there was no conclusion regarding which procedure was best for correction X(T) of the basic type (12).

Deviations were measured, for distance and nearness, with the alternate prism and cover test.

Surgical planning, according to the preoperative angle in primary position, for LR recession, ranged from 5 to 8 mm (6.2 ± 0.9) and for monocular operation, lateral rectus recession ranged from 4 to 8 mm (5.9 ± 1.0) and medial rectus resection from 4 to 6 mm (4.7 ± 0.6). Monocular recess-resect was performed in 66 (57.4%) patients and recession of lateral recti in 49 (42.6%) patients who had recession of the lateral recti, and success in 14 (82%) out of the 17 patients submitted to the monocular recess-resect procedure (10). Orthophoria or well compensated exo- or esophoria were considered surgical success.

The patients were divided into 4 groups:
- Group 1A (n=23): X(T) 12 PD - 25 PD, submitted to LR recession.
- Group 1B (n=46): X(T) 12 PD - 25 PD, submitted to monocular recess-resect.
- Group 2A (n=26): X(T) 26 PD - 35 PD, submitted to LR recession.
- Group 2B (n=20): X(T) 26 PD and 35 PD, submitted to monocular recess-resect.

Orthophoria or well compensated exo- or esophoria were considered surgical success.

The Mann-Whitney test was applied to compare the corrections obtained between the groups 1A and 1B, and between the groups 2A and 2B, and with the purpose of studying the association between surgical success, post-operative under-correction and overcorrection. The level of rejection of the null hypothesis was set at 0.05 or 5% (α ≤ 0.05).

RESULTS

Among the total patients included in this study, thirty four (69%) of the 49 patients submitted to LR recession, and 51 (77%) of the 66 patients submitted to monocular recess-resect obtained results considered to be success.

The results are shown in tables I, II and III.

DISCUSSION

The surgical success obtained in this study in patients with X(T) submitted to LR recession was 69%, similar to other

| Chart 1. Number of patients, gender, age, refraction, duration and magnitude of the pre-operative deviation and time of post-operative follow-up, according to the surgical planning of the patients with basic type of intermittent exotropia, submitted to recession of the lateral recti or monocular recess-resect |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Gender** | **67/33** | **60/40** |
| **Age (years)** | 12.7 ± 8.9 (5 to 43) | 13.7 ± 10.6 (5 to 55) |
| **Refractometry (spherical equivalent)** | +1.86 ± 0.81 (1 to 3) | +1.41 ± 0.66 (1 to 3) |
| **Duration of deviation (years)** | 7.3 ± 7.1 (1 to 52) | 9.9 ± 9.6 (1 to 33) |
| **Deviation (PD)** | 23.84 ± 5.74 (18 to 35) | 26.34 ± 5.23 (12 to 35) |
| **Follow up (months)** | 15.1 ± 2.5 (12.2 to 18.1) | 14.6 ± 2.0 (12.3 to 17.1) |
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studies (4,7,8,10,12-14). Due to the tendency in X(T) for the eyes to progress towards divergence reoperations are not indicated within the first year (11). In our study reoperation was indicated in 15 (31%) patients.

In the monocular recess-resect group, success was observed in 51 (77%) patients, similar to Kushner’s series (9) (82%) but higher than Valenzuela’s (7) (55%) and Lee, Lee’s (10) (60%) series. In this group, 15 (23%) cases had indication for reoperation.

In agreement with another study (10), our statistical analysis demonstrated that the differences were not significant between the two procedures, although other publications considered recess-resect a better procedure for basic X(T) (4,8,12,15-16).

Some authors (7), considering both monocular and bilateral surgery together, observed 42 (32%) undercorrections and 15 (11%) overcorrections in the 131 patients operated on, after 2 years of follow-up. Other authors (10), however, after 1 year of follow-up, observed that of the 46 patients submitted to LR recession, 17 (37%) presented with undercorrection and 3 (6%) presented overcorrection, while of the 57 patients submitted to monocular recess-resect, 17 (30%) presented with undercorrection and 6 (10%) with overcorrection, with no statistically significant difference between these results.

In the present study, in the group of patients with deviations between 12 PD and 25 PD, submitted to LR recession, 5 (22%) undercorrections and 1 (4%) overcorrection were observed, and in the group submitted to monocular recess-resect, there were 9 (20%) undercorrections and 1 (2%) overcorrection (Table I). For the deviations between 26 PD and 35 PD, no overcorrections were observed, but 9 (35%) undercorrections were observed in the group submitted to LR recession and 5 (25%) in the monocular recess-resect group (Table II). Fewer overcorrections than in the literature were found (4,7,8,14,16-17). The occurrence of undercorrections, when groups 1A and 1B are compared (deviations between 12 PD and 25 PD) with 2A and 2B (deviations between 26 PD and 35 PD), was shown to be higher in this group, however, there are no comparative studies in the literature, relative to surgical success for different magnitudes of deviation.

It was concluded that in patients with the basic type of X(T), for both preoperative deviations between 12 PD and 25 PD and between 26 PD and 35 PD, submitted to LR recession or monocular recess-resect, the two procedures can be considered to be equally effective, there being no difference between the magnitude of the pre-operative exotropia and the correction obtained.

**CONCLUSION**
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Comparar os resultados entre o retrocesso dos retos laterais e retrocesso-resecção monocular, para correção de exotropia intermitente do tipo básico. Métodos: Foram selecionados 115 prontuários de pacientes portadores de exotropia intermitente do tipo básico submetidos a cirurgia no período entre janeiro de 1991 e dezembro de 2001. Os pacientes foram divididos em 4 grupos, de acordo com a magnitude de desvio pré-operatória e a correção obtida no pós-operatório. Resultados: Dos 115 pacientes estudados, 34 (69%) dos 49 pacientes submetidos ao retrocesso dos retos laterais e 51 (77%), dos 66 pacientes submetidos ao retrocesso-resecção monocular, obtiveram sucesso cirúrgico. Em relação aos grupos com desvios entre 12 e 25, observou-se sucesso em 17 (74%) dos 23 pacientes submetidos ao retrocesso dos retos laterais (1A) e em 36 (78%) dos 46 submetidos ao retrocesso-resecção monocular (1B) (p=0,564). No segundo grupo (desvios entre 26 e 35), observou-se sucesso de 17 (65%) dos 26 pacientes submetidos ao retrocesso dos retos laterais (2A) e em 15 (75%) dos 20 submetidos ao retrocesso-resecção monocular (2B) (p=0,266). Conclusão: Concluiu-se que, nos pacientes com exotropia intermitente do tipo básico, tanto para desvios entre 12 e 25 quanto para desvios entre 26 e 35, submetidos ao retrocesso dos retos laterais ou retrocesso-resecção monocular, ambos os procedimentos podem ser considerados igualmente efetivos, não havendo diferença significante entre a magnitude da exotropia pré-operatória e a correção obtida.
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